

**Paul Larson, Jindrich Zapletal: Geometric set theory**

March 31, 2022

The results of Section 14.2 can be handled in much easier way using the following powerful general theorem.

**Theorem 0.1.** *In cofinally balanced extensions of the choiceless Solovay model  $W$ , every  $\sigma$ -ideal on a Polish space  $\sigma$ -generated by closed sets is closed under increasing unions.*

*Proof.* Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. Let  $P$  be a Suslin poset which is cofinally balanced below  $\kappa$ . Let  $W$  be the choiceless Solovay model derived from  $\kappa$ . Work in  $W$ . Let  $X$  be a Polish space, let  $\iota$  be a name for a  $\sigma$ -ideal on  $X$   $\sigma$ -generated by closed sets, let  $\tau$  be a  $P$ -name for a collection of sets in  $W$ , each a subset of  $X$  in the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\iota$ , which is linearly ordered by inclusion. Towards a contradiction, assume that  $p \in P$  is a condition which forces  $\bigcup \tau \notin \iota$ . The names  $\tau$  and  $\iota$  are definable from some real parameter  $z \in 2^\omega$  and some additional ground model parameters. Let  $V[K]$  be an intermediate extension which contains  $z$  and the condition  $p$ , and such that the poset  $P$  is balanced in  $V[K]$ . Work in  $V[K]$ .

Let  $\langle Q, \sigma \rangle$  be a balanced pair in  $P$  such that  $Q$  is a poset of cardinality less than  $\kappa$  and  $Q \Vdash \sigma \leq \check{p}$ . Note that for each closed set  $C \subset X$  coded in the model  $V[K]$ ,  $Q \times \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$  forces  $\sigma$  to decide the membership of  $C$  in the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $\iota$  by Proposition 5.2.4. Let  $I$  be the collection of those closed sets for which the decision is affirmative. Note also that  $Q \times \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$  forces that  $\bigcup \tau$  is not covered by the closed sets in  $I$ , since there are only countably many such sets and  $\iota$  is a  $\sigma$ -ideal. Thus, there must be a poset  $R$  of cardinality less than  $\kappa$  and  $Q \times R$ -names

- $\eta$  for a condition in  $P$  stronger than  $\sigma$ ;
- $\nu$  for an element of  $X$  which does not belong to any closed set in the ideal  $I$ ;
- $\mu$  for an element of  $2^\omega$ , together with a formula  $\phi$  with ground model parameters and two free variables;
- $\theta$  for a function with domain  $\omega$  and range consisting of closed sets,

such that  $Q \times R \times \text{Coll}(\omega, < \kappa)$  forces the following. Writing  $\chi$  for the object in the choiceless Solovay model defined by  $\phi(\mu)$ , then  $\chi$  is a  $P$ -name and in the poset  $P$ ,  $\eta$  forces  $\text{rng}(\theta) \subset \iota$  and  $\chi \subseteq \bigcup \text{rng}(\theta)$ , and  $\nu \in \chi \in \tau$ .

Move back to the model  $W$ . Let  $H_0, H_1 \subset Q \times R$  be filters mutually generic over the model  $V[K]$ . Write  $p_0 = \eta/H_0$ ,  $x_0 = \nu/H_0$ ,  $\chi_0$  for the  $P$ -name defined by  $\phi(\mu/H_0)$ ,  $z_0 = \theta/H_0$ , and similarly for subscript 1. The conditions  $p_0, p_1$  are compatible by the balance assumption on the pair  $\langle Q, \sigma \rangle$  and their common lower bound forces  $\chi_0, \chi_1 \in \tau$ . We will show that it also forces these two sets

not to be linearly ordered by inclusion, as  $x_0$  belongs only to the former and  $x_1$  only to the latter. This will be a contradiction to the initial assumption on the name  $\tau$ .

Now,  $p_0 \Vdash \check{x}_0 \in \chi_0$  by the initial choices. To prove that  $p_1$  forces  $\check{x}_0 \notin \chi_1$ , it will be enough to show  $x_0 \notin z_1(n)$  for any  $n \in \omega$ . To this end, move back to the model  $V[K]$  and consider the product forcing  $(Q \times R) \times (Q \times R)$ . Suppose that  $q_0, q_1 \in Q$  and  $r_0, r_1 \in R$  are conditions. It will be enough to find a basic open set  $O \subset X$  and conditions  $q'_0 \leq q_0, q'_1 \leq q_1, r'_0 \leq r_0$  and  $r'_1 \leq r_1$  such that  $\langle q'_0, r'_0 \rangle \Vdash \nu \in O$  and  $\langle q'_1, r'_1 \rangle \Vdash O \cap \theta(\check{n}) = \emptyset$ . To find these objects, let  $B$  be the set of all basic open subsets  $O \subset X$  such that some condition below  $\langle q_1, r_1 \rangle$  forces  $O \cap \theta(\check{n}) = \emptyset$ . Since the condition  $p_1$  forces the set  $\theta(\check{n})$  to be closed and in the ideal  $\iota$ , it must be the case that the set  $X \setminus \bigcup B$ , which is in  $V[K]$  and is forced to be a subset of  $\theta(\check{n})$ , belongs to  $I$ . By the choice of the name  $\nu$ , there must be a condition  $q'_0 \leq q_0$  and  $r'_0 \leq r_0$  and an open set  $O \in B$  such that  $\langle q'_0, r'_0 \rangle \Vdash \nu \in O$ . By the definition of the set  $B$ , there must be conditions  $q'_1 \leq q_1$  and  $r'_1 \leq r_1$  such that  $\langle q'_1, r'_1 \rangle \Vdash O \cap \theta(\check{n}) = \emptyset$ . This concludes the argument.

The proof of  $p_1 \Vdash \check{x}_1 \in \chi_1$  and  $p_0 \Vdash \check{x}_1 \notin \chi_1$  is symmetric. The theorem has been proved.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.2.** *In cofinally balanced extensions of the choiceless Solovay model  $W$ , every  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$  on a Polish space,  $\sigma$ -generated by closed sets, is closed under well-ordered unions.*

*Proof.* This is to say that the union of any transfinite sequence of sets in the ideal  $I$  belongs to  $I$ . This is proved by transfinite induction, applying the conclusion of the theorem to the unions of initial segments of the sequence.  $\square$

**Corollary 0.3.** (Theorem 14.2.1) *Suppose that  $\leq$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -preorder on a Polish space  $X$  such that every countable set has a common upper bound. Then in cofinally balanced extensions of the choiceless Solovay model,  $\leq$  contains no unbounded linearly ordered subsets.*

*Proof.* Consider the  $\sigma$ -ideal  $I$  on  $X$   $\sigma$ -generated by all bounded subsets of  $X$ . The complexity of the preorder  $X$  shows that  $I$  is  $\sigma$ -generated by an analytic collection of closed sets. It follows that the  $\sigma$ -ideal is closed under arbitrary increasing unions in all cofinally balanced extensions of the choiceless Solovay model. In particular,  $\leq$  cannot contain any unbounded linearly ordered subsets.  $\square$

The conclusion of the theorem cannot be easily generalized to other  $\sigma$ -ideals. Consider the following simple delimitative result.

**Proposition 0.4.** (ZF) *If there is a nonprincipal ultrafilter on  $\omega$  then the non-dominating ideal on  $\omega^\omega$  is not closed under increasing unions.*

Here, the non-dominating ideal on  $\omega^\omega$  is generated by sets  $B_y = \{x \in \omega^\omega : \exists^\infty n \ y(n) \geq x(n)\}$  as  $y$  ranges over all elements of  $\omega^\omega$ . It is easily seen to be a  $\sigma$ -ideal, and it is not  $\sigma$ -generated by closed sets.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be a non-principal ultrafilter on  $\omega$ . Consider the modulo  $U$  equality  $=_U$  and the modulo  $U$  linear ordering  $\leq_U$  on  $\omega^\omega$ . For each  $y \in \omega^\omega$ , let  $C_y = \{x \in \omega^\omega : x \leq_U y\}$ . This is clearly a set in the non-dominating ideal, as it is a subset of  $B_y$ . It is clear that the set  $C_y$  depends only on the  $=_U$ -class of  $y$ , and  $y_0 \leq_U y_1$  implies  $C_{y_0} \subseteq C_{y_1}$ . Thus, the whole space  $\omega^\omega$  is exhausted as the increasing union of sets  $C_y$  for  $y \in \omega^\omega$ , all of which belong to the non-dominating ideal.  $\square$