

NONLINEAR ELLIPTIC BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS. II⁽¹⁾

BY

FELIX E. BROWDER

In a preceding paper on nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems [7], the writer established an existence theorem for variational solutions of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems for systems of the form

$$Au = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u)$$

with A_α having at most polynomial growth. This theorem was derived from an abstract theorem concerning the solvability of a class of nonlinear functional equations in reflexive Banach spaces. Our result in [7] extended and generalized earlier results announced by M. I. Vishik [20], [21], [22] and obtained by more concrete-analytic arguments. Very recently Vishik has published in [23] a detailed account of his methods and obtained more precise results than those announced in his Notes listed above. The one feature of the results of [23] which goes beyond the framework of the methods given in [7] (and one on which Vishik has laid great emphasis) is that the monotonicity or strong ellipticity hypotheses imposed on the system A involve essentially only the variation of the A_α with respect to $D^m u$ and not with respect to the lower-order derivatives of u .

It is our object in the present discussion to give an extension of our methods which allows us to obtain results under weaker hypotheses of this type. As in [7], our approach is based on a general theorem on nonlinear functional equations in Banach spaces.

In §1, we formulate our main results on the solvability of nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems and the corresponding abstract theorem. In §2, we prove the abstract theorem. In §3, we prove our main theorem on the existence of solutions of boundary value problems. In §4, we consider extensions and specializations of this theorem. In §5, we turn back to the abstract theory and analyze the general method applied in [7] and here in the general context of locally convex linear spaces.

1. Let Ω be a bounded and smoothly bounded open subset of R^n . The general

Received by the editors October 30, 1963.

⁽¹⁾ The preparation of this paper was partially supported by the National Science Foundation under NSF Grant 19751 and by the Army Research Office (Durham) under Grant DA-ARO(D)-31-124-G455.

point of R^n will be denoted by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, while $\int f(x)dx$ will denote integration with respect to Lebesgue n -measure on Ω . We set $D_j = i^{-1}\partial/\partial x_j$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$, and for each n -tuple $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of non-negative integers we set

$$D^\alpha = \prod_{j=1}^n D_j^{\alpha_j}, \quad |\alpha| = \sum_{j=1}^n \alpha_j.$$

By functions u on Ω , we shall mean s -vector functions $u = (u_1, \dots, u_s)$ for a fixed positive integer s , where each u_k is a complex-valued function on Ω . We set $D^\alpha u = (D^\alpha u_1, \dots, D^\alpha u_s)$.

Let m be a positive integer, p a real number with $1 < p < +\infty$. We define

$$W^{m,p}(\Omega) = \{u \mid u \in L^p(\Omega), D^\alpha u \in L^p(\Omega) \text{ for } |\alpha| \leq m\}.$$

(All derivatives $D^\alpha u$ will be taken in the sense of the theory of distributions.)

$W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ is a reflexive separable Banach space with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{m,p} = \left\{ \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \int_{\Omega} |D^\alpha u|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}.$$

We denote by $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ the family of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in Ω . We consider $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ as a subset of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. Let

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \sum_{k=1}^s \int u_k(x) \bar{v}_k(x) dx$$

be the natural pairing between u in $L^p(\Omega)$ and v in $L^q(\Omega)$, with $q = p(p-1)^{-1}$.

We consider the system of differential operators

$$(1.1) \quad Au = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u)$$

where for each α , A_α is an s -vector function of x in Ω , the value of the function u at x , and the values of all the derivatives $D^\alpha u$ at x for $|\alpha| \leq m$.

We assume the following smoothness and growth conditions on the coefficients A_α :

ASSUMPTION I. *The functions A_α are measurable in x on Ω and continuous in $(u, \dots, D^m u)$. There exists a real number $p > 1$ and a continuous function $g(r)$ of the real variable r such that if*

$$\zeta = \{\zeta_\alpha; |\alpha| \leq m\}$$

then

$$(1.2) \quad |A_\alpha(x, \zeta)| \leq g \left(\sum_{|\gamma| < m-n/p} |\zeta_\gamma| \right) \cdot \left\{ 1 + \sum_{|\beta|=m} |\zeta_\beta|^{p-1} + \sum_{m-n/p \leq |\beta| \leq m-1} |\zeta_\beta|^{(p-1)+c_{\beta-}} \right\}$$

where $c_{\beta\alpha}$ satisfies

$$(1.3) \quad 0 \leq c_{\beta\alpha} < r_\beta \operatorname{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\} - (p-1)$$

and r_β is any exponent such that

$$r_\beta^{-1} \geq p^{-1} - n^{-1}(m - |\beta|).$$

To define a variational boundary value problem for the system A , we assume given a closed subspace V of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ with $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset V$.

Corresponding to the representation (1.1) for A , we may define the nonlinear Dirichlet form $a(u, v)$ for each pair u and v in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ by

$$(1.4) \quad a(u, v) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u), D^\alpha v \rangle.$$

From the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem (e.g. Lemma 5 of [2]), it follows that if u lies in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$, then for $|\gamma| < m - n/p$, $D^\gamma u$ lies in $C(\bar{\Omega})$. For $|\gamma| \geq m - n/p$, $D^\gamma u$ lies in $L^{r_\gamma}(\Omega)$ where r_γ is any finite exponent such that

$$r_\gamma^{-1} \geq p^{-1} - n^{-1}(m - |\gamma|).$$

Moreover the norms of the maps $u \rightarrow D^\gamma u$ from $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ to the spaces $C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $L^{r_\gamma}(\Omega)$ are bounded. Applying Assumption I and Hölder's inequality, it follows that $a(u, v)$ is well defined for all u and v in $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ and satisfies an inequality of the form

$$|a(u, v)| \leq g_1(\|u\|_{m,p}) \|v\|_{m,p}$$

where $g_1(r)$ is a function of the real variable r depending on the function g of Assumption I.

If V^* is the conjugate space of V , we may now define the variational boundary value problem corresponding to the pair (A, V) by:

DEFINITION. Let f be an element of V^* , (f, v) its value on v in V . Then u is said to be a solution of the variational boundary value problem for $Au = f$ satisfying the null boundary conditions corresponding to the space V if

- (a) $u \in V$, and
- (b) $a(u, v) = (f, v)$, for all v in V .

In order to give a precise formulation of the hypotheses under which we shall prove the existence of variational solutions for our boundary problem, we shall write the nonlinear Dirichlet form $a(u, v)$ in another notation which separates its dependence on the lower order derivatives of u from its dependence on the m th derivatives $D^m u$.

DEFINITION. Let

$$(1.5) \quad a(u; v, w) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1} u, D^m v), D^\alpha w \rangle.$$

It follows from Assumption I that there exists r with $r^{-1} > p^{-1} - n^{-1}$ such that $a(u; v, w)$ is well defined for all u in $W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$, and all v and w in $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$. By the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem, $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ is contained in $W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$ and the imbedding map is compact. Moreover

$$(1.6) \quad |a(u; v, w)| \leq g_1(\|u\|_{m-1, r}) g_2(\|v\|_{m, p}) \|w\|_{m, p}.$$

THEOREM 1. *Let A be a system of differential operators of the form (1.1) satisfying Assumption I for a given value of $p > 1$. Let V be a closed subspace of $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ such that $C_c^\infty(\Omega) \subset V$. Let r be a real number as above with $r^{-1} > p^{-1} - n^{-1}$ such that $a(u; v, w)$ is well defined for $u \in W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$, w and v in $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and inequality (1.6) holds. Suppose that all of the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) *For each positive integer N , there exists a continuous function $C_N(t)$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} C_N(t) = +\infty$ such that for all u in V with $\|u\|_{m-1, r} \leq N$, we have*

$$(1.7) \quad \operatorname{Re}\{a(u; v, v-w) - a(u; w, v-w)\} \geq C_N(\|v-w\|_{m, p}) \|v-w\|_{m, p}$$

for all v and w in V .

(b) *There exists a continuous function $c(t)$ on R^1 with $c(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for all constants $k \geq 1$,*

$$\operatorname{Re} a(u; ku, u) \geq c(\|u\|_{m, p}) \|u\|_{m, p}$$

for all u in V .

Then for every f in V^ , there exists a solution u in V of the V -variational boundary value problem for $Au = f$ (i.e. such that $a(u, v) = (f, v)$ for all v in V).*

We shall derive Theorem 1 from the following abstract theorem concerning nonlinear operators in Banach spaces.

THEOREM 2. *Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, X^* its conjugate space (i.e. the space of bounded conjugate-linear functionals on X). For $w \in X^*$, $u \in X$, let (w, u) be the value of w at u . Let Y be a second Banach space such that the elements of X are identified with a linear subset of Y and the injection mapping is a compact linear map of X into Y .*

Let G be a (not necessarily linear) mapping of $Y \times X$ into X^ and for u in Y , let G_u be the mapping of X into X^* defined by $G_u(v) = G(u, v)$. Suppose that all of the following conditions are satisfied:*

(a) *For each positive integer N , there exists a continuous function $C_N(r)$ on R^1 with $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C_N(r) = +\infty$ and $C_N(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Re}(G_u v - G_u w, v-w) \geq C_N(\|v-w\|_X) \|v-w\|_X$$

for u in Y with $\|u\|_Y \leq N$ and for all v and w in X .

(b) *There exists a continuous real-valued $c(r)$ on R^1 with $c(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ such that for every $k \geq 1$,*

$$\operatorname{Re}(G_u(ku), u) \geq c(\|u\|_X) \|u\|_X$$

for all u in X .

(c) For each u in Y , G_u is a demi-continuous mapping from X to X^* (i.e. continuous from the strong topology in X to the weak topology of X^*). For each fixed v in X , the mapping

$$u \rightarrow G_u v$$

is a strongly continuous mapping from Y to X^* .

Then the mapping F of X into X^* given by $Fu = G_u u$ maps X onto X^* , i.e. for every w in X^* , there exists u in X such that $G(u, u) = w$.

2. Let X be a separable reflexive Banach space, X^* its conjugate space (i.e. X^* is the space of bounded conjugate-linear functionals on X). For w in X^* , u in X , we denote the value of w at u by (w, u) . Thus (w, u) is linear in w , conjugate-linear in u .

Let G be a (not necessarily linear) mapping from X into X^* .

DEFINITION. G is said to be demi-continuous if it is continuous from the strong topology of X to the weak topology of X^* .

PROPOSITION 1. Let G be a demi-continuous mapping of X into X^* such that

(a) For all u and v of X ,

$$\operatorname{Re}(Gu - Gv, u - v) \geq 0.$$

(b) There exists a continuous real-valued function $c(r)$ on R^1 with $c(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Gu, u) \geq c(\|u\|) \|u\|.$$

Then G maps X onto X^* .

Proof of Proposition 1. This is a special case of Theorem 2 of [7]. Since more general results are proved in §5 below, we omit the proof here.

PROPOSITION 2. Let G be a demi-continuous mapping of X into X^* such that there exists a continuous function $c(r)$ on R^1 with $c(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$ and $\lim c(r) = +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$(2.1) \quad \operatorname{Re}(Gu - Gv, u - v) \geq c(\|u - v\|) \|u - v\|$$

for u and v in X . Then:

(a) G maps X onto X^* .

(b) G is one-to-one, G^{-1} is defined on all of X^* and maps bounded sets of X^* into bounded sets of X .

(c) G^{-1} is strongly continuous from X^* to X . There exists a real-valued

monotone nondecreasing function $h(r)$ on R^1 such that $h(0) = 0$, h is continuous from the right, and

$$(2.2) \quad \|G^{-1}w - G^{-1}w_1\| \leq h(\|w - w_1\|)$$

for all w and w_1 in X^* . The function h depends only on the function $c(r)$ of inequality (2.1).

Proof of Proposition 2. From the inequality (2.1) and the fact that $c(r) > 0$ for $r > 0$, it follows that G is one-to-one. Hence G^{-1} is defined on the range of G .

For each pair u and v in X , we know from (2.1) that

$$\operatorname{Re}(Gu - Gv, u - v) \geq 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\operatorname{Re}(Gu, u) = \operatorname{Re}(Gu - G(0), u) + (G(0), u).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re}(Gu, u) &\geq c(\|u\|)\|u\| - \|G(0)\| \cdot \|u\| \\ &\geq \{c(\|u\|) - \|G(0)\|\}\|u\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $c(r) - \|G(0)\| \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, we may apply Proposition 1 and conclude that G maps X onto X^* , i.e. the range of G is all of X^* .

For each $R \geq 0$, let

$$h(R) = \sup\{r \mid c(r) \leq R\}.$$

Then $h(R) < +\infty$ for every R , $h(0) = 0$, and $h(R)$ is monotone nondecreasing in R . Obviously $h(R)$ depends only on the function $c(r)$. Finally, $h(R)$ is continuous from the right. Indeed suppose otherwise. Then there exists a sequence $R_j \rightarrow R$ such that $h(R_j) = r_j \geq h(R) + \varepsilon$. Then $c(r_j) = R_j$ and extracting a convergent subsequence from the bounded sequence r_j , we will have $r_j \rightarrow r \geq h(R) + \varepsilon$, and $c(r_j) \rightarrow R = c(r)$. This contradicts the definition of $h(R)$. Thus $h(R)$ is continuous from the right. From the inequality (2.1), we know that

$$c(\|u - v\|)\|u - v\| \leq \|Gu - Gv\| \cdot \|u - v\|.$$

If $u \neq v$, we have

$$c(\|u - v\|) \leq \|Gu - Gv\|.$$

Hence

$$\|u - v\| \leq h(\|Gu - Gv\|).$$

Setting $u = G^{-1}w$, $v = G^{-1}w_1$, we obtain

$$\|G^{-1}w - G^{-1}w_1\| \leq h(\|w - w_1\|).$$

Suppose $w_j \rightarrow w_1$ strongly in X^* . Then $\|w_j - w_1\| \rightarrow 0$. Since $h(0) = 0$ and $h(R)$ is continuous from the right, $h(\|w_j - w_1\|) \rightarrow 0$. Hence $\|G^{-1}w_j - G^{-1}w_1\| \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$, i.e. $G^{-1}w_j \rightarrow G^{-1}w_1$ strongly in X . Hence G^{-1} is continuous from X^* to X .

Finally if $\|w\| \leq M$, then

$$\|G^{-1}w - G^{-1}(0)\| \leq h(\|w\|) \leq h(M).$$

Thus

$$\|G^{-1}w\| \leq h(M) + \|G^{-1}(0)\|,$$

and G^{-1} maps bounded sets of X^* into bounded sets of X .

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let u be an element of Y . Then the corresponding mapping G_u of X into X^* satisfies the hypotheses of Proposition 2 with a function $C_N(r)$ which is uniform over all u with $\|u\|_Y \leq N$.

By Proposition 2, G_u is a one-to-one mapping of X onto X^* with a continuous inverse G_u^{-1} . This inverse satisfies an inequality of the form

$$(2.3) \quad \|G_u^{-1}w - G_u^{-1}w_1\|_X \leq k_N(\|w - w_1\|_{X^*})$$

for all u in Y with $\|u\|_Y \leq N$.

Let w be a fixed element of X^* . We wish to find a solution u of the equation

$$(2.4) \quad G_u(u) = w.$$

For each v in Y , let T be the mapping of Y into Y given by

$$Tv = G_v^{-1}(w).$$

Then u will be a solution of (2.4) if and only if $Tu = u$, i.e. if u is a fixed point of T in Y .

We shall show the existence of such a fixed point u of T by applying the Leray-Schauder theory of the degree for compact displacements in the Banach space Y [14]. To do this it suffices to show the following:

(i) T is compact.

(ii) There exists $M > 0$ such that for $0 \leq t \leq 1$, the mapping T_t with $T_tv = tTv$ has no fixed points u with $\|u\|_Y = M$.

Proof of (i). Let J be the imbedding map of X into Y . J is a compact linear map by assumption. Let S be a bounded set in Y . Then for all v in S , there exists a function $k_N(r)$ such that

$$\|G_v^{-1}w - G_v^{-1}w_1\|_X \leq k_N(\|w - w_1\|_{X^*}).$$

Setting $w_1 = 0$, we see that

$$\|G_v^{-1}w\|_X \leq k_0$$

for all v in S . Hence $Tv = G_v^{-1}(w)$ lies in a compact subset of Y , i.e. $T(S)$ is compact.

To show that T is a compact mapping, it suffices therefore to show that T is continuous. Let v and v_0 be elements of Y , $u = Tv$, $u_0 = Tv_0$. Then

$$G_v u = w, \quad G_{v_0} u_0 = w,$$

so that

$$G_v u - G_{v_0} u_0 = G_{v_0} u_0 - G_v u_0 = (G_{v_0} - G_v) u_0.$$

Suppose $v_j \rightarrow v_0$. Then $\|v_j\|_Y, \|v_0\|_Y \leq N$, so that for every j

$$\|G_{v_j}^{-1} f - G_{v_j}^{-1} f_1\|_X \leq k_N(\|f - f_1\|_{X^*})$$

for all f and f_1 in X^* . Setting $f = G_{v_j} u$, $f_1 = G_{v_j} u_0$, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tv_j - Tv_0\|_X &= \|u - u_0\|_X \leq k_N(\|G_{v_j} u - G_{v_j} u_0\|_{X^*}) \\ &\leq k_N(\|(G_{v_j} - G_{v_0})u_0\|_{X^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ strongly in Y and u_0 is a fixed element of X , we see from the hypothesis of Theorem 2

$$(G_{v_j} - G_{v_0})u_0 \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } X^*,$$

i.e.

$$\|(G_{v_j} - G_{v_0})u_0\|_{X^*} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $k_N(r) \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, it follows that

$$k_N(\|(G_{v_j} - G_{v_0})u_0\|_{X^*}) \rightarrow 0$$

so that $\|Tv_j - Tv_0\|_X \rightarrow 0$, i.e., $Tv_j \rightarrow Tv_0$ in X and *a fortiori* in Y . Thus T is continuous from Y to Y and the proof that T is compact is complete.

Proof of (ii). Let t be a real number from the interval $0 < t \leq 1$, and suppose u is a fixed point of T_t where $T_t u = tTu$. Then

$$tTu = u,$$

i.e.

$$G_u^{-1}(w) = \frac{1}{t} u$$

or

$$G_u\left(\frac{1}{t} u\right) = w.$$

If $t = 0$, the only fixed point of T_0 is zero. If $t > 0$, let $k = 1/t$. Then $k \geq 1$, and we have

$$G_u(ku) = w.$$

Then

$$(G_u(ku), u) = (w, u).$$

From hypothesis (b) of Theorem 2, we know that

$$c(\|u\|_X) \|u\|_X \leq \operatorname{Re}(G_u(ku), u) \leq \|w\|_{X^*} \cdot \|u\|_X.$$

Hence $c(\|u\|_X) \leq \|w\|_{X^*}$. Since $c(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, it follows that $\|u\|_X \leq M$, for some $M > 0$ independent of t . Since the injection of X into Y is bounded,

$$\|u\|_Y \leq M_1$$

for all such fixed points u and (ii) is proved.

As we remarked above, Theorem 2 follows from (i) and (ii) and the Leray-Schauder theory of the degree. Q.E.D.

3. We now turn to the proof of Theorem 1 using Theorem 2. To carry through this proof, we shall need some preliminary results with which we begin our discussion.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let N be a constant and let $f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ be measurable in x on Ω for fixed $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ and continuous in u for fixed x for almost all x in Ω . Let $\{u^{(j)}\}$ be an infinite sequence of functions on Ω converging in measure on Ω to $u^{(0)}(x)$ (i.e. with each component $u_r^{(j)}(x)$ converging in measure to $u_r^{(0)}(x)$). Then $f^{(j)}(x) = f(x, u_1^{(j)}(x), \dots, u_N^{(j)}(x))$ converges in measure on Ω to $f(x, u_1^{(0)}(x), \dots, u_N^{(0)}(x))$.*

Proof of Lemma 3.1. For $N = 1$, this is a result of Nemytski given on pp. 29–30 of Krasnoselski [12]. The proof for $N > 1$ is the same as for $N = 1$. We give it here for the sake of completeness because of the relative inaccessibility of the reference.

We consider N -vector functions $u(x)$ on Ω and N -vector constants u . Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be a fixed positive number. Suppose $u^{(j)}(x)$ converges in measure on Ω to $u^{(0)}(x)$. For each $k \geq 1$, let

$$G_k = \left\{ x \mid x \in \Omega, |f(x, u^{(0)}(x)) - f(x, u)| < \varepsilon \text{ if } u \in C^N, |u^{(0)}(x) - u| < \frac{1}{k} \right\}.$$

The G_k are nondecreasing with k and since $f(x, u)$ is continuous in u for almost all x in Ω , $\Omega - \bigcup_k G_k$ has measure zero. If $\eta > 0$ is given, we may therefore find an integer k_0 such that $m(G_{k_0}) > m(\Omega) - \eta/2$.

Now let

$$F_j = \left\{ x \mid x \in \Omega, |u^{(0)}(x) - u^{(j)}(x)| < \frac{1}{k_0} \right\}.$$

Since $u^{(j)}$ converges to $u^{(0)}$ in measure, we can find N such that for $j > N$, $m(F_j) > m(\Omega) - \eta/2$. Then x in $G_{k_0} \cap F_j$ lies in the set

$$D_j = \{x \mid |f(x, u^{(0)}(x)) - f(x, u^{(j)}(x))| < \varepsilon\}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} m(D_j) &\geq m(\Omega) - \{m(\Omega - G_{k_0}) + m(\Omega - F_j)\} \\ &\geq m(\Omega) - \eta. \end{aligned}$$

Since $m(D_j) \rightarrow m(\Omega)$ for fixed ε as $j \rightarrow \infty$, $f(x, u^{(j)}(x))$ converges in measure to $f(x, u^{(0)}(x))$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3.2. Let $f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N)$ be continuous in $u = (u_1, \dots, u_N)$ for almost all x and measurable in x for all u . Suppose

$$|f(x, u_1, \dots, u_N)| \leq c \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^N |u_r|^{c_r} + 1 \right\} \quad (c, c_r \text{ constants}).$$

Let $Z = \prod_{r=1}^N L^{c_r p}(\Omega)$ for some $p \geq 1$. Then the mapping T of Z into $L^p(\Omega)$ given by

$$T(u_1, \dots, u_N)(x) = f(x, u_1(x), \dots, u_N(x))$$

is a continuous mapping of Z into $L^p(\Omega)$.

Proof of Lemma 3.2. (This is a generalization of a result of M. M. Vainberg for $N = 1$. Cf. [12] and [18].)

Let $u(x)$ denote the vector function $(u_1(x), \dots, u_N(x))$. Suppose $u^{(j)}(x)$ converges strongly to $u^{(0)}(x)$ in Z . Then $u^{(j)}(x)$ converges to $u^{(0)}(x)$ in measure on Ω . By Lemma 3.1, $f(x, u^{(j)}(x))$ converges in measure to $f(x, u^{(0)}(x))$ on Ω . Thus given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\eta > 0$, we may find j_0 depending on ε and η such that for $j \geq j_0$, the set F_j given by

$$F_j = \{x \mid |f(x, u^{(0)}(x)) - f(x, u^{(j)}(x))| \geq \varepsilon\}$$

has measure $m(F_j) < \eta$.

We have then, however,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_j &= \int_{\Omega} |f(x, u^{(0)}(x)) - f(x, u^{(j)}(x))|^p dx \leq \left(\int_{\Omega - F_j} + \int_{F_j} \right) \dots \\ &\leq \varepsilon^p m(\Omega) + c^p \int_{F_j} \left(\sum_{r=1}^N \{ |u_r(x)|^{c_r} + |u_r^{(j)}(x)|^{c_r} \} + 1 \right)^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

For the last integral, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_F \left(\sum_{r=1}^N |u_r(x)|^{c_r} + |u_r^{(j)}(x)|^{c_r} + 1 \right)^p dx \\ &\leq \sup_{j \geq 1} \left[(2N + 1)^{p-1} \int_F \left(\sum_{r=1}^N \{ |u_r(x)|^{p c_r} + |u_r^{(j)}(x)|^{p c_r} \} + 1 \right) dx \right] = \mu(F) \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(F) \rightarrow 0$ as $m(F) \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$\delta_j \leq \varepsilon^p m(\Omega) + c^p \mu(F)$$

we may choose η so small that

$$c^p \mu(F) < \varepsilon^p$$

and obtain the inequality

$$\delta_j \leq \varepsilon^p (m(\Omega) + 1),$$

so that

$$\|f(x, u^{(j)}) - f(x, u^{(0)})\|_{L^p(\Omega)} \leq \varepsilon (m(\Omega) + 1)^{1/p}$$

for $j \geq j_0$.

Q.E.D.

PROPOSITION 3.1. Let $A_\alpha(x, \zeta)$, $\zeta = \{\zeta_\alpha, |\alpha| \leq m\}$, satisfy the conditions of Assumption I. Let r be any exponent such that

$$\frac{1}{r} \geq \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$$

and for every α and for β with $m-1 \geq |\beta| \geq m-n/p$

$$[(p-1) + c_{\beta\alpha}] \left\{ r^{-1} - \frac{m-1-|\beta|}{n} \right\} \leq \text{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\}$$

($c_{\beta\alpha}$ being the exponents of Assumption I).

Then if T_α is given by

$$T_\alpha(u, v)(x) = A_\alpha(x, u(x), \dots, D^{m-1}u(x), D^m v(x)),$$

then T_α is a continuous mapping of $W^{m-1, r}(\Omega) \times W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ into $L^{p_\alpha}(\Omega)$ where

$$\frac{1}{p_\alpha} = \text{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\}.$$

Proof of Proposition 3.1. By Assumption I, $A_\alpha(x, \zeta)$ is measurable in x and continuous in ζ . Moreover it satisfies the inequality

$$(3.1) \quad |A_\alpha(x, \zeta)| \leq g \left(\sum_{|\gamma| < m-n/p} |\zeta_\gamma| \right) \cdot \left\{ \sum_{|\beta|=m} |\zeta_\beta|^{p-1} + \sum_{m-n/p \leq |\beta| < m} |\zeta_\beta|^{(p-1)+c_{\beta\alpha}} + 1 \right\}.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, we need only to show that for $u \in W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$ (r as above) and v in $W^{m-1, p}(\Omega)$, we have

$$(3.2) \quad D^\beta u \in L^{p_\alpha[p-1+c_{\beta\alpha}]}, \quad |\beta| \leq m-1,$$

$$(3.3) \quad D^\beta v \in L^{p_\alpha(p-1)}, \quad |\beta| = m,$$

and that the mapping $u \rightarrow D^\beta u$ and $v \rightarrow D^\beta v$ in each of these cases is a bounded linear map of $W^{m-1,r}(\Omega)$ and $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$, respectively, into the appropriate L^p -spaces.

To do this, we apply the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem (e.g. Lemma 5 of [2]) and find that for u in $W^{m-1,r}(\Omega)$, $D^\beta u$ lies in $W^{m-1-|\beta|,r}(\Omega)$ and hence in $L^{r_\beta}(\Omega)$ where

$$\frac{1}{r_\beta} \geq \frac{1}{r} - \frac{m-1-|\beta|}{n}.$$

It suffices for this case to show that

$$\frac{1}{r} - \frac{m-1-|\beta|}{n} \leq \frac{1}{(p-1) + c_{\beta\alpha}} \frac{1}{p_\alpha},$$

i.e.

$$[(p-1) + c_{\beta\alpha}]\{r^{-1} - n^{-1}(m-1-|\beta|)\} \leq \text{Min} \left\{ 1, \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n} \right\}.$$

This is precisely our hypothesis on r .

For $|\beta| = m$, we must note that if $v \in W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ then $D^\beta u \in L^p(\Omega)$. It suffices then to prove that

$$p_\alpha(p-1) \leq p$$

or

$$p-1 \leq p/p_\alpha.$$

However

$$\frac{1}{p_\alpha} = \text{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\},$$

so that

$$\frac{p}{p_\alpha} \geq (p-1) + p \left\{ \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n} \right\} \geq p-1.$$

The boundedness of the imbedding mappings follows from the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem. Q.E.D.

In order to apply Theorem 2 to the proof of Theorem 1, we must transform the problem of the existence of the solution of the boundary value problem considered in Theorem 1 into the type of functional equation treated in Theorem 2.

We now let r be an exponent such that

$$\frac{1}{r} > \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{n}$$

while for all α and all β with $m-n/p \leq |\beta| \leq m-1$,

$$\frac{1}{r} \leq \frac{m-1-|\beta|}{n} + [(p-1) + c_{\beta\alpha}]^{-1} \text{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\}.$$

Let X be the closed subspace V of $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$ endowed with the Banach space structure induced by $W^{m,p}(\Omega)$. Let Y be the closure of V in $W^{m-1,r}(\Omega)$ with the norm of $W^{m-1,r}(\Omega)$.

For u in Y , v and w in X , we consider the form

$$a(u; v, w).$$

This is conjugate linear in w and satisfies the bounds

$$|a(u; v, w)| \leq g(\|u\|_Y, \|v\|_X) \|w\|_X.$$

Hence there exists a unique element of X which we shall denote by $G(u, v)$ that

$$(3.4) \quad (G(u, v), w) = a(u; v, w)$$

for all w in X .

We shall show that G satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *The mapping G of $X \times Y$ into X^* defined by equation (3.4) above is continuous.*

As a corollary, we have the fact that the mapping of X into X^* given by $v \rightarrow G(u, v)$ is continuous.

Proof of Proposition 3.2. To show that G is continuous, it suffices to show that if $u_j \rightarrow u_0$ in Y , $v_j \rightarrow v_0$ in X , then

$$|(G(u_j, v_j) - G(u_0, v_0), w)| \leq \varepsilon_j \|w\|_X$$

where $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

However,

$$(G(u_j, v_j) - G(u_0, v_0), w) = a(u_j; v_j, w) - a(u_0; v_0, w)$$

while

$$\begin{aligned} & a(u_j; v_j, w) - a(u_0; v_0, w) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle A_\alpha(x, u_j, \dots, D^{m-1}u_j, D^m v_j) - A_\alpha(x, u_0, \dots, D^{m-1}u_0, D^m v_0), D^\alpha w \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

The term indexed by α in the last sum has its absolute value bounded by

$$\|A_\alpha(x, u_j, \dots, D^{m-1}u_j, D^m v_j) - A_\alpha(x, u_0, \dots, D^{m-1}u_0, D^m v_0)\|_{L^{p_\alpha}} \|D^\alpha w\|_{L^{r_\alpha}}$$

where $1/r_\alpha \geq 1/p - (m - |\alpha|)/n$, $1/p_\alpha = \text{Min}(1 - 1/r_\alpha, 1)$. By Proposition 3.1, the first norm approaches zero as $j \rightarrow \infty$. The second norm is bounded by $\|w\|_{m,p}$ by the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem. Hence

$$|a(u_j; v_j, w) - a(u_0; v_0, w)| \leq \varepsilon_j \|w\|_{m,p}$$

where $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ as $j \rightarrow +\infty$.

Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 1. We wish to apply Theorem 2 to the mapping G defined above. Hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 1 imply hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorem 2 for the mapping G . The only point that must be checked is that the inequality of (a) of Theorem 2 for G holds not only for u in V but also for u in Y . However, we have for u in V with $\|u\|_Y \leq N$,

$$\operatorname{Re} \{ (G(u, v), v - w) - (G(u, w), v - w) \} \geq C_N (\|w - v\|_X) \|w - v\|_X.$$

Both sides of the inequality are continuous in u on Y by Proposition 3.2. Hence if the inequality holds for all u in the dense subset V of Y , it holds for all u in Y .

Finally hypothesis (c) on G follows from the continuity of G as a map of $X \times Y$ into X^* . Q.E.D.

4. In the present section, we shall consider extensions and specializations of Theorem 1.

The extensions of Theorem 1 that we give below move in two directions. In the first place, we have decomposed the form $a(u, v)$ in two variables u and v into a form $a(u; w, v)$ in three variables u in $W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$, w and v in $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ in a very particular way by replacing all the derivatives $D^\beta u$ with $|\beta| = m$ by $D^\beta w$ and leaving the lower-order derivatives alone. Under suitable hypotheses, it may be more advantageous to make a more refined choice of the occurrences of u and its derivatives which are to be replaced by the corresponding terms in w and $D^\beta w$, respectively.

The second direction of generalization concerns the generality of the boundary value problem. In the linear case, we know that new boundary problems can be treated by adding boundary terms to the Dirichlet form $a(u, v)$. If we carry through the corresponding formulation for the present case, we obtain a much wider class of boundary value problems. We simplify the application of this principle by assuming the boundary forms to be continuous with respect to the $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ -norm. This is not necessary if we introduce a new norm on V involving a boundary norm with respect to which the boundary form is continuous.

As a specific representation of the two types of generalization we have just described, we combine these techniques to obtain the following result:

THEOREM 3. *Let Ω be a bounded, smoothly bounded open set in R^n with boundary T , A a system of s differential operators of order $2m$ acting on s -vector functions $u = (u_1, \dots, u_s)$ of the form*

$$(1) \quad Au = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} D^\alpha A_\alpha(x, u, \dots, D^m u).$$

Let $\zeta = \{\zeta_\alpha; |\alpha| \leq m\}$, $\eta = \{\eta_\beta; |\beta| \leq m-1\}$ lie in C^{N_m} , $C^{N_{m-1}}$, respectively, where N_m is the number of α with $|\alpha| \leq m$, N_{m-1} the number of β with $|\beta| \leq m-1$. We assume that there exist functions

$$E_\alpha(x, \eta, \zeta), \quad |\alpha| \leq m,$$

such that $A_\alpha(x, \zeta) = E_\alpha(x, \zeta, \zeta)$ for all $\zeta \in C^{N_m}$, with E_α measurable in x and continuous in (η, ζ) . We suppose that the E_α satisfy the analogue of the bounds of Assumption I, namely:

$$|E_\alpha(x, \eta, \zeta)| \leq g \left(\sum_{|\gamma| < m-n/p} |\zeta_\gamma| + \sum_{|\gamma| < m-n/p} |\eta_\gamma| \right) \cdot \left\{ 1 + \sum_{|\beta|=m} |\zeta_\beta|^{p-1} + \sum_{m-n/p \leq |\beta| < m} |\zeta_\beta|^{(p-1)+c_{\beta\alpha}} + \sum_{m-n/p \leq |\beta| < m} |\eta_\beta|^{(p-1)+c_{\beta\alpha}} \right\}$$

where

$$0 \leq c_{\beta\alpha} < r_\beta \text{Min} \left\{ \frac{p-1}{p} + \frac{m-|\alpha|}{n}, 1 \right\} - (p-1)$$

and r_β is any finite exponent such that

$$r_\beta^{-1} \geq p^{-1} - n^{-1}(m - |\beta|).$$

There exist exponents r such that $r^{-1} > p^{-1} - n^{-1}$ and such that the form

$$e(u; v, w) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \langle E_\alpha(x, \{D^\gamma u\}, \{D^\beta v\}), D^\alpha w \rangle$$

(where $E_\alpha(x, \{D^\gamma u\}, \{D^\beta v\})$ is the result of substituting $D^\beta u$ for η_β , $D^\beta v$ for ζ_β in $E_\alpha(x, \eta, \zeta)$) is well defined for all $u \in W^{m-1, r}(\Omega)$ and v and w in $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ and such that $e(u; v, w)$ is continuous in the pair (u, v) in $W^{m-1, r}(\Omega) \times W^{m, p}(\Omega)$ uniformly for w in bounded sets of w in $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$.

Let $b(u; v, w)$ be a boundary form having the same property. Let V be a closed subspace of $W^{m, p}(\Omega)$. Suppose that:

(a) For each $N > 0$, there exists a continuous function $C_N(t)$ on R^1 , $C_N(t) > 0$ for $t > 0$, $C_N(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ for $t \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Re} \{e(u; v, v-w) - e(u; w, v-w) + b(u; v, v-w) - b(u; w, v-w)\} \\ \geq C_N(\|v-w\|_{m, p}) \|v-w\|_{m, p} \end{aligned}$$

for all u in V with $\|u\|_{m-1, r} \leq N$ and all v and w in V .

(b) There exists a continuous function $c(t)$ on R^1 with $c(t) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$ such that

$$\operatorname{Re} \{e(u; u, ku) + b(u; u, ku)\} \geq c(\|u\|_{m, p}) \|u\|_{m, p}$$

for all $u \in V$, $k \geq 1$.

Then for every f in V^* , there exists u in V such that

$$a(u, v) + b(u; u, v) = (f, v)$$

for all v in V .

We omit the proof of Theorem 3, which is essentially the same as that of Theorem 1. We omit also the detailed statement of hypotheses on the boundary form $b(u; v, w)$ based on the boundary case of the Sobolev Imbedding Theorem which would imply the continuity of $b(u; v, w)$ in the sense required in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.

This may be obtained by a direct analogue of the arguments of §3 culminating in Proposition 3.2.

Let us conclude the present section with some brief remarks on the hypotheses (a) and (b) of Theorems 1 and 3 and the possibility of verifying them from assumptions on the Jacobi equations of the nonlinear system A .

Let $A_{\alpha, \beta} = \partial A_\alpha / \partial \zeta_\beta$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & a(u; v, v - w) - a(u; w, v - w) \\ &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m, |\beta| = m} \int_0^1 \langle A_{\alpha\beta}(x, u, \dots, D^{m-1}u, tD^m v + (1-t)D^m w) D^\beta(v-w), D^\alpha(v-w) \rangle dt \\ &= \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \langle e_{\alpha\beta}(x, u, v, w) D^\beta(v-w), D^\alpha(v-w) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Thus estimates on $\operatorname{Re} \{a(u; v, v-w) - a(u; w, v-w)\}$ may be obtained from hypotheses on the form with coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ of the type considered by Vishik in [23]. Similar considerations apply to the form $\operatorname{Re} \{a(u; ku, u)\}$.

5. We turn now to the detailed consideration of the abstract theory of nonlinear functional equations involving monotone operators which underlies the results of §2. For continuous operators in Hilbert space, the study of monotone operators was begun by G. J. Minty in [15] and extended by the writer in [3] and Minty in [16]. The extension to demi-continuous and hemi-continuous operators in Hilbert space was given by the writer in [4], [5], and [6]. The writer extended these results to separable reflexive Banach spaces in [7], as well as to classes of densely defined operators in [8] and [9]. A slightly later extension to reflexive Banach spaces without a separability assumption was given by Minty in [17]. (More special results in the Banach space context were obtained earlier by M. A. Krasnoselski [13] and Vainberg and Kachurovski [19].)

We propose in the present discussion to obtain a general theorem of this type in the most general context available, that of locally convex linear spaces.

Let E_1 and E_2 be two locally convex linear Hausdorff spaces over the real numbers. Let (E_1, E_2) be a dual system in the sense of [11], i.e. for u in E_1 and v in E_2 we have a bilinear pairing (u, v) defined such that:

- (1) (u, v) is continuous in u on E_1 for fixed v in E_2 , and (u, v) is continuous in v on E_2 for fixed u in E_1 .
- (2) If $v \in E_2$, $v \neq 0$, there exists u in E_1 such that $(u, v) \neq 0$.

Let T be a mapping (not necessarily linear) from E_1 to E_2 . T is said to be monotone if

$$(u - v, Tu - Tv) \geq 0$$

for all u and v in E_1 . T is said to be finitely continuous if it is continuous from every finite-dimensional subspace of E_1 to E_2 .

Let S be a subset of E_1 . $K(S)$ denotes its closed convex hull in E_1 . If $u \in K(S) - S$, then S is said to envelop u if for every finite-dimensional flat F containing u , the boundary of $K(S) \cap F$ is contained in $S \cap F$.

If C is a mapping of E_1 into E_2 , it is said to be completely continuous with respect to (E_1, E_2) if it is continuous and if the mapping j of E_1 into R^1 given by $j(u) = (u, Cu)$ is continuous on compact subsets of E_1 .

THEOREM 4. *Let (E_1, E_2) be a dual system as above, T a (not necessarily linear) mapping of E_1 into E_2 such that $T = T_0 + C$ where T_0 is finitely continuous and monotone, C is completely continuous with respect to (E_1, E_2) . Let S be a subset of E_1 such that $K(S)$ is compact in E_1 and u_0 a point of $K(S) - S$ such that S envelops u_0 . Suppose that for given w in E_2 , we have*

$$(5.1) \quad (u - u_0, Tu - w) \geq 0$$

for all u in S . Then there exists u_1 in $K(S)$ such that $Tu_1 = w$.

The proof of Theorem 4 rests upon the two following lemmas.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let T_0 be a finitely continuous map of E_1 into E_2 , $u_1 \in E_1$, $u_2 \in E_2$. Suppose that*

$$(5.2) \quad (u - u_1, T_0u - u_2) \geq 0$$

for all u in E_1 . Then $T_0u_1 = u_2$.

Proof of Lemma 5.1. Suppose $T_0u_1 \neq u_2$. Then there exists v in E_1 such that $(v, u_2 - T_0u_1) > 0$. For $t > 0$, let $v_t = u_1 + tv$ and substitute v_t for u in the inequality (5.2). We obtain

$$t(v, T_0v_t - u_2) \geq 0$$

or cancelling the positive factor t ,

$$(v, T_0v_t - u_2) \geq 0.$$

We rewrite this as

$$(v, T_0v_t - T_0u_1) \geq (v, u_2 - T_0u_1)$$

and let $t \rightarrow 0+$. Then $(v, T_0v_t - T_0u_1) \rightarrow 0$, and

$$0 \geq (v, u_2 - T_0u_1) > 0,$$

a contradiction which implies that $T_0u_1 = u_2$.

Q.E.D.

LEMMA 5.2. Let E_1 be of finite dimension, T a continuous map of E_1 into E_2 where (E_1, E_2) is a dual system. Suppose S is a bounded subset of E_1 which envelops 0. Suppose that

$$(u, Tu) \geq 0$$

for all u in S . Then there exists u in $K(S)$ such that $Tu_1 = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 5.2. If E_1 is of finite dimension and (E_1, E_2) is a dual system, E_2 is isomorphic to the dual space E_1^* of E_1 . Since the assertions of the lemma are invariant under the replacement of one topology on E_1 by an equivalent topology, we may assume that E_1 is a Hilbert space H , $E_2 = E_1 = H$, and the pairing is the inner product. Then T maps H into itself.

Let $T_t = (1-t)T + tI$, $0 \leq t \leq 1$, and let D be the interior of $K(S)$. Since the boundary of $K(S)$ is contained in S , 0 lies in D . We shall calculate the degree of T_t on D over 0. For $t = 1$, $T_1 = I$ and this degree is 1. On ∂D , the boundary of D , $T_t u \neq 0$ for $t > 0$ since

$$(u, T_t u) = (1-t)(u, Tu) + t\|u\|^2 \geq t\|u\|^2$$

for $u \in \partial D \subset S$. If T_0 has a zero on ∂D , our proof is complete. Otherwise the degree of T_0 on D over 0 equals the degree of T_1 on D over 0, which equals 1. Hence there exists u_1 in D such that $T_0 u_1 = Tu_1 = 0$. Q.E.D.

Proof of Theorem 4. We normalize the situation by replacing u by $v = u - u_0$ and setting $T_1 v = T(u - u_0) - w$. Then $S_1 = \{v \mid v = u - u_0, u \in S\}$ surrounds 0 and the existence of a solution of $Tu = w$ on $K(S)$ is equivalent to the existence of a solution of $T_1 v = 0$ on $K(S_1)$. Thus we may assume to begin with that $u_0 = 0$, $w = 0$, and

$$(u, Tu) \geq 0$$

on S .

For fixed u in E_1 , let

$$M_u = K(S) \cap \{v \mid (u - v, T_0 u + Cv) \geq 0\}.$$

The function

$$(u - v, T_0 u + Cv) = (u, T_0 u) - (v, T_0 u) + (u, Cv) - (v, Cv)$$

is continuous in v on $K(S)$ for fixed u in E_1 . Hence M_u is a closed subset of the compact set $K(S)$.

Let $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$ be a finite set in E_1 , F_0 the finite-dimensional subspace of E_1 generated by $\{u_1, \dots, u_r\}$. By the Hahn-Banach Theorem, we can find a bounded idempotent mapping P of E_1 into E_1 whose range is F_0 (i.e. P is a projection on F_0). Let P^* be the mapping of E_2 into itself given by

$$(v, P^* w) = (Pv, w).$$

Then P^* is well defined and is a bounded linear idempotent mapping of E_2 . Let F' be the range of P^* . Then (F_0, F') form a dual system. Let R be the map of F_0 into F' given by $Ru = P^*Tu$. Since T is finitely continuous and F_0 has finite dimension, R is continuous. If $S_0 = S \cap F_0$, $K(S_0) - S_0$ contains 0 and its boundary is contained in S_0 by hypothesis. For $u \in S_0$,

$$(u, Ru) = (u, P^*Tu) = (Pu, Tu) = (u, Tu) \geq 0$$

since $Pu = u$ for $u \in F_0$. Applying Lemma 5.2, we find that there exists v_1 in $K(S_0) \subset K(S)$ such that

$$P^*Tv_1 = 0.$$

For this element v_1 , we have

$$P^*T_0v_1 = -P^*Cv_1,$$

and for u_j , $1 \leq j \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq (u_j - v_1, T_0u_j - T_0v_1) \\ &= (u_j - v_1, T_0u_j) - (P(u_j - v_1), T_0v_1) \\ &= (u_j - v_1, T_0u_j) - ((u_j - v_1), P^*T_0v_1) \\ &= (u_j - v_1, T_0u_j) + (u_j - v_1, P^*Cv_1) \\ &= (u_j - v_1, T_0u_j + Cv_1). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$v_1 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^r M_{u_j},$$

i.e. the $\{M_u\}$ have the finite intersection property. By the compactness of $K(S)$ and the closedness of each M_u , it follows that $\bigcap_{u \in E_1} M_u \neq \emptyset$.

Let u_1 be an element of $\bigcap_{u \in E_1} M_u$. Then

$$(u - u_1, T_0u + Cu_1) \geq 0$$

for all u in E_1 . By Lemma 5.1, $T_0u_1 = -Cu_1$, i.e. $Tu_1 = 0$.

Q.E.D.

As a specialization of Theorem 4, we have the following:

THEOREM 5. Suppose X is a Banach space, X^* its dual space, T a mapping of X^* into X such that $T = T_0 + C$ where T_0 is monotone, T_0 is continuous from finite-dimensional subspaces of X^* to the weak topology of X , C is continuous from the weak*-topology on bounded subsets of X^* to the strong topology on X . Let S be a bounded subset of X^* which envelopes u_0 , w an element of X such that

$$(u - u_0, Tu - w) \geq 0$$

for all u in S . Then there exists u_1 in $K(S)$ the weak*-closed convex hull of S such that $Tu_1 = w$.

Proof of Theorem 5. This is obtained from Theorem 4 by letting E_1 be X^* with its weak*-topology, E_2 be X with its weak topology.

We conclude our discussion with an extension of the result of Theorem 5 in a slightly different direction.

THEOREM 6. *Let X be a reflexive Banach space, Y a Banach space, X^* and Y^* the dual spaces of X and Y , respectively. Let T be a mapping of X into Y^* such that $T = T_0 + C$ where T_0 is finitely continuous and C is continuous on bounded sets of X from the weak topology of X to the strong topology of Y^* . Suppose there exists a bounded linear operator L from X to Y with dense range in Y such that*

$$(5.3) \quad (L_0u - L_0v, T_0u - T_0v) \geq 0$$

for all u and v of X . Let S be a bounded subset of X which envelops a point u_0 of $K(S)$, the closed convex hull of S , and such that for a given w in Y^ ,*

$$(5.4) \quad (Lu - Lu_0, Tu - w) \geq 0$$

for all u in S . Then there exists u_1 in $K(S)$ such that $Tu_1 = w$.

Proof of Theorem 6. Let L^* be the adjoint map to L carrying Y^* into X^* , $R = L^*T$. Then R satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 5 since inequality (5.3) is equivalent to

$$(u - v, Ru - Rv) \geq 0$$

while inequality (5.4) is equivalent to

$$(u - u_0, Ru - L^*w) \geq 0,$$

and L^* is a continuous linear mapping and hence weakly continuous. (The reflexivity of X implies of course that $X = (X^*)^*$.) Theorem 5 implies that there exists u_1 in $K(S)$ such that

$$L^*w = Ru_1 = L^*Tu_1.$$

Hence $L^*(Tu_1 - w) = 0$. Since L has dense range in Y , L^* has a trivial null space. Thus $Tu_1 = w$. Q.E.D.

A final remark: For complex linear spaces, the real inner product given by

$$\operatorname{Re}(u, v)$$

gives us the corresponding theorems in the complex form applied in the preceding sections. Finally, if $\operatorname{Re}(u, Tu) \geq C(\|u\|)\|u\|$ with $C(r) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $r \rightarrow +\infty$, then for every w in X^* , $\operatorname{Re}(u, Tu - w) \geq \{C(\|u\|) - \|w\|\}\|u\| \geq 0$ for $\|u\|$ sufficiently large.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. F. E. Browder, *Functional analysis and partial differential equations*. I, Math. Ann. **138** (1959), 55-79.
2. ———, *On the spectral theory of elliptic differential operators*. I, Math. Ann. **142** (1961), 22-130.

3. ———, *On the solvability of nonlinear functional equations*, Duke Math. J. **30** (1963), 557–566.
4. ———, *Variational boundary value problems for quasi-linear elliptic equations of arbitrary order*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **50** (1963), 31–37.
5. ———, *Variational boundary value problems for quasi-linear elliptic equations. II*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **50** (1963), 592–598.
6. ———, *Variational boundary value problems for quasi-linear elliptic equations. III*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **50** (1963), 794–798.
7. ———, *Nonlinear elliptic boundary value problems*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 864–876.
8. ———, *Nonlinear equations of evolution*, Math. Ann. **80** (1964), 485–523.
9. ———, *Strongly nonlinear parabolic boundary value problems*, Amer. J. Math. **86** (1964), 339–357.
10. ———, *Nonlinear parabolic boundary problems of arbitrary order*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 860–863.
11. G. Köthe, *Topologische lineare Räume*, Vol. 1, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen, Bd. 107, Springer, Berlin, 1960.
12. M. A. Krasnoselski, *Topological methods in the theory of nonlinear integral equations*, Gosudarstv. Izdat. Tehn.-Teor. Lit., Moscow, 1956. (Russian)
13. ———, *On a new fixed point principle*, Trudy Mat. Seminar Voronezh Univ. (1958), 87–90. (Russian)
14. J. Leray and J. Schauder, *Topologie et équations fonctionnelles*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **51** (1934), 45–78.
15. G. J. Minty, *Monotone (nonlinear) operators in Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. **29** (1962), 341–346.
16. ———, *Two theorems on nonlinear functional equations in Hilbert space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **69** (1963), 691–692.
17. ———, *On a “monotonicity” method for the solution of nonlinear equations in Banach spaces*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **50** (1963), 1038–1041.
18. M. M. Vainberg, *Variational methods for the study of nonlinear operators*, Moscow, 1956. (Russian)
19. M. M. Vainberg and R. I. Kachurovski, *On the variational theory of nonlinear operators and equations*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **129** (1959), 1199–1202. (Russian)
20. M. I. Vishik, *Solution of a system of quasi-linear equations in divergence form under periodic boundary conditions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk **137** (1961), 502–505. Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 293–297.
21. ———, *Boundary value problems for quasi-linear strongly elliptic systems in divergence form*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **138** (1961), 518–521. Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961), 643–647.
22. ———, *Simultaneous quasi-linear equations with lower order terms*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 13–16. Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 629–633.
23. ———, *Quasi-linear strongly elliptic systems of differential equations having divergence form*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **12** (1963), 125–184. Trans. Moscow. Math. Soc. **1963**, 140–208.

INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY,
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY
UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO,
CHICAGO, ILLINOIS