where $h_{e}^{*}(z)$ is regular in $S_{e}$, including infinity, such that on $C$

$$
r e h_{e}^{*}(z)=g(x, y)-k \frac{\partial \phi_{e 0}^{*}}{\partial r}-\frac{l}{a} \frac{\partial \phi_{c 0}^{*}}{\partial \theta}-m \phi_{e 0}^{*}
$$

and $\Omega_{e}(z)$ is regular in $S_{i}$, having singularities only in $S_{e}$.
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## ON MATRIX DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS*

By JOHN JONES, JR., (Institute of Technology, Air University)
The purpose of this note is to obtain a necessary condition and a sufficient condition, of an algebraic nature, for the matrix differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A X^{\prime \prime}+X B=C \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all matrices considered are $n$-square, to have a single-valued solution. Capital letters denote matrices and prime denotes the derivative with respect to $x$. The elements of $A, B, C$ belong to the polynomial domain $\mathfrak{F}[x]$ of the field $\mathcal{F}$ of real numbers. I is the identity matrix.

Theorem 1. If a solution matrix $X$ of Eq. (1) exists, then the following pair of matrices

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & C  \tag{2}\\
0 & B
\end{array}\right], \quad\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

are equivalent.
Proof. Clearly

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -X  \tag{3}\\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & C \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I & -X^{\prime \prime} \\
0 & I
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
A & C & -A X^{\prime \prime} \\
0 & -X B \\
0 & B &
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]
$$

and so the matrices of (2) are equivalent.
Theorem 2. If the matrices of (2) are similar and there exist non-singular constant matrices $P, Q$ such that $P A P^{-1}, Q B Q^{-1}$ are diagonal matrices exhibiting the invariant factors $a_{i}, i=1,2, \cdots, \alpha$, and $b_{i}, j=1,2, \cdots, \beta$ of $A, B$, respectively, along the

[^0]main diagonal, then there exists a solution $X$ of Eq. (1) for $-\infty<a \leq x \leq b<+\infty$ except at the zeros of the invariant factors of $A$.

Since the matrices of (2) are similar,

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P & 0 \\
0 & Q
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{ll}
A & C \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & Q^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P A P^{-1} & P C Q^{-1} \\
0 & Q B Q^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=M}  \tag{4}\\
& {\left[\begin{array}{ll}
P & 0 \\
0 & Q
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
0 & B
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & Q^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
P A P^{-1} & 0 \\
0 & Q B Q^{-1}
\end{array}\right]=N}
\end{align*}
$$

and the matrices $M, N$ are similar. Form the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
D U^{\prime \prime}+U F=G \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=P A P^{-1}, F=Q B Q^{-1}, G=P C Q^{-1}$. Consider Eq. (5) element-wise, namely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{i i} u_{i i}^{\prime \prime}+u_{i i} f_{i j}=g_{i i}, \quad(i, j=1,2, \cdots, n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{i j}^{\prime \prime} \varepsilon U^{\prime \prime}, u_{i j} \varepsilon U, d_{i i} \varepsilon D, f_{i j} \varepsilon F$, and $g_{i j} \varepsilon G$.
Next to show that Eq. (6) always has a solution. W. E. Roth* has shown that if the matrices of (2) are equivalent, then for the elements $g_{i j}$ of $G$ : (i) $g_{i i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, $1 \leq j \leq \beta$, is a multiple of the greatest common factor of $d_{i i}$ and $f_{i j}$; (ii) $g_{i j}$ for $1 \leq i \leq \alpha$, $\beta<j \leq n$, is a multiple of $d_{i,}$; (iii) $g_{i j}$, for $\alpha<i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq \beta$, is a multiple of $f_{i j}$; (iv) $g_{i j}$, for $\alpha<i \leq n, \beta<j \leq n$, is identically zero. Thus $g_{i j}$ cannot be different from zero when both $d_{i i}, f_{i i}$ are identically zero, and in each of the four cases above, (6) has a solution.

Let $U=P X Q^{-1}$, then $U^{\prime \prime}=P X^{\prime \prime} Q^{-1}=\left(P X Q^{-1}\right)^{\prime \prime}$, so Eq. (5) may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P A P^{-1}\right)\left(P X^{\prime \prime} Q^{-1}\right)+\left(P X Q^{-1}\right)\left(Q B Q^{-1}\right)=P C Q^{-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying (8) on the left by $P^{-1}$ and on the right by $Q$, we have Eq. (1); thus $X=$ $P^{-1} U Q$ is a solution of Eq. (1).

## FURTHER PROPERTIES OF CERTAIN CLASSES OF TRANSFER FUNCTIONS: II**

By A. H. ZEMANIAN (College of Engineering, New York Universiiy)
This note is a sequel to a previously published paper [1]. The notation and terminology used here is the same as before. The purpose of this note is to point out a consequence of some previously published results [1, 2], which is immediately applicable to rational transfer functions that have no poles in the right-half plane and have at least twice as many poles as zeros. Such transfer functions arise quite commonly in physical systems.
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[^0]:    *Received October 12, 1959; revised manuscript received August 23, 1960.

[^1]:    ${ }^{*}$ W. E. Roth, The Equation $A X-Y B=C$, and $A X-X B=C$ in Matrices, Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 3, 392-396 (1952).
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