

A NOTE ON MAXIMAL AVERAGES IN THE PLANE

JOSÉ A. BARRIONUEVO AND LUCAS S. OLIVEIRA

(Communicated by Michael T. Lacey)

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{B}_δ be the class of all $h \times \delta h$ rectangles in the plane with $h > 0$ and $0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}$. The orientation of the rectangles is arbitrary. Form the maximal operator

$$GMf(x) = \sup_{0 < \delta < \frac{1}{2}} \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}_\delta} \frac{1}{|\log \delta| \cdot |R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy.$$

Note the logarithmic term in the average. It is shown that GM is a bounded maximal operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The case of a fixed δ is due to Córdoba.

1. INTRODUCTION

For δ in $(0, 1)$, let \mathcal{B}_δ denote the basis of all $h \times \delta h$ rectangles in \mathbb{R}^2 . For a locally integrable function f , define the maximal operator M_δ by

$$(1.1) \quad M_\delta f(x) = \sup_{x \in R \in \mathcal{B}_\delta} \frac{1}{|R|} \int_R |f(y)| dy.$$

Córdoba proved in [3] that M_δ satisfies the following sharp $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ estimate:

$$(1.2) \quad \|M_\delta\| \leq C |\log \delta|.$$

Define the following *grand* maximal operator:

$$(1.3) \quad GMf(x) = \sup_{0 < \delta < 1/2} \frac{1}{|\log \delta|} M_\delta f(x).$$

We prove

Theorem 1. *GM is bounded on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.*

Observe that the factor $\frac{1}{|\log \delta|}$ in (1.3) is sharp. For if it is replaced by A_δ satisfying $\limsup_{\delta \rightarrow 0^+} A_\delta |\log \delta| = \infty$, then GM is unbounded.

We use the following convention: If A and B are positive operators, $A \lesssim B$ means that there is an absolute constant C such that $Af(x) \leq CBf(x)$ for all nonnegative f and a.e. x . Also norms of vectors $\|f\|, \|Tf\|$ are Lebesgue spaces L^2 norms while norms $\|S\|$ of operators are the uniform operator norm.

The authors are grateful to Michael Lacey for providing them with a number of suggestions improving an earlier version of the manuscript.

Received by the editors March 18, 2009 and, in revised form, April 20, 2009, and June 15, 2009.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B25.

Key words and phrases. Maximal operators.

©2009 American Mathematical Society
 Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

2. REMARKS ABOUT THE TT^* TECHNIQUE

The TT^* method is a well-known technique for proving the boundedness of a positive linear operator on L^2 . It calls for one to prove the estimate

$$(2.1) \quad TT^* \lesssim T + T^*.$$

This, with the Hilbertian fact that $\|TT^*\| = \|T\|^2 = \|T^*\|^2$, shows that $\|T\| \lesssim 1$. This principle can be applied to maximal operators which are only sublinear as follows.

Let $\{T_m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ be a collection of positive linear operators, and form the maximal operator by setting

$$(2.2) \quad T_{\max}f(x) = \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} |T_m f(x)|.$$

The inequality

$$(2.3) \quad \|T_{\max} f\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^2}$$

is equivalent to the uniform boundedness of the family of linear maps $f \rightarrow T_{\mathfrak{M}(x)}f(x)$, where \mathfrak{M} is *any* measurable map from the underlying measure space to \mathcal{M} .

Suppose that one can show that

$$T_m T_n \lesssim T_m + T_n^*, \quad m, n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Take \mathfrak{M} to be a measurable map from the underlying measure space into \mathcal{M} . It follows that

$$T_{\mathfrak{M}} T_n^* \lesssim T_{\mathfrak{M}} + T_n^*, \quad n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Positivity is preserved under adjoints; hence

$$T_n T_{\mathfrak{M}}^* \lesssim T_{\mathfrak{M}}^* + T_n, \quad n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Then we can replace n above by \mathfrak{M} to deduce (2.3) for the linear operator $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then one has a bound on $\|T_{\mathfrak{M}}\|$ that is independent of the choice of measurable map \mathfrak{M} . Hence, we deduce the boundedness of the maximal function T_{\max} . Arguments of this type appeared first in [5]. Other variants can be found in [1], [2], and [4].

There is an elaboration of this method here. Let T_m be as in (2.2).

Lemma 2. *Suppose that $\{T_m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ are positive uniformly bounded operators and there is an auxiliary collection of positive operators $\{U_m : m \in \mathcal{M}\}$ for which we can show*

$$(2.4) \quad T_m T_n^* \lesssim T_{\mu(m)} + T_{\mu(n)}^* + T_{\mu(m)}U_n^* + U_m T_{\mu(n)}^*, \quad m, n \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Here, $\mu : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is an arbitrary fixed map. If, in addition, $U_{\max}f = \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} U_m f$ is a bounded maximal operator on L^2 , we can then conclude the same for T_{\max} .

Proof. Fix an integer l , and define

$$\Lambda = \sup_{\substack{\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M} \\ \#\mathcal{M}' = l}} \sup_{\|f\|=1} \left\| \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}'} T_m(|f|) \right\|.$$

By assumption, $\Lambda \lesssim l$, and we seek a bound on Λ that is independent of l . By a limiting argument, this will finish the proof of the lemma.

Fix $\mathcal{M}' \subset \mathcal{M}$ with $\#\mathcal{M}' = l$ and $\varphi \geq 0$ with $\|\varphi\| = 1$ so that

$$\Lambda < 2 \left\| \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}'} T_m(\varphi) \right\|.$$

Further select a measurable map \mathfrak{M} from the underlying measure space to \mathcal{M}' such that

$$\Lambda < 4 \| T_{\mathfrak{M}}(\varphi) \|.$$

We provide an estimate for the norm of the linear operator $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$.

Note that uniformity in (2.4) implies that

$$T_{\mathfrak{M}} T_n^* \lesssim T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}} + T_{\mu(n)}^* + T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}} U_n^* + U_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{\mu(n)}^*,$$

where $U_{\mathfrak{M}}$ is the linear operator defined analogously to $T_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Positivity is preserved under adjoints—and we can take adjoints as all operators are linear—so we see that

$$T_n T_{\mathfrak{M}}^* \lesssim T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}}^* + T_{\mu(n)} + U_n T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}}^* + T_{\mu(n)} U_{\mathfrak{M}}^*.$$

This is a uniform inequality; hence

$$T_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{\mathfrak{M}}^* \lesssim T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}}^* + T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}} + U_{\mathfrak{M}} T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}}^* + T_{\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}} U_{\mathfrak{M}}^*.$$

The operator norm of the term on the left is, by construction, comparable to Λ^2 . Note that $\mu \circ \mathfrak{M}$ is a map from the underlying measure space to $\mu(\mathcal{M}')$, which is a subset of \mathcal{M} of cardinality l . Thus, each term on the right, by selection of an extremal \mathcal{M}' , has operator norm dominated by a constant times $\Lambda(1 + \|U_{\max}\|)$. So we have a bound on Λ that is independent of l , and the proof is finished. \square

3. PRINCIPAL LINE OF ARGUMENT

Let $\mathcal{M} = (0, \infty) \times [0, \frac{1}{10}] \times (\delta_0, \frac{1}{2})$. For $m = (h, \theta, \delta) \in \mathcal{M}$, let R_m be the $h \times \delta h$ rectangle centered at the origin, with longest side having an angle θ with the x -axis. Define

$$\begin{aligned} T_m f(x) &= \frac{1}{|\log \delta| |R_m|} \int_{R_m} f(x-y) dy, \\ GMf(x) &= \sup_{m \in \mathcal{M}} |T_m f(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

We prove

$$(3.1) \quad T_m T_n \lesssim T_{m'} + T_{n'} + T_{m'} W_n + W_m T_{n'},$$

where for $m = (h, \theta, \delta) \in \mathcal{M}$ we set $m' = (2h, \theta, \delta)$. We now define the operators W_m :

$$\begin{aligned} W_m f(x) &= \frac{1}{2|\log \delta|} \sum_{j=0}^{2|\log \delta|} H_{m,j} f(x), \\ H_{m,j} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\delta h 2^{j+1}} \int_{-\delta h 2^j}^{\delta h 2^j} f(x + t \vec{e}_2) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\vec{e}_2 = (0, 1)$ is the second coordinate direction in the plane. By construction, the rectangles we deal with essentially point in the first coordinate direction in the plane. If M^2 denotes the one-dimensional maximal operator computed in the second coordinate, then we have $W_m f \lesssim M^2 f$. In view of (2.4), this will prove the main theorem.

Turning to the proof of (3.1), we set $m = (h, \theta, \delta)$ and $n = (h', \theta', \delta')$. Let us assume that $h > h'$, which assumption we return to below.

Lemma 3. *For $f \geq 0$ we have the pointwise inequality*

$$T_m T_n f(x) \lesssim \frac{1}{|\log \delta| \cdot |\log \delta'| \cdot |R|} \int_R f(x-y) dy,$$

where R is the rectangle centered at the origin, of dimensions $2h \times 2w$, where

$$w = \max\{\delta h, \delta' h', h' \sin |\theta - \theta'|\},$$

and the longest side of R is $2h$, which forms an angle θ with the x -axis.

Proof. Since T_m has kernel

$$K_m(x, y) = \frac{1}{|\log \delta| \cdot |R_m|} \mathbf{1}_{R_m}(x-y),$$

the kernel of $T_m T_n$ is

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m,n}(x, y) &= \frac{1}{|\log \delta| \cdot |\log \delta'| \cdot |R_m| \cdot |R_n|} \int \mathbf{1}_{R_m}(x-s) \mathbf{1}_{R_n}(s-y) ds \\ &= \frac{|(R_m+x) \cap (R_n+y)|}{|\log \delta| \cdot |\log \delta'| \cdot |R_m| \cdot |R_n|}. \end{aligned}$$

First note that this implies that

$$\text{supp } K_{m,n} \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : x-y \in R_m + R_n\} \subset \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2 : x-y \in R\}$$

where R is the rectangle described in the statement of the lemma. Second, if $(x, y) \in \text{supp } K_{m,n}$, the above implies

$$\begin{aligned} K_{m,n}(x, y) &\lesssim \frac{|R_m \cap R_n|}{|\log \delta| \cdot |\log \delta'| \cdot |R_m| \cdot |R_n|} \\ &\lesssim \frac{1}{|\log \delta| \cdot |\log \delta'| \cdot |R|}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows from these two observations. \square

The argument for (3.1) depends upon the value of w . In the case that $w = \delta h$, it follows that R is a $2h \times 2\delta h$ rectangle; hence

$$T_m T_n \lesssim |\log \delta'|^{-1} T_{m'} \lesssim T_{m'}.$$

In the case $w = \max\{\delta' h', h' \sin |\theta - \theta'|\}$, there is a choice of integer $j = 1, \dots, 2\lceil \log \delta' \rceil$ such that $2^{j-1} \delta' h' \leq w < 2^j \delta' h'$, whence,

$$\begin{aligned} T_m T_n &\lesssim |\log \delta'|^{-1} T_m H_{n,j} \\ &\lesssim |\log \delta'|^{-1} T_{m'} \sum_{j=1}^{2\lceil \log \delta' \rceil} H_{n,j} \\ &\lesssim T_{m'} W_n. \end{aligned}$$

We have passed to the larger sum in the middle step in order to get an estimate that depends solely on n . To recap, in the case that $h > h'$, we have shown

$$(3.2) \quad T_m T_n \lesssim T_{m'} + T_{m'} W_n,$$

which proves (3.1) in this case.

Finally, if $h' > h$, the operators T_m and T_n commute, so that the argument just given supplies us with the estimate

$$T_m T_n \lesssim T_{n'} + T_{n'} W_m.$$

Summing this inequality and (3.2) proves (3.1) as stated.

REFERENCES

1. J. Barrionuevo, *Estimates for some Keakeya-type maximal operators*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **335** (1993), 667–682. MR1150012 (93f:42038)
2. ———, *A note on the Keakeya maximal operator*, Math. Res. Lett. **3**, no. 1 (1996), 61–65. MR1393383 (98k:42023)
3. A. Córdoba, *The Keakeya maximal function and the spherical summation multipliers*, Amer. J. Math. **99** (1977), 1–22. MR0447949 (56:6259)
4. A. Nevo, *Harmonic analysis and pointwise ergodic theorems for noncommuting transformations*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1994), 875–902. MR1266737 (95h:22006)
5. E. M. Stein, *On the maximal ergodic theorem*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **47** (1961), 1894–1897. MR0131517 (24:A1367)

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RIO GRANDE DO SUL, AV. BENTO GONÇALVES 9500, 91509-900 PORTO ALEGRE, RS, BRASIL

E-mail address: josea@mat.ufrgs.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL RIO GRANDE DO SUL, AV. BENTO GONÇALVES 9500, 91509-900 PORTO ALEGRE, RS, BRASIL

E-mail address: lucas_gnomo@hotmail.com