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#### Abstract

We construct fundamental solutions of second-order parabolic systems of divergence form with bounded and measurable leading coefficients and divergence free first-order coefficients in the class of $\mathrm{BMO}_{x}^{-1}$, under the assumption that weak solutions of the system satisfy a certain local boundedness estimate. We also establish Gaussian upper bounds for such fundamental solutions under the same conditions.


## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study fundamental solutions (or fundamental matrices) of second-order parabolic systems of divergence form

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\sum_{j=1}^{m} \mathscr{L}_{i j} u^{j}:=u_{t}^{i}-\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} D_{\alpha}\left(A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} u^{j}\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} B_{i j}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} u^{j}+\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} C_{i j} u^{j} \\
i=1, \ldots, m .
\end{array}
$$

By using matrix notation and adopting the usual summation convention over repeated indices, we write the above system as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}:=\boldsymbol{u}_{t}-D_{\alpha}\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} \boldsymbol{u}\right)+\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{u} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta}=\boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta}(t, x), \boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}(t, x)$, and $\boldsymbol{C}=\boldsymbol{C}(t, x)$ are $m \times m$ matrix valued functions defined on $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $\boldsymbol{u}=\left(u^{1}, \ldots, u^{m}\right)^{\top}$ is a column vector valued function on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

We assume that the principal coefficients $\boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta}$ satisfy the following parabolicity and boundedness condition: there are constants $0<\lambda, \Lambda<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\lambda \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n}\left|\xi_{\alpha}^{i}\right|^{2} \leq A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} \xi_{\alpha}^{i} \xi_{\beta}^{j},  \tag{1.2}\\
\sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n}\left|A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right|^{2} \leq \Lambda^{2} . \tag{1.3}
\end{gather*}
$$
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Note that we do not impose any symmetry condition on $A^{\alpha \beta}$. We also assume that $\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}$ is symmetric and divergence free and that $\boldsymbol{C}$ is nonnegative definite; that is,

$$
\begin{gather*}
B_{i j}^{\alpha}=B_{j i}^{\alpha}, \quad D_{\alpha} B_{i j}^{\alpha}=0  \tag{1.4}\\
C_{i j} \xi^{i} \xi^{j} \geq 0, \quad \forall\left(\xi^{1}, \ldots, \xi^{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \tag{1.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Finally, we assume that $\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} \in L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{BMO}_{x}^{-1}\right)$; that is, there are an $m \times m$ matrix valued function $\boldsymbol{\Phi}^{\alpha \beta}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and a constant $0<\Theta<\infty$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{i j}^{\alpha}=D_{\beta} \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}, \quad \sum_{i, j=1}^{m} \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{n} \sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}(t, \cdot)\right\|_{\mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} \leq \Theta^{2} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The system of the form (1.1) is relevant for applications to incompressible flows. See, for instance, [15, 16].

By a fundamental solution for the system (1.1), we mean an $m \times m$ matrix valued function $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)\left(x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.$ and $\left.t, s \in \mathbb{R}\right)$ which satisfies the following:

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\mathscr{L}_{t, x} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)=0 & \text { in }(s, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}, \\
\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)=\delta_{y}(x) \boldsymbol{I} & \text { on }\{t=s\} \times \mathbb{R}^{n},
\end{array}
$$

where $\delta_{y}(\cdot)$ is a Dirac delta function and $\boldsymbol{I}$ is the $m \times m$ identity matrix; see Theorem 2.5 for a more precise definition. Since $\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}$ is divergence free, the adjoint operator $\mathscr{L}^{*}$ is given as follows:

$$
\mathscr{L}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}:=-\boldsymbol{u}_{t}-D_{\alpha}\left({ }^{*} \boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} \boldsymbol{u}\right)-\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} \boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{C} \boldsymbol{u}
$$

where ${ }^{*} \boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta}=\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\beta \alpha}\right)^{\top}$ (i.e., $\left.{ }^{*} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}=A_{j i}^{\beta \alpha}\right)$. Note that the coefficients ${ }^{*} \boldsymbol{A}^{\alpha \beta}$ satisfy the same parabolicity and boundedness conditions (1.2) and (1.3).

The goal of this article is to show that if $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{*}$ both satisfy the local boundedness property with constant $N_{0}$ (see Section 2.3 below), then there exists a fundamental solution $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)$ of the system (1.1) which satisfies the following Gaussian bound: there exist constants $C=C\left(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta, N_{0}\right)$ and $\kappa=\kappa(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta)>0$ such that for all $t, s \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $s<t$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we have

$$
|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)| \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{n / 2}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\kappa|x-y|^{2}}{t-s}\right\}
$$

A few historical remarks are in order. Fundamental solutions of parabolic equations of divergence form with bounded measurable coefficients have been studied by many authors. The first significant step in this direction was made in 1957 by Nash [11, who established certain estimates of the fundamental solutions in proving local Hölder continuity of weak solutions. In 1967, Aronson [1] proved Gaussian upper and lower bounds for the fundamental solutions by using the parabolic Harnack inequality of Moser [10. In 1986, Fabes and Stroock [5] showed that the idea of Nash could be used to establish Aronson's Gaussian bounds, which consequently gave a new proof of Moser's parabolic Harnack inequality. In 2008, the authors and Cho [2] considered parabolic systems (1.1) without lower-order terms (i.e., $\boldsymbol{B}^{\alpha}=\boldsymbol{C}=0$ ) and constructed the fundamental solutions and obtained Gaussian upper bounds under the assumption that weak solutions to the system and its adjoint system are locally Hölder continuous. For the fundamental solutions of parabolic equations with measurable coefficients in nondivergence form, a paper by Escauriaza 4 is notable.

In writing this article, we are very much motivated by very recent papers by Qian and Xi [12,13. They considered parabolic equations with divergence free drift terms and established upper and lower Gaussian bounds. Earlier in 2012, Seregin et al. [15] studied scalar parabolic equations $\partial_{t} u-\operatorname{div}(A \nabla u)=0$ and established Moser's Harnack inequality under the assumption that $A=a+d$, where $a$ is symmetric, $d$ is skew symmetric, and that it satisfies

$$
\lambda \boldsymbol{I} \leq a \leq \Lambda \boldsymbol{I}, \quad d \in L_{t}^{\infty}\left(\mathrm{BMO}_{x}\right) .
$$

It is more or less straightforward to check that the above scalar equations are covered by the parabolic system introduced at the beginning. In the spirit of Fabes and Stroock [5], Moser's Harnack inequality should be equivalent to having the two-sided Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solution. As a matter of fact, it is proved in [6] that the local boundedness property (which is implied by Moser's Harnack inequality) implies Gaussian bounds for the fundamental solution for parabolic systems. However, it was not clear that the fundamental solutions for the aforementioned scalar equation considered in [15] enjoy Gaussian bounds. In [12], Qian and Xi resolved this question by using a clever inequality involving the Hardy norm; see Proposition 3.2 in [12. By adopting the inequality of Qian and Xi to the systems setting, we are able to extend the main result in [2] to parabolic systems with drift terms satisfying the aforementioned conditions, which are the natural extension of the conditions imposed in [12,15]. Because of the well-known embed$\operatorname{ding} L^{n} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{BMO}^{-1}$ (see, for instance, [8), our result also extends Theorem 2 of [13]. We refer the reader to [12-14, 16] and the references therein for other previous results in this direction.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we introduce some notation and preliminary lemmas and then state our main result, Theorem 2.5, Section 3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem.

## 2. Preliminaries and main results

We use the same notation as used in [2]. For the reader's convenience, we reproduce the most frequently used notation here. We refer the reader to [2] for more details.
2.1. Basic notation. We use $X=(t, x)$ to denote a point in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}=\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We define the parabolic distance between the points $X=(t, x)$ and $Y=(s, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as

$$
|X-Y|_{p}:=\max (\sqrt{|t-s|},|x-y|) .
$$

We use the following notation for basic cylinders in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q_{r}^{-}(X) & =\left(t-r^{2}, t\right) \times B_{r}(x), \\
Q_{r}^{+}(X) & =\left(t, t+r^{2}\right) \times B_{r}(x), \\
Q_{r}(X) & =\left(t-r^{2}, t+r^{2}\right) \times B_{r}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the rest of this subsection, we shall denote by $Q$ the cylinder $\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \times \Omega$. We denote by $W_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{2}^{1,0}(Q)}:=\int_{Q} u v+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{Q} D_{\alpha} u D_{\alpha} v
$$

and by $W_{2}^{1,1}(Q)$ the Hilbert space with the inner product

$$
\langle u, v\rangle_{W_{2}^{1,1}(Q)}:=\int_{Q} u v+\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \int_{Q} D_{\alpha} u D_{\alpha} v+\int_{Q} u_{t} v_{t} .
$$

We denote by $W_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ and $\dot{W}_{2}^{1,1}(Q)$ the closure of $C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\left[t_{0}, t_{1}\right] \times \Omega\right)$ in the Hilbert spaces $W_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ and $W_{2}^{1,1}(Q)$, respectively. We define $V_{2}(Q)$ as the Banach space consisting of all elements of $W_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ having a finite norm

$$
\|u\|_{V_{2}(Q)}=\|u\|_{Q}:=\left(\|D u\|_{L^{2}(Q)}^{2}+\underset{t_{0} \leq t \leq t_{1}}{\operatorname{ess} \sup }\|u(t, \cdot)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The space $V_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ is obtained by completing the set $W_{2}^{1,1}(Q)$ in the norm of $V_{2}(Q)$. We define $\stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}(Q):=V_{2}(Q) \cap \grave{W}_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ and $\stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}^{1,0}(Q)=V_{2}^{1,0}(Q) \cap \grave{W}_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$. We recall the following well-known embedding theorem (see e.g. [9, §II.3]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L^{2+\frac{4}{n}}(Q)} \leq C(n)\|u\|_{Q} \quad \forall u \in \stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}(Q) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Energy inequality. Due to the assumptions (1.4) and (1.5), the following energy inequality is available for the operator $\mathscr{L}$ and its adjoint $\mathscr{L}^{*}$.
Lemma 2.2. Let $Q=\left(t_{0}, t_{1}\right) \times \Omega$ and $\boldsymbol{u} \in \dot{V}_{2}^{1,0}(Q)$ be a weak solution of

$$
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f} \text { in } Q, \quad \boldsymbol{u}\left(x, t_{0}\right)=\boldsymbol{\psi}(x) \text { on } \Omega,
$$

where $\boldsymbol{\psi} \in L^{2}(\Omega)$ and $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{(2 n+4) /(n+4)}(Q)$. Then $\boldsymbol{u}$ satisfies the energy inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{Q} \leq C\left(\|\boldsymbol{\psi}\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{(2 n+4) /(n+4)}(Q)}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(n, \lambda, \Lambda)$. A similar statement is true for a corresponding adjoint problem.

Proof. Note that assumption (1.4) implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega} B_{i j}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} u^{j} u^{i} d x=\int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{2} B_{i j}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha}\left(u^{i} u^{j}\right) d x=0
$$

and assumption (1.5) implies that

$$
\int_{\Omega} C_{i j} u^{j} u^{i} d x \geq 0
$$

Then, testing the equation with $\boldsymbol{u}$ itself and using (2.1), we obtain (2.3) as usual.
2.3. Local boundedness property. We shall say that the operator $\mathscr{L}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{L}^{*}\right)$ satisfies the local boundedness property for weak solutions if there exists a constant $N_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\frac{1}{2} Q\right)} \leq N_{0}\left\{\left(f_{Q}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} d x d t\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}+r^{2}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{\infty}(Q)}\right\} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

whenever $\boldsymbol{u} \in V_{2}(Q)$ is a weak solution of $\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}$ (resp. $\left.\mathscr{L}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}\right)$ in $Q=$ $Q_{r}^{-}\left(X_{0}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.Q=Q_{r}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$ and $\frac{1}{2} Q=Q_{r / 2}^{-}\left(X_{0}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\frac{1}{2} Q=Q_{r / 2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)$.
2.4. Main result. We now state our main theorems.

Theorem 2.5. Let the coefficients of the operator $\mathscr{L}$ satisfy the conditions (1.2) (1.6). Assume that operators $\mathscr{L}$ and $\mathscr{L}^{*}$ both satisfy the local boundedness property (2.4). Then, there exists a unique Green's matrix $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, Y)=\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times$ $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ which satisfies $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y) \equiv 0$ for $t<s$ and has the property that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, \cdot)$ is locally integrable in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ for all $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and that for all $\boldsymbol{f} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)^{m}$, the function $\boldsymbol{u}$ given by

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(X):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, Y) \boldsymbol{f}(Y) d Y
$$

is a weak solution in $\dot{\circ}_{2}^{1,0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)^{m}$ of $\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f}$. Also, for all $\boldsymbol{g} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{m}$, the function $\boldsymbol{u}(t, x)$ given by

$$
\boldsymbol{u}(t, x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y) \boldsymbol{g}(y) d y
$$

is the unique weak solution in $\stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}^{1,0}\left((s, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{m}$ of the Cauchy problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=0 \\
\boldsymbol{u}(s, \cdot)=\boldsymbol{g} .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Moreover, we have for all $t>s$ and $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)| \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} \exp \left\{-\frac{\kappa|x-y|^{2}}{t-s}\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta, N_{0}\right)$ and $\kappa=\kappa(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta)>0$ are constants.

## 3. Proof of Theorem 2.5

3.1. Averaged fundamental solution. We closely follow the steps used in 2 ] with appropriate modification. Let $Y=(s, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and let $1 \leq k \leq m$ be fixed. For each $\epsilon>0$, fix $s_{0} \in\left(-\infty, s-\epsilon^{2}\right)$ and consider the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\epsilon}^{-}\right|} 1_{Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y)} \boldsymbol{e}_{k}, \\
\boldsymbol{u}\left(s_{0}, \cdot\right)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{k}$ is the $k$-th unit vector. By using the energy inequality (2.3) and following [9. Chapter III], we find that the above problem has a unique weak solution $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}=$ $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon ; Y, k}$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}^{1,0}\left(\left(s_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Moreover, by the uniqueness, we find that $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}$ does not depend on the particular choice of $s_{0}$ and we may extend $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}$ to the entire $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by setting

$$
\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon} \equiv 0 \quad \text { on } \quad\left(-\infty, s-\epsilon^{2}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} .
$$

Then, by (2.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \leq C\left|Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y)\right|^{-\frac{n}{2 n+4}} \leq C \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, for each $\boldsymbol{f} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)^{m}$, let us fix $t_{0}$ such that $\boldsymbol{f} \equiv 0$ on $\left[t_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We consider the backward problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{L}^{*} \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{f} \\
\boldsymbol{u}\left(t_{0}, \cdot\right)=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Again, we obtain a unique weak solution $\boldsymbol{u}$ in $\stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}^{1,0}\left(\left(-\infty, t_{0}\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and we may extend $\boldsymbol{u}$ to the entire $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ by setting $\boldsymbol{u} \equiv 0$ on $\left(t_{0}, \infty\right) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then, by the energy inequality (2.3), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \leq C\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2(n+2) /(n+4)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similar to [2, Lemma 3.1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}=f_{Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y)} u^{k} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we assume that $\boldsymbol{f}$ is supported in $Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)$. By the local boundedness property (2.4) combined with (3.2) and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\boldsymbol{u}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{R / 2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C R^{2}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y) \subset Q_{R / 2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)$, then (3.3) together with (3.4) yields

$$
\left|\int_{Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)} \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon} \cdot \boldsymbol{f}\right| \leq f_{Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y)}|\boldsymbol{u}| \leq C R^{2}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{\infty}\left(Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)}
$$

By duality, it follows that if $Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y) \subset Q_{R / 2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right)\right)} \leq C R^{2} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we define the averaged fundamental solution $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}(\cdot, Y)$ for $\mathscr{L}$ by setting

$$
\Gamma_{j k}^{\epsilon}(\cdot, Y)=v_{\epsilon}^{j}=v_{\epsilon ; Y, k}^{j} .
$$

Lemma 3.6. Let $X=(t, x), Y=(s, y)$, and assume $X \neq Y$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}(X, Y)\right| \leq C|X-Y|_{p}^{-n}, \quad \forall \epsilon \leq \frac{1}{3}|X-Y|_{p} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C\left(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta_{0}, N_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Denote $d=|X-Y|_{p}$ and let $X_{0}=\left(s-4 d^{2}, y\right), r=d / 3$, and $R=20 r$. It is easy to see that

$$
Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y) \subset Q_{R / 2}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right), \quad Q_{r}^{-}(X) \subset Q_{R}^{+}\left(X_{0}\right), \quad Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y) \cap Q_{r}^{-}(X)=\emptyset
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}=\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon ; Y, k}$ is a weak solution of $\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=0$ in $Q_{r}^{-}(X)$, by the local boundedness property (2.4) and the standard argument (see [7, pp. 80-82]), we have

$$
\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}(X)\right| \leq C N_{0} r^{-(n+2)}\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{L^{1}\left(Q_{r}^{-}(X)\right)}
$$

Therefore, by (3.5), we have $\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}(X)\right| \leq C r^{-n}$, which implies (3.7).
3.2. Construction of the fundamental matrix. Recall that $\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon} \in \stackrel{\circ}{V}_{2}^{1,0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\right)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}=\frac{1}{\left|Q_{\epsilon}^{-}\right|} 1_{Q_{\epsilon}^{-}(Y)} e_{k} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\epsilon<\rho<R<\infty$, let $\eta: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a smooth nonnegative function such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \equiv 0 \quad \text { on } \quad Q_{\rho}(Y), \quad \eta \equiv 1 \text { on } Q_{R}(Y)^{c}, \quad|D \eta|^{2}+\left|D^{2} \eta\right|+\left|\eta_{t}\right| \leq \frac{12}{(R-\rho)^{2}} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By testing (3.8) with $\eta^{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{\rho}$ and using assumption (1.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} & \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta^{2}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)_{t}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta \eta_{t}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} v_{\epsilon}^{j} D_{\alpha} v_{\epsilon}^{i} \\
& \quad+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} 2 \eta A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} v_{\epsilon}^{j} D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta D_{\alpha} \eta B_{i j}^{\alpha} v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2} C_{i j} v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then by using (1.2), (1.3), and (1.5), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta^{2}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)_{t} & +\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta\left|\eta_{t}\right|\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+2 \Lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right||D \eta|\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \eta D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

By using the assumption (1.6), we control the last term,

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \eta D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j} & =-\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \Phi_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta}\left(\eta D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right) \\
& \leq\left\|\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right\|_{\operatorname{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\|D_{\beta}\left(\eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denotes the Hardy space. We note that the same proof of [12, Proposition 3.2] yields the following interesting estimate:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D_{\alpha}(f g)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C(n)\left\{\|D f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\|f\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\|D g\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right\} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a smooth function $\tilde{\eta}: \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$such that

$$
0 \leq \tilde{\eta} \leq 1, \quad \tilde{\eta} \equiv 1 \quad \text { on } \quad Q_{R}(Y), \quad \tilde{\eta} \equiv 0 \quad \text { on } \quad Q_{2 R}(Y)^{c}, \quad|D \tilde{\eta}| \leq \frac{2}{R}
$$

Since $\eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}=\tilde{\eta} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}$, by using (3.11), we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D_{\beta}\left(\eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq C(n)\left\{\left(\left\|D(\tilde{\eta} \eta) v_{\epsilon}^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|\tilde{\eta} \eta D v_{\epsilon}^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)\left\|D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\left\|\tilde{\eta} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left(\left\|D D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|D_{\alpha} \eta D v_{\epsilon}^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $|D(\tilde{\eta} \eta)| \leq \frac{4}{R-\rho}$. Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} \eta D_{\alpha} \eta v_{\epsilon}^{i} v_{\epsilon}^{j} \\
& \leq C(n) \Theta\{ \frac{1}{(R-\rho)^{2}} \int_{Q_{2 R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{R-\rho}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{Q_{R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\left.\quad+\frac{1}{R-\rho}\left(\int_{Q_{2 R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{Q_{R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining and using Young's inequality, we get

$$
\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\eta^{2}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}\right)_{t}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2}} \int_{Q_{2 R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{4} \int_{Q_{R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}
$$

where $C=C(n, m, \lambda, \Lambda, \Theta)$. Then, by integrating with respect to $t$, we obtain (3.12)

$$
\sup _{t \in \mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \eta^{2}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \eta^{2}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2}} \int_{Q_{2 R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{\lambda}{2} \int_{Q_{R} \backslash Q_{\rho}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}
$$

In particular, (3.12) implies that

$$
\int_{Q_{R}(Y)^{c}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \leq \frac{C}{(R-\rho)^{2}} \int_{Q_{2 R} \backslash Q_{\rho}(Y)}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \int_{Q_{\rho}(Y)^{c}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}
$$

Since the above inequality is true for all $\rho$ and $R$ satisfying $\epsilon<\rho<R$, a well-known iteration argument yields (see [7] Lemma 5.1]) that for any $r>\epsilon$ we have

$$
\int_{Q_{2 r}(Y)^{c}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \leq C r^{-2} \int_{Q_{4 r}(Y) \backslash Q_{r}(Y)}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} .
$$

Then, by setting $\rho=2 r$ and $R=4 r$ in (3.9), we get from (3.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Q_{4 r}(Y)}^{2} & \leq C r^{-2} \int_{Q_{8 r}(Y) \backslash Q_{2 r}(Y)}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2}+C \int_{Q_{2 r}(Y)^{c}}\left|D \boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} \\
& \leq C r^{-2} \int_{Q_{8 r}(Y) \backslash Q_{r}(Y)}\left|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right|^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.6, we see that if $r \geq 3 \epsilon$, then

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Q_{4 r}(Y)}^{2} \leq C r^{-2} \int_{\left\{r<|X-Y|_{p}<8 r\right\}}|X-Y|_{p}^{-2 n} d X \leq C r^{-n}
$$

We have thus shown that if $R \geq 12 \epsilon$, then we have

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Q_{R}(Y)} \leq C R^{-\frac{n}{2}}
$$

On the other hand, if $R<12 \epsilon$, then by (3.1), we have

$$
\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Q_{R}(Y)} \leq\left\|\boldsymbol{v}_{\epsilon}\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \leq C \epsilon^{-\frac{n}{2}} \leq C R^{-\frac{n}{2}}
$$

Therefore, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}(\cdot, Y)\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \backslash Q_{R}(Y)} \leq C R^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad \forall \epsilon>0 \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, by the same reasoning, we also get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\eta \boldsymbol{\Gamma}^{\epsilon}(\cdot, Y)\right\|_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} \leq C R^{-\frac{n}{2}}, \quad \forall \epsilon>0 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta$ satisfies (3.9) with $\rho=\frac{1}{2} R$.
With the above two estimates (3.13) and (3.14) at hand, we repeat the same arguments in [2] and construct the fundamental solution $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, Y)$. By following the same proof of [2, Theorem 2.7], it is routine to verify that $\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(X, Y)$ satisfies all the properties stated in the theorem except the Gaussian bound (2.6).
3.3. Proof of the Gaussian bound (2.6). We again modify the argument in [2], which is an adaptation of a method by E. B. Davies [3]. Let $\psi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded $C^{2}$ function satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
|D \psi| \leq \gamma, \quad\left|D^{2} \psi\right| \leq \delta \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0$ and $\delta \geq 0$ are constants to be chosen later. For $t>s$, we define an operator $P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi}$ on $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{m}$ as follows. For a given $\boldsymbol{f} \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{m}$, let $\boldsymbol{u}$ be the weak solution in $\dot{V}_{2}^{1,0}\left((s, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{N}$ of the problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathscr{L} \boldsymbol{u}=0 \\
\boldsymbol{u}(s, \cdot)=e^{-\psi} \boldsymbol{f}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then, we define $P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(x):=e^{\psi(x)} \boldsymbol{u}(t, x)$ so that we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(x)=e^{\psi(x)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y) e^{-\psi(y)} \boldsymbol{f}(y) d y \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote

$$
I(t):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|\boldsymbol{u}(t, x)|^{2} d x, \quad t \geq s
$$

Then, by (1.4) and (1.5), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
I^{\prime}(t) & =2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi} \boldsymbol{u} \cdot \boldsymbol{u}_{t} \\
= & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left\{A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} u^{j} D_{\alpha}\left(e^{2 \psi} u^{i}\right)+e^{2 \psi} B_{i j}^{\alpha} D_{\alpha} u^{j} u^{i}+e^{2 \psi} C_{i j} u^{j} u^{i}\right\} \\
\leq & -2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} u^{j} D_{\alpha} u^{i}-4 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi} A_{i j}^{\alpha \beta} D_{\beta} u^{j} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i}+\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} D_{\alpha}\left(e^{2 \psi} B_{i j}^{\alpha}\right) u^{i} u^{j} \\
& \leq-2 \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|D \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}+4 \Lambda \gamma \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|D \boldsymbol{u}||\boldsymbol{u}|+2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} e^{2 \psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i} u^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similar to (3.10), the assumption (1.6) yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} e^{2 \psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i} u^{j} \leq\left\|\Phi_{i j}^{\alpha \beta}\right\|_{\mathrm{BMO}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left\|D_{\beta}\left(e^{2 \psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i} u^{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} .
$$

Then by using (3.11), we estimate (setting $f=e^{\psi} u^{i}$ and $g=e^{\psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{j}$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|D_{\beta}\left(e^{2 \psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i} u^{j}\right)\right\|_{\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \\
& \leq C(n)\left\{\left(\left\|e^{\psi} D \psi u^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|e^{\psi} D u^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)\left\|e^{\psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right. \\
& +\left\|e^{\psi} u^{i}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\left(\left\|e^{\psi} D \psi D_{\alpha} \psi u^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}+\left\|e^{\psi} D D_{\alpha} \psi u^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\left\|e^{\psi} D_{\alpha} \psi D u^{j}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, by (3.15) and (1.6), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} B_{i j}^{\alpha} e^{2 \psi} D_{\alpha} \psi u^{i} u^{j} \\
& \quad \leq C_{0} \Theta\left\{\left(2 \gamma^{2}+\delta\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|u|^{2}+2 \gamma\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|D \boldsymbol{u}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

By combining and using Hölder's and Young's inequalities, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{\prime}(t) \leq\left\{\left(4 \Lambda^{2} / \lambda+C_{0}^{2} \Theta^{2} / \lambda+2 C_{0} \Theta\right) \gamma^{2}+C_{0} \Theta \delta\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{2 \psi}|\boldsymbol{u}|^{2} \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

The differential inequality (3.17) and the initial condition $I(s)=\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}$ yield

$$
I(t) \leq e^{\left(2 \nu \gamma^{2}+2 \mu \delta\right)(t-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}
$$

where we set

$$
2 \nu:=4 \Lambda^{2} / \lambda+C_{0}^{2} \Theta^{2} / \lambda+2 C_{0} \Theta \quad \text { and } \quad 2 \mu:=C_{0} \Theta .
$$

Since $I(t)=\left\|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2}$ for $t>s$, we have derived

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq e^{\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(t-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.4), we estimate

$$
\begin{aligned}
e^{-2 \psi(x)}\left|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(x)\right|^{2}=|\boldsymbol{u}(t, x)|^{2} & \leq \frac{C N_{0}^{2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t-s}}(x)}|\boldsymbol{u}(\tau, y)|^{2} d y d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{C N_{0}^{2}}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t-s}}(x)} e^{-2 \psi(y)}\left|P_{s \rightarrow \tau}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(y)\right|^{2} d y d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by using (3.18) we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(x)\right|^{2} & \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t-s}}(x)} e^{2 \psi(x)-2 \psi(y)}\left|P_{s \rightarrow \tau}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(y)\right|^{2} d y d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} \int_{s}^{t} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t-s}}(x)} e^{2 \gamma \sqrt{t-s}}\left|P_{s \rightarrow \tau}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}(y)\right|^{2} d y d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n+2}{2}}} e^{2 \gamma \sqrt{t-s}} \int_{s}^{t} e^{2\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(\tau-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} d \tau \\
& \leq \frac{C}{(t-s)^{\frac{n}{2}}} e^{2 \gamma \sqrt{t-s}+2\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(t-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have thus derived the $L^{2} \rightarrow L^{\infty}$ estimate

$$
\left\|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{4}} e^{\gamma \sqrt{t-s}+\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(t-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}
$$

Then, by replicating the same argument as in [2, §5.1], we have
$\left\|P_{s \rightarrow t}^{\psi} \boldsymbol{f}\right\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)} \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\gamma \sqrt{2(t-s)}+\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(t-s)}\|\boldsymbol{f}\|_{L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}, \quad \forall \boldsymbol{f} \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)^{m}$.
For fixed $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ with $x \neq y$, the above estimate and (3.16) imply, by duality, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\psi(x)-\psi(y)}|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)| \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} e^{\gamma \sqrt{2(t-s)}+\left(\nu \gamma^{2}+\mu \delta\right)(t-s)} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix a smooth function $\psi_{0}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$
\psi_{0}(r)=0 \text { for } r \leq 0, \psi_{0}(r)=|x-y| \text { for } r \geq|x-y|,\left|\psi_{0}^{\prime}\right| \leq 2,\left|\psi_{0}^{\prime \prime}\right| \leq 4|x-y|^{-1}
$$

We define

$$
\psi(z):=\frac{\gamma}{2} \psi_{0}(\boldsymbol{n} \cdot(z-y)), \quad \text { where } \boldsymbol{n}=\frac{x-y}{|x-y|} .
$$

It is clear that $\psi$ is a bounded function satisfying (3.15) with $\delta=\frac{4 \gamma}{|x-y|}$. Also, we have $\psi(x)=\frac{1}{2} \gamma|x-y|$ and $\psi(y)=0$. Therefore (3.19) yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)| \\
& \quad \leq C(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{\gamma \sqrt{2(t-s)}+\nu \gamma^{2}(t-s)+\frac{4 \mu \gamma(t-s)}{|x-y|}-\frac{\gamma}{2}|x-y|\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we choose $\gamma=|x-y| / 4 \nu(t-s)$. Then

$$
|\boldsymbol{\Gamma}(t, x, s, y)| \leq C e^{\frac{\mu}{\nu}}(t-s)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp \left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{8} \nu} \frac{|x-y|}{\sqrt{t-s}}-\frac{1}{16 \nu} \frac{|x-y|^{2}}{t-s}\right\}
$$

Since there exists a number $N$ such that

$$
e^{\frac{1}{\sqrt{8} \nu} r-\frac{1}{16 \nu} r^{2}} \leq N e^{-\frac{1}{32 \nu} r^{2}}, \quad \forall r \geq 0,
$$

we obtain the Gaussian bound (2.6) by taking $\kappa=\frac{1}{32} \nu$.
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