

which may be solved by quadrature,

$$I_5 = a \int_a^\infty u^{-2} F(u) du = \frac{1}{2}(\pi/2)^{1/2} \{ \cos a^2 - \sin a^2 - 2a[C(a) + S(a)] \}.$$

Finally, the most significant integral from the point of view of the physical problem

$$\begin{aligned} I_6 &= \int_0^\infty \{ [C(x+a) - C(x-a)]^2 + [S(x+a) - S(x-a)]^2 \} dx \\ &= I_3 + I_4 - 2I_5 = \pi a, \end{aligned}$$

a remarkably simple result.

### NOTE ON THE POINCARÉ BOUNDARY-VALUE PROBLEM\*

By E. E. JONES (*University of Nottingham, England*)

1. This note is concerned with the solution of a modified form of the Poincaré boundary-value problem [1]. It is required to solve the Poisson differential equation  $\nabla^2 \phi_i = f(x, y)$  for  $\phi_i(x, y)$  defined in  $S_i$ , the region enclosed by the circle  $C$  of equation  $|z| = a$ , ( $z = x + iy$ ), such that on  $C$

$$k \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial n} + l \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial s} + m \phi_i = g(x, y), \tag{1}$$

where  $k, l, m$  are constants,  $f(x, y)$  is prescribed in  $S_i$ ,  $g(x, y)$  is prescribed on  $C$ , and  $\partial/\partial n, \partial/\partial s$  denote differentiations along the inward normal and positive tangential directions respectively to  $C$ .

It is assumed that  $\phi_i = \phi_{i0} + \Phi_i$ , where  $\phi_{i0}(x, y)$  is a particular integral of the Poisson equation reflecting all the singularities of the complete solution  $\phi_i$ , and  $\Phi_i(x, y)$  is harmonic in  $S_i$ , being together with its first partial derivatives single-valued and continuous in  $S_i$ . It is thus possible to write  $\Phi_i = re W_i(z)$ , where  $W_i(z)$  is a regular function of  $z$  in  $S_i$ . If  $z = ae^{i\theta} = \zeta, \bar{z} = a^2/\zeta$  on  $C$ , and by definition

$$h(\zeta) = -g - k \frac{\partial \phi_{i0}}{\partial r} + \frac{l}{a} \frac{\partial \phi_{i0}}{\partial \theta} + m \phi_{i0}, \tag{2}$$

then

$$h_i(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{(\zeta + z)h(\zeta)}{\zeta - z} d\zeta, \tag{3}$$

is the Schwarz integral representation of a function  $h_i(z)$  regular in  $S_i$  with a real part equal to  $h(\zeta)$  on  $C$ —for this to be so it is necessary for  $h(\zeta)$  to satisfy the Lipschitz condition on  $C$  [2]. The boundary condition (1) then takes the form

$$re \left\{ \frac{\zeta}{\alpha} \frac{dW_i(\zeta)}{d\zeta} - mW_i(\zeta) - h_i(\zeta) \right\} = 0, \tag{4}$$

\*Received September 30, 1959.

where  $\alpha = a/(k - il)$ . When  $re(m\alpha) < 0$ , then a unique solution exists for  $W_i(z)$  in  $S_i$  in the form

$$W_i(z) = \alpha \int_0^1 h_i(zt) t^{-m\alpha-1} dt. \tag{5}$$

This form of expression has an advantage over that given in [1], since it also includes the conditions for the existence of a solution. Thus when  $m = 0$  the equation (5) determines  $W_i(z)$  to within an arbitrary constant, provided the integral is uniformly convergent, which necessitates  $h_i(0) = 0$ . It thus follows that since the integral of (5) is uniformly convergent for  $re(m\alpha) < 0$ , and for  $m = 0$  if  $h_i(0) = 0$ , then  $W_i(z)$  is a regular function of  $z$  in  $S_i$ , as required, and  $W_i'(z)$  can be obtained by differentiation under the integral sign [3].

Repeated integration by parts extends the range to all positive values of  $re(m\alpha)$ , including  $m\alpha$  a positive integer—in this latter case solutions exist but are not uniquely determined [1].

2. The particular integral  $\phi_{i0}$  can be determined by integrating the Poisson differential equation in the form

$$4 \frac{\partial^2 \phi_{i0}}{\partial z \partial \bar{z}} = f[x(z, \bar{z}), y(z, \bar{z})],$$

and the solution can be written as

$$\phi_{i0} = \phi_{i0}^* + re\Omega_i(z),$$

where  $\Omega_i(z)$  is a function of  $z$ , regular outside  $C$ , having prescribed singularities in  $S_i$  only. It follows that (2) can be written as

$$h(\zeta) = h^*(\zeta) + re \left\{ -\frac{a^2}{\bar{\alpha}\zeta} \bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2}{\zeta}\right) + m\bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2}{\zeta}\right) \right\}.$$

But  $h(\zeta) = \{re h_i(z)\}_C$ , then evidently

$$h_i(z) = h_i^*(z) - \frac{a^2}{\bar{\alpha}z} \bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2}{z}\right) + m\bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2}{z}\right),$$

which is a regular function of  $z$  in  $S_i$ , as required. On substitution into (5), and integrating by parts, then readily

$$W_i(z) = W_i^*(z) + \frac{\alpha}{\bar{\alpha}} \bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2}{z}\right) + \frac{2mk\alpha^2}{a} \int_1^\infty \bar{\Omega}_i\left(\frac{a^2 t}{z}\right) t^{-m\alpha-1} dt. \tag{6}$$

This result determines  $W_i(z)$  when the singularities of  $\Omega_i(z)$  are prescribed, and extends the results of Ludford et al. [4].

A similar type of reasoning leads to the conclusion that it is possible to add the real part of any function of  $z$  regular in  $S_i$  to  $\phi_{i0}$  without changing the value of  $\phi_i$ .

3. For the external problem with  $\phi_e$  defined in  $S_e$ , the region  $|z| > a$ , and satisfying a boundary condition similar to (1) with  $\partial/\partial n$  denoting differentiation along the normal to  $C$  drawn into  $S_e$ , the solution analogous to (6) is

$$W_e(z) = W_e^*(z) + \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{\alpha} \bar{\Omega}_e\left(\frac{a^2}{z}\right) + \frac{2mk\bar{\alpha}^2}{a} \int_0^1 \bar{\Omega}_e\left(\frac{a^2 t}{z}\right) t^{-m\bar{\alpha}-1} dt. \tag{7}$$

Here

$$W_e^*(z) = -\bar{\alpha} \int_1^\infty h_e^*(zt) t^{m\bar{\alpha}-1} dt,$$

where  $h^*(z)$  is regular in  $S_e$ , including infinity, such that on  $C$

$$re h^*(z) = g(x, y) - k \frac{\partial \phi_{e0}^*}{\partial r} - \frac{l}{a} \frac{\partial \phi_{e0}^*}{\partial \theta} - m \phi_{e0}^*,$$

and  $\Omega_e(z)$  is regular in  $S_i$ , having singularities only in  $S_e$ .

REFERENCES

1. L. V. Kantorovich and V. I. Krylov, *Approximate methods of higher analysis*, Translated by C. D. Benster, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1958, p. 582
2. N. I. Muskhelishvili, *Some basic problems of the mathematical theory of elasticity*, Translated by J. R. M. Radok, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1953, p. 296
3. E. C. Titchmarsh, *The theory of functions*, 2nd ed., Oxford Univ. Press, 1950, p. 100
4. G. S. S. Ludford, J. Martinek and G. C. K. Yeh, *The sphere theorem in potential theory*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 51, 389-393 (1955)

ON MATRIX DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS\*

By JOHN JONES, JR., (*Institute of Technology, Air University*)

The purpose of this note is to obtain a necessary condition and a sufficient condition, of an algebraic nature, for the matrix differential equation

$$AX'' + XB = C, \tag{1}$$

where all matrices considered are  $n$ -square, to have a single-valued solution. Capital letters denote matrices and prime denotes the derivative with respect to  $x$ . The elements of  $A, B, C$  belong to the polynomial domain  $\mathfrak{F}[x]$  of the field  $\mathfrak{F}$  of real numbers.  $I$  is the identity matrix.

*Theorem 1.* If a solution matrix  $X$  of Eq. (1) exists, then the following pair of matrices

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \tag{2}$$

are equivalent.

*Proof.* Clearly

$$\begin{pmatrix} I & -X \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I & -X'' \\ 0 & I \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & C & -AX'' & -XB \\ 0 & & & B \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \tag{3}$$

and so the matrices of (2) are equivalent.

*Theorem 2.* If the matrices of (2) are similar and there exist non-singular constant matrices  $P, Q$  such that  $PAP^{-1}, QBQ^{-1}$  are diagonal matrices exhibiting the invariant factors  $a_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, \alpha$ , and  $b_j, j = 1, 2, \dots, \beta$  of  $A, B$ , respectively, along the

---

\*Received October 12, 1959; revised manuscript received August 23, 1960.