

Representations of Semisimple Lie Algebras
in the BGG Category \mathcal{O}
(Revisions)

On the dedication page, start the list of names with: Rowan Gerlis.

xvi In last paragraph of Preface, read “Stroppel” in place of “Stropple”.

10 In line –9, replace $L(3)$ by $L(2)$.

14 In line 7, replace 0.7 by 0.8.

25 In line 3, replace μ by $w\mu$.

27–28 The proofs of (b) and (c) in 1.10 are out of focus at several points and should be revised as follows:

27 In line –6, replace $W \cdot \lambda$ by $W(\lambda + \rho)$ and $W \cdot \mu$ by $W(\mu + \rho)$.

27 In line –4, replace “dot orbits” by “ W -orbits”.

27 In lines –4, –3, replace “Using the assumption about ψ , take any pre-image ...” by “Using part (a), take the pre-image ...”.

27 In line –2, the expression should be $\chi_\lambda(z) = (\lambda + \rho)(\psi(z))$ and similarly for μ .

28 In lines 11–12, replace the three occurrences of λ by $\lambda + \rho$, and similarly for the first occurrence of λ in line 13.

29 In line –19, replace “for $M \in \mathcal{O}$ ” by “for isomorphism class representatives $M \in \mathcal{O}$ ”.

35 In line –17, replace “ $\lambda \geq 0$ ” by “ $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ”.

35 In line –6, the last symbol in the Exercise should be $[M(\lambda)]$.

55 In line –6, replace $w' \cdot (\lambda - s_\alpha \cdot \lambda)$ by $(w' \cdot \lambda - w \cdot \lambda)$. Then in line –4, replace $w' \cdot (\lambda - s_\alpha \cdot \lambda) = w(s_\alpha \cdot \lambda - \lambda)$ by $w' \cdot \lambda - w \cdot \lambda = ws_\alpha \cdot \lambda - w \cdot \lambda$.

60 In line –18, replace “the right exact functor ... is also left exact.” by “the contravariant functor ... is exact.”

60 In lines –11, –10, read “is dominant if $-\lambda$ is antidominant.”

- 64** In the proof of Corollary 3.10, delete the sentence “Use induction on the length ...” at the end of the first paragraph. Then replace the last line of the proof by “After discarding these summands, induction on $\sum c_\mu$ takes over for the remaining summand of P .”
- 65** Reword Exercise 3.11: “If $\lambda \in \Lambda$, prove that $M(\lambda)$ is projective only when λ is dominant. [Using results of Chapter 4, this can be proved for all $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.]”
- 80** In line –16, replace “at least” by “an”.
- 91** In the paragraph of the Notes starting with “Following ...”, replace the second sentence by: “Lutsyuk [204] independently develops a recursive formula for the elements of $U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ inducing such embeddings.”
- 95** In line –18, replace the occurrences of the symbol $<$ by \uparrow and \leq respectively.
- 97** In line –6, replace “cases $w = s_\beta s_\alpha$ and $w = w_\circ$ ” by “case $w = s_\beta s_\alpha$, while the case $w = w_\circ$ follows by comparing the alternating sum formula for $\text{ch } L(w_\circ \cdot \lambda)$ in 2.4”
- 98** In lines 9–10, replace the long sentence “By induction, ...” by the shorter “By induction, $\mu \uparrow s_\alpha \cdot \lambda$.”
- 101** In lines –9, –8, reword the sentence: “Since $M(\lambda)$ has finite length, it follows that ...”
- 101** In line –5, replace “3.14” by “Exercise 3.14”.
- 118** In the last two lines, replace “case $k = m$ ” by “case $k = m - 1$ ” and “let $k < m$ ” by “let $k < m - 1$ ”.
- 121** In line 15, the second $M(w \cdot 0)$ should be $M(w' \cdot 0)$.
- 122** In line –3, delete the extra right parenthesis.
- 124** In line 8 of (1) in the proof, the first Ext term in the exact sequence should be $\text{Ext}_\mathcal{O}$.
- 130-131** Revise the last paragraph of 7.1: At the end of the existing text in line –9, insert the first sentence at the top of page 131 “The rationale ...” In line –8, replace “a couple of” by “some”. Then reword the proposition as follows: “Let $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.”

- (a) The exact functor T_λ^μ commutes with the duality functor.
- (b) T_λ^μ takes projective modules to projective modules.
- (c) $T_\lambda^\mu M(\lambda)$ has a standard filtration involving $M(\mu)$ as a subquotient.”

For the proof, follow the current wording for the first two parts and the current wording on page 131 for (c) with obvious modifications (omitting parentheses around the last sentence):

- (a) “To see that ... in that section.”
- (b) “This follows from ... to a direct summand.”
- (c) “When $M = M(\lambda)$, a standard filtration ... This module also has a standard filtration, thanks to Proposition 3.7(b).”

134 In line –15, begin (c) with: “Suppose $\Phi_{[\lambda]} = \Phi_{[\mu]} = \Phi_{[\lambda+\mu]}$.” Then in line –6, begin (c) with: “By assumption, $\Phi_{[\lambda+\mu]} = \Phi_{[\lambda]}$.”

136 In line 14, replace C' by $\overline{C'}$.

136 In line –14, delete “and (7)”.

136 In line –1, read: $\xi = \lambda^{\natural} + \nu = \mu^{\natural}$.

137 Delete the bottom text on the page, starting at line –10: “But it ...”

141 Replace line 5 by “ $\alpha \in w\Phi_{F'}^0$, with F' the facet of μ .” Then at the end of line 6, replace F by F' , and in line 8 replace F by F' .

143 In line 3, replace W_μ by W_μ° .

144 In line 7, replace “Corollary 7.6” by “Proposition 7.1(c)”.

144 In line –3, replace \mathcal{O} by \mathcal{O}_{χ_μ} .

146 In line 1, replace $P(w_\lambda \cdot \lambda)$ by $P(w_\lambda w_{\mu^\circ} \cdot \lambda)$.

147 In line 7, replace “Corollary 7.6” by “Proposition 7.1(c)”.

147 In line –2 expand “ > 0 ” to “ > 0 if $T_\lambda^\mu L(w' \cdot \lambda) \neq 0$ ”.

150 In line –1, replace “bonce” by “once”.

151 In line 2, replace “just one” by “no”. Then in lines –16, –15, remove the sentence “Using the projective property ...”

151-152 In lines 151 : -2 and 152:1, replace $L(\lambda_\circ)$ by $M(\lambda_\circ)$.

177 In line 3, replace $\ell(w_\circ) + 1$ by $\ell(w_\circ)$.

178 In line 9, replace \mathfrak{h} by \mathfrak{h}^* .

200 In the third line of 9.15, read “more refined partition”.

243 Revise the third paragraph of 12.7, starting with line 4:

“and $N_w = \mathbb{C}[y_\alpha]$. The algebra N_w is \mathbb{Z} -graded: the standard grading of U by Λ_r induces a \mathbb{Z} -grading on U if all simple root vectors are placed in U_1 . The graded dual N_w^* , with n th graded piece the dual space $(N_w)_{-n}^*$, then becomes a \mathbb{Z} -graded N_w -bimodule. Define $S_w := U \otimes_{N_w} N_w^*$. Somewhat miraculously, ...”

265 In line -4, replace “on W ” by “on V ”.

269 In line -15, replace W_I by W_1 .

(June 2011) Most of these revisions were suggested by Brian Boe, Andreas Glang, Chun-ju Lai.