

## A NONSTATIONARY PROBLEM IN THE THEORY OF ELECTROLYTES

By

A. KRZYWICKI AND T. NADZIEJA

*Wroclaw University, Wroclaw, Poland*

**Abstract.** The equations describing the density of ions which appear in the theory of electrolytes take the form  $f_t = f_{xx} + (fu_x)_x$ ,  $u_{xx} = -f$ , in the one-dimensional case. In the paper the existence of solutions and their behaviour as time goes to infinity is discussed.

Consider an electrolyte consisting of identical ions which move under the influence of mutual interactions and which are contained in a subdomain  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ . The temporal development of the charge density  $f(t, x)$  and of the potential of electric field  $u(t, x)$  is described by the following system of equations (see [1]):

$$f_t = \Delta f + \operatorname{div}(f\nabla u), \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta u = -4\pi f. \quad (2)$$

For simplicity we put all physical constants equal to one.

One usually assumes the boundary conditions

$$(\nabla f + f\nabla u)\nu|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (3)$$

where  $\nu$  denotes the exterior normal of  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad (4)$$

and the initial condition

$$f(0, x) = f_0(x). \quad (5)$$

Condition (3) implies that the total charge  $\gamma = \int_{\Omega} f$  of ions in  $\Omega$  remains unchanged for all  $t \geq 0$ .

The physicists claim that as time  $t$  goes to infinity,  $f$  and  $u$  go to the stationary states  $f_{\infty}$  and  $u_{\infty}$ , respectively. Moreover,  $f_{\infty}$  has the Boltzmann form,  $f_{\infty} = \exp(-u_{\infty})$  with  $u_{\infty}$  satisfying the Poisson-Boltzmann equation

$$\Delta u_{\infty} = -\exp(-u_{\infty}) \quad (6)$$

and  $\int_{\Omega} \exp(-u_{\infty}) = \gamma$ .

Received August 21, 1990.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 35Q53.

*Key words and phrases.* System of parabolic-elliptic equations, existence of solutions, electrolytes.

©1992 Brown University

We will prove all these facts by elementary methods in the one-dimensional case when Eqs. (1) and (2) take the form

$$f_t = f_{xx} + (fu_x)_x, \quad (7)$$

$$u_{xx} = -f. \quad (8)$$

To make our problem as simple as possible we take  $\Omega = (-1, 1)$  and assume that  $f_0$  in (5) is an even function. The invariance of Eqs. (7), (8) with respect to the transformation  $x \rightarrow -x$  allows us to infer that the solutions  $f, u$  also have this property and thus we can replace  $(-1, 1)$  by  $(0, 1)$  with boundary conditions

$$u_x(t, 0) = 0, \quad f_x(t, 0) = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$u(t, 1) = 0, \quad (10)$$

$$f_x(t, 1) + f(t, 1)u_x(t, 1) = 0, \quad (11)$$

and the initial condition

$$f(0, x) = f_0(x). \quad (12)$$

Note that using (8) and (9) we can replace  $u_x(t, 1)$  appearing in (11) by  $-\int_0^1 f(t, y) dy$ . We assume that  $f_0$  is smooth, positive, and satisfies the compatibility condition  $f_x(0) = 0$ ,  $f_x(1) - f_0(1) \int_0^1 f_0(s) ds = 0$  necessary for the regularity of  $f$ , which is assumed to be a classical solution of (7)–(12).

**THEOREM.** The problem (7)–(12) has a unique solution  $f(t, x), u(t, x), u(t, x) \rightarrow u_\infty(x), f(t, x) \rightarrow \exp(-u_\infty(x))$  as  $t$  goes to  $\infty$  and  $u_\infty$  satisfies  $(u_\infty)'' = -\exp(-u_\infty)$ . Moreover,  $\int_0^1 \exp(-u_\infty) = \int_0^1 f_0$ .

*Proof.* Condition (11) implies that if  $f$  is a solution of our problem, then  $\int_0^1 f = \sigma = \text{const}$ . This fact will be used below. Put  $Q(t, x) = \int_0^x f(t, s) ds$ . It is easy to verify that  $Q$  satisfies Burgers' equation

$$Q_t = Q_{xx} - QQ_x \quad (13)$$

and the relations

$$Q(t, 0) = 0, \quad Q(t, 1) = \sigma, \quad Q_0(x) = Q(0, x) = \int_0^x f_0(s) ds. \quad (14)$$

Let us introduce a new function  $v$  given by the formula

$$v(t, x) = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x Q(t, s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t Q_x(\tau, 0) d\tau\right),$$

which is a slight modification of a transformation due to E. Hopf. Proceeding as usual one shows that the function  $v$  is a solution of the problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= v_{xx}, \\ v_x(t, 0) &= 0, \quad v_x(t, 1) + \frac{\sigma}{2} v(t, 1) = 0, \\ v(0, x) &= \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \int_0^x Q_0(s) ds\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

We also have  $Q = -2v_x/v$ . Therefore  $Q$  is a solution of (13), (14) if  $v > 0$ . From the last relation in (15) our supposition holds true if the argument  $t$  is sufficiently

small, say  $t < t_0$ . Suppose that  $v(t_0, x_0) = 0$  for some  $x_0 \in [0, 1]$ . The case  $x_0 \in (0, 1)$  would contradict the strong maximum principle, and the cases  $x_0 = 0$  or  $x_0 = 1$  would contradict Hopf's lemma [2]; therefore  $v > 0$ . A similar application of the maximum principle shows that  $v_x \leq 0$ , hence  $Q \geq 0$ . To show that  $f = Q_x > 0$  we first note that the equation satisfied by  $f$  is of the form  $f_t = af_{xx} + bf$  with  $a, b$  continuous; therefore the same arguments as before may be applied [2].

The standard Fourier method allows us to represent the solution  $v$  in the form  $v(t, x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n^2 t) \cos(\lambda_n x)$ , where the  $\lambda_n$  are positive solutions of the equation  $\lambda = \frac{\sigma}{2} \cotan \lambda$ .

Now

$$-\frac{1}{2}Q = \frac{v}{v_x} = -\frac{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n^2 t) \lambda_n \sin(\lambda_n x)}{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n^2 t) \cos(\lambda_n x)},$$

hence  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q(t, x) \rightarrow 2\lambda_1 \tan \lambda_1 x$  due to  $a_1 > 0$ . In a similar way we find  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} f(t, x) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} Q_x(t, x) = f_{\infty}(x)$ , where  $f_{\infty}(x) = 2\lambda_1^2 / \cos \lambda_1 x$ . It is easy to verify that the function  $u_{\infty}(x) = -\ln f_{\infty}(x)$  satisfies the equation  $u'' = -\exp(-u)$  with  $\int_0^1 \exp(-u) = \sigma$ , which finishes the proof.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] P. Debye and E. Hückel, *Zur Theorie der Electrolyte*. II, Phys. Zft. **24**, 305-325 (1923)
- [2] A. Friedman, *Partial Differential Equations of Parabolic Type*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J., 1964