## A NEW PROOF OF THE COMPLETENESS OF THE LUKASIEWICZ AXIOMS(1)

## BY C. C. CHANG

The purpose of this note is to provide a new proof for the completeness of the Łukasiewicz axioms for infinite valued propositional logic. For the existing proof of completeness and a history of the problem in general we refer the readers to [1; 2; 3; 4]. The proof as was given in [4] was essentially metamathematical in nature; the proof we offer here is essentially algebraic in nature, which, to some extent, justifies the program initiated by the author in [2].

In what follows we assume thorough familiarity with the contents of [2] and adopt the notation and terminology of [2]. The crux of this proof is contained in the following two observations: Instead of using locally finite MV-algebras as the basic building blocks in the structure theory of MV-algebras, we shall use linearly ordered ones. The one-to-one correspondence between linearly ordered MV-algebras and segments of ordered abelian groups enables us to make use of some known results in the first-order theory of ordered abelian groups(2).

We say that P is a *prime* ideal of an MV-algebra A if, and only if, (i) P is an ideal of A, and (ii) for each  $x, y \in A$ , either  $x\bar{y} \in P$  or  $\bar{x}y \in P$ .

LEMMA 1. If P is a prime ideal of A, then A/P is a linearly ordered MV-algebra.

**Proof.** By 3.11 of [2], we have to prove that given x/P and y/P, either  $x/P \le y/P$  or  $y/P \le x/P$ . But by 1.13 of [2], this just means that either  $x\bar{y} \in P$  or  $\bar{x}y \in P$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $a \in A$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then there exists a prime ideal P of A such that  $a \notin P$ .

**Proof.** Consider an ideal I of A which is maximal with respect to the property that  $a \in I$ . We show that I is a prime ideal. Let x,  $y \in A$  and assume  $x\bar{y} \in I$  and  $\bar{x}y \in I$ . Thus the ideal generated by I and the element  $x\bar{y}$  would contain the element a, i.e.,

(1) 
$$a \le t + p(x\bar{y})$$
 for some  $t \in I$  and  $p$  integer.

Received by the editors July 3, 1958.

<sup>(1)</sup> The preparation of this paper was supported by the National Science Foundation under grant G-5009.

<sup>(2)</sup> The author wishes to give thanks to Dana Scott who first suggested this new angle of attack; in particular, Scott has simplified the original argument of the author for Lemma 2.

Similarly, the ideal generated by I and  $\bar{x}y$  would also contain the element a, i.e.,

(2) 
$$a \le s + q(\bar{x}y)$$
 for some  $s \in I$  and q integer.

Let u = s + t and let  $n = \max(p, q)$ . Then clearly  $u \in I$  and from (1) and (2),

(3) 
$$a \le u + n(x\bar{y}) \text{ and } a \le u + n(\bar{x}y).$$

From (3) and Axiom 11 of [2],

$$(4) a = a \wedge a \leq [u + n(x\bar{y})] \wedge [u + n(\bar{x}y)] = u + [n(x\bar{y}) \wedge n(\bar{x}y)].$$

Now, using the dual of 3.7 of [2], we see that

$$n(x\bar{y}) \wedge n(\bar{x}y) = 0.$$

Thus, by (4),  $a \leq u$  which implies the contradiction that  $a \in I$ .

LEMMA 3. Every MV-algebra is a subdirect product of linearly ordered MV-algebras.

**Proof.** This is obvious by Lemma 2, as all we need to show is that the set intersection of all prime ideals of an MV-algebra contains the 0 element only.

Given an additive ordered abelian group G (with the operations + and -, the identity 0, and the ordering  $\leq$ ) let the segment G[c] determined by a positive element c of G be the set of all elements  $x \in G$  such that  $0 \leq x \leq c$ . We define the operations +', ', and -' on the elements of G[c] as follows:

$$x +' y = \min(c, x + y),$$
  
 $\bar{x}' = c - x,$   
 $x'y + (\bar{x}' = '\bar{y}')^{-'}.$ 

LEMMA 4. The algebraic system determined by the set G[c], the operations defined above, and the distinguished elements 0 and c is a linearly ordered MV-algebra.

**Proof.** The proof consists in checking that all axioms of MV-algebras hold in G[c], plus the fact that it is linearly ordered. We shall not give the details here.

What we now wish to establish is the converse to Lemma 4. Given an MV-algebra A, we let  $A^*$  be the set of all ordered pairs (m, x) where m is an integer and  $x \in A$ . On the set  $A^*$  we define the following:

$$(m+1, 0) = (m, 1),$$
  
 $(m, x) + (n, y) = (m+n, x+y)$  if  $x + y < 1,$   
 $(m, x) + (n, y) = (m+n+1, xy)$  if  $x + y = 1,$   
 $-(m, x) = (-m-1, \bar{x}).$ 

LEMMA 5. Let A be a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then the set  $A^*$  under the operations + and - and with the distinguished element (0, 0) is an additive ordered abelian group.

**Proof.** We first prove a result which belongs to the elementary theory of MV-algebras:

(1) If x, y, z are elements of a linearly ordered MV-algebra and if  $\bar{x} \le y$  and y+z<1, then x(y+z)=xy+z. This can be seen as follows:

$$xy + z + \bar{x} = xy + \bar{x} + z = (y \lor \bar{x}) + z = y + z,$$

and

$$x(y+z) + \bar{x} = \bar{x} \lor (y+z) = y+z.$$

Therefore,

$$xy + z + \bar{x} = x(y + z) + \bar{x}.$$

Since y+z<1, the conclusion of (1) follows by 3.13 of [2].

To proceed with the main proof, we first check that the definitions of + and - as given above is consistent with respect to the equality (m+1, 0) = (m, 1). Also, it is clear that the operation + on  $A^*$  is commutative and that each element of  $A^*$  has an additive inverse. It now remains to prove that + is associative. Therefore, let three elements (m, x), (n, y), (q, z) of  $A^*$  be given. We wish to show that

(2) 
$$(m, x) + [(n, y) + (q, z)] = [(m, x) + (n, y)] + (q, z).$$

We proceed by cases.

Case 1. x+y+z<1. It is clear that x+y<1 and y+z<1, therefore (2) becomes

$$(m + (n + q), x + (y + z)) = ((m + n) + q, (x + y) + z)$$

which certainly holds.

Case 2. x+y+z=1. There are now four subcases.

Case 2a. x+y<1 and y+z<1. In this case (2) becomes

$$(3) (m+n+q+1, x(y+z)) = (m+n+q+1, (x+y)z).$$

Suppose x+z=1. Then  $\bar{x} \leq z$  and  $\bar{z} \leq x$ , and by (1),

$$x(y+z) = y + xz = (x+y)z$$

which proves (3). Suppose now

$$(4) x+z<1.$$

Since  $(x+y)^- \le z$ ,

$$z = z \lor (x + y)^{-} = (x + y)z + (x + y)^{-},$$

and

$$z + x = (x + y)z + (x + y)^{-} + x = (x + y)z + \bar{x}\bar{y} + x = (x + y)z + xy + \bar{y}.$$

Since x+y<1, we have that xy=0, therefore

$$(5) z + x = (x + y)z + \bar{y}.$$

Similarly, as  $(y+z)^- \le x$ , we obtain (using the fact y+z<1)

(6) 
$$z + x = z + (y + z)x + (y + z)^{-} = x(y + z) + z + \bar{y}\bar{z}$$
$$= x(y + z) + yz + \bar{y} = x(y + z) + \bar{y}.$$

(4), (5), (6), and 3.13 of [2] enable us to cancel  $\bar{y}$  and obtain (3).

CASE 2b. x+y<1 and y+z=1. In this case the right hand side of (2) becomes

$$(7) (m+n+q+1, (x+y)z)$$

and the left hand side of (2) becomes

(8) 
$$(m, x) + (n + q + 1, yz).$$

Since x+y < 1, hence x+yz < 1, therefore (8) becomes

$$(9) (m+n+q+1, x+yz).$$

Using (1), we see easily that

$$(x+y)z=x+yz,$$

hence the equality of (7) and (9) is assured.

CASE 2c. x+y=1 and y+z<1. The argument for this case is analogous to that of Case 2b.

Case 2d. x+y=1 and y+z=1. In this case the right hand side of (2) becomes

$$(10) (m+n+1, xy) + (q, z)$$

and the left hand side of (2) becomes

$$(11) (m, x) + (n + q + 1, yz).$$

We consider two more subcases.

CASE 2d(i). xy+z=1. In this case we show that x+yz=1. We have that  $\bar{x}+\bar{y} \le z$  and  $\bar{x} \le y$ , thus

(12) 
$$\bar{x} = \bar{x} \wedge y = (\bar{x} + \bar{y})y \leq zy.$$

(12) of course implies that x+yz=1, hence both (10) and (11) are equal to (m+n+q+2, xyz) which proves (2).

CASE 2d(ii). xy+z<1. In this case by considering the argument in Case 2d(i) and symmetry, we also have x+yz<1. Hence (10) becomes

$$(m+n+q+1, xy+z)$$

and (11) becomes

$$(m + n + q + 1, x + yz).$$

We now have to show under these conditions,

$$(13) xy + z = x + yz.$$

Since xy+z<1, x+yz<1,  $\bar{y} \le z$ , and  $\bar{y} \le x$ , we have by (1)

$$(xy + z)y = xy + zy,$$
  
$$(x + yz)y = xy + zy.$$

and

$$(14) (xy+z)y = (x+yz)y.$$

Adding  $\bar{y}$  to both sides of (14), we get by using the commutativity of  $\vee$ ,

(15) 
$$\bar{y}\bar{z}(\bar{x}+\bar{y}) + xy + z = \bar{y}\bar{x}(\bar{y}+\bar{z}) + x + yz.$$

But since x+y=y+z=1,  $\bar{y}\bar{z}=\bar{x}\bar{z}=0$ , therefore (15) leads to the desired equality (13).

Finally, in order to show that  $A^*$  is an ordered group, we simply exhibit the ordering relation  $\leq$  and leave it to the reader to check that the ordering is preserved by the group operations:

$$(m, x) \le (n, y)$$
 if and only if either  $m < n$  or  $m = n$  and  $x \le y$ .

LEMMA 6. If A is a linearly ordered MV-algebra, then  $A^*[(0, 1)]$  is isomorphic with A; furthermore, the element (0, 1) in  $A^*$  has the property that for each  $x \in A^*$ , there exists an n such that  $n(0, 1) \le x \le (n+1)(0, 1)$ . On the other hand, if G is an ordered abelian group and c is a positive element of G such that for each  $x \in G$ , there exists an n such that  $nc \le x < (n+1)c$ , then  $G[c]^*$  is isomorphic with G.

**Proof.** The first part of the lemma is clearly true from our construction of  $A^*$ . For the second part we shall exhibit the isomorphism of G onto  $G[c]^*$ . For each  $x \in G$ , there exists an  $n_x$  such that  $n_x c \le x < (n_x + 1)c$ . The function f is defined as follows:

$$f(x) = (n_x, x - n_x c).$$

It is an elementary exercise to prove that f is well-defined and is an isomorphism.

Incidentally, we remark here that for Lemmas 6 and 7, if A is a locally finite MV-algebra then  $A^*$  is an Archimedean ordered abelian group. Using this fact we see that the conjecture stated after 3.21 of [2] is true. It also follows that every locally finite MV-algebra has at most a continuum number of elements.

Lemma 7. To each identity E in the theory of MV-algebras, there corresponds an universal sentence  $E^*$  (with one free variable c) in the theory of ordered abelian groups such that for any linearly ordered MV-algebra A, E holds in A if and only if  $E^*$  holds in  $A^*$  with the free variable c interpreted as the element (0, 1).

**Proof** (in outline). Given an identity E in the theory of MV-algebras, we assume that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  are the only variables occurring in E and that the identity E is built up from the variables, the constants 0 and 1, and the operations + and -. We arrive at the associated universal sentence  $E^*$  in a finite number of steps in the following manner: First we replace in E the symbol 1 by the symbol e. Then we replace (in the order of their lengths) each expression of the form

$$\nu + \xi$$

in E by the expression

$$\min (\nu + * \xi, c),$$

and each expression of the form

ξ

in E by the expression

$$c - \xi$$
.

Thus we obtain, at the end of the process, an expression E' which is built up from the group operations  $+^*$  and - and the function min (x, y). Let now E'' be the expression obtained from E' by simply removing everywhere in E' the symbol \*. Finally, the universal sentence  $E^*$  in the theory of ordered abelian groups is

$$E^* = (x_1) \cdot \cdot \cdot (x_n)(0 \le x_1 \le c \wedge \cdot \cdot \cdot \wedge 0 \le x_n \le c \to E'').$$

From our construction of  $E^*$  and  $A^*$  it is evident that E holds in A if and only if  $E^*$  holds in  $A^*$  with c interpreted as (0, 1).

At this point we shall make use of two known results:

- (I) Every ordered abelian group can be embedded in a divisible ordered abelian group.
- (II) The first-order theory of divisible ordered abelian groups is complete. Result (I) is well-known, and result (II) can be found in [5] and [6](3). From (I) and (II) we infer immediately that
- (III) An universal sentence  $\xi$  in the first-order theory of ordered abelian groups holds in the additive group R of rationals if and only if  $\xi$  holds in every ordered abelian group.

<sup>(3)</sup> Indeed, (II) is a result of Tarski's which somehow never appeared explicitly as such in print. The closest reference to it can be found in [5] and in an English translation of [5] in [6, p. 134], second paragraph.

We are now ready for

Lemma 8. An identity E (in the theory of MV-algebras) holds in the linearly ordered MV-algebra R[1] if and only if it holds in every linearly ordered MV-algebra.

**Proof.** The lemma is trivial in one direction. Assume now an identity E is given which does not hold in some linearly ordered MV-algebra A. Thus  $E^*$  will not hold in  $A^*$  with c interpreted as the element (0, 1); in particular, the universal sentence (without free variables)

$$\xi = (c)(0 < c \rightarrow E^*)$$

will not hold in  $A^*$ . By result (III),  $\xi$  does not hold in the group R, i.e., (1) there exists an element (positive) c in R such that  $E^*$  does not hold in R.

By the fact that there is an automorphism (both group and order) of the rationals R onto R mapping c onto 1, we see from (1) that  $E^*$  does not hold in R with c interpreted as 1. By Lemma 6,  $R[1]^*$  is isomorphic with R, hence we finally arrive at the result that E does not hold in R[1] which proves the lemma.

THEOREM. In the Lukasiewicz axiom system for infinitely valued propositional logic every valid formula is provable.

**Proof.** From our previous results and considerations to be found in §5 of [2], we only need to show that every identity E which holds in the linearly ordered MV-algebra R[1] holds in the algebra L. By Lemma 3, L is a subalgebra of a direct product of linearly ordered algebras. By Lemma 8, if E holds in R[1], then E holds in each one of these linearly ordered factors; which, of course, implies that E holds in L.

## References

- 1. C. C. Chang, *Proof of an axiom of Lukasiewicz*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) pp. 55-56.
- 2. ——, Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 88 (1958) pp. 467-490.
- 3. C. A. Meredith, The dependence of an axiom of Lukasiewicz, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) p. 54.
- 4. Alan Rose and J. Barkley Rosser, Fragments of many-valued statement calculi, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 87 (1958) pp. 1-53.
- 5. A. Tarski, Sur les ensembles définissables de nombres réels. I, Fund. Math. vol. 17 (1931) pp. 210-239.
  - 6. ——, Logic, semantics, metamathematics, Oxford University Press, 1956.

University of Southern California, Los Angeles, California University of California, Los Angeles, California