

LOCALIZATION FOR FOURIER SERIES ON $SU(2)$

BY
R. MAYER⁽¹⁾

1. **Introduction.** Let $SU(2)$ be the group of 2×2 unitary matrices with determinant 1, and for each positive integer n let χ_n be the irreducible n -dimensional character of $SU(2)$, ([6, pp. 151, 163] our character χ_n is Weyl's χ_{n-1}). With each integrable function f on $SU(2)$ there is associated a Fourier series

$$f \sim \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n f, \quad P_n f = f * n\chi_n,$$

where $*$ denotes convolution. For each $b \in SU(2)$ and each integer $k > 0$ we set

$$S_k(f:b) = \sum_{n=1}^k P_n f(b).$$

Let $N(b)$ be the space of functions $f \in L^1(SU(2))$ which vanish on a neighborhood V_f of b . The main results of this paper are Theorems A–C below.

THEOREM A. *Let $b \in SU(2)$, $f \in N(b)$. If all of the first derivatives of f (in the distribution sense) are functions in $L^p(SU(2))$ for some $p > 3/2$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:b) = 0$. If $p < 3/2$, there is a function $f \in N(b)$ whose first derivatives are all functions in $L^p(SU(2))$ and such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:b)$ does not exist.*

THEOREM B. *If $f \in N(b) \cap N(-b)$ and the first derivatives of f are functions in $L^1(SU(2))$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:b) = 0$.*

Say a function $f \in L^1(SU(2))$ is of bounded variation if its first derivatives are all measures.

THEOREM C. *If $b \in SU(2)$ and $V \subset SU(2)$ is any nonvoid open set, then there is a function of bounded variation which vanishes on the complement of V such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:b)$ does not exist.*

The proof of Theorem C yields the following example. Let e be the identity for $SU(2)$ and let

$$\begin{aligned} g(b) &= 0 && \text{if } \operatorname{tr}(b) < 0, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} && \text{if } \operatorname{tr}(b) = 0, \\ &= 1 && \text{if } \operatorname{tr}(b) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

Received by the editors September 26, 1966.

⁽¹⁾ Some of the results of this paper are contained in the author's Ph.D. Dissertation written at Columbia University under the direction of R. V. Kadison. The author would like to thank Professor Kadison for his helpful advice.

This research was supported by a National Science Foundation Cooperative Graduate Fellowship at Columbia University, and by the U.S. Army Research Office (Durham).

where tr = trace. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(g:b) = g(b)$ if $b \neq \pm e$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(g:b)$ does not exist if $b = \pm e$. (Topologically $SU(2)$ is a 3-sphere, $g=1$ on the northern hemisphere, $\frac{1}{2}$ on the equator, and 0 on the southern hemisphere, and the Fourier series for f diverges at the north and south poles.)

2. Influence sets. Let G be a compact group, let $S = \{S_\alpha\}$ ($\alpha \in A$) be a summation method for G , [3, §5], let M be a linear submanifold of $L^1(G)$, let F be a closed subset of G and let $b \in G$. We will say F influences S at b for functions in M if $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(f:b) = 0$ for every $f \in M$ which vanishes on a neighborhood of F .

LEMMA 2.1. *Let G be a compact Lie group, and let M be a left translation invariant linear submanifold of $L^1(G)$ which is also a module over $C^\infty(G)$ (i.e., $fg \in M$ for all $f \in M, g \in C^\infty(G)$). Let S be a summation method for $G, b \in G$. Then there exists a unique closed set $I_b(S, M)$ in G such that $I_b(S, M)$ influences S at b for functions in M and $I_b(S, M)$ is contained in every closed set which influences S at b for functions in M . Also $I_b(S, M) = bI_e(S, M)$ where e is the identity for G .*

Proof. This was proved in [3, Theorem 5.7] for the case where $M = L^1(G)$. We will show that if F_1 and F_2 influence S at b for functions in M , then so does $F_1 \cap F_2$. The rest of the proof is exactly like the proof given in [3]. Let F_1, F_2 influence S at b for functions in M and let f be a function in M which vanishes on a neighborhood U of $F_1 \cap F_2$. Let V_1, V_2 be disjoint compact neighborhoods of $F_1 - U, F_2 - U$ respectively and let h be a C^∞ function on G such that $h=1$ on V_1 and $h=0$ on V_2 . Then $fh \in M$ and fh vanishes near F_2 so $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(fh:b) = 0$. Similarly $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(f(1-h):b) = 0$, so $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(f:b) = 0$ and $F_1 \cap F_2$ influences b for functions in M .

We will write $I_e(S, M) = I(S, M)$, and we say that the method S has the localization property for functions in M if $I(S, M) = \{e\}$. An element b of G is in $I(S, M)$ if and only if for each neighborhood V of b there is a function $f \in M$ such that f vanishes on $G - V$ and $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(f:e) \neq 0$.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let G be a compact Lie group, let $S = \{S_\alpha\}$, ($\alpha \in A$) be a central summation method for G [3, §5] and let M be a linear submanifold of $L_1(G)$ which is left and right translation invariant and which is a module over $C^\infty(G)$. Then $aI(S, M)a^{-1} = I(S, M)$ for all $a \in G$.*

Proof. Let $b \in I(S, M), a \in G$ and let V be any neighborhood of aba^{-1} . Then $a^{-1}Va$ is a neighborhood of b , so there is a function $f \in M$ such that f vanishes on $G - a^{-1}Va$ and $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(f:e) \neq 0$. Define $g \in M$ by $g(x) = f(a^{-1}xa)$ for all $x \in G$. Then g vanishes on $G - V$ and by [3, Equation (5.2)]

$$\begin{aligned} S_\alpha(g:e) &= g * S_\alpha^\check{\check{}}(e) = \int_G f(a^{-1}ya) S_\alpha^\check{\check{}}(y^{-1}) dy = \int_G f(y) S_\alpha^\check{\check{}}(ay^{-1}a^{-1}) dy \\ &= \int_G f(y) S_\alpha^\check{\check{}}(y^{-1}) dy = f * S_\alpha^\check{\check{}}(e) = S_\alpha(f:e). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\lim_{\alpha \in A} S_\alpha(g:e) \neq 0$ and $aba^{-1} \in I(S, M)$.

Let G be a compact Lie group and let \mathfrak{G} be the Lie algebra of G , i.e., the Lie algebra of left invariant vector fields on G . A function $f \in L^1(G)$ is of *bounded variation* if for each $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ there is a constant K_D such that

$$(2.3) \quad |(Dg, f)| \leq K_D \|g\|_\infty \quad \text{for all } g \in C^\infty(G).$$

The space of functions of bounded variation on G will be denoted by BV . For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ let W_p^1 be the space of functions in $L^1(G)$ all of whose first derivatives are functions in $L^p(G)$. (A function $f \in L^1(G)$ is in W_p^1 if and only if for each $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ there is a function $Df \in L^p(G)$ such that $(Dg, f) = -(g, Df)$, for all $g \in C^\infty(G)$.) It is routine to verify that BV and W_p^1 are left and right translation invariant modules over $C^\infty(G)$.

3. Localization theorems. In this section G will always denote $SU(2)$ and \mathfrak{G} will be the Lie algebra of $SU(2)$. For each integer $n \geq 1$ let E_n be the n^2 dimensional two-sided ideal in $L^2(G)$, let χ_n be the irreducible character in E_n and let P_n be the orthogonal projection onto E_n . Let $S = \{S_n\}$ ($1 \leq n < \infty$), be the summation method for G defined by

$$(3.1) \quad S_n = P_1 + \dots + P_n.$$

In [3] it was shown that $I(S, L^1(G)) = G$. In this section we find $I(S, W_p^1)$ and $I(S, BV)$.

THEOREM 3.2. *Let S be the summation method defined in (3.1). Then*

$$\begin{aligned} I(S; W_p^1) &= \{e\} && \text{if } p > 3/2, \\ &= \{e\} \cup \{-e\} && \text{if } 1 \leq p < 3/2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof will require a few lemmas.

LEMMA 3.3. *For any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$*

$$(3.4) \quad (D\chi_2)n\chi_n = D(\chi_{n+1} - \chi_{n-1}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2.$$

$$(3.5) \quad (D\chi_2) \sum_{k=1}^n k\chi_k = D(\chi_n + \chi_{n+1}) \quad \text{for all } n \geq 1.$$

$$(3.6) \quad (D\chi_n)(3 - \chi_3) = ((n+1)\chi_{n-1} - (n-1)\chi_{n+1}) \cdot D\chi_2 \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2.$$

Proof. We prove (3.4) by induction on n . Observe that (3.4) holds for $n=1$ if we set $\chi_0=0$. We will use the relations

$$(3.7a) \quad \chi_2\chi_{k+1} = \chi_k + \chi_{k+2},$$

$$(3.7b) \quad \chi_3\chi_k = \chi_{k+2} + \chi_k + \chi_{k-2}, \quad (k \geq 2)$$

[6, p. 128]. For $n=2$ we have

$$D\chi_2 \cdot 2\chi_2 = D(\chi_2^2) = D(\chi_3 + 1) = D(\chi_3 - 1).$$

Now assume (3.4) for $n \leq k$ where $k \geq 2$. Applying the derivation D to both sides of (3.7a) and using (3.4) for $n=k$ we get

$$(3.8) \quad D\chi_2 \cdot \chi_{k+1} + \chi_2(D\chi_2 \cdot k\chi_k + D\chi_{k-1}) = D\chi_k + D\chi_{k+2}.$$

Since

$$\chi_2 \cdot D\chi_{k-1} = D(\chi_2 \cdot \chi_{k-1}) - D\chi_2 \cdot \chi_{k-1} = D(\chi_k + \chi_{k-2}) - D\chi_2 \cdot \chi_{k-1}$$

we obtain

$$(k+1)\chi_{k+1} \cdot D\chi_2 + (k-1)\chi_{k-1} \cdot D\chi_2 = D\chi_{k+2} - D\chi_{k-2}.$$

If we use the induction hypothesis to evaluate $(k-1)\chi_{k-1} \cdot D\chi_2$ we obtain (3.4) for $n=k+1$. (3.5) follows immediately from (3.4). Finally

$$\begin{aligned} ((n+1)\chi_{n-1} - (n-1)\chi_{n+1})D\chi_2 &= ((n-1)\chi_{n-1} - (n+1)\chi_{n+1} + 2(\chi_{n-1} + \chi_{n+1}))D\chi_2 \\ &= D(\chi_n - \chi_{n-2}) - D(\chi_{n+2} - \chi_n) + 2\chi_2\chi_n D\chi_2 \\ &= D(-\chi_{n+2} + 2\chi_n - \chi_{n-2}) + \chi_n D\chi_2^2 \\ &= D((3-\chi_3)\chi_n) - \chi_n D(3-\chi_3) \\ &= (3-\chi_3)D\chi_n. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.9. Let $h \in W_p^1$ where $p > 3/2$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \int_G h(a)\chi_n(a) da = 0.$$

Proof. Let Δ be the Laplace operator for G , $\Delta = D_1^2 + D_2^2 + D_3^2$ where D_1, D_2, D_3 is any basis for \mathfrak{G} which is orthonormal with respect to the Killing form for \mathfrak{G} . Each character χ_n is an eigenvector for Δ [1, p. 426]. Say $\Delta\chi_n = \lambda_n\chi_n$. Then it is well known that

$$(3.10) \quad \lambda_n = \lambda_2(n^2 - 1)/3.$$

((3.10) can be verified by induction using the relation $\lambda_n = \Delta\chi_n(e)/n$ together with (3.7a) and the fact that $D\chi_n(e) = 0$ for all n since χ_n has a maximum at e .) For $h \in W_p^1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G h(a)\chi_n(a) da &= 3 \int_G h(a) \Delta\chi_n(a) da / \lambda_2(n^2 - 1) \\ &= -3 \sum_{i=1}^3 (D_i h, D_i \chi_n) / \lambda_2(n^2 - 1), \end{aligned}$$

so the lemma will follow if we show

$$(3.11) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (g, D\chi_n)/n = 0,$$

for all $g \in L^p(G)$, $D \in \mathfrak{G}$. Condition (3.11) is satisfied for any g in the representative ring of G , and since the representative ring is dense in $L^p(G)$ for $1 \leq p < \infty$ it follows from [3, Lemma 5.10] that (3.11) holds for all $g \in L^p(G)$ ($3/2 < p < \infty$) if and only if

$$(3.12) \quad \{n^{-1} \|D\chi_n\|_q : 1 \leq n < \infty\}$$

is bounded for each q , $1 < q < 3$.

Now $\Delta\chi_2^2 = 2\chi_2 \Delta\chi_2 + 2 \sum_{i=1}^3 (D_i\chi_2)^2$ so using (3.10) we get

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{i=1}^3 (D_i\chi_2)^2 = (\chi_3 - 3)\lambda_2/3.$$

Since $D_i\chi_2$ is real it follows that

$$(3.14) \quad (3 - \chi_3)^{-1/2} D\chi_2 \in L^\infty(G), \quad \text{for all } D \in \mathfrak{G}.$$

By (3.6) and (3.14) we see that there is a constant K_D such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|D\chi_n\|_q &= \|((n+1)\chi_{n-1} - (n-1)\chi_{n+1})D\chi_2 \cdot (3 - \chi_3)^{-1}\|_q \\ &\leq K_D \|((n+1)\chi_{n-1} - (n-1)\chi_{n+1})(3 - \chi_3)^{-1/2}\|_q \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(3.15) \quad n^{-1} \|D\chi_n\|_q \leq K_D \|\chi_{n-1} - \chi_{n+1} + n^{-1}\chi_2\chi_n\|_\infty \|(3 - \chi_3)^{-1/2}\|_q.$$

Using the explicit formulas for the characters and Haar measure on $SU(2)$ discussed in [6, pp. 151, 163] we get $\chi_{n+1}(a) - \chi_{n-1}(a) = \epsilon^n + \epsilon^{-n}$ where the eigenvalues of a are ϵ, ϵ^{-1} , so

$$\|\chi_{n-1} - \chi_{n+1} + n^{-1}\chi_2\chi_n\|_\infty \leq 4,$$

and

$$\|(3 - \chi_3)^{-1/2}\|_q^q = 2^{1-q} \cdot \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin^{2-q}(t) dt.$$

(3.12) thus follows from (3.15), and the proof of Lemma 3.9 is complete. (Note that $W_p^1 \supset W_\infty^1$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$.)

Let $f \in W_p^1$ where $p > 3/2$ and suppose f vanishes on a neighborhood V of e . By [3, Equation 5.12] we have

$$S_n(f:e) = f * S_n^\vee(e) = \int_G f(a)((n+1)\chi_n(a) - n\chi_{n+1}(a))(2 - \chi_2(a))^{-1} da.$$

Since f vanishes near e and $(2 - \chi_2)^{-1}$ is infinitely differentiable except at e it follows that $h = (2 - \chi_2)^{-1}f$ is a function in W_p^1 which vanishes near e , and

$$S_n(f:e) = \int_G h(a)((n+1)\chi_n(a) - n\chi_{n+1}(a)) da.$$

By Lemma 3.9 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:e) = 0$, hence $I(S, W_p^1) = \{e\}$ if $p > 3/2$.

LEMMA 3.16. *Let f be a function in $L^2(SU(2))$ which is continuously differentiable except at a single point a , and let $D \in \mathfrak{G}$. Suppose that the pointwise derivative Df (which is defined except at a) is a function in L^1 . Then the derivative of f considered as a distribution is the function Df .*

(The proof is a standard kind of argument, and is omitted.)

LEMMA 3.17. *Suppose $1 \leq p < 3/2$. Then there exists a function $f \in W_p^1$ which vanishes on a neighborhood of e such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f:e)$ does not exist.*

Proof. Let F be the function on $[0, \pi)$ defined by

$$(3.18) \quad \begin{aligned} F(t) &= 0 && \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \pi/2 \\ &= \csc t - 1 && \text{if } \pi/2 \leq t < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Let θ be the function on G defined by

$$(3.19) \quad \theta = \arccos(\frac{1}{2}\chi_2).$$

Let $f = F \circ \theta$. Then $f \in L^2(G) \subset L^p(G)$, [6, p. 163], and for any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ we have

$$(3.20) \quad \begin{aligned} Df(a) &= 0 && \text{if } 0 \leq \theta(a) \leq \pi/2 \\ &= -\csc \theta(a) \cot \theta(a) D\theta(a) && \text{if } \pi/2 \leq \theta(a) < \pi. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$D\theta = -\frac{1}{2}(1 - (\frac{1}{2}\chi_2)^2)^{-1/2} D\chi_2 = -(3 - \chi_3)^{-1/2} D\chi_2$$

is bounded by (3.14), so $Df \in L^1(G)$, and by Lemma 3.16 the distribution derivative of f coincides with the function Df . Since $Df \in L^p(G)$ for $1 \leq p < 3/2$, we have $f \in W_p^1$ for $1 \leq p < 3/2$.

$$P_k f = (f, \chi_k) \cdot \chi_k = 2\chi_k / \pi k, \quad k \text{ odd, } k > 1.$$

Hence $P_k f(e)$ does not tend to 0 as k becomes large and hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; e) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_1^n P_k f(e)$ does not exist.

LEMMA 3.21. Let $f \in L^1(G)$ and suppose that f vanishes on a neighborhood V of $\{e\} \cup \{-e\}$. Then

$$(3.22) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_G f(a) \chi_n(a) da = 0.$$

Proof. Let $L^p(G - V)$ be the subspace of $L^p(G)$ consisting of those functions in $L^p(G)$ which vanish on V . Since $\{\chi_n\}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(G)$, (3.22) holds for all $f \in L^2(G - V)$ and since $L^2(G - V)$ is dense in $L^1(G - V)$ it follows from [3, Lemma 5.10] that (3.22) holds for all $f \in L^1(G - V)$ if and only if the set of numbers $\{\sup \{|\chi_n(a)| : a \in G - V\} : 1 \leq n < \infty\}$ is bounded. It is easy to verify that this is the case.

LEMMA 3.23. $I(S; W_1^1) = \{e\} \cup \{-e\}$.

Proof. Let f be a function in W_1^1 which vanishes on a neighborhood V of $\{e\} \cup \{-e\}$. Then by (3.5)

$$(3.24) \quad S_n(f; e) = \int_G f(a) \left(\sum_{k=1}^n k \chi_k(a) \right) da = \int_G (f(a) / D\chi_2(a)) \cdot D(\chi_n + \chi_{n+1})(a) da,$$

for any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$, $D \neq 0$. Let D_1, D_2, D_3 be an orthonormal basis for \mathfrak{G} with respect to the Killing form for \mathfrak{G} , and let $F_j = \{a \in G : D_j \chi_2(a) = 0\}$ ($1 \leq j \leq 3$). It follows from (3.13) and the fact that $\chi_3(a) = 3$ if and only if $a = \pm e$ that $F_1 \cap F_2 \cap F_3$

$=\{e\} \cup \{-e\}$. Let U be a neighborhood of $\{e\} \cup \{-e\}$ such that $U^- \subset V$ and let $F_j^U = F_j \cap (G-U)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Then each F_j^U is compact and

$$\bigcap_{j=1}^3 F_j^U = \emptyset.$$

Choose open sets W_j ($1 \leq j \leq 3$) in G so that

$$W_j \supset F_j^U \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcap_{j=1}^3 W_j^- = \emptyset.$$

Let $(W_j^-)'$ be the complement of W_j^- so that $\{(W_j^-)': 1 \leq j \leq 3\}$ is an open cover for $SU(2)$. Let $\{g_1, g_2, g_3\}$ be a C^∞ partition of unity for G subordinate to this cover (so $g_j=0$ on W_j^-) and let h be a C^∞ function such that $h=0$ on U and $h=1$ on $G-V$. Let $\lambda_j = g_j h$ for $1 \leq j \leq 3$. Then we have

$$f = \sum_{j=1}^3 \lambda_j f.$$

Moreover each $\lambda_j/D_j \chi_2$ is a C^∞ function since λ_j vanishes on a neighborhood of the zeros of $D_j \chi_2$. By (3.24)

$$S_n(f; e) = \sum_{j=1}^3 S_n(\lambda_j f; e) = \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_G (\lambda_j f / D_j \chi_2) \cdot D_j (\chi_{n+1} + \chi_n) d\mu.$$

Each function $\lambda_j f / D_j \chi_2$ is in W_1^1 , since W_1^1 is a module over $C^\infty(G)$. Hence

$$S_n(f; e) = - \sum_{j=1}^3 \int_G D_j (\lambda_j f / D_j \chi_2) \cdot (\chi_{n+1} + \chi_n) d\mu$$

and it follows from Lemma 3.21 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; e) = 0$. Hence $I(S, W_1^1) \subset \{e\} \cup \{-e\}$. By Lemma 3.17 $I(S, W_1^1)$ contains $\{e\}$ as a proper subset so Lemma 3.23 follows.

We have already observed that $I(S, W_p^1) = \{e\}$ if $p > 3/2$. The rest of Theorem 3.2 is clear from Lemma 3.17 and Lemma 3.23. If $f \in L^1(G)$ and f vanishes near e then either $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; e) = 0$ or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(f; e)$ does not exist [3, Theorem 7.12]. Hence Theorems A and B of the introduction follow from Theorem 3.2.

THEOREM 3.25. $I(S, BV) = G$. (This is Theorem C of the introduction.)

Again the proof requires a few lemmas.

LEMMA 3.26. Let f be a class function in $L^1(SU(2))$ and let f' be the even function on $[-\pi, \pi]$ defined by

$$f'(t) = f(x_t) \quad \text{where } x_t = \text{diag}(e^{it}, e^{-it}).$$

Suppose that $f' \in L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ and let $f' \sim \sum C_n e^{int}$ be the Fourier series for f' . Then

$$(3.27) \quad S_n(f; a) = \sum_{-n+1}^{n-1} C_k e^{ik\theta(a)} - (C_n \chi_{n-1}(a) + C_{n+1} \chi_n(a))$$

for all $a \in SU(2)$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$, (θ is as in (3.19)).

Proof. $C_n = C_{-n}$ so for any $n > 1$

$$(3.28) \quad \int_G f(a)\chi_n(a) da = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} f'(t) \sin nt \sin t dt = C_{n-1} - C_{n+1}.$$

Using the relation $\chi_n = 2 \cos (n-1)\theta + \chi_{n-2}$, for $n \geq 2$, ($\chi_0 = 0$) we get

$$(3.29) \quad P_n f = (f, \chi_n)\chi_n = 2C_{n-1} \cos (n-1)\theta + C_{n-1}\chi_{n-2} - C_{n+1}\chi_n$$

for $n \geq 2$. Since $P_1 f = C_0 - C_2$ and

$$S_n(f; a) = \sum_{k=1}^n P_k f(a),$$

(3.27) follows from (3.29).

LEMMA 3.30. For each $t \in (-2, 2)$ let λ_t be the function on G defined by

$$(3.31) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_t(a) &= 0 && \text{if } \chi_2(a) < t, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} && \text{if } \chi_2(a) = t, \\ &= 1 && \text{if } \chi_2(a) > t. \end{aligned}$$

Then the Fourier series for λ_t diverges at $\pm e$ and converges elsewhere.

Proof. λ_t is clearly in $L^1(-\pi, \pi)$ and a straightforward calculation shows that

$$(3.32) \quad \lambda_t(s) \sim \sum C_n e^{ins},$$

where $C_n = \sin (n \arccos (\frac{1}{2}t))/\pi n$. We will write $T = \arccos (\frac{1}{2}t)$. By (3.27)

$$S_n(\lambda_t; a) = \sum_{-n+1}^{n-1} \frac{\sin kT}{\pi k} e^{ik\theta(a)} - \frac{\sin nT}{\pi n} \chi_{n-1}(a) - \frac{\sin (n+1)T}{\pi(n+1)} \chi_n(a).$$

We know that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{-n}^n \frac{\sin kT}{\pi k} e^{ik\theta(a)} = \lambda_t(\theta(a))$$

for all $\theta(a)$, so $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\lambda_t; a)$ exists if and only if the limit

$$(3.33) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\sin nT)\chi_{n-1}(a)/n + (\sin (n+1)T)\chi_n(a)/(n+1)$$

exists. If $a \neq \pm e$ this limit is clearly 0. If $a = e$ (3.33) becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n-1)(\sin nT)/n + n(\sin (n+1)T)/(n+1)$$

and this limit does not exist for $T \in (0, \pi)$. Similarly $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\lambda_t; -e)$ does not exist.

In §4 we will show that the functions $\lambda_t \in BV$ by computing their derivatives explicitly. (The explicit formula for the derivatives will be used in a later paper.) For the present we assume $\lambda_t \in BV$.

Proof of Theorem 3.25. Every conjugacy class of $SU(2)$ is of the form

$$(3.34) \quad K_t = \{a \in SU(2) : \chi_2(a) = t\} \quad \text{for some } t \in [-2, 2].$$

Any neighborhood N of K_t contains a set of the form

$$N_{t\epsilon} = \{a \in G : |\chi_2(a) - t| < \epsilon\}.$$

Let $f_{t\epsilon}$ be a continuously differentiable class function on G such that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{t\epsilon}(a) &= 0 && \text{if } \chi_2(a) \leq t - \epsilon, \\ &= -1 && \text{if } \chi_2(a) \geq t + \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and such that $f_{t\epsilon} = 0$ near $-e$ and $f_{t\epsilon} = -1$ near e . Then $f'_{t\epsilon} \sim \sum C_n e^{ins}$ where $C_n = o(n^{-1})$ and it follows from (3.27) that $f_{t\epsilon}$ has an everywhere convergent Fourier series. Let $t \in (-2, 2)$, let N be any neighborhood of K_t , and let $N_{t\epsilon} \subset N$. Then $\lambda_t + f_{t\epsilon} \in BV$, $\lambda_t + f_{t\epsilon}$ vanishes on the complement of N and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\lambda_t + f_{t\epsilon}; e) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n(\lambda_t; e)$ does not exist. Hence $N \cap I(S, BV) \neq \emptyset$ for every neighborhood N of K_t , hence $K_t \cap I(S, BV) \neq \emptyset$ and by Lemma 2.2, $K_t \subset I(S, BV)$. Clearly $K_t \subset I(S, BV)$ for $t = \pm 2$ and it follows that $I(S, BV) = G$.

4. Calculation of some derivatives. Let G be a compact Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} . For each $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ let D_R be the right invariant vector field on G defined by $D_R = -JDJ$ where $(Jf)(x) = \tilde{f}(x^{-1}) = f^*(x)$ for any function f on G .

LEMMA 4.1. *Let G be a compact connected semisimple Lie group with Lie algebra \mathfrak{G} , let \mathfrak{A} be an abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} , and let E be a minimal two-sided ideal in the convolution algebra $L^2(G)$, of dimension d_E^2 . Then there exists an irreducible matrix representation $a \rightarrow (\mu_{kj}(a))$ of G and a family $\{\phi_k\}$ ($1 \leq k \leq d_E$) of real linear functionals on \mathfrak{G} such that*

$$(4.2) \quad \mu_{kj} \in E, \quad 1 \leq k, j \leq d_E,$$

$$(4.3) \quad D\mu_{kj} = i\phi_j(D)\mu_{kj}, \quad 1 \leq k, j \leq d_E, \quad D \in \mathfrak{A},$$

$$(4.4) \quad D_R\mu_{kj} = i\phi_k(D)\mu_{kj}, \quad 1 \leq k, j \leq d_E, \quad D \in \mathfrak{A}.$$

Proof. The space E is translation invariant, and hence is invariant under each operator $D \in \mathfrak{G}$. Also each restriction $D|_E$, $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ is skew Hermitian since $(Df, g) = -(f, Dg)$ for all $f, g \in E$. The complex associative algebra generated by $\{D|_E : D \in \mathfrak{A}\}$ is an abelian selfadjoint algebra of operators on E , and hence is generated by a single operator $T|_E$. Using left invariance of T it is easy to verify that $T(f * g) = f * Tg$ for all $f, g \in E$, and from this it follows that any eigenspace of $T|_E$ is a left ideal in E . Since E is the orthogonal sum of the eigenspaces of $T|_E$ and any left ideal in E is the orthogonal sum of minimal left ideals we can write $E = \sum E_k$ ($1 \leq k \leq d_E$) where each E_i is a minimal left ideal invariant under T (and hence under \mathfrak{A}), and the ideals E_k are mutually orthogonal. Write $\mathfrak{A}_R = \{D_R : D \in \mathfrak{A}\}$. Each ideal E_k is invariant under \mathfrak{A}_R ([4, p. 294] and note that $D_R P_E$ is a bounded

right invariant operator where P_E is the projection onto E). Write $E_k = L^2(G) * f_k$ where $f_k = f_k^*$ is a minimal idempotent in $L^2(G)$, and let $F_k = J(E_k) = f_k * L^2(G)$. Then $F_k \subset E$ and each F_k is a minimal right ideal which is invariant under \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}_R . $E_k \cap F_j = f_j * L^2(G) * f_k = f_j * E * f_k$ is a 1-dimensional subspace of E [2, p. 104], and the spaces $E_k \cap F_j$ are mutually orthogonal and invariant under \mathfrak{A} and \mathfrak{A}_R . For each $D \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $1 \leq k \leq d_E$ let $\phi_k(D)$ be the number such that $D|_{E_k} = i\phi_k(D)I_k$, where I_k is the identity operator on E_k . $D|_{E_k}$ is a scalar by Schur's lemma and $\phi_k(D)$ is real because $D|_E$ is skew Hermitian. If $f \in F_k$ and $D \in \mathfrak{A}$ then $D_R f = -JDJf = -J(i\phi_k(D)Jf) = i\phi_k(D)f$, and hence if $\mu \in E_k \cap F_j$ we have

$$(4.5) \quad D\mu = i\phi_k(D)\mu, \quad D_R\mu = i\phi_j(D)\mu.$$

Let f_{k1} ($1 \leq k \leq d_E$) be a unit vector in $E_1 \cap F_k$. Then $(f_{k1})^-$ ($1 \leq k \leq d_E$) is an orthonormal basis for \bar{E}_1 . The matrix coordinates of the left regular representation L restricted to \bar{E}_1 relative to the basis $(f_{k1})^-$ are given by $\mu_{kj}(a) = (L(a)\bar{f}_{j1}, \bar{f}_{k1}) = f_{k1} * f_{j1}^*(a)$ so $\mu_{kj} \in E_j \cap F_k$. It follows from (4.5) that the functions μ_{kj} have the properties stated in the lemma.

For the rest of the paper $G = SU(2)$ and \mathfrak{G} is the Lie algebra of G . Let Q be the projection onto the space of class functions in $L^2(G)$. Then for any continuous function g on G , Qg is the continuous class function on G defined by

$$Qg(a) = \int_G g(bab^{-1}) db.$$

If μ_{ij} is a coordinate function of an irreducible representation of G with character χ_n , then using the relations in [5, p. 73] we can show that

$$(4.6) \quad Q\mu_{ij} = \delta_{ij}\chi_n/n.$$

For any $D \in \mathfrak{G}$ and any $T \in [0, \pi]$ the map μ_{TD} of $C(G) \rightarrow C$ defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \mu_{TD}: g \rightarrow (Q(gD\chi_2))(x_T),$$

where $x_T = \text{diag}(e^{iT}, e^{-iT})$ is easily seen to be a measure on G .

PROPOSITION 4.8. Let $t \in [-2, 2]$, let λ_t be the function on G defined by (3.31), and let $D \in \mathfrak{G}$. Then

$$(4.9) \quad D\lambda_t = (1/\pi)(\sin T)\mu_{TD}, \quad T = \arccos(\frac{1}{2}t)$$

where μ_{TD} is defined in (4.7), i.e.,

$$(4.10) \quad \pi(Dg, \lambda_t) = -(\sin T)(Q(gD\chi_2))(x_T)$$

for all $g \in C^\infty(G)$.

Proof. The result is clear if $t = \pm 2$, so assume $t \in (-2, 2)$. Let \mathfrak{A} be the abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} generated by D , and for each positive integer n let $a \rightarrow (\mu_{kj}^n(a))$ be an irreducible n -dimensional matrix representation of G and let $\phi_1^n, \dots, \phi_n^n$ be

real linear functionals on \mathfrak{A} having the properties described in (4.2)–(4.4). To prove (4.10) it is sufficient to show that

$$(4.11) \quad \pi i \phi_j^n(D)(\mu_{kj}^n, \lambda_t) = -(\sin T)(Q(\mu_{kj}^n D\chi_2))(x_T)$$

for $1 \leq n < \infty$ and $1 \leq k, j \leq n$. For $n > 1$ we obtain from (4.6), (3.28), and (3.32)

$$\begin{aligned} (\mu_{kj}^n, \lambda_t) &= (\mu_{kj}^n, Q\lambda_t) = (Q\mu_{kj}^n, \lambda_t) = \delta_{kj}(\chi_n, \lambda_t)/n \\ &= \delta_{kj}((\sin(n-1)T/\pi n(n-1)) - (\sin(n+1)T/\pi n(n+1))), \end{aligned}$$

and hence for all $n > 1$

$$(4.12) \quad \pi n i \phi_j^n(D)(\mu_{kj}^n, \lambda_t) = i \delta_{kj} \phi_j^n(D) \sin T((\chi_{n-1}(x_T)/(n-1)) - (\chi_{n+1}(x_T)/(n+1))).$$

This equation also holds for $n=1$ if we agree to set $\chi_{n-1}/(n-1)=0$ when $n=1$. Hence we will have (4.11) if we show that

$$(4.13) \quad nQ(\mu_{kj}^n D\chi_2) = i \delta_{kj} \phi_j^n(D)(\chi_{n+1}/(n+1) - \chi_{n-1}/(n-1)).$$

We prove (4.13) by showing that both sides of the equation have the same inner product with μ_{sr}^m for $1 \leq m < \infty$ and $1 \leq s, r \leq m$. By the usual orthogonality relations for coordinate functions we get

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} (i \delta_{kj} \phi_j^n(D)(\chi_{n+1}/(n+1) - \chi_{n-1}/(n-1)), \mu_{sr}^m) \\ = i \delta_{kj} \delta_{sr} \phi_j^n(D)(\delta_{n+1,m} - \delta_{n-1,m})/m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now use (3.4) to get

$$(4.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (nQ(\mu_{kj}^n D\chi_2), \mu_{sr}^m) &= n(\mu_{kj}^n D\chi_2, Q\mu_{sr}^m) = n\delta_{sr}(\mu_{kj}^n, D\chi_2 \cdot \chi_m)/m \\ &= n\delta_{sr}(\mu_{kj}^n, D(\chi_{m+1} - \chi_{m-1}))/m^2 \\ &= n\delta_{sr}(D\mu_{kj}^n, \chi_{m-1} - \chi_{m+1})/m^2 \\ &= n\delta_{sr}(i\phi_j^n(D)\mu_{kj}^n, \chi_{m-1} - \chi_{m+1})/m^2 \\ &= i\delta_{sr} \delta_{kj} \phi_j^n(D)(\delta_{n,m-1} - \delta_{n,m+1})/m^2. \end{aligned}$$

Compare (4.14) and (4.15) and see that we have proved (4.13) which completes the proof of Proposition 4.8.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
2. L. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, New York, 1953.
3. R. Mayer, *Summation of Fourier series on compact groups*, Amer. J. Math. **89** (1967), 661–692.
4. I. Segal, *The two sided representation of a unimodular locally compact group*, Ann. of Math. (2) **51** (1950), 293–298.
5. A. Weil, *L'intégration dans les groupes topologiques*, Actualités Sci. Indust., No. 1145, Hermann, Paris, 1951.
6. H. Weyl, *The theory of groups and quantum mechanics*, Dover, New York, 1950.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY,
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS