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#### Abstract

We obtain isoperimetric inequalities under bounded integral norms of Ricci curvature and mean curvature. Also we generalize the results to metric-measure spaces.


## 1. Introduction

The classical isoperimetric inequality says that for a given bounded domain $D$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
n^{n} \omega_{n} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{n-1} \leq A(\partial D)^{n}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ is the volume of a unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and $A$ is $(n-1)$-dimensional volume.
For an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$, the $\nu$-isoperimetric constant $I_{\nu}\left(M^{n}\right)$ is defined as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\nu}\left(M^{n}\right)=\inf _{D \subset M^{n}} \frac{A(\partial D)}{\operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{\nu}}} . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $I_{\nu}\left(M^{n}\right)>0$, then an isoperimetric inequality is induced. In the case of $\nu=\infty$, $I_{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)$ is Cheeger's constant. If $I_{\infty}\left(M^{n}\right)>0$, we obtain a linear isoperimetric inequality.

In many cases, the isoperimetric inequalities for Riemannian manifolds are locally obtained Ch2. For example, Croke's inequality says that if $r<\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{inj}_{M^{n}}$, then

$$
A(\partial D) \geq c(n) \operatorname{vol}(D)^{\frac{n-1}{n}}
$$

for $D \subset B(p, r)$ and a constant $c(n)$, where $\operatorname{inj}_{M^{n}}$ is the injectivity radius of $M^{n}$ and $B(p, r)$ is the $r$-ball centered at $p$. Buser's inequality is a linear isoperimetric inequality as follows: If $\operatorname{Ric}_{M^{n}} \geq-(n-1) k$ for $k \geq 0$, then there exists a constant $c(n, k, r)>0$ such that

$$
\min \left\{\operatorname{vol}\left(D_{1}\right), \operatorname{vol}\left(D_{2}\right)\right\} \leq c(n, k, r) A(\Gamma)
$$

for a dividing hypersurface $\Gamma$ and open subsets $D_{1}, D_{2} \subset B(p, r)$ satisfying that $B(p, r) \backslash \Gamma=D_{1} \cup D_{2}$.

[^0]Recently, there have been many attempts in Riemannian geometry to replace curvature bounds with integral norms of curvatures. In Ga, Gallot obtained an isoperimetric inequality with an integral norm of Ricci curvature for a closed manifold. He obtained a lower bound of $A(\partial \Omega)$ with $\min \left\{\operatorname{vol}(\Omega), \operatorname{vol}\left(M^{n} \backslash \Omega\right)\right\}^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ for $p>n$ under a condition on the integral norm of Ricci curvature. In PW, Petersen and Wei generalized the Bishop-Gromov volume comparison estimate with an integral norm of Ricci curvature. In Pa1, local linear isoperimetric inequalities were obtained with an integral norm of Ricci curvature by using a technique in PW ]. In DWZ, the authors obtained a local isoperimetric inequality under a sufficiently small integral norm of Ricci curvature.

In this paper, we will consider (nonlocal) isoperimetric inequalities for manifolds with boundary. It should be noted that for manifolds with boundary, the mean curvature of $\partial M^{n}$ plays an essential role in isoperimetric inequality. For example, let $M_{\epsilon}=S^{2}-B(p, \epsilon)$ and $D=S^{2}-B(p, \epsilon+\delta)$. Then the mean curvature of $\partial M_{\epsilon}$ diverges and $\frac{A(\partial D)}{\operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{\nu}}} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon, \delta \rightarrow 0$. Hence $I_{\nu}\left(M_{\epsilon}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

There have been some studies on isoperimetric inequality for manifolds with boundary. In 1959, Reid generalized the classical isoperimetric inequality to region $D$ in a surface in $\mathbb{R}^{3}\left[\mathbb{R}\right.$. Precisely, suppose $D \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ is a $C^{2}$ image of a region in the plane bounded by a simple closed curve. Let $H$ be the mean curvature vector on $D$ and $X$ be the position vector to $D$. If the origin is an arbitrary point on $\partial D$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Length}(\partial D)^{2} \geq 4 \pi\left(\operatorname{Area}(D)+\int_{D} X \cdot H\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In 1961, Hsiung Hs proved that the inequality proved by Reid still holds when $M^{n}$ is a 2-dimensional manifold imbedded in Euclidean space provided $\partial M^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to a circle. In 1972, Hanes [Ha generalized the inequalities of Reid and Hsiung to an $n$-dimensional manifold with boundary embedded in Euclidean $(n+p)$-space, where the boundary is not necessarily diffeomorphic to the sphere.

However, in an inequality such as (1.2), the mean curvature of $\partial D$ is needed to obtain an isoperimetric inequality for $\operatorname{vol}(D)$ and $A(\partial D)$. If $I_{\nu}\left(M^{n}\right)>0$, then an isoperimetric inequality is obtained for any domain $D \subset M^{n}$ without conditions on the mean curvature of $\partial D$. We will obtain isoperimetric inequalities for a domain $D$ in an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold $M^{n}$ with boundary under bounded integral norms of Ricci curvature of $M^{n}$ and mean curvature of $\partial M^{n}$. We will not use the mean curvature of $\partial D$.

We will use the following notation. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary and $D$ be a domain in $M^{n}$. Let $h$ be the mean curvature of $\partial M^{n}$ with respect to the inward normal vector field. Let $\rho(q)=$ $\max \left\{\left(-\operatorname{Ric}_{N}(v, v)\right)_{+}| | v \mid=1, v \in T_{q} M^{n}\right\}$ and $h_{+}=\max \{0, h\}$.

We define integral norms $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{p}=\int_{M^{n}} \rho^{p} d V \\
& \mathcal{H}_{p}=\int_{\partial M^{n}} h_{+}^{p} d \mathrm{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $d V$ is the volume form of $M^{n}$ and $d \mathrm{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}$ is the volume form of $\partial M^{n}$ induced from the volume form of $M^{n}$.

We will prove the following two isoperimetric inequalities with $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{q}$ for $M^{n}$. Our isoperimetric inequalities are not local, so we do not assume any conditions on the shape of $M^{n}$ such as $M^{n}=B(p, r)$. In the first theorem, $p=q=n-1$. It is possible that $\partial D \cap \partial M^{n} \neq \emptyset$. (For example, $D=M^{n}$.) Let $\operatorname{diam}\left(M^{n}\right)$ be the diameter of $M^{n}$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $M^{n}$ be an n-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. If $\operatorname{diam}\left(M^{n}\right)=R$, then for a domain $D \subset M^{n}$ with smooth boundary, we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq e^{\beta_{1} R}+A(\partial D) \frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}}
$$

where $\beta_{1}=\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$.
If $M^{n}$ is mean convex everywhere, then we have

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq e^{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{2}}+A(\partial D)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{n-1}} R^{2}}-1}{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R}\right)
$$

For $p>\frac{n}{2}$, we obtain the following inequality with $\mathcal{R}_{p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}$ :
Theorem 1.2. Let $M^{n}$ be an $n$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with smooth boundary. If $\operatorname{diam}\left(M^{n}\right)=R$, then for a domain $D \subset M^{n}$ with smooth boundary, we have

$$
A(\partial D) \geq \frac{1}{R} \operatorname{vol}(D)-\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}
$$

for $2 p>n$ and $C_{0}(n, p)=\left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{2 p-1}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$.
If $M^{n}$ is mean convex everywhere, then we have

$$
A(\partial D) \geq \frac{1}{R} \operatorname{vol}(D)-\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}
$$

If we want a linear isoperimetric inequality from Theorem 1.2, we can modify Theorem 1.2 as follows:

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq 2 R A(\partial D)+(2 R)^{2 p}\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)
$$

Although $\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}$ is used in Theorem 1.2 for $2 p-1>n-1$, if $\operatorname{Ric}_{M^{n}} \geq 0$ and $M^{n}$ is mean convex everywhere, then we obtain from Theorem 1.2 that

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq R A(\partial D)
$$

since $\mathcal{R}_{p}=0$ and $\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}=0$. On the other hand, we obtain from Theorem 1.1 that

$$
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq R A(\partial D)+1
$$

Hence Theorem 1.2 could give a sharper upper bound for the volume in the case of almost nonnegative Ricci curvature. In particular, if the boundary is a minimal surface, we have $\mathcal{H}_{q}=0$. It is known that event horizons of black holes are minimal surfaces which can be considered as the boundary of the universe. Then we can apply the above theorems to estimate the volume of our universe with the area of event horizons.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we estimate $\operatorname{vol}(D)$ by integrating the $(n-1)$ dimensional volume of $S_{t}=\left\{x \in D \mid d\left(x, \partial M^{n}\right)=t\right\}$. On the other hand, in the proof of Theorem 1.2, we integrate the volume form along a geodesic $\gamma_{q}$
from $q \in \partial M^{n}$ and then integrate it on $\partial M^{n}$ to estimate $\operatorname{vol}(D)$. Therefore, unlike Theorem 1.2, an isoperimetric inequality can be obtained for $S_{t}$ and $D_{t}=$ $\left\{x \in D \mid d\left(x, \partial M^{n}\right) \leq t\right\}$ in (2.15) in the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Current theories of physics postulate the presence of scalar fields in addition to the metric [GW]. Hence, many results in Riemannian geometry have been generalized to the metric-measure space ( $M^{n}, g, e^{-f} d V$ ) with the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor (for example, [L, WW). Bakry and Emery studied this tensor and its relationship to diffusion processes BE . Wei and Wylie obtained a volume comparison and a mean curvature comparison in WW. In Section 4, we will extend our results to metric-measure spaces by using integral norms of the Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

We will use the following notation. Let

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{t}=\left\{x \in M^{n} \mid d\left(x, \partial M^{n}\right) \geq t\right\} \\
\partial M_{t}=\left\{x \in M^{n} \mid d\left(x, \partial M^{n}\right)=t\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $\gamma_{q}$ be the normal geodesic such that $\gamma_{q}(0)=q$ and $\gamma_{q}^{\prime}(0)$ is perpendicular to $\partial M^{n}$ for $q \in \partial M^{n}$. Let

$$
t_{q}=\max \left\{t \mid d\left(\gamma_{q}(t), \partial M^{n}\right)=t\right\}
$$

Then we have

$$
M^{n}=\bigcup_{q \in \partial M^{n}}\left\{\gamma_{q}(t) \mid t \leq t_{q}\right\}
$$

Let $g$ be the metric of $M^{n}$. We denote by $g_{t}$ the induced metric of $\partial M_{t}$ from $g$. Let $d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$ be the volume form of $\partial M_{t}$ induced from $g_{t}$. Then the volume form $d \mathrm{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}$ of $\partial M^{n}$ is $d \mathrm{vol}_{0}$, and the volume form of $M^{n}$ satisfies $d V=d t \wedge d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$. By identifying $\gamma_{q}(t) \in \partial M_{t}$ with $q \in \partial M^{n}$ for $t \leq t_{q}$, we define $\omega(t, q)$ and $h(t, q)$ as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
d \mathrm{vol}_{t} & =\omega(t, \cdot) d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}} \\
\left(\left.\Leftrightarrow d \operatorname{vol}_{t}\right|_{\gamma_{t}(q)}\right. & \left.=\left.\omega(t, q) F^{*} d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}\right|_{q}\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F$ is the projection from $\gamma_{q}(t)$ to $q$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega(t, q)=h(t, q) \omega(t, q) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the mean curvature of $\partial M_{t}$. We abbreviate $\omega(t, q)$ and $h(t, q)$ to $\omega(t)$ and $h(t)$, respectively. Then $h$ satisfies the Riccati equation for $t \leq t_{q}$, so we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h^{\prime}+\frac{h^{2}}{n-1} \leq-\operatorname{Ric}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}=\gamma_{q}^{\prime}(t)$, which is the gradient of the distance function $d\left(\cdot, \partial M^{n}\right)$, so $\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right|=1$. Let

$$
\psi= \begin{cases}h_{+} & \text {if } t \leq t_{q}  \tag{2.4}\\ 0 & \text { if } t>t_{q}\end{cases}
$$

and recall that $\rho=\max \left\{(-\operatorname{Ric}(v, v))_{+}| | v \mid=1, v \in T_{q} M^{n}\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}+\frac{\psi^{2}}{n-1} \leq \rho \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to obtain the integral norm of mean curvature on $\partial M_{t}$, we use similar arguments as in Pa2. Since $\int_{\partial M_{t}} f d \mathrm{vol}_{t}=\int_{\partial M^{n}} f \omega d \mathrm{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}$, we have $\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\partial M_{t}} f d \mathrm{vol}_{t}=$ $\int_{\partial M_{t}}\left(f^{\prime}+f h\right) d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$. From (2.5) and $\psi \geq 0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t} & =\int_{\partial M_{t}}\left((n-1) \psi^{n-2} \psi^{\prime}+\psi^{n-1} h\right) d \mathrm{vol}_{t} \\
& \leq \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-2}\left((n-1) \psi^{\prime}+\psi^{2}\right) d \mathrm{vol}_{t}  \tag{2.6}\\
& \leq(n-1) \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-2} \rho(q) d \mathrm{vol}_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(t)=\int_{M^{n} \backslash M_{t}} \rho^{n-1} d V \\
& \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)=\int_{\partial M_{t}} h_{+}^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}=\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(0)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{n-1}=\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(R)$. Since we have

$$
\int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-2} \rho(q) d \mathrm{vol}_{t} \leq\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho(q)^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
$$

we obtain from (2.6) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\prime}(t) \leq(n-1) \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho(q)^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\prime}(t)}{(n-1) \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} \leq\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho(q)^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating the above for $t$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}-\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(0)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} & =\int_{0}^{t} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\prime}(u)}{(n-1) \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(u)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} d u} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\int_{\partial M_{u}} \rho(q)^{n-1} d \operatorname{vol}_{u}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} d u  \tag{2.9}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{M^{n} \backslash M_{t}} \rho(q)^{n-1} d V\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} t^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
& =\mathcal{R}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} t^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $t \leq R$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq \mathcal{R}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \frac{n-2}{n-1}_{n-\mathcal{H}_{n-1}(0)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} \\
& \leq \mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we will use the following divergence theorem HS. Let

$$
S_{t}=D \cap \partial M_{t}
$$

The divergence of $X$ on $S_{t}$ is defined as follows:

$$
\operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X)=\operatorname{tr} \nabla X=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}} X, e_{i}\right\rangle
$$

for an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{i}\right\}$ on $T S_{t}$, where $\nabla X: T S_{t} \rightarrow T M^{n}$ is the map $Y \mapsto$ $\nabla_{Y} X$ for a covariant differentiation $\nabla$ on $M^{n}$. Then for a vector field $X$ on $S_{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{t}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X) d \mathrm{vol}_{t}=-\int_{S_{t}}\langle X, H\rangle d \mathrm{vol}_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}, \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ is the mean curvature vector field of $S_{t}, U$ is the outward normal vector field on $\partial S_{t}$ which is tangent to $S_{t}$, and $d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}$ is the volume form of $\partial S_{t}$. (See Figure 1.) Let $X$ be a variational vector field of variation $S_{t}$. Then the projection of $X$ to the normal direction to $S_{t}$ is $\frac{\partial}{\partial t}$. We may assume that $X$ is normal to $\partial S_{t}$; i.e. we may consider $\left\langle X, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\langle X, U\rangle U=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\langle X, U\rangle U$ instead of $X$.


Figure 1. Variation vector field $X$ of $S_{t}$
Let $V(t)=A\left(S_{t}\right)=\int_{S_{t}} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$. Since

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A\left(S_{t}\right)=\int_{S_{t}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X) d \operatorname{vol}_{t}
$$

we obtain from (2.10) that

$$
\begin{align*}
V^{\prime}(t) & =\int_{S_{t}} h d \mathrm{vol}_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \theta_{\partial S_{t}} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{S_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{S_{t}} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} V(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}
\end{align*}
$$

by (2.11) and $H=-\operatorname{tr} \nabla \frac{\partial}{\partial t}=-h \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$.
If $\partial D$ is transversal to $\partial M_{t}$, then $|X|<\infty$ and $V^{\prime}(t)<\infty$. Hence if $\partial D$ is transversal to $\partial M_{t}$ for any $t \in(0, R)$, then $d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial D}=|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{t}} d t$ since $X \perp \partial S_{t}$, where $d \mathrm{vol}_{\partial D}$ is the volume form of $\partial D$. Then by integrating (2.12), we obtain (2.15). Even if $\partial D$ is not transversal to $\partial M_{t}$, we can obtain (2.15) as follows.

Let $B=\left\{t \in(0, R) \mid V^{\prime}(t)=\infty\right\}$ and $(0, R) \backslash B=\bigcup_{j} I_{j}$ for open intervals $I_{j}$. Integrating (2.12) over $t$, we have that

$$
\begin{align*}
V(t)-V(0) & \leq \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{H}_{n-1}(u)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} V(u)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} d u+\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{\partial S_{u}}|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{u}} d u  \tag{2.13}\\
& +\sum_{t \in B} V(t+)-V(t-)
\end{align*}
$$

where $V(t+)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} V(t+h)$ and $V(t-)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} V(t-h)$.
The second term $\sum_{j} \int_{I_{j}} \int_{\partial S_{u}}|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{u}} d u$ of the right hand side is the volume of $\partial D \backslash \bigcup_{t \in B \cup\{0\}} \partial M_{t}$ since $d$ vol $_{\partial D}=|X| d \theta_{\partial S_{t}} d t$. For the third term, let $S_{t+}=$ $\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} S_{t+h} \subset \partial M_{t}$ and $S_{t-}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0+} S_{t-h} \subset \partial M_{t}$ for $t \in B$. (See Figure 2.) Let $x \in \partial M_{t}$ be an interior point of $D$. If $y \in B(x, h) \cap M_{t}$, then $y \in \partial M_{t+h^{\prime}}$ for $0 \leq h^{\prime} \leq h$. (Recall that $M_{t}=\left\{x \in M^{n} \mid d\left(x, \partial M^{n}\right) \geq t\right\}$.) Also we let $z=\partial M_{t-h} \cap \gamma_{x}$ for the shortest geodesic $\gamma_{x}$ from $\partial M^{n}$ to $x$. Then $y, z \rightarrow x$ as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $y \in \partial M_{t+h^{\prime}} \cap D=S_{t+h^{\prime}}$ and $z \in \partial M_{t-h} \cap D=S_{t-h}$. So we have $x \in S_{t+} \cap S_{t-}$ for an interior point $x$ of $D$. Hence $\left(S_{t+} \backslash S_{t-}\right) \cup\left(S_{t-} \backslash S_{t+}\right) \subset \partial D \cap \partial M_{t}$. Since $|V(t+)-V(t-)|$ is the volume of $\left(S_{t+} \backslash S_{t-}\right) \cup\left(S_{t-} \backslash S_{t+}\right) \subset \partial D \cap \partial M_{t}$, $\sum_{t \in B}|V(t+)-V(t-)|$ is the volume of $\partial D \cap \bigcup_{t \in B} \partial M_{t}$.

Since $\bigcup_{u \in(0, R)} \partial S_{u} \subset \partial D \backslash \partial M^{n}$ and $\partial D \cap \bigcup_{t \in B} \partial M_{t} \subset \partial D \backslash \partial M^{n}$, the sum of the second and the third terms in the right hand side of (2.13) is smaller than or equal to $A\left(\partial D \backslash \partial M^{n}\right)$. So we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
V(t)-V(0) & \leq\left(\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right) R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V(u) d u\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \\
& +A\left(\partial D \backslash \partial M^{n}\right) \\
& \leq\left(\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right) R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V(u) d u\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}  \tag{2.14}\\
& +A(\partial D)-A\left(\partial D \cap \partial M^{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $A\left(\partial D \cap \partial M^{n}\right)=V(0)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(t) \leq\left(\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right) R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} V(u) d u\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+A(\partial D) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2. Singular point of $V^{\prime}$

If we let $Y=\int_{0}^{t} V$ and $\beta_{1}=\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R+\mathcal{H}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, then we obtain the following differential inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}-\beta_{1} Y^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \leq A(\partial D) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume that $Y(t) \geq 1$ for $t \geq t_{0}$. Then for $t \geq t_{0}$, we obtain the following linear differential inequality:

$$
Y^{\prime}-\beta_{1} Y \leq A(\partial D)
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(R) & \leq \frac{e^{\beta_{1}\left(R-t_{0}\right)}-1}{\beta_{1}} A(\partial D)+e^{\beta_{1}\left(R-t_{0}\right)} \\
& \leq \frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}} A(\partial D)+e^{\beta_{1} R} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Otherwise, $Y(t) \leq 1$ for any $t>0$. Since $\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq Y(R)$ and $\frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}} A(\partial D)+e^{\beta_{1} R} \geq$ 1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq e^{\beta_{1} R}+A(\partial D) \frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M^{n}$ is mean convex everywhere, then $\mathcal{H}_{n-1}=0$ and $\beta_{1}=\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R$. So

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vol}(D) \leq e^{\frac{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{n-1}}{n} R^{2}}+A(\partial D)\left(\frac{e^{\frac{1}{\frac{1}{n-1}} R_{n-1}^{2}}-1}{\mathcal{R}_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R}\right) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 2.1. We can consider a functional inequality from our isoperimetric inequality. For a smooth function $f: M^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, let $\Omega(t)=\{x| | f(x) \mid>t\}$. By the co-area formula and Cavalieri's principle [Ch2, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M^{n}}|\nabla f| d V & =\int_{0}^{|f|_{\infty}} A\left(|f|^{-1}(t)\right) d t \\
\int_{M^{n}}|f| d V & =\int_{0}^{|f|_{\infty}} \operatorname{vol}(\Omega(t)) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\partial \Omega(t) \subset|f|^{-1}(t) \cup \partial M^{n}$, we have $A(\partial \Omega(t)) \leq A\left(|f|^{-1}(t)\right)+A\left(\partial M^{n}\right)$. Then we obtain the following functional inequality from Theorem 1.1:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M^{n}}|f| d V \leq \frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}} \int_{M^{n}}|\nabla f| d V+|f|_{\infty}\left(\frac{e^{\beta_{1} R}-1}{\beta_{1}} A\left(\partial M^{n}\right)+e^{\beta_{1} R}\right) \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.2

We use the same notation as in (2.1), (2.2) and (2.4). We will follow a similar procedure as in Pa 1 . With an integral norm of Ricci curvature, we obtain the following comparisons. Since $\omega^{\prime}=h \omega$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d t} \omega \leq \psi \omega
$$

Integrating the above for $t$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega(r)-\omega\left(r_{1}\right) \leq \int_{r_{1}}^{r} \psi \omega d s \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r_{1} \leq r$. Then we have for $r_{2}>r_{1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \omega(s) d s \leq\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(\omega\left(r_{1}\right)+\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \psi \omega d s\right) . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\gamma_{q}(t) \mid t \leq t_{q}\right\} \cap D=\bigcup_{j_{q}} \gamma_{q}\left[\alpha_{j_{q}}, \beta_{j_{q}}\right]$. From (3.2), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \omega(s) d s & \leq\left(\beta_{j_{q}}-\alpha_{j_{q}}\right)\left(\omega\left(\alpha_{j_{q}}\right)+\int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \psi \omega d s\right) \\
& \leq R\left(\omega\left(\alpha_{j_{q}}\right)+\int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \psi \omega d s\right) . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.3), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vol}(D)= & \int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \omega(s) d s d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}  \tag{3.4}\\
& \leq R\left(\int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \omega\left(\alpha_{j_{q}}\right) d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}+\int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \psi \omega d s d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

On the right hand side,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \psi \omega d s d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}} & \leq\left(\int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \int_{\alpha_{j_{q}}}^{\beta_{j_{q}}} \psi^{2 p} \omega d s d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}  \tag{3.5}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{D} \psi^{2 p} d V\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vol}(D) & \leq R\left(\int_{\partial M^{n}} \sum_{j_{q}} \omega\left(\alpha_{j_{q}}\right) d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial M^{n}}+\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right)  \tag{3.6}\\
& \leq R\left(A(\partial D)+\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Now we estimate $\int \psi^{2 p}$ by using similar arguments as in PW , Pa 2 . In (2.5), multiplying $\psi^{2 p-2} \omega$ and integrating, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{R} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{2 p-2} \omega d t+\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\psi^{2 p}}{n-1} \omega \leq \int_{0}^{R} \rho \psi^{2 p-2} \omega d t \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integration by parts, we obtain that

$$
\int_{0}^{R} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{2 p-2} \omega d t \geq-\frac{\psi^{2 p-1}}{2 p-1} \omega(0)-\frac{1}{2 p-1} \int_{0}^{R} \psi^{2 p} \omega d t
$$

Inserting into (3.7), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{2 p-1}\right) \int_{0}^{R} \psi^{2 p} \omega d t \leq \int_{0}^{R} \rho \psi^{2 p-2} \omega d t+\frac{\psi^{2 p-1}}{2 p-1} \omega(0) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating on $\partial M^{n}$, then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{2 p-1}\right) \int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V & \leq \int_{M^{n}} \rho \psi^{2 p-2} d V+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{M^{n}} \rho^{p} d V\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we let $\Psi=\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V$, then

$$
\Psi \leq C_{0}(n, p)^{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right) .
$$

If $\Psi \geq \epsilon$, then $\Psi \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ and

$$
\Psi \leq C_{0}(n, p)^{2}\left(\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{R}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)
$$

If we let

$$
\epsilon=2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}
$$

then $C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{R}_{p}^{\frac{1}{p}}=\frac{1}{2}$. So we have $\Psi \leq 2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}$. Consequently, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d V & \leq \max \left\{\epsilon, 2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right\}  \tag{3.10}\\
& \leq 2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.6) and (3.10), we obtain that

$$
A(\partial D) \geq \frac{1}{R} \operatorname{vol}(D)-\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}
$$

In order to obtain a linear isoperimetric inequality, we apply the same technique as above. If $\operatorname{vol}(D) \geq \epsilon$, then $\operatorname{vol}(D) \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(\partial D) \geq \frac{1}{R} \operatorname{vol}(D)-\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2 p}} \operatorname{vol}(D) \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we let

$$
\epsilon=(2 R)^{2 p}\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right),
$$

then

$$
A(\partial D) \geq \frac{1}{2 R} \operatorname{vol}(D)
$$

Consequently, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{vol}(D) & \leq \max \{2 R A(\partial D), \epsilon\} \\
& \leq 2 R A(\partial D)+(2 R)^{2 p}\left(2^{p} C_{0}(n, p)^{2 p} \mathcal{R}_{p}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right) . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

## 4. IsOperimetric inequalities for metric-measure space

Let $\left(M^{n}, g, e^{-f} d V\right)$ be an $n$-dimensional metric-measure space with smooth boundary. The Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor is $\operatorname{Ric}_{f}=\operatorname{Ric}+\operatorname{Hess} f$, and the weighted mean curvature is $h_{f}=h-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$. Let $d \nu=e^{-f} d V$ and $d \mu_{t}=e^{-f} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$. In particular, we denote $d \mu_{0}$ by $d \mu$. We define integral norms $\mathcal{R}_{f, p}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{f, p}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{R}_{f, p}=\int_{M^{n}} \rho_{f}^{p} d \nu \\
& \mathcal{H}_{f, p}=\int_{M^{n}}\left(h_{f}\right)_{+}^{p} d \mu
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\rho_{f}=\max \left\{\left(-\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(v, v)\right)_{+}| | v \mid=1, v \in T_{q} N\right\}$.
We will prove the following theorems similarly as Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
Theorem 4.1. If $\operatorname{diam}\left(M^{n}\right)=R$ and $|\nabla f| \leq L_{1}$, then for a domain $D \subset M^{n}$ with smooth boundary,

$$
\nu(D) \leq e^{\beta_{2} R}+\mu(\partial D) \frac{e^{\beta_{2} R}-1}{\beta_{2}}
$$

where $\beta_{2}=e^{\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} R}\left(\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R\right)$.
Theorem 4.2. If $\operatorname{diam}\left(M^{n}\right)=R$ and $\left(\int_{M^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \leq L_{2}$, then for a domain $D \subset M^{n}$ with smooth boundary and $2 p>n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(D) \leq R\left(\mu(\partial D)+\left(\epsilon+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \nu(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon=\left(\frac{-\frac{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} L_{2}}{n-1}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} L_{2}}{n-1}\right)^{2}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}}}{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{-2 p}$.
Proof of Theorem 4.1. We use similar notation as previously. Let $d \mu_{t}=\omega_{f} d \mu$ similarly as in (2.1) and

$$
\psi= \begin{cases}\left(h_{f}\right)_{+} & \text {if } t \leq t_{q},  \tag{4.2}\\ 0 & \text { if } t>t_{q}\end{cases}
$$

Since $h=h_{f}+\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}$, we have the following inequality from the Riccati equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{f}^{\prime}+\frac{h_{f}^{2}}{n-1} & \leq-\operatorname{Ric}_{f}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{2 h_{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}}{n-1}-\frac{\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right)^{2}}{n-1}  \tag{4.3}\\
& \leq-\operatorname{Ric}_{f}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}\right)-\frac{2 h_{f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}}{n-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Recall that $\rho_{f}=\max \left\{\left(-\operatorname{Ric}_{f}(v, v)\right)_{+}| | v \mid=1, v \in T_{q} N\right\}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime}+\frac{\psi^{2}}{n-1} \leq \rho_{f}+\frac{2|\nabla f|}{n-1} \psi \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, since $\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \omega_{f}=h_{f} \omega_{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{d}{d t} \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mu_{t} & =\int_{\partial M_{t}}\left((n-1) \psi^{n-2} \psi^{\prime}+\psi^{n-1} h_{f}\right) d \mu_{t} \\
& \leq \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-2}\left((n-1) \psi^{\prime}+\psi^{2}\right) d \mu_{t} \\
& \leq(n-1) \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-2} \rho_{f}(q) d \mu_{t}+2 \int_{\partial M_{t}}|\nabla f| \psi^{n-1} d \mu_{t}  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq(n-1)\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \\
& +2 L_{1} \int_{\partial M_{t}} \psi^{n-1} d \mu_{t} .
\end{align*}
$$

We define $\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}(t), \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)$ similarly as previously:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}(t) & =\int_{M^{n} \backslash M_{t}} \rho_{f}^{n-1} d \nu \\
\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t) & =\int_{\partial M_{t}} h_{+}^{n-1} d \mu_{t}
\end{aligned}
$$

So we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\prime}(t) \leq(n-1)\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+2 L_{1} \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t) \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\prime}(t)}{(n-1) \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}} \leq\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}\right)^{\prime}-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $Y=\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(e^{-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} t} Y\right)^{\prime} & =e^{-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} t}\left(Y^{\prime}-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} Y\right) \leq e^{-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} t}\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}  \tag{4.9}\\
& \leq\left(\int_{\partial M_{t}} \rho_{f}(q)^{n-1} d \mu_{t}\right)^{\frac{1}{n-1}}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} t} \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

so we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \leq e^{\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} R}\left(\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}\right) \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we use that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} A\left(S_{t}\right) & =\int_{S_{t}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X) d \mathrm{vol}_{t} \\
& =\int_{S_{t}} h d \mathrm{vol}_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}
\end{aligned}
$$

For the metric-measure space, we prove the following lemma:
Lemma 4.3. For the measures $d \mu_{t}=e^{-f} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}$ and $d \mu_{\partial S_{t}}=e^{-f} d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right)=\int_{S_{t}} h_{f} d \mu_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \mu_{\partial S_{t}}
$$

Proof. We have that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right) & =\int_{S_{t}} L_{X}\left(e^{-f} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}\right) \\
& =\int_{S_{t}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X)-X[f]\right) e^{-f} d \mathrm{vol}_{t}  \tag{4.12}\\
& =\int_{S_{t}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X)-X[f]\right) d \mu_{t}
\end{align*}
$$

where $L_{X}$ is the Lie derivative. Since $\operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(Z)=\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\langle\nabla_{e_{i}} Z, e_{i}\right\rangle$ for $e_{i} \in T S_{t}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{t}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}\left(e^{-f} X\right) d \operatorname{vol}_{t}=\int_{S_{t}}\left(\operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}(X)-X^{T}[f]\right) d \mu_{t} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X=\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\langle X, U\rangle U$ and $X^{T}=\langle X, U\rangle U$ as we saw in Section 2. Since $X[f]-X^{T}[f]=\frac{\partial}{\partial t} f$, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right) & =\int_{S_{t}} \operatorname{div}_{S_{t}}\left(e^{-f} X\right) d \mathrm{vol}_{t}-\int_{S_{t}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} d \mu_{t} \\
& =-\int_{S_{t}}\left\langle e^{-f} X, H\right\rangle d \mathrm{vol}_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\left\langle e^{-f} X, U\right\rangle d \theta_{\partial S_{t}}-\int_{S_{t}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} d \mu_{t} \\
& =-\int_{S_{t}}\langle X, H\rangle d \mu_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \mu_{\partial S_{t}}-\int_{S_{t}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} d \mu_{t}  \tag{4.14}\\
& =\int_{S_{t}}\left(h-\frac{\partial f}{\partial t}\right) d \mu_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \mu_{\partial S_{t}} \\
& =\int_{S_{t}} h_{f} d \mu_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}\langle X, U\rangle d \mu_{\partial S_{t}},
\end{align*}
$$

which completes the proof of Lemma 4.3.
Similarly as in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right) & \leq \int_{S_{t}} h_{f} d \mu_{t}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}|X| d \mu_{\partial S_{t}} \\
& \leq \mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}(t)^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}+\int_{\partial S_{t}}|X| d \mu_{\partial S_{t}} \tag{4.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $d \mu_{\partial S_{t}}=e^{-f} d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial S_{t}}$ and $d \mu_{\partial D}=e^{-f} d \operatorname{vol}_{\partial D}$, we obtain from (4.11) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right) & \leq e^{\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} R}\left(\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R\right)\left(\int_{0}^{t} \mu_{t}\left(S_{t}\right)\right)^{\frac{n-2}{n-1}}  \tag{4.16}\\
& +\mu_{\partial D}(\partial D)
\end{align*}
$$

similarly as (2.15) in the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Now it remains only to follow the proof of Theorem 1.1 with (4.16) instead of (2.13), where we will use $\beta_{2}=e^{\frac{2 L_{1}}{n-1} R}\left(\mathcal{H}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R^{\frac{1}{n-1}}+\mathcal{R}_{f, n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} R\right)$ instead of $\beta_{1}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. We will follow the proof of Theorem 1.2. Since $\frac{d}{d s} \omega_{f} \leq \psi \omega_{f}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{2}} \omega_{f}(s) d s \leq\left(r_{2}-r_{1}\right)\left(\omega_{f}\left(r_{1}\right)+\int_{0}^{r} \psi \omega_{f}\right) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $r_{2}>r_{1}$. Multiplying $\psi^{2 p-2} \omega_{f}$ in (4.4) and integrating, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{R} \psi^{\prime} \psi^{2 p-2} \omega_{f} d t+\int_{0}^{R} \frac{\psi^{2 p}}{n-1} \omega_{f} \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{R} \rho_{f} \psi^{2 p-2} \omega_{f} d t+\int_{0}^{R} \frac{2|\nabla f|}{n-1} \psi^{2 p-1} \omega_{f} d t \tag{4.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly as in (3.8), we obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{2 p-1}\right) & \int_{0}^{R} \psi^{2 p} \omega_{f} d t \leq \int_{0}^{R} \rho_{f} \psi^{2 p-2} \omega_{f} d t  \tag{4.19}\\
& +\frac{2}{n-1} \int_{0}^{R}|\nabla f| \psi^{2 p-1} \omega_{f} d t+\frac{\psi^{2 p-1}}{2 p-1} \omega_{f}(0)
\end{align*}
$$

Integrating on $\partial M^{n}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{2 p-1}\right) \int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu \\
& \leq \int_{M^{n}} \rho_{f} \psi^{2 p-2} d \nu+\frac{2}{n-1} \int_{M^{n}}|\nabla f| \psi^{2 p-1} d \nu+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{M^{n}} \rho_{f}^{p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{D_{T}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}  \tag{4.20}\\
& +\frac{2}{n-1}\left(\int_{M^{n}}|\nabla f|^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}}\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1} \\
& \leq\left(\int_{M^{n}} \rho_{f}^{p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{1-\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{2 L_{2}}{n-1}\left(\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu\right)^{\frac{2 p-1}{2 p}}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we let $\Psi=\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu$, then

$$
\Psi \leq C_{0}(n, p)^{2}\left(\mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{p}}+\frac{2 L_{2}}{n-1} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)
$$

If $\Psi \geq \epsilon$, then $\Psi \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}} \geq \epsilon^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi^{1-\frac{1}{p}}$ and

$$
\Psi \leq C_{0}(n, p)^{2}\left(\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}} \Psi+\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2 p}} \frac{2 L_{2}}{n-1} \Psi+\frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right) .
$$

If

$$
\epsilon=\left(\frac{-\frac{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} L}{n-1}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} L_{2}}{n-1}\right)^{2}+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}}}{2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}}\right)^{-2 p},
$$

then $C_{0}(n, p)^{2}\left(\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{p}} \mathcal{R}_{f, p}^{\frac{1}{p}}+\epsilon^{-\frac{1}{2 p}} \frac{2 L_{2}}{n-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$. We obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{M^{n}} \psi^{2 p} d \nu & \leq \max \left\{\epsilon, 2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right\}  \tag{4.21}\\
& \leq \epsilon+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}
\end{align*}
$$

Now we only need to follow the proof of Theorem 1.2. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu(D) \leq R\left(\mu(\partial D)+\left(\epsilon+2 C_{0}(n, p)^{2} \frac{\mathcal{H}_{f, 2 p-1}}{2 p-1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2 p}} \nu(D)^{1-\frac{1}{2 p}}\right) \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$
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