UNIQUENESS RESULT FOR AN UNKNOWN COEFFICIENT IN A NONLINEAR DIFFUSION PROBLEM*

Βy

M. CHOULLI

Laboratoire de Mathématiques, Besançon, France

Abstract. In this article we develop a number of monotonicity estimates of the solution to certain nonlinear diffusion equations. These estimates are then applied to prove a uniqueness result for an unknown diffusion coefficient from overspecified data measured on the boundary. Techniques presented here are based on arguments using the maximum principle.

1. Introduction. For real positive constants T, μ , ν , and 0 < r < 1, we define

$$A = \{a \text{ in } C^{2}(\mathbf{R}), \nu \leq a(s) \leq \mu, \text{ for each } s \text{ in } \mathbf{R} \},\$$

$$D_{1} = \{h \text{ in } C^{1+r}[0, T], h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h(t) > 0, 0 < t \leq T \},\$$

$$D_{2,1} = \{h \text{ in } C^{2+r}[0, T], h(0) = 0 \text{ and } h' \text{ in } D_{1} \}.$$

Let g in $D_{2,1}$ be given and let u = u(x,t) be the solution $C^{2,1}$ of the nonlinear problem:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \partial_{t}u = a(u)\partial_{xx}u, & \text{in } Q_{T} = [0,1] \times [0,T], & \text{for } T > 0, \\ u(x,0) = 0, & 0 \le x \le 1, \\ -\partial_{x}u(0,t) = g(t), & 0 \le t \le T, \\ \partial_{x}u(1,t) = 0, & 0 \le t \le T. \end{array}$$
(1.1)

Set

$$M=\max_{Q_T}u_{\mu}(x,t),$$

where $u_{\mu} = u_{\mu}(x, t)$ denotes the solution of the problem (1.1) in case that a is equal to μ .

DEFINITION. We say that (a_1, a_2) in A^2 satisfies the property (D) if one of the following conditions is realized:

1) $a_1(0) \neq a_2(0);$

2) there exist s_0 and s_1 satisfying $0 \le s_0 < s_1 \le M$ such that $a_1(s) = a_2(s)$, $0 \le s \le s_0$, and $a_1(s) \ne a_2(s)$, $s_0 < s \le s_1$;

3) $a_1 = a_2$ in [0, M].

The principal goal of this paper is to prove the following uniqueness theorem.

^{*}Received July 12, 1988.

THEOREM 1.1. Let a_1 , a_2 in A satisfy the property (D), and let $u_i = u_i(x, t)$ denote the solution of the problem (1.1) in case that $a = a_i$, i = 1, 2. If $u_1(0, t) = u_2(0, t)$, $0 \le t \le T$, then

$$M_1 = M_2 = N$$
 and $a_1(s) = a_2(s), \ 0 \le s \le N$,

where $M_i = \max_{O_T} u_i(x, t), i = 1, 2$.

The conditions we assume for the unknown coefficient appear to be weaker than those found in [3] and the results more powerful.

The identifiability problem for the diffusion coefficient in the nonlinear parabolic equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions is treated by the author in [1,2] and Duchateau in [3].

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 is devoted to establishing some monotonicity estimates for the solution of the problem (1.1). In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1.

2. Monotonicity estimates. To prove Theorem 1.1 we need some properties regarding the solution u = u(x, t) of problem (1.1) and its derivative $\partial_{xx}u$. We obtain those properties by using the following proposition.

PROPOSITION 2.1. Let z = z(x, t) in $C^{2,1}(Q_T)$ be the solution of the problem

$\alpha(x,t)\partial_{xx}z+\beta(x,t)z-\partial_tz=0,$	in Q_T ,
z(x,0)=0,	$0 \le x \le 1,$
$-\partial_x z(0,t) = p(t),$	$0\leq t\leq T,$
$\partial_x z(1,t) = 0,$	$0 \le t \le T$.

For α in $C^1(Q_T)$, β in $C^{r,r/2}(Q_T)$, $\alpha_0 \leq \alpha(x,t)$, where α_0 is some positive constant, and p in D_1 , we have then

$$z(x,t) > 0, \quad 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 < t \le T.$$

This proposition follows from the strong maximum principle.

PROPOSITION 2.2. Let a in A. If u = u(x, t) is function class $C^{2,1}$ and satisfies (1.1), then

$$0 \le u_{\nu}(x,t) \le u(x,t) \le u_{\mu}(x,t)$$
 in Q_T . (2.1)

 u_{ν} denotes the solution of the problem (1.1) in case that a is equal to ν . In addition $0 \le u(x, t) \le M$ in Q_T .

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 2.1 [1] and is omitted.

The problem of existence and uniqueness for the problem (1.1) is developed in [2,4].

PROPOSITION 2.3. Let u = u(x, t) denote the solution of problem (1.1) corresponding to a in A. Then

$$\partial_{xx} u(x,t) > 0, \qquad 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 < t \le T.$$

Proof. Let

$$v = \partial_t u, \text{ in } Q_T,$$

 $L = (a \circ u)(x, t)\partial_{xx} - \partial_t,$

and

$$h(x,t) = (a' \circ u)(x,t)u(x,t) \quad \text{in } Q_T.$$

v is then the solution of the following problem:

L[v] + hv = 0,	in Q_T ,
v(x,0)=0,	$0\leq x\leq 1,$
$-\partial_x v(0,t) = g'(t),$	$0\leq t\leq T,$
$\partial_x v(1,t) = 0,$	$0 \leq t \leq T$.

By Proposition 2.1 applied to v, we have

$$v(x,t) > 0, \qquad 0 \le x \le 1, \quad 0 < t \le T.$$

Thus,

$$\partial_{xx}u(x,t) = \partial_t u(x,t)[(a \circ u)(x,t)]^{-1} = v(x,t)[(a \circ u)(x,t)]^{-1}$$

in Q_T , and the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 2.4. The solution u = u(x,t) of problem (1.1) for a in A satisfies $u(x,t) \le u(0,t)$ in Q_T .

Proof. From Proposition 2.3 the function $h = u(0, \cdot)$ has a positive first derivative. For z(x, t) = u(x, t) - h(t) in Q_T , we have then

$(a \cdot u)(x,t)\partial_{xx}z - \partial_t z = h'(t) \ge 0,$	in Q_T ,
z(x,0)=0,	$0\leq x\leq 1,$
z(0,t)=0,	$0\leq t\leq T,$
$\partial_x z(1,t) = 0,$	$0 \leq t \leq T$.

The application of the maximum principle to z yields to $z \leq 0$ in Q_T , and the proposition is proved.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. The equality $M_1 = M_2$ follows directly from Proposition 2.4 and $u_1(0, \cdot) = u_2(0, \cdot)$.

Now, we prove that

 $a_1 \neq a_2$ in [0, N] implies that $u_1(0, \cdot) \neq u_2(0, \cdot)$ in]0, T].

 a_1 , a_2 satisfies property (D). Then, without loss of generality, we suppose that

- i) $a_1(0) > a_2(0)$, or
- ii) there exist two constants s_0 and s_1 , $0 \le s_0 < s_1 \le N$, such that $a_1(s) = a_2(s)$ in $[0, s_0]$ and $a_1(s) > a_2(s)$ in $]s_0, s_1]$.

From the mean value theorem, remarking that $u_2(0, T) = N$ and $u_2(0, \cdot)$ is a strictly increasing function, we obtain:

For each s_0 and s_1 , $0 \le s_0 < s_1 \le N$, there exist t_1 and T_1 such that

$$s_0 + \varepsilon \leq u_2(0, t) \leq s_1, \qquad t_1 \leq t \leq T_1,$$

with $s_0 + \varepsilon < s_1$.

But, u(x, t) is twice continuously differentiable on x. Then

$$u_2(x,t) \ge u_2(0,t) - \varepsilon/2, \qquad 0 \le x \le x_{\varepsilon}, \quad t_1 \le t \le T_1,$$

with $x_{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon (2 \max_{O_T} |\partial_x u(x, t)|)^{-1}$. Hence,

$$s_0 + \varepsilon/2 \le u_2(x, t) \le s_1, \qquad 0 \le s \le x_\varepsilon, \quad t_1 \le t \le T_1,$$

and F, defined by

$$F = [a_1(u_2(x,t)) - a_2(u_2(x,t))]\partial_{xx}u_2(x,t)\exp(\lambda t) \quad \text{in } Q_{T_1}, \tag{3.1}$$

satisfies

$$F(x,t)\geq 0 \quad \text{ in } Q_{T_1},$$

and

$$F(x,t) > 0$$
 in $[0, x_{\varepsilon}] \times [t_1, T_1]$.

Let $w = (u_1 - u_2) \exp(\lambda t)$ in Q_{T_1} . Then w is the solution of the following linear parabolic problem:

$L[w] + (h(x,t) + \lambda)w = -F(x,t)$	in Q_{T_1} ,
w(x,0)=0,	$0 \le x \le 1$,
$\partial_x w(0,t) = 0,$	$0\leq t\leq T_1,$
$\partial_x w(1,t) = 0,$	$0\leq t\leq T_1,$

where

$$L=(a_1\circ u_1)\partial_{xx}-\partial_t,$$

F is defined by (3.1), and h is some bounded function of the form

$$h(x,t) = (a_1 \circ c)(x,t)\partial_{xx}u_2(x,t) \quad \text{in } Q_{T_1}.$$

If we choose λ satisfying $\lambda > \max_{Q_T} h(x, t)$, we obtain, by application of the strong maximum principle to w, that there exists at least one t^* in [0, T] such that

$$u_1(0,t^*) - u_2(0,t^*) = \exp(-\lambda t^*)w(0,t^*) > 0,$$

and the proof is complete.

References

- M. Choulli, Identifiability of an unknown coefficient in a nonlinear diffusion equation, Quart. Appl. Math. 47, 9-16 (1989)
- [2] M. Choulli, Identifiabilité d'un paramètre dans une équation parabolique non linéaire monodimensionelle, thèse de troisième cycle, Université Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, 1985
- [3] P. Duchateau, Boundary behavior and monotonicity estimates for solutions to nonlinear diffusion equations, Rocky Mountain J. Math. 15, 769-786 (1985)
- [4] O. A. Ladyzhenskaya, V. A. Solonnikov, and N. N. Uralceva, Linear and Quasi-linear Equations of Parabolic Type, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1968
- [5] M. Protter and H. Weinberger, Maximum Principles in Differential Equations, Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, New Jersey, 1967