

THE GROUPS OF STEINER IN PROBLEMS OF CONTACT*

BY

LEONARD EUGENE DICKSON

1. The problems of contact discussed by STEINER † and HESSE † were investigated from a more general standpoint by CLEBSCH in his paper on the application of Abelian functions to geometry. ‡ A study of the groups of these geometrical problems has been made by JORDAN. § One of the most interesting of these groups was shown by JORDAN to be holodrically isomorphic with the first hypoabelian linear group, which plays so important a rôle in various geometrical questions and in the problem of the construction of all solvable groups. As the proof (*Traité*, pp. 229–249) is quite complicated, it seemed to the writer worth while to publish the elementary proof given below of the isomorphism in question. No use will be made of the JORDAN substitutions $[a_1, \beta_1, \dots, a_p, \beta_p]$, neither the origin nor the interpretation of which is apparent.

2. The theorem that there are 28 bitangents to a curve of the fourth order has been generalized by CLEBSCH (l. c., § 8) as follows: Let C_n be a curve of order n having no double points and set $p = \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(n-2)$. *There are $2^{p-1}(2^p-1)$ curves of order $n-3$ having simple contact with C_n at $\frac{1}{2}n(n-3)$ points.* The determination of these curves depends upon an equation E of degree $R_p \equiv 2^{2p-1} - 2^{p-1}$, whose roots may be represented by the symbol $(x_1 y_1 \dots x_p y_p)$, where $x_1, y_1, \dots, x_p, y_p$ may be 0 or 1, such that

$$(1) \quad x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_p y_p \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Let μ be any integer, $\mu \equiv R_p$, such that $\mu(n-3)/2$ is also an integer, and consider the μ roots

$$(x'_1 y'_1 \dots x'_p y'_p), \dots, (x_1^{(\mu)} y_1^{(\mu)} \dots x_p^{(\mu)} y_p^{(\mu)}).$$

CLEBSCH proved that the points of contact of C_n with the corresponding μ

* Presented to the Society (Chicago) April 6, 1901, in connection with a paper entitled "Representation of linear groups as transitive substitution groups." Received for publication May 4, 1901.

† *Journal für Mathematik*, vol. 49 (1855).

‡ *Ibid.*, vol. 63 (1864), pp. 189–243.

§ *Traité des substitutions*, pp. 329–333, 305–308, 229–249.

curves all lie on a curve of order $\mu(n - 3)/2$, if the following congruences hold simultaneously :

$$(2) \quad x'_i + x''_i + \dots + x^{(\mu)}_i \equiv 0, \quad y'_i + y''_i + \dots + y^{(\mu)}_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \quad (i = 1, \dots, p).$$

Let ϕ_μ denote the sum of the products of the R_p roots taken μ at a time. According to a general principle,* the substitutions of the group G of the equation E will leave the function ϕ_μ invariant. If n be even, μ can have only even values, so that G is a subgroup of the group† which leaves ϕ_4, ϕ_6, \dots invariant. If n be odd, μ can be any integer such that $2 < \mu \leq R_p$, and the group G is contained in the group G'_1 defined by the invariants $\phi_3, \phi_4, \dots, \phi_{R_p}$. We are to prove that G'_1 is holoedrally isomorphic with the first hypoabelian group G_0 on $2p$ indices with coefficients taken modulo 2.

3. The first hypoabelian group G_0 is formed by the substitutions

$$S: \quad \xi'_i = \sum_{j=1}^p (\alpha_{ij} \xi_j + \gamma_{ij} \eta_j), \quad \eta'_i = \sum_{j=1}^p (\beta_{ij} \xi_j + \delta_{ij} \eta_j) \quad (i = 1, \dots, p),$$

with coefficients taken modulo 2, which leave formally invariant the function

$$\theta \equiv \xi_1 \eta_1 + \xi_2 \eta_2 + \dots + \xi_p \eta_p.$$

As generators of G_0 , we may take

$$(3) \quad M_i \equiv (\xi_i \eta_i), \quad N_{ij} : \xi'_i = \xi_i + \eta_j, \quad \xi'_j = \xi_j + \eta_i,$$

where we have written only the indices altered by the substitution.

The substitution S replaces the function

$$f = \sum_{i=1}^p (x_i \xi_i + y_i \eta_i)$$

by

$$f' = \sum_{i=1}^p (x'_i \xi_i + y'_i \eta_i), \quad x'_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p (\alpha_{ji} x_j + \beta_{ji} y_j), \quad y'_i \equiv \sum_{j=1}^p (\gamma_{ji} x_j + \delta_{ji} y_j).$$

The x'_i, y'_i are expressed in terms of x_j, y_j by formulæ which define a matrix of coefficients identical with the transposed of the matrix of the coefficients of S . Hence these formulæ define a substitution of the group G_0 (as shown by the explicit conditions on the coefficients of a first hypoabelian substitution).‡ Hence

$$(4) \quad x'_1 y'_1 + x'_2 y'_2 + \dots + x'_p y'_p = x_1 y_1 + x_2 y_2 + \dots + x_p y_p.$$

* Compare JORDAN, *Traité*, no. 421.

† Shown by JORDAN, nos. 319-335, to be holoedrally isomorphic with the Abelian linear group on $2p$ indices with coefficients taken modulo 2.

‡ Cf. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, vol. 4 (1898), pp. 495-510.

This result may also be shown by considering the generators (3). In fact, M_1 and N_{12} replace the function f by, respectively,

$$y_1\xi_1 + x_1\eta_1 + \sum_{i=2}^p (x_i\xi_i + y_i\eta_i),$$

$$x_1\xi_1 + (y_1 + x_2)\eta_1 + x_2\xi_2 + (y_2 + x_1)\eta_2 + \sum_{j=3}^p (x_j\xi_j + y_j\eta_j).$$

In view of (4), it follows that S permutes amongst themselves the functions f in which $x_1y_1 + \dots + x_p y_p = 1$. In place of the functions f , we may employ the positional symbols $(x_1y_1 \dots x_p y_p)$ of § 2. Hence G_0 is isomorphic with a substitution-group Γ on these R_p symbols. Moreover, the isomorphism is holoedric and the group Γ is transitive; these results are readily proved.*

4. We may write the functions ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 as follows:

$$\phi_3 = \sum (x'_1 y'_1 \dots x'_p y'_p)(x''_1 y''_1 \dots x''_p y''_p)(x'_1 + x''_1 y'_1 + y''_1 \dots x'_p + x''_p y'_p + y''_p),$$

$$\phi_4 = \sum (x'_1 y'_1 \dots)(x''_1 y''_1 \dots)(x'''_1 y'''_1 \dots)(x'_1 + x''_1 + x'''_1 y'_1 + y''_1 + y'''_1 \dots),$$

the summations extending over all the symbols $(x'_1 y'_1 \dots), (x''_1 y''_1 \dots), (x'''_1 y'''_1 \dots)$, such that the final term is, in each case, a symbol. Thus, for ϕ_3 ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^p x'_i y'_i \equiv 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x''_i y''_i \equiv 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p (x'_i + x''_i)(y'_i + y''_i) \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Let G_1 be the group of STEINER composed of all substitutions on the R_p symbols $(x_1y_1 \dots x_p y_p)$ which leave ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 invariant.† We first show that G_1 contains the group Γ as a subgroup. In fact, M_1 replaces the general term (written above) of ϕ_3 by

$$(y'_1 x'_1 x'_2 y'_2 \dots)(y''_1 x''_1 x''_2 y''_2 \dots)(y'_1 + y''_1 x'_1 + x''_1 x'_2 + x''_2 y'_2 + y''_2 \dots),$$

which is also a term of ϕ_3 . Similarly, M_i and N_{ij} leave ϕ_3 and ϕ_4 invariant. Hence G_1 contains all the generators of Γ . The next step consists in the proof that every substitution of G_1 belongs to Γ . From the two results we may then conclude that $G_1 \equiv \Gamma$, so that G_1 and the first hypoabelian group G_0 will be proved holoedrally isomorphic.

5. Let L be an arbitrary substitution of G_1 and denote by f_1 the symbol which L replaces by $(00\ 11\ 00 \dots 00)$. Then Γ , being transitive, contains a substitution L' which replaces f_1 by $(00\ 11 \dots 00)$. Hence $M \equiv L'^{-1}L$ will belong to G_1 and will leave $(00\ 11 \dots 00)$ fixed. Since M does not alter ϕ_3 , it

* American Journal of Mathematics, vol. 23 (1901), pp. 337-377, § 26.

† It appears in the sequel that $G_1 \equiv G'_1$, the latter (§2) leaving $\phi_3, \phi_4, \dots, \phi_{R_p}$ invariant.

will leave invariant the function ϕ'_3 given by the sum of those terms in ϕ_3 which contain the factor $(00\ 11\ \dots\ 00)$:

$$\phi'_3 \equiv \sum (00\ 11\ 00\ \dots)(x_1 y_1\ x_2 y_2\ x_3 y_3\ \dots)(x_1 y_1\ x_2 + 1\ y_2 + 1\ x_3 y_3\ \dots),$$

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad \sum'_{i=1} x_i y_i + (x_2 + 1)(y_2 + 1) \equiv 1 \pmod{2},$$

where the accent denotes that the value $i = 2$ is excluded. Hence $x_2 + y_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. Note that every set of solutions of (5) makes the three symbols in every triple of ϕ'_3 all different. Hence M leaves invariant

$$\psi \equiv \sum (x_1 y_1\ x_2 y_2\ x_3 y_3\ \dots)(x_1 y_1\ x_2 + 1\ y_2 + 1\ x_3 y_3\ \dots).$$

6. Hence M permutes amongst themselves the N symbols * *not* contained in the function ψ and different from $(00\ 11\ 00\ \dots)$, namely, the symbols $(x_1 y_1\ x_2 y_2\ \dots)$ for which, in contrast to (5),

$$(6) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i + x_2 + y_2 + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence $x_2 + y_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$. We next prove that the substitutions of Γ which leave fixed $(00\ 11\ \dots)$ permute transitively the N symbols defined by (6). Among them occurs $(10\ 11\ 00\ \dots\ 00)$. We are to prove that Γ contains a substitution Σ leaving fixed the symbol $(00\ 11\ \dots\ 00)$ and replacing $(10\ 11\ \dots\ 00)$ by an arbitrary symbol $(x_1 y_1\ \dots\ x_p y_p)$ in which

$$(6') \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad x_2 \equiv y_2 \pmod{2}.$$

In view of § 3, we may think of the literal substitutions of Γ as linear hypoabelian substitutions on $\xi_1, \eta_1, \dots, \xi_p, \eta_p$. We are therefore to prove that there exists a first hypoabelian substitution S which leaves $\xi_2 + \eta_2$ fixed and replaces $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \eta_2$ by

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (x_i \xi_i + y_i \eta_i),$$

subject to (6'). Hence S must leave $\xi_2 + \eta_2$ fixed and replace ξ_1 by

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (x_i \xi_i + y_i \eta_i) - \xi_2 - \eta_2, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i + (x_2 - 1)(y_2 - 1) \equiv 0, \quad x_2 \equiv y_2 \pmod{2}.$$

* The number $N = R_p - 2R_{p-1} - 1 \equiv 2^{2p-2} - 1$. Indeed, the number of sets of solutions of (5) equals the number of sets of solutions of

$$\sum'_{i=1} x_i y_i \equiv 1,$$

since $(x_2 + 1)x_2$ is even, which number is evidently R_{p-1} .

7. Changing the notation, we are to prove that G_0 contains a substitution S_1 which leaves $\xi_1 + \eta_1$ fixed and replaces ξ_2 by

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (X_i \xi_i + Y_i \eta_i), \quad \sum_{i=1}^p X_i Y_i \equiv 0, \quad X_1 \equiv Y_1 \pmod{2}.$$

If $X_1 \equiv 0$, we take as S_1 a substitution * which leaves ξ_1 and η_1 fixed and replaces ξ_2 by

$$\sum_{i=2}^p (X_i \xi_i + Y_i \eta_i), \quad \sum_{i=2}^p X_i Y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

If $X_1 \not\equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, then $X_2, Y_2, \dots, X_p, Y_p$ are not all zero. Applying a suitable transformation on ξ_2, \dots, ξ_p , we may suppose that $X_2 \neq 0$. Now G_0 contains the following substitution leaving $\xi_1 + \eta_1$ invariant:

$$W \equiv Q_{21a} N_{12a}: \begin{cases} \xi'_1 = \xi_1 + a\eta_2, & \eta'_1 = \eta_1 + a\eta_2, \\ \xi'_2 = \xi_2 + a\xi_1 + a\eta_1 + a^2\eta_2, & \eta'_2 = \eta_2. \end{cases}$$

Also G_0 contains the substitution V which leaves ξ_1 and η_1 fixed and replaces ξ_2 by

$$X_2 \xi_2 + (Y_2 + X_1^2/X_2) \eta_2 + \sum_{i=3}^p (X_i \xi_i + Y_i \eta_i),$$

since, in view of $X_1 = Y_1$,

$$X_2(Y_2 + X_1^2/X_2) + \sum_{i=3}^p X_i Y_i = X_1^2 + \sum_{i=2}^p X_i Y_i = \sum_{i=1}^p X_i Y_i = 0.$$

If we take $a = X_1/X_2$, the required substitution S_1 is the product WV .

8. It follows that $M = \Sigma P$, where P is a substitution of G_1 which leaves fixed the symbols $(00\ 11\ 00 \dots 00)$ and $(10\ 11\ 00 \dots 00)$. Hence P leaves invariant the two functions ϕ'_3 and ϕ''_3 formed respectively by those terms of ϕ_3 which contain $(00\ 11 \dots)$ and $(10\ 11 \dots)$ as a factor. Hence P leaves invariant the function ψ of §5 derived from ϕ'_3 , and the following function derived from ϕ''_3 :

$$\psi_1 \equiv \sum (x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots) (x_1 + 1 y_1 x_2 + 1 y_2 + 1 x_3 y_3 \dots).$$

Hence P will permute amongst themselves the symbols occurring in ψ_1 and not in ψ . These symbols $(x_1 y_1 \dots)$ are defined by

$$\sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i + (x_2 + 1)(y_2 + 1) \equiv 0,$$

$$(x_1 + 1)y_1 + (x_2 + 1)(y_2 + 1) + \sum_{i=3}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

* Bulletin, l. c., p. 498.

Hence there are 2^{2p-3} such symbols satisfying the conditions

$$(7) \quad \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad x_2 \equiv y_2, \quad y_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Among them occurs (01 11 00 ... 00). We next prove that Γ contains a substitution T which leaves fixed (00 11 ...), (10 11 ...) and replaces (01 11 ...) by an arbitrary symbol $(x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots)$ satisfying the conditions (7). We are to find a substitution T of the first hypoabelian group G_0 which leaves fixed $\xi_2 + \eta_2$ and $\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \eta_2$, but replaces $\eta_1 + \xi_2 + \eta_2$ by $x_1 \xi_1 + y_1 \eta_1 + x_2 \xi_2 + y_2 \eta_2 + \dots$ subject to the relations (7). Then T must leave fixed ξ_1 and $\xi_2 + \eta_2$, but replace η_1 by

$$x_1 \xi_1 + \eta_1 + (x_2 + 1) \xi_2 + (x_2 + 1) \eta_2 + \sum_{i=3}^p (x_i \xi_i + y_i \eta_i) \quad \left[x_1 + x_2 + \sum_{i=3}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1 \right].$$

Such a substitution belonging to G_0 is the following:

	ξ_1	η_1	ξ_2	η_2	ξ_3	η_3	\dots	ξ_p	η_p
$\xi'_1 =$	1	0	0	0	0	0	...	0	0
$\eta'_1 =$	x_1	1	$x_2 + 1$	$x_2 + 1$	x_3	y_3	\dots	x_p	y_p
$\xi'_2 =$	$x_2 + 1$	0	1	0	0	0	...	0	0
$\eta'_2 =$	$x_2 + 1$	0	0	1	0	0	...	0	0
$\xi'_3 =$	a_{31}	γ_{31}	a_{32}	γ_{32}	a_{33}	γ_{33}	\dots	a_{3p}	γ_{3p}
\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots	\dots
$\eta'_p =$	β_{p1}	δ_{p1}	β_{p2}	δ_{p2}	β_{p3}	δ_{p3}	\dots	β_{pp}	δ_{pp}

Since the coefficients of the first four rows satisfy the first hypoabelian conditions which affect those rows, there exist values of

$$a_{ij}, \gamma_{ij}, \beta_{ij}, \delta_{ij} \quad (i=3, \dots, p; j=1, \dots, p)$$

for the remaining $2p - 4$ rows which give rise to a first hypoabelian substitution.*

9. It follows that $P = TQ$, where Q is a substitution of G_1 which leaves fixed the symbols (00 11 00 ...), (10 11 00 ...), and (01 11 00 ...). Since Q leaves ϕ_4 fixed, it will leave invariant the functions τ, τ_1, τ_2 which occur in ϕ_4 each multiplied by the respective factors (00 11 ...)(10 11 ...), (00 11 ...)(01 11 ...), (10 11 ...)(01 11 ...), namely,

$$\begin{aligned} \tau &\equiv \sum (x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots)(x_1 + 1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots), \\ \tau_1 &\equiv \sum (x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots)(x_1 y_1 + 1 x_2 y_2 \dots), \\ \tau_2 &\equiv \sum (x_1 y_1 x_2 y_2 \dots)(x_1 + 1 y_1 + 1 x_2 y_2 \dots). \end{aligned}$$

*The successive generality theorem, American Journal, l. c.

Hence Q will permute amongst themselves the q symbols which occur in τ and τ_1 , but not in τ_2 , subject therefore to the conditions

$$\sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad (x_1 + 1)y_1 + \sum_{i=2}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1, \quad x_1(y_1 + 1) + \sum_{i=2}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1,$$

$$(x_1 + 1)(y_1 + 1) + \sum_{i=2}^p x_i y_i \equiv 0 \pmod{2}.$$

Hence

$$x_1 \equiv y_1, \quad x_1 y_1 \equiv 0, \quad \sum_{i=2}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

We obtain the q symbols*

$$(8) \quad (00 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots), \quad \sum_{i=2}^p x_i y_i \equiv 1.$$

10. The theorem that $G_1 = \Gamma$ may now be proved by induction from $2(p - 1)$ to $2p$ indices. We denote by $\phi_3^{(p-1)}, \phi_4^{(p-1)}, \dots$ the functions composed of those terms of ϕ_3, ϕ_4, \dots , respectively, which are formed exclusively of the symbols $(00 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots)$. We assume that every substitution which leaves fixed $\phi_3^{(p-1)}, \phi_4^{(p-1)}$ is derived from the substitutions of Γ_{p-1} , the first hypoabelian group on $p - 1$ pairs of indices; and proceed to prove that every substitution which leaves fixed ϕ_3, ϕ_4 is derived from the substitutions of $\Gamma \equiv \Gamma_p$. In view of the earlier sections we need only consider the substitutions of the form Q which permute amongst themselves the q symbols (8). Let Q' be the substitution derived from Q by retaining only the cycles on the q symbols. Since Q' leaves $\phi_3^{(p-1)}$ and $\phi_4^{(p-1)}$ invariant, it belongs to Γ_{p-1} by hypothesis. We proceed to show that $K \equiv Q Q'^{-1}$ reduces to the identity, so that the theorem will be proved. Now K leaves fixed every symbol $(00 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots)$, as well as $(01 11 00 \dots)$, and $(10 11 00 \dots)$. Hence it leaves fixed the fourth term of ϕ_4 in the products

$$(00 11 00 \dots)(10 11 00 \dots)(00 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots),$$

$$(00 11 00 \dots)(01 11 00 \dots)(00 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots),$$

which are $(10 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots)$ and $(01 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 \dots)$, respectively. Hence K leaves fixed the fourth term of ϕ_4 in the product

$$(10 1 + x_2 + x_3 y_3 0 11 00 \dots)(01 0 y_2 + 1 11 00 \dots)(00 1 + x_3 y_3 1 x_3 y_3 x_4 y_4 \dots),$$

which is $\sigma \equiv (11 x_2 y_2 x_3 y_3 x_4 y_4 \dots)$, where $x_4 y_4 + \dots + x_p y_p \equiv 0, x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 \equiv 0$. But, in every symbol $\sigma, x_2 y_2 + x_3 y_3 + x_4 y_4 + \dots + x_p y_p \equiv 0$. If there are any terms $\neq 0$, say $x_r y_r$ and $x_s y_s$, where $r > 1, s > 1, r \neq s$, then $x_r y_r + x_s y_s \equiv 0$

* Evidently, $q = R_{p-1} \equiv 2^{p-3} - 2^{p-2}$.

(mod 2). Such a symbol σ may be reached in a manner analogous to that by which was obtained the σ having $x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 \equiv 0$.

Since K leaves fixed every symbol of the forms

$$(00 x_2y_2 x_3y_3 \dots), (10 x_2y_2 x_3y_3 \dots), (01 x_2y_2 x_3y_3 \dots), (11 x_2y_2 x_3y_3 \dots),$$

it leaves every symbol fixed and is the identity.

11. The order Ω_p of the group $G_1 \equiv \Gamma$ may be derived from the preceding investigation. We have, for $p > 2$,

$$\Omega_p = R_p N 2^{2p-3} \Omega_{p-1} \div q \equiv (2^{2p-1} - 2^{p-1})(2^{2p-2} - 1) 2^{2p-3} \Omega_{p-1} \div (2^{2p-3} - 2^{p-2}),$$

upon substituting the values of R_p , N and q given in the notes to § 6 and § 9. The factor $\Omega_{p-1} \div q$ expresses the number of substitutions on the q symbols $(00 x_2y_2 x_3y_3 \dots)$ which leave invariant the symbol $(00 11 00 \dots)$. But Γ_{p-1} is transitive on these q symbols.

After simplification, we derive the recursion formula

$$\Omega_p = 2^{2p-2}(2^p - 1)(2^{p-1} + 1)\Omega_{p-1} \quad (p > 2).$$

The formula holds also for $p = 2$, if we take $\Omega_1 = 2$, as must be done in the case of Γ , the hypoabelian substitutions on ξ_1 and η_1 being M_1 and the identity. The definition of G_1 for $p = 1$ is delusive since $R_1 = 1$; but, for $p = 2$, G_1 is formed of the $36 \equiv 2(3!)^2$ substitutions on $R_2 = 6$ symbols which leave invariant

$$\phi_3 \equiv (00 11)(11 01)(11 10) + (11 00)(10 11)(01 11).$$

We readily find that*

$$\Omega_p = (2^p - 1) [(2^{2p-2} - 1) 2^{2p-2}] [(2^{2p-4} - 1) 2^{2p-4}] \dots [(2^2 - 1) 2^2] 2.$$

The factors of composition of Γ are known to be 2 and $\frac{1}{2}\Omega_p$, if $p > 2$.

12. The question of the generalization of the results of the paper from the field of integers taken modulo 2 to the Galois Field of order 2^m will be reserved for a later paper. It may be remarked that the results of §§ 3, 4, 5, and 7 are true for the $GF[2^m]$; but that the methods of §§ 6, 8, 9, and 10 would require essential modifications.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, April, 1901.

* This result is in accord with that obtained otherwise in the Bulletin, l. c.