

## GLOBAL $W^{2,p}$ ESTIMATES FOR THE MONGE-AMPÈRE EQUATION

O. SAVIN

(Communicated by James E. Colliander)

ABSTRACT. We use a localization property of boundary sections for solutions to the Monge-Ampère equation and obtain global  $W^{2,p}$  estimates under natural assumptions on the domain and boundary data.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for strictly convex solutions for the Monge-Ampère equation were obtained by Caffarelli in [C] under the necessary assumption of small oscillation of the right hand side. The theorem can be stated as follows.

**Theorem 1.1** (Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates). *Let  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,*

$$(1.1) \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

*be a continuous convex solution to the Monge-Ampère equation*

$$(1.2) \quad \det D^2 u = f(x) \quad \text{in } \Omega, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq f \leq \Lambda,$$

*for some positive constants  $\lambda, \Lambda$ .*

*For any  $p, 1 < p < \infty$ , there exists  $\varepsilon(p) > 0$  depending on  $p$  and  $n$  such that if*

$$B_\rho \subset \Omega \subset B_{1/\rho}$$

*and*

$$(1.3) \quad \sup_{|x-y| \leq \rho} |\log f(x) - \log f(y)| \leq \varepsilon(p),$$

*for some small  $\rho > 0$ , then*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\{u < -\rho\})} \leq C$$

*and  $C$  depends on  $\rho, \lambda, \Lambda, p$  and  $n$ .*

In this short paper we answer the natural question of whether this interior estimate can be extended up to the boundary. Precisely, we obtain the following global  $W^{2,p}$  estimate under natural assumptions on the domain and boundary data.

**Theorem 1.2** (Global  $W^{2,p}$  estimates). *Let  $\Omega$  be a convex bounded domain and  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a Lipschitz continuous convex solution of the Monge-Ampère equation (1.2). Assume that*

$$u|_{\partial\Omega}, \quad \partial\Omega \in C^{1,1},$$

---

Received by the editors March 4, 2011 and, in revised form, January 5, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35J96.

The author was partially supported by NSF grant 0701037.

©2013 American Mathematical Society  
Reverts to public domain 28 years from publication

and there exists  $\rho > 0$  small such that  $f$  satisfies (1.3). If  $u$  separates quadratically on  $\partial\Omega$  from its tangent planes, i.e.

$$(1.4) \quad u(y) - u(x) - \nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x) \geq \rho|x - y|^2 \quad \forall x, y \in \partial\Omega,$$

then

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

with  $C$  depending on  $\|\partial\Omega\|_{C^{1,1}}, \|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{C^{1,1}}, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}}, \rho, \lambda, \Lambda, p$  and  $n$ .

*Remark 1.3.* The gradient  $\nabla u(x)$  when  $x \in \partial\Omega$  is understood in the sense that

$$y_{n+1} = u(x) + \nabla u(x) \cdot (y - x)$$

is a supporting hyperplane for the graph of  $u(y)$  but

$$y_{n+1} = u(x) + (\nabla u(x) - \delta\nu_x) \cdot (y - x)$$

is not a supporting hyperplane for any  $\delta > 0$ , where  $\nu_x$  denotes the exterior normal to  $\partial\Omega$  at  $x$ .

In general, the Lipschitz continuity of the solution can be easily obtained from the boundary data by the use of barriers. Also, the quadratic separation assumption (1.4) can be checked in several situations directly from the boundary data; see Proposition 3.2 in [S2]. This is the case for example when the boundary data is more regular, i.e.

$$(1.5) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial\Omega \in C^3, \quad \text{and } \Omega \text{ is uniformly convex.}$$

As a consequence of Theorem 1.2 we obtain

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a continuous solution of the Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) that satisfies (1.3) and (1.5). Then*

$$\|u\|_{W^{2,p}(\Omega)} \leq C,$$

with  $C$  depending on  $u|_{\partial\Omega}, \partial\Omega, \rho, \lambda, \Lambda, p$  and  $n$ .

In particular, if  $u$  solves the Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) with  $f \in C(\overline{\Omega})$  and if (1.5) holds, then  $u \in W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  for any  $p < \infty$ .

The assumptions on the boundary behavior of  $u$  and  $\partial\Omega$  in Theorem 1.2 and Corollary 1.4 seem to be optimal. Wang in [W] gave examples of solutions  $u$  to (1.2) with  $f = 1$  and either  $u \in C^{2,1}$  or  $\partial\Omega \in C^{2,1}$  that do not belong to  $W^{2,p}(\Omega)$  for large values of  $p$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on a localization theorem for the Monge-Ampère equation at boundary points which was proved in [S1], [S2]. It states that under natural local assumptions on the domain and boundary data, the sections

$$S_h(x_0) = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \mid u(x) < u(x_0) + \nabla u(x_0) \cdot (x - x_0) + h\},$$

with  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ , are “equivalent” to ellipsoids centered at  $x_0$ . We give a precise statement of the theorem below.

Assume for simplicity that

$$(1.6) \quad B_\rho(\rho e_n) \subset \Omega \subset \{x_n \geq 0\} \cap B_{\frac{1}{\rho}},$$

for some small  $\rho > 0$ ; that is,  $\Omega \subset (\mathbb{R}^n)^+$  and  $\Omega$  contains an interior ball tangent to  $\partial\Omega$  at 0. Let  $u : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be continuous, convex, satisfying

$$(1.7) \quad \det D^2u = f, \quad 0 < \lambda \leq f \leq \Lambda \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

After subtracting a linear function we also assume that

$$(1.8) \quad x_{n+1} = 0 \text{ is the tangent plane to } u \text{ at } 0,$$

in the sense that

$$u \geq 0, \quad u(0) = 0,$$

and any hyperplane  $x_{n+1} = \delta x_n$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , is not a supporting plane for  $u$ .

Theorem 1.5 shows that if the boundary data has quadratic growth near  $\{x_n = 0\}$ , then each section of  $u$  at 0,

$$S_h := S_h(0) = \{x \in \overline{\Omega} : u(x) < h\},$$

is equivalent to a half-ellipsoid centered at 0.

**Theorem 1.5** (Localization theorem). *Assume that  $\Omega$ ,  $u$  satisfy (1.6)-(1.8) above and that*

$$\rho|x|^2 \leq u(x) \leq \rho^{-1}|x|^2 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \cap \{x_n \leq \rho\}.$$

*Then, for each  $h < c_0$  there exists an ellipsoid  $E_h$  of volume  $\omega_n h^{n/2}$  such that*

$$c_0 E_h \cap \overline{\Omega} \subset S_h \subset c_0^{-1} E_h \cap \overline{\Omega}.$$

*Moreover, the ellipsoid  $E_h$  is obtained from the ball of radius  $h^{1/2}$  by a linear transformation  $A_h^{-1}$  (sliding along the  $x_n = 0$  plane),*

$$A_h E_h = h^{1/2} B_1,$$

$$A_h(x) = x - \nu x_n, \quad \nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_{n-1}, 0),$$

*with*

$$|\nu| \leq c_0^{-1} |\log h|.$$

*The constant  $c_0 > 0$  above depends on  $\rho, \lambda, \Lambda$ , and  $n$ .*

We describe the idea of the proof of Theorem 1.2 below.

If the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 hold say for simplicity with  $f = 1$ , then, by rescaling, one can deduce from the localization theorem above that the second derivatives can blow up near  $\partial\Omega$  in a logarithmic fashion, i.e.

$$\|D^2 u(y)\| \leq C |\log \text{dist}(y, \partial\Omega)|^2;$$

thus  $D^2 u \in L^p(\Omega)$  for any  $p < \infty$ . For general  $f$  we need to use the interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates instead of the estimate above together with a Vitali covering lemma for sections of  $u$  near  $\partial\Omega$ .

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We start by remarking that under the assumptions of Theorem 1.5, we obtain that the section  $\{u < h^{1/2} x_n\}$  also has the shape of  $E_h$ .

Indeed, since

$$S_h \subset c_0^{-1} E_h \subset \{x_n \leq c_0^{-1} h^{1/2}\}$$

and  $u(0) = 0$ , we can conclude from the convexity of  $u$  that the set

$$F := \{x \in \overline{\Omega} \mid u < c_0 h^{1/2} x_n\}$$

satisfies, for all small  $h$ ,

$$(2.1) \quad F \subset S_h \cap \Omega,$$

and that  $F$  is tangent to  $\partial\Omega$  at 0. We show that  $F$  is equivalent to  $E_h$  by bounding its volume from below.

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have*

$$|F| \geq c|E_h|$$

for some  $c > 0$  small depending on  $\rho, \lambda, \Lambda, n$ .

*Proof.* From Theorem 1.5, there exists  $y \in \partial S_{\theta h}$  such that  $y_n \geq c_0(\theta h)^{1/2}$ . We evaluate

$$v := u - c_0 h^{1/2} x_n$$

at  $y$  and find

$$v(y) \leq \theta h - c_0 h^{1/2} c_0 (\theta h)^{1/2} \leq -\delta h$$

for some  $\delta > 0$  provided that we choose  $\theta$  small depending on  $c_0$ . Since  $v = 0$  on  $\partial F$  and

$$\det D^2 v \geq \lambda,$$

we have

$$|\inf_F v| \leq C(\lambda) |F|^{2/n};$$

hence

$$c h^{n/2} \leq |F|.$$

□

Next we prove Theorem 1.2. We denote by  $c, C$  positive constants that depend on  $\rho, \lambda, \Lambda, p, n$  and  $\|\partial\Omega\|_{C^{1,1}}, \|u|_{\partial\Omega}\|_{C^{1,1}}, \|u\|_{C^{0,1}}$ . For simplicity of notation, their values may change from line to line whenever there is no possibility of confusion.

Given  $y \in \Omega$  we denote

$$S_h(y) := \{x \in \bar{\Omega} \mid u(x) < u(y) + \nabla u(y) \cdot (x - y) + h\}$$

and let  $\bar{h}(y)$  be the maximal value of  $h$  such that  $S_h(y) \subset \Omega$ , i.e.

$$\bar{h}(y) := \max\{h \geq 0 \mid S_h(y) \subset \Omega\}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $y \in \Omega$  and denote for simplicity  $\bar{h} = \bar{h}(y)$ . If  $\bar{h} \leq c_1$ , then*

$$S_{\bar{h}}(y) \subset D_{C\bar{h}^{1/2}} := \{x \in \bar{\Omega} \mid \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega) \leq C\bar{h}^{1/2}\}$$

and

$$\int_{S_{\bar{h}/2}(y)} \|D^2 u\|^p dx \leq C |\log \bar{h}|^{2p} \bar{h}^{n/2}.$$

*Proof.* Without loss of generality assume

$$0 \in \partial S_{\bar{h}}(y) \cap \partial\Omega.$$

After subtracting a linear function and relabeling  $\rho$  if necessary, we may also assume that  $u$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 1.5 at the origin. Since  $u$  separates quadratically from 0 on  $\partial\Omega$ , we deduce that  $\nabla u(y) = \alpha e_n$ ; thus

$$S_{\bar{h}}(y) = \{x \in \bar{\Omega} \mid u < \alpha x_n\}, \quad \alpha > 0,$$

and  $\bar{h} > 0$ . Since (see [C])

$$c\bar{h}^{n/2} \leq |S_{\bar{h}}(y)| \leq C\bar{h}^{n/2},$$

we obtain from (2.1) and Lemma 2.1 that

$$c\bar{h}^{1/2} \leq \alpha \leq C\bar{h}^{1/2}$$

and that  $S_{\bar{h}}(y)$  is equivalent to an ellipsoid  $\tilde{E} := E_{C\bar{h}}$ . This implies that

$$y + c\tilde{E} \subset S_{\bar{h}}(y) \subset C\tilde{E},$$

with

$$\tilde{E} = \bar{h}^{1/2} A^{-1} B_1, \quad Ax := x - \nu x_n,$$

and

$$\nu_n = 0, |\nu| \leq C |\log \bar{h}|.$$

The inclusion above implies

$$S_{\bar{h}}(y) \subset \{x_n \leq C\bar{h}^{1/2}\} \subset D_{C\bar{h}^{1/2}}.$$

The rescaling  $\tilde{u} : \tilde{\Omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of  $u$ ,

$$\tilde{u}(x) := \frac{1}{\bar{h}} u(y + \bar{h}^{1/2} A^{-1} x),$$

satisfies

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \bar{h}^{-1/2} A(\Omega - y), \quad \tilde{S}_1(0) = \bar{h}^{-1/2} A(S_{\bar{h}}(y) - y) \subset \tilde{\Omega},$$

and

$$B_c \subset \tilde{S}_1(0) \subset B_C,$$

where  $\tilde{S}_1(0)$  represents the section of  $\tilde{u}$  at the origin at height 1. Moreover,

$$\det D^2 \tilde{u}(x) = \tilde{f}(x) := f(y + \bar{h}^{1/2} A^{-1} x),$$

and (1.3) implies

$$|\log \tilde{f}(x) - \log \tilde{f}(z)| \leq \varepsilon(p) \quad \forall x, z \in \tilde{S}_1(0),$$

since

$$|\bar{h}^{1/2} A^{-1}(x - z)| \leq C \bar{h}^{1/2} |\log \bar{h}| \leq \rho.$$

The interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimate for  $\tilde{u}$  in  $\tilde{S}_0(1)$  gives

$$\int_{\tilde{S}_{1/2}(0)} \|D^2 \tilde{u}\|^p dx \leq C;$$

hence

$$\int_{S_{\bar{h}/2}(y)} \|D^2 u\|^p dx = \int_{\tilde{S}_{1/2}(0)} \|A^T D^2 \tilde{u} A\|^p \bar{h}^{n/2} dx \leq C |\log \bar{h}|^{2p} \bar{h}^{n/2}.$$

□

We also need the following covering lemma.

**Lemma 2.3** (Vitali covering). *There exists a sequence of disjoint sections*

$$S_{\delta \bar{h}_i}(y_i), \quad \bar{h}_i = \bar{h}(y_i),$$

such that

$$\Omega \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i),$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is a small constant that depends only on  $\lambda, \Lambda$  and  $n$ .

*Proof.* We choose  $\delta$  such that if

$$S_{\delta \bar{h}(y)}(y) \cap S_{\delta \bar{h}(z)}(z) \neq \emptyset \quad \text{and} \quad 2\bar{h}(y) \geq \bar{h}(z),$$

then

$$S_{\delta \bar{h}(z)}(z) \subset S_{\bar{h}(y)/2}(y).$$

The existence of  $\delta$  follows from the engulfing properties of sections of solutions to Monge-Ampère equation (1.2) with bounded right hand side (see [CG]).

Now the proof is identical to the proof of Vitali's covering lemma for balls. We choose  $S_{\delta\bar{h}_1}(y_1)$  from all the sections  $S_{\delta\bar{h}(y)}(y)$ ,  $y \in \Omega$ , such that

$$\bar{h}(y_1) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sup_y \bar{h}(y),$$

then choose  $S_{\delta\bar{h}_2}(y_2)$  as above but only from the remaining sections  $S_{\delta\bar{h}(y)}(y)$  that are disjoint from  $S_{\delta\bar{h}_1}(y_1)$ , then  $S_{\delta\bar{h}_3}(y_3)$ , etc. We easily obtain

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{y \in \Omega} S_{\delta\bar{h}(y)}(y) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i).$$

□

*End of the proof of Theorem 1.2.*

$$\int_{\Omega} \|D^2u\|^p dx \leq \sum_i \int_{S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i)} \|D^2u\|^p dx.$$

There are a finite number of sections with  $\bar{h}_i \geq c_1$ , and, by the interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimate, in each such section we have

$$\int_{S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i)} \|D^2u\|^p dx \leq C.$$

Next we consider the family  $\mathcal{F}_d$  of sections  $S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i)$  such that

$$d/2 < \bar{h}_i \leq d$$

for some constant  $d \leq c_1$ . By Lemma 2.2 in each such section,

$$\int_{S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i)} \|D^2u\|^p dx \leq C |\log d|^{2p} |S_{\delta\bar{h}_i}(y_i)|,$$

and since

$$S_{\delta\bar{h}_i}(y_i) \subset D_{Cd^{1/2}}$$

are disjoint, we find

$$\sum_{i \in \mathcal{F}_d} \int_{S_{\bar{h}_i/2}(y_i)} \|D^2u\|^p dx \leq C |\log d|^{2p} d^{1/2}.$$

We add these inequalities for the sequence  $d = c_1 2^{-k}$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots$ , and obtain the desired bound.

## REFERENCES

- [C] Caffarelli L., Interior  $W^{2,p}$  estimates for solutions of the Monge-Ampère equation, *Ann. of Math.* **131** (1990), 135-150. MR1038360 (91f:35059)
- [CG] Caffarelli L., Gutierrez C., Properties of solutions of the linearized Monge-Ampère equations, *Amer. J. Math.* **119** (1997), 423-465. MR1439555 (98e:35060)
- [S1] Savin O., A localization property at the boundary for the Monge-Ampère equation, Preprint arxiv:1010.1745.
- [S2] Savin O., Pointwise  $C^{2,\alpha}$  estimates at the boundary for the Monge-Ampère equation, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **26** (2013), no. 1, 63-99. MR2983006
- [W] Wang X.-J., Regularity for Monge-Ampère equation near the boundary, *Analysis* **16** (1996), 101-107. MR1384356 (96m:35114)