

# ON ABSOLUTE CONVERGENCE OF MULTIPLE FOURIER SERIES

BY

S. MINAKSHISUNDARAM AND OTTO SZÁSZ

**Introduction.** The results of this paper are extensions of corresponding results for simple Fourier series, given by one of the authors (cf. [5])<sup>(1)</sup>. The main problem was to study the relationship between the mean modulus of a function  $f(x)$  and series of the type  $\sum |c_n|^\beta$ ,  $\beta > 0$ , where the  $c_n$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $f(x)$ . We obtain here analogous results, employing spherical means of a function of several variables. These means were first used by Bochner [1] in the study of summation of multiple Fourier series.

A particular result is: if  $a_{n_1 \dots n_k}$  are the Fourier coefficients of  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ , and  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition of degree  $\alpha$ , then  $\sum |a_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^\beta < \infty$  for  $\beta > 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$ , while the series may be divergent for  $\beta = 2\kappa/(\kappa + 2\alpha)$ . For some previous results concerning the absolute convergence of double Fourier series cf. [3].

1. **Notations.** We denote by capital letters vectors in the  $\kappa$ -dimensional space, so that  $X = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ ,  $N = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k)$ ;  $|N| = (\sum_1^k n_i^2)^{1/2}$  is the norm of  $N$ ;  $NX = \sum_1^k n_i x_i$  is the scalar product of  $N$  and  $X$ . The  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  are real variables, the  $n_1, \dots, n_k$  are integers.  $f(x_1, \dots, x_k) = f(X)$  is a real-valued integrable function of period  $2\pi$  in each variable. The formal Fourier series of  $f(X)$  is

$$(1.1) \quad f(X) \sim \sum_{n_1}^{-\infty, \infty} \dots \sum_{n_k} c_{n_1, \dots, n_k} e^{i(n_1 x_1 + \dots + n_k x_k)} = \sum c_N e^{iNX},$$

where

$$(1.2) \quad c_N = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\kappa} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \dots \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f(X) e^{-iNX} dX.$$

$J_\mu(x)$  is the Bessel function of order  $\mu \geq 0$ :

$$J_\mu(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \frac{(x/2)^{\mu+2\nu}}{\nu! \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)};$$

we put

$$\alpha_\mu(x) = \frac{2^\mu \Gamma(\mu + 1) J_\mu(x)}{x^\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} (-1)^\nu \frac{x^{2\nu} \Gamma(\mu + 1)}{4^\nu \nu! \Gamma(\mu + \nu + 1)},$$

---

Presented to the Society, April 27, 1946; received by the editors April 15, 1946.

<sup>(1)</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.

$$A_n(X) = \sum_{|N|^2=n} c_N \exp(iNX), \quad \text{so that} \quad f(X) \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(X).$$

We shall denote by  $\omega(t)$  a positive function of  $t$ , decreasing to zero as  $t \downarrow 0$ .

2. **Lemmas.** We give here some auxiliary theorems.

LEMMA 1. If  $R_\kappa(n)$  is the number of lattice points in the sphere  $\sum_1^n x_i^2 \leq n$ , then

$$(2.1) \quad R_\kappa(n) = O(n^{\kappa/2}) \equiv On^{\kappa/2}, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Actually the sharper estimate is known [cf. 2, p. 825]:

$$R_\kappa(n) = \frac{\pi^{\kappa/2} n^{\kappa/2}}{\Gamma(1 + \kappa/2)} + On^{\kappa(\kappa-1)/2(\kappa+1)}.$$

LEMMA 2. For  $\mu \geq 0$ ,  $x$  real or complex,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \alpha_\mu(x) &= \frac{2\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos(x \cos t) \sin^{2\mu} t dt \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^\pi e^{ix \cos t} \sin^{2\mu} t dt. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows on using the cosine series or exponential series and integrating termwise [6, pp. 47-48].

COROLLARY. For real  $x$

$$(2.3) \quad |\alpha_\mu(x)| \leq \frac{2\Gamma(\mu+1)}{\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2)} \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \alpha_\mu(0) = 1.$$

For  $\mu=0$ , (2.3) reduces to  $|J_0(x)| \leq 1$ , an inequality given by Hansen [6, p. 31].

LEMMA 3. For any  $u > 0$  and a corresponding constant  $b(u) > 0$ ,  $b(u) < 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < 2$  for  $x > u$ ; moreover

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) > \frac{x^2}{\pi^2(\mu+1)} \quad \text{for } 0 < x < \pi,$$

and

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < (x/2)^2 \frac{1}{\mu+1} \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

**Proof.** From (2.2) and (2.3), putting  $2\Gamma(\mu+1)/\Gamma(\mu+1/2)\Gamma(1/2) = \gamma(\mu)$ , we have

$$(2.4) \quad 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) = \gamma(\mu) \int_0^{\pi/2} \{1 - \cos(x \cos t)\} \sin^{2\mu} t dt > 0 \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

It is known that  $J_\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , hence  $\alpha_\mu(x) \rightarrow 0$ ; thus for some  $b(u) > 0$

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) > b(u) \quad \text{for } x > u.$$

Furthermore from (2.4) and (2.3)

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < 2\gamma(\mu) \int_0^{\pi/2} \sin^{2\mu} t dt = 2, \quad \text{for } x > 0.$$

Finally, for  $0 < x < \pi$ ,

$$1 - \cos(x \cos t) = 2 \sin^2 \left( \frac{x}{2} \cos t \right) \left\{ \begin{array}{l} > \frac{2x^2}{\pi^2} \cos^2 t \\ < \frac{x^2}{2} \cos^2 t, \end{array} \right.$$

hence

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \alpha_\mu(x) &> \frac{2\gamma(\mu)}{\pi^2} x^2 \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 t \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \frac{2\gamma(\mu)}{\pi^2} x^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\gamma(\mu)} - \frac{1}{\gamma(\mu+1)} \right\} \\ &= \frac{x^2}{\pi^2(\mu+1)}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$1 - \alpha_\mu(x) < \frac{\gamma(\mu)x^2}{2} \int_0^{\pi/2} \cos^2 t \sin^{2\mu} t dt = \frac{x^2}{4(\mu+1)};$$

this proves the lemma.

**LEMMA 4.** *Let  $h$  be real,  $r > 0$ ,  $\delta > 0$ , then the following statements are equivalent:*

$$(2.5) \quad \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r h - 1} \omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \infty,$$

$$(2.5') \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda r h} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \infty,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \int_1^{\infty} t^{h-1} \omega\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) dt < \infty.$$

**Proof.** We have for  $r h \geq 1$

$$2^{(\lambda-1)r h} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \sum_{\nu=2^{\lambda-1}}^{2^\lambda-1} \nu^{r h - 1} \omega(\delta \cdot \nu^{-r}) < 2^{\lambda r h} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-(\lambda-1)r}),$$

hence

$$2^{-r h} \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\lambda r h} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}) < \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r h - 1} \omega(\delta \cdot n^{-r}) < 2^{r h} \sum_0^{\infty} 2^{\lambda r h} \omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda r}),$$

with similar inequalities for  $rh < 1$ ; hence (2.5) and (2.5') are equivalent. We also have for  $rh \leq 1$

$$\int_n^{n+1} x^{rh-1}\omega(\delta x^{-r})dx < n^{rh-1}\omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \int_{n-1}^n x^{rh-1}\omega(\delta x^{-r})dx,$$

hence

$$\int_1^\infty x^{rh-1}\omega(\delta x^{-r})dx < \sum_1^\infty n^{rh-1}\omega(\delta n^{-r}) < \int_0^\infty x^{rh-1}\omega(\delta x^{-r})dx,$$

with similar inequalities for  $rh > 1$ ; the substitution  $x^r = \delta t$  yields the equivalence of (2.5) and (2.6). This proves the lemma.

In view of (2.6),  $r$  and  $\delta$  are not necessarily the same in the different statements.

COROLLARY. *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$\sum n^{(h/\kappa)-1}\omega(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) < \infty$$

and

$$\sum 2^{\lambda h/2}\omega(\delta \cdot 2^{-\lambda/2}) < \infty.$$

This follows on putting  $r = 1/\kappa$  in (2.5), and  $r = 1/2$  in (2.5').

LEMMA 5. *If  $a_r \geq 0$ , and  $r > 0$ , then the two statements are equivalent:*

$$(2.7) \quad \sum_1^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(2.8) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_r + \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_r = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$\delta$  being an arbitrary positive number.

Assume first that (2.8) holds; given  $t > 0$  choose

$$n = \lfloor \delta^2 t^{-2} \rfloor \leq \delta^2 t^{-2} < n + 1;$$

then from Lemma 3

$$\sum_1^{n+1} a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r < \frac{t^{2r}}{4^r(\mu + 1)^r} \sum_1^{n+1} \nu^r a_r = O t^{2r} n^r \omega(\delta(n + 1)^{-1/2}) = O\omega(t),$$

and

$$\sum_{n+2}^\infty a_r |1 - \alpha_\mu(t\nu^{1/2})|^r < 2 \sum_{n+2}^\infty a_r = O\omega(\delta(n + 1)^{-1/2}) = O\omega(t).$$

Conversely, if (2.7) holds, choose for a given  $n$  and  $\delta > 0$

$$t = \min (\pi n^{-1/2}, \delta n^{-1/2}),$$

then

$$\sum_1^n a_\nu |1 - \alpha_\mu (t\nu^{1/2})|^r > \frac{t^{2r}}{\pi^{2r}(\mu + 1)^r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_\nu,$$

hence

$$n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_\nu = O\omega(t) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

Furthermore, using again Lemma 3, we have

$$\sum_{n+1}^\infty a_\nu |1 - \alpha_\mu (t\nu^{1/2})|^r > b \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_\nu \quad (b \text{ a constant}),$$

hence

$$\sum_{n+1}^\infty a_\nu = O\omega(t) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

This proves the lemma. It follows that if (2.8) holds for some  $\delta > 0$ , it holds for any  $\delta > 0$ .

LEMMA 6. Assume that for some  $\delta > 0$

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^\infty \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda} n^{-1/2}) = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and let  $r > 0, a_\nu \geq 0$ ; then the following statements are equivalent:

$$(2.10) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_\nu + \sum_{n+1}^\infty a_\nu = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

$$(2.11) \quad n^{-r} \sum_1^n \nu^r a_\nu = O\omega(\delta n^{-1/2}),$$

$$(2.12) \quad \sum_1^\infty a_\nu |1 - \alpha_\mu (t\nu^{1/2})|^r = O\omega(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0.$$

The equivalence of (2.10) and (2.11) follows from Lemma (2.5) in [5]; the equivalence of (2.11) and (2.12) follows from Lemma 5. This proves Lemma 6.

LEMMA 7. Young-Hausdorff inequality. If  $1 < p \leq 2$ , and

$$f(X) \sim \sum c_N \exp (iNX),$$

then

$$(2.13) \quad \left\{ \sum |c_N|^{p'} \right\}^{1/p'} \leq M_p(f) \equiv M_p f,$$

and

$$M_p^2 f \leq \sum |c_N|^p,$$

where  $1/p + 1/p' = 1$ , and

$$M_p^2 f = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\kappa} \int_{-\pi}^\pi |f(X)|^p dX$$

(cf. [4]).

Denote by  $f(X; t)$  the spherical mean of  $f(X)$  over the surface of the sphere of radius  $t$  and center  $x$ ; then [1, p. 177]

$$\begin{aligned} f(X; t) &= (2\pi)^{-\kappa/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \int_{\sigma} f(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_\kappa + t\xi_\kappa) d\sigma_\xi \\ (2.14) \quad &\sim \sum c_N \alpha_\mu(t |N|) \exp(iNX) \\ &\sim \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_\mu(tn^{1/2}) A_n(x), \qquad \mu = (\kappa - 2)/2; \end{aligned}$$

$\sigma$  denotes the unit sphere  $\xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_\kappa^2 = 1$ ,  $d\sigma_\xi$  its  $(\kappa - 1)$ -dimensional volume element. Thus, putting  $f(X; t) - f(X) = \phi(X; t)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(X; t) &\sim \sum c_N \{ \alpha_\mu(t |N|) - 1 \} \exp(iNX) \\ &\sim \sum_{n=0}^\infty \{ \alpha_\mu(tn^{1/2}) - 1 \} A_n(x). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 8. If  $M_1\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , then for any  $\delta > 0$

$$c_N = O\omega\left(\frac{\delta}{|N|}\right) \qquad \text{as } |N| \rightarrow \infty.$$

It follows from (1.1), (1.2) and (2.14) that

$$c_N \{ \alpha_\mu(t |N|) - 1 \} = (2\pi)^{-\kappa} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \int_{-\pi}^\pi \phi(X; t) \exp(-iNX) dX,$$

hence

$$|c_N| |1 - \alpha_\mu(t |N|)| \leq M_1\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t).$$

Lemma 8 now follows from Lemma 3, on putting  $t|N| = \delta$ .

LEMMA 9. Let  $P_n(z) = \sum_0^n c_p z^p$ ,  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ ; if

$$M_p P_n(z) \leq 1 \qquad \text{for } |z| \leq 1,$$

then

$$M_p P_n'(z) \leq n$$

(cf. [5, p. 385]).

Note. For  $p = \infty$ ,  $M_p P(z) = \max |P(z)|$  for  $|z| \leq 1$ .

We shall frequently use Hölder's and Minkowski's well known inequalities for multiple series and integrals (cf. Hardy, Littlewood, and Pólya, *Inequalities*, Cambridge, 1934).

**3. A theorem on absolute convergence.** We now present our main criterion for absolute convergence.

**THEOREM 1.** *If, with the notations of §2,  $1 \leq p \leq 2, f(X) \in L_p,$*

$$(3.1) \quad M\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

and

$$(3.2) \quad \sum_1^\infty n^{-\beta/p'} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) < \infty \quad \text{for some } \beta > 0,$$

then

$$(3.3) \quad \sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty.$$

By (3.1) and Lemma 7 for  $1 < p \leq 2, \sum |c_N|^{p'} |1 - \alpha_\mu(t|N)|^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(t),$  or

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_1^\infty \rho_n^{p'} |1 - \alpha_\mu(tn^{1/2})|^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(t),$$

where  $\rho_n = \rho_n(p)$  is defined by

$$\rho_n^{p'} = \sum_{|N|^2=n} |c_N|^{p'} = \sum |c_{n_1 \dots n_k}|^{p'} \quad (n_1^2 + \dots + n_k^2 = n).$$

By Lemma 5, (3.4) is equivalent to

$$n^{-p'} \sum_1^n \nu^{p'} \rho_\nu^{p'} + \sum_{n+1}^\infty \rho_\nu^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(\delta n^{-1/2}),$$

hence

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{n+1}^{2n} \rho_\nu^{p'} = O\omega^{p'}(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

By the Hölder inequality for  $q > 1, 1/q + 1/q' = 1,$

$$\sum_n^{2n} \rho_\nu^\beta = \sum_{n \leq |N|^2 \leq 2n} |c_N|^\beta \leq (\sum |c_N|^{\beta q})^{1/q} (\sum 1)^{1/q'};$$

let first  $\beta < p';$  choose

$$\beta q = p', \quad \text{hence} \quad q' = \frac{q}{q-1} = \frac{p'}{p'-\beta}.$$

Now, from (3.5) and (2.1)

$$\sum_n^{2n} \rho_n^\beta = O\omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2})(R_\kappa(2n))^{1-\beta/p'} = O n^{(1-\beta/p')\kappa/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

Putting  $n = 2^\lambda$ ,  $\lambda = 0, 1, \dots$ , and summing over  $\lambda$  yields

$$\sum_1^\infty \rho_n^\beta = O \sum_{\lambda=0}^\infty 2^{\lambda\kappa(1-\beta/p')/2} \omega^\beta(\delta 2^{-\lambda/2});$$

the right side is convergent by the corollary to Lemma 4 (with  $h = \kappa(1 - \beta/p')$ ) and by (3.2). Hence (3.3) holds.

Next if  $\beta = p' > 1$ , then (3.3) follows from (2.13), if we assume only, instead of (3.1), that  $f(X) \in L_p$ ; a fortiori

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty \quad \text{for } \beta \geq p'.$$

Finally let  $p = 1$ ; (3.2) becomes

$$(3.6) \quad \sum \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) < \infty.$$

Denote by  $r_\kappa(n)$  the number of lattice points on the circle  $\sum_{i=1}^\kappa x_i^2 = n$ ; thus  $\sum_0^n r_\kappa(\nu) = R_\kappa(n)$ .

From Lemma 8, for any  $\delta > 0$

$$\sum_{|N|^2=n} |c_N|^\beta = O \sum \omega^\beta(\delta |N|^{-1}) = O r_\kappa(n) \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2});$$

furthermore from (3.6) and Lemma 4 (with  $h = \kappa$ )

$$\sum_1^\infty n^{\kappa/2-1} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) < \infty.$$

Now, using (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_1^n r_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) &= \sum_1^n R_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \sum_0^{n-1} R_\kappa(\nu) \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu + 1)^{-1/2}) \\ &\leq R_\kappa(n) \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) + \sum_1^{n-1} R_\kappa(\nu) \{ \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu + 1)^{-1/2}) \} \\ &= O n^{\kappa/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/2}) + O \sum_1^{n-1} \nu^{\kappa/2} \{ \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) - \omega^\beta(\delta(\nu + 1)^{-1/2}) \} \\ &= O \sum_1^n \{ \nu^{\kappa/2} - (\nu - 1)^{\kappa/2} \} \omega^\beta(\delta \nu^{-1/2}) \\ &= O(1), \end{aligned}$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.



Actually we can prove for  $\beta = p'$  that

$$\sum \rho_n^{p'} \log n < \infty.$$

4. **Converse theorems.** We give here two theorems to be employed in subsequent sections.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ; assume that*

$$(4.1) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \omega^p(\delta 2^{-\lambda} n^{-1/2}) = O\omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and that

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_1^n \nu^p \rho_{\nu}^p = On^p \omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty;$$

then

$$M_{p'}\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

*Note.* If  $p=1, p'=\infty$ , then  $M_{p'}$  means the effective upper bound of  $|\phi(X; t)|$  in the region of  $X$ .

**Proof.** By Lemma 6, (4.2) is equivalent to

$$\sum_1^{\infty} \rho_n^p |1 - \alpha_{\mu}(tn^{1/2})|^p = O\omega^p(t),$$

that is,

$$\sum |c_N|^p |1 - \alpha_{\mu}(t|N|)|^p = O\omega^p(t).$$

Now from (2.14) and Lemma 7 (which holds also for  $p=1$ )

$$M_{p'}\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0;$$

this proves the theorem.

Note that (4.2) means:

$$\sum_{|N|^2 \leq n} |N|^p |c_N|^p = On^p \omega^p(\delta n^{-1/2}).$$

**THEOREM 3.** *Assume that  $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ , and that*

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} \omega^2(2^{-\lambda} \delta n^{-1/2}) = O\omega^2(\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$(4.3) \quad M_2\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0,$$

is that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_1^n \nu^2 \rho_r^2 = On^2 \omega^2(\delta n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

First if (4.4) holds then (4.3) follows by Theorem 2 (for  $p=2$ ). Conversely if (4.3) holds, then from (2.14) and Lemma 7

$$\sum |c_N|^2 |1 - \alpha_n(t|N)|^2 = O\omega^2(t),$$

which by Lemma 6 is equivalent to (4.4).

5. Counter examples. For  $\beta=1$ , Theorem 1 becomes:

THEOREM 1'. If  $M_p \phi(t) = O\omega(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$ , and

$$(5.1) \quad \sum n^{-1/p'} \omega(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) < \infty, \quad 1 \leq p \leq 2,$$

then

$$\sum |c_N| < \infty.$$

To show that this result is the best possible we shall prove:

THEOREM 4. Let  $\omega(t)$ , in addition to having the property  $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ , be such that

$$(5.2) \quad \int_1^u \omega(t^{-1}) dt = O\omega(u^{-1}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

while

$$(5.3) \quad \sum n^{-1/p'} \omega(\delta n^{-1/\kappa}) = \infty, \quad \text{where } 1 \leq p \leq 2.$$

Then there exists a function  $f(X) \in L_p$ , such that

$$(5.4) \quad M_p \phi(X; t) = O\omega(t),$$

while

$$\sum |c_N| = \infty.$$

By Lemma 4 and its corollary (with  $h = \kappa/p$ ) (5.1) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{\kappa\lambda/p} \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda}) < \infty,$$

while (5.3) is equivalent to

$$(5.3') \quad \sum 2^{\kappa\lambda/p} \omega(\delta 2^{-\lambda}) = \infty.$$

We define  $\epsilon_n = \omega(2^{-n}\delta)$ ,  $\lambda_n = 2^{n+1} + n - 2$ ,

$$(5.5) \quad g_n(z) = 2^{-n(1+1/p')} \left( \sum_0^{2^n} z^r \right)^2, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$$

so that

$$\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n = 2^{n+1} + 1.$$

Construct the power series

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) (z_1 \cdots z_k)^{\lambda_n} \prod_{p=1}^k g_n(z_p);$$

then  $G(Z)$  has the formal power series

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n_1=0}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{n_k=0}^{\infty} \gamma_{n_1 \cdots n_k} z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_k^{n_k} \cdots$$

It is clear from the construction that  $\gamma_N \geq 0$ ; putting  $Z = 1$  we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma_N &> \sum_{n=0}^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} (2^{n+1} - 1)^{2\kappa} > \sum_0^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{\kappa n/p} \\ &> \sum_1^m (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{m+1}) 2^{\kappa n/p} (1 - 2^{-\kappa/p}). \end{aligned}$$

For a given integer  $l$  choose  $m$  so large that  $\epsilon_l > 2\epsilon_{m+1}$ , then

$$\sum \gamma_N > \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2^{-\kappa/p}) \sum_1^l \epsilon_n 2^{\kappa n/p} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } l \rightarrow \infty,$$

by (5.3'). Hence

$$\sum \gamma_N = \infty.$$

We next show that for  $z_p = e^{iz_p}$ ,  $p = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa$ ,  $G(Z)$  becomes the Fourier power series of a function  $F(X) \in L_p$ . Write

$$(5.6) \quad u_n(Z) = (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) (z_1 \cdots z_k)^{\lambda_n} \prod_{p=1}^k g_n(z_p),$$

then for  $z_p = e^{iz_p}$

$$\begin{aligned} M_p u_n &= (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \frac{1}{(2\pi)^\kappa} 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_0^{2^n} e^{i\nu x} \right|^{2p} dx \right)^{\kappa/p} \\ (5.7) \quad &= O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} \left( \int_0^\pi \left| \frac{\sin(2^n + 1)x/2}{x} \right|^{2p} dx \right)^{\kappa/p} \\ &= O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) 2^{-\kappa n(1+1/p')} 2^{n(2p-1)\kappa/p} = O(\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}); \end{aligned}$$

hence, by Minkowski's inequality,

$$M_p G \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_p u_n = O(1).$$

We shall finally prove (5.4); we have

$$F(X; t) - F(X) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\},$$

hence  $M_p \phi \leq \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} M_p \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\} = \sum_{\nu=0}^n + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} = S_1 + S_2$ , say. Now, by Minkowski's inequality and (2.14),

$$\begin{aligned} M_p u_{\nu}(X; t) &= \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) 2^{-\kappa-1} \pi^{3\kappa/2} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \int_{\sigma} u_{\nu}(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_{\kappa} + t\xi_{\kappa}) d\sigma_{\xi} \right|^p dX \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) 2^{-\kappa-1} \pi^{3\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} \left( \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |u_{\nu}(x_1 + t\xi_1, \dots, x_{\kappa} + t\xi_{\kappa})|^p dX \right)^{1/p} d\sigma_{\xi} \\ &= 2^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \pi^{-\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} M_p(u_{\nu}) d\sigma_{\xi}; \end{aligned}$$

hence, if we use (5.7),  $S_2 = O\epsilon_n$ . Furthermore

$$M_p \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\} \leq 2^{-1} \Gamma\left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right) \pi^{-\kappa/2} \int_{\sigma} M_p \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\} d\sigma_{\xi};$$

from the mean value theorem

$$u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X) = t \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa} \xi_{\lambda} \frac{\partial u_{\nu}}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X; \theta t), \quad \text{where } 0 < \theta < 1;$$

hence from Minkowski's inequality

$$\begin{aligned} M_p \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\} &\leq t \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\kappa} |\xi_{\lambda}| M_p \frac{\partial u_{\nu}}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X; \theta t) \\ &\leq (2\pi)^{-\kappa/2} t \int_{\sigma} M_p \frac{\partial u_{\nu}}{\partial x_{\lambda}}(X) d\sigma_{\xi}. \end{aligned}$$

We now employ Lemma 9; thus from (5.5) and (5.6)

$$M_p \{u_{\nu}(X; t) - u_{\nu}(X)\} = tO(\epsilon_{\nu} - \epsilon_{\nu+1})(2^{\nu+1} + \lambda_{\nu}) = tO2^{\nu}(\epsilon_{\nu} - \epsilon_{\nu+1}).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= tO \sum_0^n 2^{\nu}(\epsilon_{\nu} - \epsilon_{\nu+1}) = Ot \sum_0^n (2^{\nu+1} - 2^{\nu})\epsilon_{\nu} \\ &= Ot \sum_0^n (2^{\nu+1} - 2^{\nu})\omega(\delta 2^{-\nu}) = Ot \int_0^{2^n} \omega(\delta x^{-1}) dx \\ &= Ot 2^n \omega(\delta 2^{-n}), \end{aligned}$$

by (5.2). We now choose  $n$  so that for a given positive  $t < \delta$

$$2^{n-1} < \delta t^{-1} \leq 2^n, \quad n \geq 1;$$

then

$$S_1 = O\omega(t), \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = O\omega(t),$$

and the proof of Theorem 4 is complete.

A simpler example, but of a special type, is

$$G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}) \sum_{r=1}^k z_r^{\lambda_n} g_n(z_r).$$

6. The case  $p=2$  and arbitrary  $\beta > 0$ . For the case  $p=2$ , Theorem 1 becomes:

THEOREM 1''. If  $M_2\phi(t) = O\omega(t)$ , and for some  $\beta > 0$

$$\sum n^{-\beta/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) < \infty,$$

then

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta < \infty.$$

We now prove:

THEOREM 5. Let  $\omega(t)$ , in addition to having the property  $\omega(t) \downarrow 0$  as  $t \downarrow 0$ , be such that

$$(6.1) \quad \int_1^u x\omega^2(x^{-1})dx = O u^2\omega^2(u^{-1}) \quad \text{as } u \rightarrow \infty,$$

while for a given positive  $\beta < 2$

$$(6.2) \quad \sum n^{-\beta/2} \omega^\beta(\delta n^{-1/k}) = \infty.$$

Then there exists a function  $f(X) \in L_2$ , such that

$$(6.3) \quad M_2\phi(X; t) = O\omega(t), \quad t \rightarrow 0,$$

but

$$\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty.$$

We employ again the polynomial (5.5), where now  $p'=2$ , and the polynomial (5.6), replacing the factor  $\epsilon_n - \epsilon_{n+1}$  by

$$(\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta)^{1/\beta} = \alpha_n,$$

say.

As before  $\epsilon_n = \omega(\delta 2^{-n})$ . On writing

$$(6.4) \quad G(Z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n(Z) = \sum \gamma_N z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_k^{n_k},$$

we have again  $\gamma_N \geq 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum \gamma_N^\beta &> \sum \alpha_n^\beta 2^{-3n\beta\kappa/2} \left( \sum_1^{2^n} \nu^\beta \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{(\beta + 1)^\kappa} \sum_{n=0}^m \alpha_n^\beta 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)} = \frac{1}{(\beta + 1)^\kappa} \sum_0^m (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta) 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)} \\ &> (2^{\kappa(1-\beta/2)} - 1) \sum_1^m (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{m+1}^\beta) 2^{(n-1)(1-\beta/2)\kappa}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence for  $\epsilon_i^\beta > 2\epsilon_{m+1}^\beta$

$$\sum \gamma_N^\beta > \frac{1}{2} (2^{\kappa(1-\beta/2)} - 1) \sum_1^l \epsilon_n^\beta 2^{\kappa(n-1)(1-\beta/2)}.$$

By the corollary to Lemma 4, (6.2) is equivalent to

$$(6.5) \quad \sum_{\lambda=1}^{\infty} 2^{(1-\beta/2)\lambda\kappa} \omega^\beta (\delta 2^{-\lambda}) = \infty, \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{\lambda} 2^{(1-\beta/2)\lambda\kappa} \epsilon_\lambda^\beta = \infty,$$

hence  $\sum \gamma_N^\beta = \infty$ .

Next, in the same manner as in §5, one can prove that

$$(6.6) \quad M_2^2(u_n) = O\alpha_n^2;$$

it is easily seen that [5, formula (6.14)]

$$(6.7) \quad \alpha_n^2 = (\epsilon_n^\beta - \epsilon_{n+1}^\beta)^{2/\beta} = O(\epsilon_n^2 - \epsilon_{n+1}^2),$$

hence

$$M_2^2(G) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_2^2(u_n) = O \sum_n (\epsilon_n^2 - \epsilon_{n+1}^2) = O(1).$$

Finally, to prove (6.3), write

$$M_2^2\phi = \sum_0^{\infty} M_2^2\{u_r(X; t) - u_r(X)\} = \sum_0^n + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} = T_1 + T_2,$$

say. From (6.6) and (6.7),  $T_2 = O\epsilon_n^2$ , while, if we employ Lemma 9 (as in §5)

$$\begin{aligned} T_1 &= i^2 O \sum_0^n 2^{2r} \alpha_r^2 = i^2 O \sum_0^n 2^{2r} (\epsilon_r^2 - \epsilon_{r+1}^2) \\ &= i^2 O \sum_1^n \epsilon_r^2 (2^{2r} - 2^{2r-2}) = i^2 O \sum_1^n (2^r - 2^{r-1}) 2^r \epsilon_r^2 \\ &= i^2 O \int_1^{2^n} x \omega^2 (\delta x^{-1}) dx = i^2 O \int_1^{\delta^{-1} 2^n} y \omega^2 (y^{-1}) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Employing (6.1), we now get

$$T_1 = t^2 O 2^{2n} \omega^2(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

Given a positive  $t$ , choose  $n$  so that

$$2^n < \delta/t \leq 2^{n+1};$$

then

$$T_1 = t^2 O t^{-2} \omega^2(t) = O \omega^2(t), \quad \text{and} \quad T_2 = O \omega^2(t),$$

hence

$$M_2 \phi(t) = O \omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

This proves Theorem 5.

*Remark.* The conditions (5.2) and (6.1) are equivalent (cf. [5, Remark 6.1]).

**7. A continuous function as counter example.** In [5, §6] we have employed polynomials

$$(7.1) \quad g(z) = \sum_{\nu=0}^{2(q-1)} a_\nu^{(q)} z^\nu, \quad q \text{ a prime } \equiv 1 \pmod{4},$$

with the following properties

$$\begin{aligned} |g(z)| &\leq 1 && \text{for } |z| \leq 1, \\ |a_\nu^{(q)}| &= q^{-\beta/2}(\nu + 1), && \nu = 0, 1, \dots, q - 2. \end{aligned}$$

On putting  $g(z_1) \cdots g(z_\kappa) = \sum b_N z_1^{N_1} \cdots z_\kappa^{N_\kappa}$ , it follows that

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum |b_N|^\beta &> (\sum |a_\nu^{(q)}|^\beta)^\kappa > q^{-3\beta\kappa/2} (1^\beta + 2^\beta + \cdots + (q-1)^\beta)^\kappa \\ &> \frac{1}{\kappa + 1} q^{-3\beta\kappa/2} (q-1)^{\kappa(\beta+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $1 < q_1 < q_2 < \cdots$  be a sequence of primes congruent to 1 (mod 4), and such that for all large  $n$

$$(7.3) \quad 2^{n-1} < q_n < 2^n;$$

denote by  $g_n(z)$  the polynomial (7.1) with  $q = q_n$ , and let

$$(7.4) \quad \lambda_1 = 0, \quad \lambda_{n+1} = 2(q_1 + \cdots + q_n) - n, \quad n \geq 1;$$

$\epsilon_n, \alpha_n$ , and  $u_n$  are defined as in §6. We assume that  $\omega(t)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 5 and, in case  $1 < \beta < 2$ , the additional conditions

$$(7.5) \quad \int_1^\infty x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx = \int_0^1 \tau^{-1} \omega(\tau) d\tau < \infty,$$

$$(7.6) \quad \int_{t^{-1}}^{\infty} x^{-1}\omega(x^{-1})dx = \int_0^t \tau^{-1}\omega(\tau)d\tau = O\omega(t) \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow 0.$$

Now, as shown in [5, §6],

$$(7.7) \quad \sum_1^n 2^r \alpha_r < 2 \int_1^{2^n} \omega(x^{-1})dx,$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_r < \begin{cases} \epsilon_{n+1} & \text{for } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ 2 \int_{2^n}^{\infty} x^{-1}\omega(x^{-1})dx & \text{for } 1 < \beta < 2. \end{cases}$$

We define as before

$$(7.9) \quad G(Z) = \sum_1^{\infty} u_n(Z) = \sum \gamma_N z_1^{n_1} \cdots z_{\kappa}^{n_{\kappa}}.$$

By (7.1)

$$(7.10) \quad |u_n(Z)| \leq \alpha_n \quad \text{for } |z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_{\kappa}| \leq 1,$$

hence the simple series in (7.9) converges uniformly and defines a continuous function in  $|z_1| \leq 1, \dots, |z_{\kappa}| \leq 1$ . Putting  $z_{\nu} = \exp(ix_{\nu})$ ,  $\nu = 1, \dots, \kappa$ , (7.9) becomes the Fourier power series of a continuous function  $F(x_1, \dots, x_{\kappa})$ . Furthermore, using (7.2) and (7.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |\gamma_N|^{\beta} &> \frac{1}{\kappa + 1} \sum_n \alpha_n^{\beta} q_n^{-\beta \kappa / 2} (q_n - 1)^{\kappa(\beta+1)} \\ &> b \sum_n (\epsilon_n^{\beta} - \epsilon_{n+1}^{\beta}) 2^{n\kappa(1-\beta/2)}, \end{aligned} \quad b \text{ a constant,}$$

and the divergence of this series follows from (6.2) as in §6.

We shall finally show that the modulus of continuity of  $F(X)$  is majorized by  $\omega(t)$ . We define the modulus of continuity of  $F(X)$  by

$$\max_{|H| \leq t} \max_{(X)} |F(X + H) - F(X)| = \zeta(t),$$

where  $|H| = (h_1^2 + \dots + h_{\kappa}^2)^{1/2}$ , and each  $x_{\nu}$  varies in  $(-\pi, \pi)$ . Now, in view of (7.9),

$$\begin{aligned} |F(X + H) - F(X)| &\leq \sum_1^{\infty} |u_{\nu}(e^{i(x_1+h_1)}, \dots, e^{i(x_{\kappa}+h_{\kappa})}) - u_{\nu}(e^{ix_1}, \dots)| \\ &= \sum_1^n + \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} = V_1 + V_2, \end{aligned}$$



say. From (7.10) and (7.8)

$$V_2 < 2 \sum_{n+1}^{\infty} \alpha_n < \begin{cases} 2\epsilon_n & \text{for } 0 < \beta \leq 1, \\ 2 \int_{2^n}^{\infty} x^{-1} \omega(x^{-1}) dx & \text{for } 1 < \beta < 2; \end{cases}$$

in view of (7.6) we have in either case

$$V_2 = O\omega(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

To estimate  $V_1$ , we employ as in §5 the mean value theorem, and Lemma 9 for  $p = \infty$ . We then get

$$V_1 < \left( \sum_1^k |h_r| \right) \left( \sum_1^n \alpha_r \lambda_{r+1} \right)$$

and, using (7.7) and (5.2) (which is equivalent to (6.1)),

$$V_1 = O |H| 2^n \omega(\delta 2^{-n}).$$

For  $|H| \leq t$  choose  $n$  so that  $2^{n-1} < t^{-1} \leq 2^n$ , then

$$V_1 = O\omega(t) \quad \text{and} \quad V_2 = O\omega(t)$$

hence

$$\zeta(t) = O\omega(t).$$

We have thus proved the theorem:

**THEOREM 6.** *If the assumptions of Theorem 5 are satisfied and if  $0 < \beta \leq 1$ , then there exists a continuous function  $F(X)$  with modulus of continuity  $\zeta(t) < \omega(t)$ , while  $\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty$ . The same result holds for  $1 < \beta < 2$  under the additional assumptions (7.5) and (7.6).*

As an example choose  $\omega(t) = t^\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < 1$ ; it is seen easily that now (6.1), (7.5), and (7.6) hold. Theorem 1'' yields the convergence of  $\sum |c_N|^\beta$  whenever  $M_2 \phi = O t^\alpha$ , and if  $\beta > 2\kappa / (\kappa + 2\alpha)$ . For  $\beta = 2\kappa / (\kappa + 2\alpha)$ , however, there exists a continuous function whose modulus of continuity is less than  $t^\alpha$ , while  $\sum |c_N|^\beta = \infty$ .

*Closing remark.* In a similar manner the convergence of the series  $\sum |N|^\alpha |c_N|^\beta$  can be discussed. The mode of procedure applies as well to Fourier integrals. We may also consider instead of the spherical mean (2.14) the more general average

$$f_p(X; t) = \frac{c_p}{t^\kappa} \int_0^t \left( 1 - \frac{r^2}{t^2} \right)^{p-1} f(X; r) r^{\kappa-1} dr.$$

Finally, if we denote the linear operator which transforms  $f(X)$  into  $f(X; t) - f(X)$  by  $\Delta f(X; t)$ , iteration yields

$$\Delta_m f(X; t) \sim \sum c_N(\alpha_\mu(t | N |) - 1)^m \exp(iNX), \quad m = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and in Theorem 1 the assumption  $M_p \phi(X; t) \equiv M_p \Delta_1 f(X; t) = O\omega(t)$  can be replaced by  $M_p \Delta_m f(X; t) = O\omega(t)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. S. Bochner, *Summation of multiple Fourier series by spherical means*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 40 (1936) pp. 175-207.
2. H. Bohr and H. Cramér, *Encyklopädie der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, vol. II, Part 3, No. 8.
3. G. E. Reves and O. Szász, *Some theorems on double trigonometric series*, Duke Math. J. vol. 9 (1942) pp. 693-705.
4. F. Riesz, *Über eine Verallgemeinerung der Parsevalschen Formel*, Math. Zeit. vol. 18 (1923) pp. 117-124.
5. O. Szász, *Fourier series and mean moduli of continuity*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 42 (1937) pp. 366-395.
6. G. N. Watson, *Theory of Bessel functions*, 2d ed., 1944.

ANDHRA UNIVERSITY,  
WALTAIR, INDIA.  
UNIVERSITY OF CINCINNATI,  
CINCINNATI, OHIO.