

A COHOMOLOGICAL DESCRIPTION OF ABELIAN GALOIS EXTENSIONS

BY
MORRIS ORZECH⁽¹⁾

Let J be a finite abelian group, and let R be a commutative ring. Let $E_R(J)$ denote the set of equivalence classes of Galois extensions of R with group J , and let $A_R(J)$ denote the subset of $E_R(J)$ consisting of those extensions which have a normal basis.

In [8], Chase and Rosenberg obtained a bijection of pointed sets, $A_R(J) \cong H^2(R, J)$, where $H^2(R, J)$ is the cohomology group that Harrison denoted by $H^2(R(J), R(H))$ [11].

We show that this bijection is an isomorphism of abelian groups, and that it is natural in R and J (§§1 and 2). Let S be a faithfully flat commutative R -algebra. Using techniques similar to those employed in [7], a double complex is defined, depending on S and J , whose two coboundary maps are those of Harrison [11] and Amitsur [1]. The first cohomology group of this double complex is shown to be isomorphic to a subgroup of $E_R(J)$ (§3). By passing to the direct limit over those faithfully flat R -algebras which arise from partitions of unity in R , we obtain an isomorphism between $E_R(J)$ and a cohomology group H^1 depending only on R and J . We then have that the inclusion of $A_R(J)$ in $E_R(J)$ is given as the composite $A_R(J) \cong H^2(R, J) \rightarrow H^1 \cong E_R(J)$, where the middle map α is an edge homomorphism. Under certain assumptions α is an isomorphism, and then every Galois extension of R with group J has a normal basis (§4).

This paper constitutes a portion of the author's doctoral dissertation at Cornell University. The author wishes to thank S. U. Chase for his helpful advice and encouragement.

1. Groups of Galois extensions. In this section we will establish some properties of (not necessarily commutative) Galois extensions, and of certain sets of such extensions. In what follows, R will always denote a commutative ring, and unadorned tensor products will be over R .

For S a ring and G a group, $S(G)$ will denote the group ring of G over S . We define a category ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ as follows: the objects of ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ are R -algebras which are also left $R(G)$ -modules, the elements of G acting as R -algebra automorphisms; the

Presented to the Society, December 9, 1965 under the title *A cohomology theory of Harrison for connected rings*; received by the editors May 22, 1967 and, in revised form, March 18, 1968.

⁽¹⁾ This work was done while the author was a National Science Foundation Graduate Fellow.

morphisms in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ are R -algebra homomorphisms which are also $R(G)$ -module maps.

Let G be a finite group, and let A be any ring. Define $e_G(A) = \{\text{Set functions } v: G \rightarrow A\}$. Then $e_G(A)$ is a ring under pointwise operations, and is an R -algebra if A is an R -algebra. Now suppose A is an object of ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$. We let $A^G = \{a \in A \mid x(a) = a \text{ for all } x \text{ in } G\}$. Define $h: A \otimes A \rightarrow e_G(A)$ to be the homomorphism such that $h(a \otimes b)(x) = ax(b)$ for a, b in A and x in G . We say that A is a Galois extension of R with group G if h is a bijection and $A^G = R$.

Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of finite groups. Define a covariant functor $\phi: {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ by

$$\phi(A) = \{\text{Set maps } v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(\phi(x)y) = xv(y) \text{ for } x \text{ in } G, y \text{ in } H\};$$

for $f: A \rightarrow B$ a morphism in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$, $\phi(f)(v) = fv$. Let $\iota: {}_H\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ be the functor obtained by viewing an object in ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$ as an object in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$, via ϕ . The functor ϕ is a right adjoint to ι . H acts on $\phi(A)$ via $(yv)(z) = v(z\phi(y))$.

Suppose now that $\phi': G \rightarrow K$, $\phi'': K \rightarrow H$ are homomorphisms of finite groups. Let $\phi = \phi''\phi'$, and let ι, ι', ι'' be the functors which are the left adjoints of ϕ, ϕ', ϕ'' respectively. It is easy to see that there is a natural equivalence of functors, $\iota'\iota'' \sim \iota$. By uniqueness of adjoints up to equivalence, we conclude that the following lemma holds.

LEMMA 1.1. *Let ϕ', ϕ'' and ϕ be as described above, and let*

$$\phi': {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_K\mathcal{A}_R, \quad \phi'': {}_K\mathcal{A}_A \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R, \quad \phi: {}_G\mathcal{A}_R \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{A}_R$$

be the corresponding functors. Then there exists a natural equivalence of functors, $\phi''\phi' \sim \phi$.

THEOREM 1.2. *Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of finite groups, and let A be a Galois extension of R with group G . Then $\phi(A)$ is a Galois extension of R with group H .*

Proof. We first remark that for an object A of ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ to be a Galois extension of R with group G , it is necessary and sufficient that there exist elements $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ in A such that $\sum_i a_i x(b_i) = \delta_{1,x}$ for x in G , and that $A^G = R$. This is proved in [6] for A commutative; with trivial modifications, the arguments used [6, Theorem 1.3, (b) \Leftrightarrow (c) \Leftrightarrow (d) \Leftrightarrow (e)] are valid even when A is not commutative.

First assume that ϕ is one-one, and identify G with $\phi(G)$. Then $\phi(A) = \{v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(xy) = xv(y) \text{ for } x \text{ in } G, y \text{ in } H\}$. Choose $a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n$ in A such that $\sum_i a_i x(b_i) = \delta_{1,x}$.

Let $1 = z_1, \dots, z_m$ be a set of coset representatives of G ; thus $H = \bigcup H(i)$, where $H(i) = Gz_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Define a map $|\cdot|: H \rightarrow G$ by $|xz_i| = x$ for x in G . Clearly $|\cdot|$ satisfies the conditions: (i) $|1| = 1$ and (ii) $|xy| = x|y|$ for x in G and y in H . Now for $i \leq n, j \leq m$ define $v_{i,j}: H \rightarrow A$, $w_{i,j}: H \rightarrow A$ by $v_{i,j}(z) = |z|(a_i)\delta_{H(i),Gz}$

$w_{i,j}(z) = |z|(b_i)\delta_{H(j),Gz}$ for z in H . By (ii), $v_{i,j}$ and $w_{i,j}$ are in $\phi(A)$ for $i \leq n, j \leq m$. Now for each z in H we have that

$$\left(\sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}w_{i,j}\right)(z) = \sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}(z)w_{i,j}(z) = \sum_i |z|(a_i b_i) = 1.$$

If $y \neq 1$ is in H , we get:

$$\left(\sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}y(w_{i,j})\right)(z) = \sum_{i,j} |z|(a_i)|zy|(b_i)\delta_{H(j),Gz}\delta_{H(j),Gzy}.$$

Case 1. $Gz \neq Gzy$. Then $(\sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}y(w_{i,j}))(z) = 0$.

Case 2. $Gz = Gzy$. Then $u = zyz^{-1}$ is in G , and $uz = zy$. Thus $|zy| = u|z|$ by (ii) and $|zy| = |z|t$ where $t = |z|^{-1}u|z| \neq 1$ is in G . Thus

$$\left(\sum_{i,j} v_{i,j}y(w_{i,j})\right)(z) = \sum_i |z|(a_i)|z|t(b_i) = |z|\left(\sum_i a_i t(b_i)\right) = 0.$$

It is easy to see that $\phi(A)^H = R$, and thus $\phi(A)$ is a Galois extension of R with group H .

Now suppose $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ is onto. Let $K = \text{kernel}(\phi)$. Define maps $j: A^K \rightarrow \phi(A)$, $j': \phi(A) \rightarrow A^K$ by $j(a)(\phi(x)) = x(a)$, $j'(v) = v(1)$ for a in A^K , x in G , v in $\phi(A)$. It is easy to verify that j, j' are morphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$, and that $jj' = 1, j'j = 1$. (The action of H on A^K is given by $\phi(x)(a) = x(a)$ for x in G .) That A^K is a Galois extension of R with group H is proved in [14, Proposition 1].

For ϕ arbitrary, write $\phi = \phi''\phi'$, where ϕ' is onto and ϕ'' is one-one. Using (1.1) and the special cases above, we conclude that the theorem holds.

For G a finite group, define $E_R(G)$ to be the set of equivalence classes of Galois extensions of R with group G , two such extensions being equivalent if they are isomorphic in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$.

We define a *Galois (R, G)-algebra* to be a Galois extension A of R with group G such that $A \cong R(G)$ as $R(G)$ -modules. Equivalently, there exists a in A such that $\{x(a) \mid x \in G\}$ is an R -basis for A ; this basis is called a *normal basis* and is said to be generated by a .

We define $A_R(G)$ to be the set of equivalence classes of Galois (R, G) -algebras, equivalent algebras being those isomorphic in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$. Clearly, $A_R(G)$ is a subset of $E_R(G)$. We shall write (A) for the class of A in either $E_R(G)$ or $A_R(G)$.

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of finite groups. If A is a Galois (R, G) -algebra, then $\phi(A)$ is a Galois (R, H) -algebra.*

Proof. It is clear that there is an $R(H)$ -module isomorphism $\phi(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), A)$, where $R(H)$ is viewed as an $(R(G), R(H))$ -bimodule via ϕ . We must show that $\text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)) \cong R(H)$ as left $R(H)$ -modules.

For X a finite group, $\text{Hom}_R(R(X), R) \cong R(X)$ as left $R(X)$ -modules, the isomorphism being given by $f(\alpha) = \sum_{x \in X} \alpha(x)x^{-1}$ for α in $\text{Hom}_R(R(X), R)$. Now using

this fact and an adjointness relation between Hom and \otimes , we obtain $R(H)$ -isomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), R(G)) &\cong \text{Hom}_{R(G)}(R(H), \text{Hom}_R(R(G), R)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R(G) \otimes_{R(G)} R(H), R) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R(H), R) \cong R(H). \end{aligned}$$

Let S be a commutative R -algebra. Define a functor from ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ to ${}_G\mathcal{A}_S$ by $A \rightarrow S \otimes A$, where $x(s \otimes a) = s \otimes x(a)$ for s in S , a in A and x in G ; for $\alpha: A \rightarrow G$ a map in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$, we send α to $1 \otimes \alpha$.

LEMMA 1.4. *Let S be an R -algebra, and let G and H be finite groups. Then*

(a) *There exists an isomorphism $j: e_H(S) \rightarrow S \otimes e_H(R)$ which is simultaneously an R -algebra and an $S(H)$ -module map.*

(b) *If S is an object of ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$ then j is also a $G \times H$ -module map; $G \times H$ acts on $S \otimes e_H(R)$ and on $e_H(S)$ by $(x, y)(s \otimes v) = x(s) \otimes y(v)$, $((x, y)w)(z) = x(yz)$ for s in S , v in $e_H(R)$, w in $e_H(S)$, y, z in H and x in G .*

Proof. Let v_x in $e_H(S)$ be defined by $v_x(y) = \delta_{x,y}$ for x in H . The set $\{v_x \mid x \text{ in } H\}$ is an S -basis of $e_H(S)$, and $e_H(R)$ has a corresponding R -basis $\{w_x \mid x \text{ in } H\}$. Let $j(\sum_{x \in H} s_x v_x) = \sum s_x \otimes w_x$. An inverse to j is defined by $j'(s \otimes v) = sv$, and conditions (a) and (b) are easily verified.

LEMMA 1.5. *Let A be an object in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$, where G is a finite group. Let S be a commutative R -algebra. Then*

(a) *If A is a Galois extension of R with group G (respectively a Galois (R, G) -algebra) then $S \otimes A$ is a Galois extension of S with group G (respectively a Galois (S, G) -algebra).*

(b) *If S is a faithfully flat R -module [3, p. 46] and $S \otimes A$ is a Galois extension of S with group G , then A is a Galois extension of R with group G .*

Proof. (a) The proof of [6, Lemma 1.7] for A commutative holds here.

(b) Let $h: A \otimes A \rightarrow e_G(A)$ be defined as at the beginning of this section. We have isomorphisms $(S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) \cong S \otimes A \otimes A$ and

$$S \otimes e_G(A) \cong S \otimes A \otimes e_G(R) \cong e_G(S \otimes A),$$

defined respectively by $(s \otimes a) \otimes_S (s' \otimes a') \rightarrow ss' \otimes a \otimes a'$, and as in (1.4). Let $h': (S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) \rightarrow e_G(S \otimes A)$ be defined analogously to h . Then the diagram below is commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S \otimes A \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes h} & S \otimes e_G(A) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ (S \otimes A) \otimes_S (S \otimes A) & \xrightarrow{h'} & e_G(S \otimes A) \end{array}$$

By assumption, h' is an isomorphism; thus h is an isomorphism since S is faithfully flat [3, Proposition 1]. If a is in A^G then $1 \otimes a$ is in $(S \otimes A)^G = S$. Thus $1 \otimes a = s \otimes 1$ for some s in S . Then $1 \otimes s \otimes 1 = s \otimes 1 \otimes 1 = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes a$, so that $1 \otimes s = s \otimes 1$. By [7, Lemma 3.8] we conclude that s is in R , so that a is in R .

The following theorem is proved in [6, Theorem 3.4] for A, B commutative. The same proof holds in the noncommutative case.

THEOREM 1.6. *Let A, B be Galois extensions of R with group G . Suppose $f: A \rightarrow B$ is an R -algebra homomorphism and an $R(G)$ -module homomorphism. Then f is an isomorphism.*

Let \mathcal{G} denote the category of finite groups and group homomorphisms; let \mathcal{S} denote the category of sets and set maps; let \mathcal{R} denote the category of commutative rings and ring homomorphisms.

PROPOSITION 1.7. (a) *The following definitions yield a functor $E_R: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. $E_R(G)$ is defined as following (1.2). For $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ a homomorphism of finite groups, define $E_R(\phi): E_R(G) \rightarrow E_R(H)$ by $E_R(\phi)(A) = (\phi(A))$.*

(b) *The following definitions yield a functor $E_{\phi, \theta}(G): \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. $E_{\phi, \theta}(G)(R) = E_R(G)$. For $\theta: R \rightarrow S$ a homomorphism of commutative rings, define $E_{\theta}: E_R(G) \rightarrow E_S(G)$ by $E_{\theta}(G)(A) = (S \otimes A)$.*

(c) *E becomes a bifunctor from $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}$ to \mathcal{S} under the definitions in (a) and (b); specifically, $E_{\theta}(H)E_R(\phi) = E_S(\phi)E_{\theta}(G)$, where ϕ, θ are as in (a) and (b).*

Proof. (a) First we note that $E_R(\phi)$ is a well-defined map. $\phi(A)$ is a Galois extension of R with group H by (1.2); if $A \cong B$ in ${}_{G}\mathcal{A}_R$ and $j: A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism in ${}_{G}\mathcal{A}_R$, define $j_1: \phi(A) \rightarrow \phi(B)$ by $j_1(v) = jv$ for v in $\phi(A)$. j_1 is easily seen to be a morphism in ${}_{H}\mathcal{A}_R$, and is thus an isomorphism by (1.6).

Now let $1: G \rightarrow G$ be the identity map. Then $1(A) = \{\text{Set maps } v: G \rightarrow A \mid v(xy) = xv(y) \text{ for } x, y \text{ in } G\}$. Define $f: 1(A) \rightarrow A$ by $f(v) = v(1)$. By (1.6) we conclude that $1(A) = (A)$ and $E_R(1)$ is thus the identity map.

If $\phi': G \rightarrow K, \phi'': K \rightarrow H$ are homomorphisms of finite groups, we conclude from (1.1) that $E_R(\phi''\phi') = E_R(\phi'')E_R(\phi')$.

(b) If A is a Galois extension of R with group $G, S \otimes A$ is a Galois extension of S with group G by (1.5). Clearly, $E_{\theta}(G)$ is well defined. The functorial properties of $E_{\phi, \theta}(G)$ are straightforward results of associativity relations for tensor products, and of (1.4).

(c) Define a map $f: S \otimes \phi(A) \rightarrow \phi(S \otimes A)$ by $f(s \otimes v)(y) = s \otimes v(y)$ for s in S, y in H and v in $\phi(A)$. By (1.6) we conclude that (c) holds.

Now let A and B be Galois extensions of R with groups G and H respectively. $G \times H$ acts on $A \otimes B$ via $(x, y)(a \otimes b) = x(a) \otimes y(b)$ for x in G, y in H, a in A and b in B . In [14, Proposition 1] it is shown that $A \otimes B$ is a Galois extension of R with group $G \times H$; the equivalence of our definition of Galois extension with other definitions is discussed in the proof of (1.2).

LEMMA 1.8. (a) *Let $e: G \rightarrow H$ be the trivial homomorphism between the finite groups G and H . Let A be a Galois extension of R with group G . Then $e_H(R) \cong e(A)$ in ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$.*

(b) *Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ and $\phi': G' \rightarrow H'$ be homomorphisms of finite groups. Let A and A' be Galois extensions of R with groups G and G' respectively. Then $(\phi \times \phi')(A \otimes A') \cong \phi(A) \otimes \phi'(A')$ in ${}_{H \times H'}\mathcal{A}_R$.*

Proof. (a) We first observe that if $e_H: \{1\} \rightarrow H$ is the trivial map, the two interpretations of $e_H(A)$, given near the beginning of this section, coincide. Take $e': G \rightarrow \{1\}$, so that $e = e_H e'$. Now $e'(A) \cong R$ since R is the only Galois extension of R with group $\{1\}$. By (1.1), $e(A) \cong e_H(e'(A)) \cong e_H(R)$.

(b) From the definitions, we have that

$$B_1 = (\phi \times \phi')(A \otimes A') = \{w: H \times H' \rightarrow A \otimes A' \mid w(\phi(x)y, \phi'(x')y') = (x, x')w(y, y') \text{ for } x \text{ in } G, x' \text{ in } G', y \text{ in } H \text{ and } y' \text{ in } H'\};$$

$$B_2 = \phi(A) \otimes \phi'(A') = \{v: H \rightarrow A \mid v(\phi(x)y) = xv(y)\} \otimes \{v': H' \rightarrow A' \mid v'(\phi'(x')y') = x'v'(y')\}.$$

Define $f: B_2 \rightarrow B_1$ by linearity and $f(v \otimes v')(y \otimes y') = v(y) \otimes v'(y')$. It is easy to verify that f maps B_2 to B_1 and that f is an R -algebra and an $R(H \times H')$ -module map. By (1.6) and the remarks preceding this lemma, f is an isomorphism.

Restrict G to be a finite abelian group, and let $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ be the multiplication map, a homomorphism since G is abelian. Let $t: G \rightarrow G$ be the homomorphism defined by $t(x) = x^{-1}$. Define a binary and a unary operation on $E_R(G)$ by the respective formulas: $(A) \cdot (B) = (m(A \otimes B))$, $(A)^{-1} = (t(A))$ for (A) , (B) in $E_R(G)$. It is not difficult to verify that if A and B are Galois (R, G) -algebras, then $A \otimes B$ is a Galois $(R, G \times G)$ -algebra. Combining this with (1.2), we see that the formulas above define operations on $A_R(G)$ as well as on $E_R(G)$. Let \mathcal{G}^{ab} denote the category of finite abelian groups.

THEOREM 1.9. (a) *Let G be a finite abelian group. With the operations defined as above, $E_R(G)$ and $A_R(G)$ are abelian groups. The identity element of these groups is $(e_G(R))$.*

(b) *The bifunctor E of (1.7) is a bifunctor from $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{ab}$ to $\mathcal{A}b$, the category of abelian groups.*

(c) *$A_R(G)$ is functorial in R and G , and $A: \mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is a sub-bifunctor of E .*

Proof. (a) Clearly $(A) \cdot (B) = (B) \cdot (A)$. Functoriality of E_R yields $E_R(m(m \times 1)) = E_R(m)E_R(m \times 1) = E_R(m(1 \times m))$, and (1.8) implies that $(m \times 1)(A \otimes B \otimes C) \cong m(A \otimes B) \otimes C$ in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$. From these remarks it follows that the binary operation on $E_R(G)$ is associative.

Now $(e_G(R))$ is the identity element of $E_R(G)$, since we have

$$A \otimes e_G(R) \cong (1 \times e_G)(A \otimes R)$$

by (1.8), with $e_G: \{1\} \rightarrow G$ (we are using the observation made in the proof of (1.8)(a)). But using the identifications $A \otimes R \cong A$, $G \times \{1\} \cong G$ we have that $(1 \times e_G)(A \otimes R) \cong i(A)$, where $i: G \rightarrow G \times G$ is given by $i(x) = (x, 1)$. Since $mi = 1_G$, $m(A \otimes e_G(R)) \cong 1_G(A) \cong A$ in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$.

It follows from (1.8) that $(1 \times t)(A \otimes A) \cong A \otimes t(A)$ in ${}_{G \times G}\mathcal{A}_R$; by (a) of the same result, $E_R(e)(A \otimes A) \cong e_G(R)$ in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$, where $e: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the trivial homomorphism. But $e = m(1 \times t)$. Applying E_R to this relation, we obtain $(A) \cdot (A)^{-1} = (e_G(R))$.

The proofs for $A_R(G)$ are precisely the same as those for $E_R(G)$.

(b) Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a homomorphism of finite abelian groups. Let $m_G: G \times G \rightarrow G$, $t_G: G \rightarrow G$ denote the group operations here, and let m_H , t_H be the corresponding homomorphisms for H . By (1.8) and functoriality of E_R , we get the following chain of isomorphisms in ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$:

$$m_H(\phi(A) \otimes \phi(B)) \cong m_H((\phi \times \phi)(A \otimes B)) \cong (m_H(\phi \times \phi))(A \otimes B) \cong (\phi m_G)(A \otimes B).$$

Thus $E_R(\phi)$ is a group homomorphism.

Let $\theta: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of commutative rings. As in the proof of (1.7)(c), we can show that $S \otimes m(A \otimes B) \cong m(S \otimes A \otimes B)$ in ${}_{G \times G}\mathcal{A}_S$. But $S \otimes A \otimes B \cong S \otimes A \otimes_S S \otimes B$ in ${}_{G \times G}\mathcal{A}_S$. It follows that $E_\theta(G)$ is a group homomorphism, and (b) is proved.

(c) One can verify that A is a bifunctor precisely as one verified functoriality of E . The proofs above also hold for $A_R(G)$.

REMARK. In [12] Harrison introduced $T(G, R)$, the subset of $E_R(G)$ consisting of classes of commutative Galois extensions. It is shown in [12] that $T(G, R)$ is functorial in G and R , and that $T(G, R)$ defines a bifunctor $T: \mathcal{G}^{ab} \times \mathcal{R} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}l$. Using the lemma below, which we state here for later reference, it is not difficult to show that the group structure defined on $T(G, R)$ in [12] agrees with that induced on $T(G, R)$ from the group structure of $E_R(G)$.

LEMMA 1.10. *Let $\phi: G \rightarrow H$ be a map of finite abelian groups. Let $K = \{(x, \phi(x)^{-1}) \text{ in } G \times H\}$. Then for A a Galois extension of R with group G , we have $\phi(A) \cong (A \otimes e_H(R))^K$ in ${}_H\mathcal{A}_R$. If ϕ is the identity map from G to G and $m: G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the multiplication map, then $m(A \otimes B) \cong (A \otimes B)^K$ in ${}_G\mathcal{A}_R$.*

Proof. Define $\phi': G \times H \rightarrow H$ by $\phi'(x, y) = \phi(x)y$ for x in G , y in H ; thus $K = \text{kernel}(\phi')$. Let $j: e_H(A) \rightarrow A \otimes e_H(R)$ be defined as in (1.4). Using the explicit definition of j , the definition of $\phi(A)$ as a subalgebra of $e_H(A)$, and the fact that H is abelian, we see that j restricts to a map $j_1: \phi(A) \rightarrow (A \otimes e_H(R))^K$. From (1.4) we know that j is an R -algebra and an $R(G \times H)$ -module homomorphism, and thus j_1 is an R -algebra and an $R(H)$ -module homomorphism. But $(A \otimes e_H(R))^K$ is a Galois extension of R with group H (we refer the reader to the remark directly preceding (1.8), and to [14, Proposition 1]). By (1.6), j_1 is an isomorphism. Using (1.6) it is easy to show that $m(A \otimes B) \cong (A \otimes B)^K$ when ϕ is the identity map on G .

2. **A cohomological description of $A_R(J)$.** In this section we introduce a cohomology theory patterned after one introduced by Harrison in [11], and used in [5] to classify $A_R(J)$.

Let J be an abelian group. For each integer $n \geq 0$ we define maps $\Delta_{n,i}: J^n \rightarrow J^{n+1}$ as follows (where we use multiplicative notation for J):

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{n,i}((x_1, \dots, x_n)) &= (1, x_1, \dots, x_n) && \text{for } i = 0, \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_i, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) && \text{for } 0 < i < n + 1, \\ &= (x_1, \dots, x_n, 1) && \text{for } i = n + 1. \end{aligned}$$

We will henceforth suppress the subscript n on $\Delta_{n,i}$ and we shall use Δ_i to designate the corresponding map $\Delta_i: G^n \rightarrow G^{n+1}$, where G is any other abelian group. One may easily verify the relations

$$(2.1) \quad \Delta_{j+1}\Delta_i = \Delta_i\Delta_j \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq j \leq n + 1.$$

Now suppose $F: \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ is a (covariant, not necessarily additive) functor from the category of finite abelian groups to the category of abelian groups. We define a complex $CF(J)$ by setting $C^n F(J) = 0$ for $n < 0$, $C^n F(J) = F(J^n)$ for $n \geq 0$; $\delta_F^n(J): F(J^n) \rightarrow F(J^{n+1})$ is given by $\delta_F^n(J) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (F(\Delta_i))^{(-1)^i}$ for $n \geq 0$, where $F(J)$ is denoted multiplicatively. That $\delta^{n+1}\delta^n = 0$ follows from (2.1) and from functoriality of F (see, e.g. [1, Theorem 5.1]). The n th cohomology group of this complex, $\text{Ker}(\delta^n)/\text{Im}(\delta^{n-1})$, will be denoted by $H^n F(J)$.

We define a functor $U_R: \mathcal{G}^{ab} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}b$ by setting $U_R(J) = U(R(J))$, the (multiplicative) abelian group of units of the group ring $R(J)$. In the discussion below we shall use multiplicative notation for J as well as for $U_R(J)$. The cochain complex $CU_R(J)$ is given by

$$\dots \longrightarrow \{1\} \rightarrow U(R) \xrightarrow{\delta^0} U(R(J)) \xrightarrow{\delta^1} U(R(J^2)) \longrightarrow \dots$$

In [11] Harrison introduced this complex for the case of R a field.

If u is a cocycle in $U(R(J^n))$, $\text{cl}(u)$ will denote the cohomology class of u in $H^n U_R(J)$. We note that δ^0 is the trivial map.

THEOREM 2.2. *There exists an isomorphism of abelian groups $\beta: H^2 U_R(J) \rightarrow A_R(J)$. The map β determines a natural equivalence of the bifunctors $H^2 U$ and A .*

Proof. The existence of a bijection of sets $\beta: H^2 U_R(J) \rightarrow A_R(J)$ is proved in [8, Corollary 4.8] and in [5, Corollary 2.16]. The abelian group structure on $A_R(J)$ is that defined in §1. That $H^2 U$ is a bifunctor from $\mathcal{R} \times \mathcal{G}^{ab}$ to $\mathcal{A}b$ can be verified in a straightforward manner. (\mathcal{R} is the category of commutative rings.) We will give the construction of β and β^{-1} , and some pertinent facts, for later reference.

Let $\text{cl}(u)$ be in $H^2 U_R(J)$, $u = \sum_{x,y \in J} a_{x,y}(x, y)$ being in $U(R(J^2))$. Define an operation \circ on the $R(J)$ -module $R(J)$ by R -linearity and $x \circ y = \sum_{z \in J} a_{x^{-1}z, y^{-1}z} z$ for x, y in J . The fact that \circ gives an associative operation follows from the fact that u is a cocycle; moreover \circ makes $R(J)$ into a Galois extension of R with group J .

We write $R(J)^u$ for the algebra thus arising, and we note that by its definition, $R(J)^u$ has a normal basis. We set $\beta(\text{cl}(u)) = (R(J)^u)$ in $A_R(J)$.

Conversely, suppose (A) is in $A_R(J)$. There exists an isomorphism $f: R(J) \rightarrow A$ of $R(J)$ -modules. We obtain a new multiplication on $R(J)$, which we denote by \circ' , rendering f into an R -algebra isomorphism. Then $x^{-1} \circ' y^{-1} = \sum_{z \in J} a_{x,y}(z)z$ where $a_{x,y}(z)$ is in R for x, y, z in J . Setting $u_A = \sum_{x,y} a_{x,y}(1)(x, y)$ defines a cocycle in $U(R(J^2))$. We define $\beta^{-1}((A)) = \text{cl}(u_A)$.

It follows that β and β^{-1} are well-defined set maps. Moreover, if $A = R(J)^u$ and $f: R(J) \rightarrow R(J)^u$ is taken to be the identity map, the operation \circ' on $R(J)$ agrees with the operation \circ on $R(J)$. Also, if A and f are as above, and if we endow $R(J)$ with the algebra structure defined by u_A , then f becomes an R -algebra isomorphism. From these remarks it follows that β and β^{-1} are bijections that are inverse to each other.

We now show that β is a homomorphism of abelian groups. It is easy to verify that $R(J)^u \cong e_j(R)$ in ${}_j\mathcal{A}_R$ iff u is a coboundary i.e. iff $\text{cl}(u) = 1$. Now let $u = \sum a_{x,y}(x, y)$ and $v = \sum b_{x,y}(x, y)$ be cocycles in $U(R(J^2))$. Let $K = \{(x, x^{-1}) \text{ in } J \times J\}$. Define a map $j: R(J)^{u \cdot v} \rightarrow (R(J)^u \otimes R(J)^v)^K$ by R -linearity and by the formula $j(x) = \sum_{y \in J} xy \otimes y^{-1}$. It is easy to see that j is a well-defined map. Using the formulas for u and v and for the multiplication in $R(J)^u$ and $R(J)^v$, it is straightforward to show that j is an R -algebra and $R(J)$ -module homomorphism. By (1.6), j is an isomorphism, and we conclude from (1.10) that $(R(J)^u) \cdot (R(J)^v) = (R(J)^{u \cdot v})$. Thus β is a homomorphism.

That β defines a natural equivalence of bifunctors may be shown using a direct, though computationally involved, approach. Scrutiny of [8] and [5] also reveals that β is natural, since it is defined there in a more canonical manner.

REMARK 2.3. Let u and v be cocycles in $U(R(J^2))$, and let $f: R(J)^u \rightarrow R(J)^v$ be an isomorphism of Galois extension i.e. an isomorphism in ${}_j\mathcal{A}_R$. Then f defines an $R(J)$ -module automorphism of $R(J)$, so there exists a unique w in $U(R(J))$ such that $f(x) = wx$ for x in $R(J)^u$. From the definitions of the multiplication in $R(J)^u$ and $R(J)^v$, it is easy to see that $u = v\delta^1(w)$. Conversely, if w is in $U(R(J))$ and $u = \delta^1(w)v$, defining a map $f: R(J)^u \rightarrow R(J)^v$ by $f(x) = wx$, we obtain an isomorphism of Galois extensions.

3. A cohomological description of $E_R(J)$. Before introducing the Amitsur-Harrison bicomplex, we review the definition of Amitsur cohomology for ease of future reference.

Let S be a commutative R -algebra, and let S^n denote the n -fold tensor product of S over R . For $n \geq 0$ and $0 \leq i \leq n + 1$, define $\varepsilon_i^{(n)}: S^{n+1} \rightarrow S^{n+2}$ by

$$\varepsilon_i^{(n)}(s_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n) = s_0 \otimes \cdots \otimes s_{i-1} \otimes 1 \otimes s_i \otimes \cdots \otimes s_n.$$

Let F be a covariant functor from the category of commutative R -algebras to $\mathcal{A}\ell$. We define a cochain complex $C(S/R, F)$ by setting $C^n(S/R, F) = F(S^{n+1})$, the coboundary $d^n: C^n(S/R, F) \rightarrow C^{n+1}(S/R, F)$ being given by $d^n = \prod_{i=0}^{n+1} (F(\varepsilon_i))^{(-1)^i}$

(here, as henceforth, we write ε_i for $\varepsilon_i^{(n)}$; we consider $F(S^i)$ as a multiplicative abelian group). The n th cohomology group of $C(S/R, F)$ is denoted by $H^n(S/R, F)$. That $d^{n+1}d^n=0$ follows from the relations (3.1), as shown in [1, Lemma 5.1],

$$(3.1) \quad \varepsilon_i \varepsilon_j = \varepsilon_{j+1} \varepsilon_i \quad \text{for } i \leq j.$$

Abusing notation, we will write $\text{cl}(v)$ for the cohomology class in $H^n(S/R, F)$ of a cocycle v in $F(S^{n+1})$.

REMARK 3.2. $\text{Pic}(S)$ will denote the set of isomorphism classes of finitely generated projective S -modules of rank 1 [3, p. 141]. For P such a module, we will write $\langle P \rangle$ for the class of P in $\text{Pic}(S)$. As shown in [3], $\text{Pic}(S)$ is an abelian group with identity $\langle S \rangle$, the operation being $\langle P \rangle \cdot \langle Q \rangle = \langle P \otimes_S Q \rangle$. If $f: S \rightarrow T$ is a homomorphism of commutative rings, $\text{Pic}(f): \text{Pic}(S) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(T)$ defined by $\text{Pic}(f)(\langle P \rangle) = \langle T \otimes_S P \rangle$ is a group homomorphism [3].

The following theorem of Grothendieck is to be found in [7, Corollary 4.6] and is given here, along with parts of its proof, for future reference.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let T be a faithfully flat commutative R -algebra [3, p. 46] and let $i: R \rightarrow T$ be the inclusion map. Then there is a natural isomorphism $\alpha: H^1(T/R, U) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(i))$, where U denotes the ‘‘units’’ functor.*

Proof. We sketch the construction of α and α^{-1} . For proofs, we refer the reader to [7, §4].

Given a cocycle v in $U(T^2) = C^1(T/R, U)$, we let $P(v) = \{x \text{ in } T \mid v_{\varepsilon_0}(x) = \varepsilon_1(x)\}$. The sequence

$$0 \rightarrow T \otimes P(v) \rightarrow T^2 \rightarrow T^3$$

is exact, where the map from T^2 to T^3 is $1 \otimes v_{\varepsilon_0} - 1 \otimes v_{\varepsilon_1}$ [7, Lemma 3.8]. Thus $T \otimes P(v)$ may be identified with its image in T^2 . Then $j: T \rightarrow T^2$ defined by $j(x) = v^{-1} \varepsilon_1(x)$ may be shown to define a T -isomorphism $j: T \rightarrow T \otimes P(v)$, with inverse j_1 given by $j_1(t \otimes x) = tx$ [7, Theorem 4.2]. It now follows that setting $\alpha(\text{cl}(v)) = \langle P(v) \rangle$ gives a well-defined homomorphism $\alpha: H^1(T/R, U) \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\text{Pic}(i))$.

Conversely, if $\langle P \rangle$ is in $\text{Pic}(R)$ and $f: T \rightarrow T \otimes P$ is a T -isomorphism, we get a T^2 -module isomorphism $\bar{f}: T^2 \rightarrow T^2$ given as the composite

$$(3.4) \quad T^2 \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} T^2 \otimes P \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} T^2 \otimes P \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f^{-1}} T^2 \xrightarrow{\sigma^2} T^2$$

where $\sigma(t_1 \otimes t_2) = t_2 \otimes t_1$. \bar{f} must be defined by left multiplication by some element v_P in $U(T^2)$, since it is a T^2 -module isomorphism. α^{-1} is now defined by $\alpha^{-1}(\langle P \rangle) = \text{cl}(v_P)$.

REMARK 3.5. If T is a faithfully flat R -algebra, and J is an abelian group, then $T(J)$ is a faithfully flat $R(J)$ -algebra [3, Chapter I, §3, Proposition 4].

THEOREM 3.6. *Let J be a finite abelian group, and let A be a Galois extension of R with group J . Then A is a finitely generated projective $R(J)$ -module of rank 1.*

Proof. We recall the observations made in the proof of (1.2) that A satisfies conditions (b)–(e) of [6, Theorem 1.3], even if A is not commutative. In particular, let $D(A, J)$ be the free left A -module on the symbols u_x , x in J . A multiplication is defined by linearity and by the formula $(au_x)(bu_y) = ax(b)u_{xy}$ for x, y in J , a and b in A . Then the homomorphism $j: D(A, J) \rightarrow \text{End}_R(A)$ defined by $j(au_x)(b) = ax(b)$ is a ring isomorphism. A computation shows that the image of $R(J)$ under j is $\text{End}_{R(J)}(A)$. Thus $R(J) \cong \text{End}_{R(J)}(A)$. Thus, if A were a finitely generated projective $R(J)$ -module, A would have rank 1 by [3, p. 181, exercise 20]. That A is $R(J)$ -projective when it is commutative is proved in [6, Lemma 1.6 and Theorem 4.2]. The same proofs are valid for A not commutative.

Let F be a functor from the category of commutative R -algebras to the category of abelian groups. Define a new functor FJ by $FJ(S) = F(S(J))$. The isomorphisms $S(J) \cong S \otimes R(J)$, $S \otimes_T T \cong S$ give rise to natural isomorphisms

$$C(T(J)/R(J), F) \cong C(T/R, FJ), \quad H^n(T(J)/R(J), F) \cong H^n(T/R, FJ),$$

and we shall treat these as identifications. We shall also identify $T(J) \otimes_{R(J)} A$ with $T \otimes A$ when A is an $R(J)$ -module.

For T a commutative R -algebra, we introduce a cochain bicomplex $C(J, T/R)$ by setting:

$$\begin{aligned} C^{n,m}(J, T/R) &= 0 \quad \text{if } n < 0 \text{ or } m < 0, \\ &= U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \quad \text{for } n, m \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

The coboundaries are defined by using the Harrison and Amitsur coboundaries, i.e. those introduced following (2.1), and preceding (3.1) respectively; a change of sign is needed to assure that the axioms for a bicomplex are satisfied [4, p. 60]:

$$\delta^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+2}))$$

is given by $\delta^{n,m} = (\delta^{m+1})^{(-1)^n}$. The map

$$d^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T^{n+2}(J^{m+1}))$$

is defined by $d^{n,m} = d^n$, the latter being the coboundary in $C(T(J^{m+1})/R(J^{m+1}), U)$.

The double complex $C(J, T/R)$ gives rise to a total complex [4], which we also denote by $C(J, T/R)$, and to cohomology groups $H^n(J, T/R)$. We note that the group operation on $U(T^n(J^m))$ is multiplicative. The low degree terms of the total complex are:

$$U(T(J)) \rightarrow U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J)) \rightarrow U(T(J^3)) \oplus U(T^2(J^2)) \oplus U(T^3(J)),$$

and the two maps here shown, call them D^0 and D^1 , are given by $D^0(u) = (\delta^1(u), d^0(u))$ for u in $U(T(J))$, and $D^1((u, v)) = (\delta^2(u), d^0(u)\delta^1(v^{-1}), d^1(v))$ for u in $U(T(J^2))$ and v in $U(T^2(J))$.

THEOREM 3.7. *Let $i: R \rightarrow T$ be a ring homomorphism such that T is a faithfully flat commutative R -algebra. Let J be a finite abelian group.*

Then there exists a natural isomorphism $\varphi: H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow K(J, T/R)$ where $K(J, T/R)$ is the inverse image of $A_T(J)$ under the map $E_i(J): E_R(J) \rightarrow E_T(J)$.

Proof. We first construct $\varphi_1: K(J, T/R) \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R)$. Let (A) be in $K(J, T/R)$, i.e. A is a Galois extension of R with group J , and there exists a $T(J)$ -isomorphism $f: T(J) \rightarrow T \otimes A = A'$. Then, as in the proof of (2.2), we have a unique $u = u_{A'}$ in $U(T(J^2))$ such that $\delta^2(u) = 1$, and such that $f: T(J)^u \rightarrow A'$ is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. Now consider the composite mapping \bar{f} defined by the diagram below, where $e(i) = \varepsilon_i(u)$ for $i = 0, 1$:

$$(3.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f} & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & \\ & & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$

By a slight variant of the discussion surrounding (3.4) (and using (3.6) to justify the argument), there exists an element $v = v_A$ in $U(T^2(J))$ such that $d^1(v) = 1$ and such that $\bar{f}(x) = vx$ for x in $T^2(J)$; but since each map in (3.8) is a ring isomorphism, as well as a $T^2(J)$ -module isomorphism, \bar{f} is an isomorphism of Galois extensions of T^2 with group J . By (2.2) we conclude that $\varepsilon_0(u) = \varepsilon_1(u)\delta^1(v)$; so $d^0(u) = \delta^1(v)$ and (u, v) is a cocycle in the total complex. We set $\varphi_1((A)) = \text{class } (u, v) = \text{class } (u_{A'}, v_A)$.

We must show that φ_1 is well defined. Let $j: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ be an isomorphism of Galois extensions of R with group J . Let $f_i: T(J) \rightarrow A'_i$ be $T(J)$ -module isomorphisms, for $i = 1, 2$. Write v_i, u_i for v_{A_i}, u_{A_i} , $i = 1, 2$. The composite map

$$f = f_2^{-1}(1 \otimes j)f_1: T(J)^{u_1} \rightarrow T(J)^{u_2}$$

defines a unique isomorphism f of Galois extensions such that $f_2 f = (1 \otimes j)f_1$. By (2.3) there is a unique w in $U(T(J))$ such that $f(x) = wx$ and $u_1 = u_2 \delta^1(w)$. Moreover, each square of the diagram below commutes (we write $e(i, j) = \varepsilon_i(u_j)$ for $i = 0, 1, j = 1, 2$).

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} T^2(J)^{e(0,1)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1} & T^2 \otimes A_1 & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A_1 & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_1^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0,1)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1,1)} \\ \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) & & \downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes j & & \downarrow 1 \otimes 1 \otimes j & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) \quad \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) \\ T^2(J)^{e(0,2)} & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_2} & T^2 \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{\sigma \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A_2 & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes f_2^{-1}} & T^2(J)^{e(0,2)} \xrightarrow{\sigma} T^2(J)^{e(1,2)} \end{array}$$

Now from the definition of v_i and w we obtain that $\varepsilon_0(w)v_2 = v_1\varepsilon_1(w)$, or $v_1 = v_2 d^0(w)$. Thus $(u_1, v_1) = (u_2, v_2)D^0(w)$, showing φ_1 to be well defined.

We now define $\varphi: H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow K(J, T/R)$. Let (u, v) in $U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J))$ be a 1-cocycle of the total complex. Define $A(u, v) = \{x \text{ in } T(J)^u \mid v\varepsilon_0(x) = \varepsilon_1(x)\}$. Letting $e(i) = \varepsilon_i(u)$, we have the map $\varepsilon_0: T(J)^u \rightarrow T^2(J)^{e(0)}$ is a ring homomorphism, as is the similar map ε_1 . The map $l(v): T^2(J)^{e(0)} \rightarrow T^2(J)^{e(1)}$, given by left multiplication by v , is a ring homomorphism by (2.3) and by the fact that $\varepsilon_0(u) = \varepsilon_1(u)\delta^1(v)$. Thus

$A(u, v)$, being the set on which ε_1 and $l(v)\varepsilon_0$ agree, is a subring of $T(J)^u$. By (3.3) and the relation $d^1(v) = 1$, we have that $A(u, v)$ is a projective $R(J)$ -module of rank 1, and J acts as a group of R -algebra automorphisms of $A(u, v)$, since J acts as a group of T -algebra automorphisms of $T(J)^u$. Now the map $j_1: T \otimes A(u, v) \rightarrow T(J)^u$ defined as in (3.3) by $j_1(t \otimes x) = tx$, is a $T(J)$ -module isomorphism, and is clearly a T -algebra isomorphism as well. Thus by (b) of (1.5), $A(u, v)$ is a Galois extension of R with group J . Set $\varphi(\text{class}(u, v)) = (A(u, v))$.

We wish to show that $(A(u, v))$ is independent of the choice of representative for class (u, v) . Let w be in $U(T(J))$ and suppose $(u', v') = (u, v)D^0(w) = (u\delta^1(w), v\delta^0(w))$. By (2.3), the map $j: T(J)^{u'} \rightarrow T(J)^u$, defined by multiplication by w , is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. Now the diagrams below are easily seen to commute

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} T(J)^{u'} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e'(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v')} & T^2(J)^{e'(1)} \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(j) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(j) \\ T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v)} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} T(J)^{u'} & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e'(1)} \\ j \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(j) \\ T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \end{array}$$

where $e'(i) = \varepsilon_i(u')$ for $i=0, 1$. It follows trivially that $A(u, v) \cong A(u', v')$ as Galois extensions, and φ_1 is well defined.

φ and φ_1 are inverse maps. Let (u, v) be a cocycle giving rise to $T(J)^u$ and to $A = A(u, v)$. As in the proof of (3.3), there is an isomorphism

$$= j_1^{-1}: T(J)^u \rightarrow T \otimes A$$

of Galois extensions, where $T \otimes A$ is considered as a subset of $T^2(J)$, and j is defined by $j(x) = v^{-1}\varepsilon_1(x)$. In particular, the cocycle u_A can be taken to be u itself. Now v_A is defined by a composite map \bar{j} given as in (3.8), i.e. for y in $T^2(J)$,

$$v_A y = \bar{j}(y) = (\sigma(1 \otimes j^{-1})(\sigma \otimes 1)(1 \otimes j))(y).$$

Now for x in A , it is easy to see that the relation $j(x) = v^{-1}\varepsilon_1(x) = \varepsilon_0(x)$ implies the relation $\bar{j}(\varepsilon_0(x)) = \varepsilon_1(x)$. Thus $v_A \varepsilon_0(x) = v \varepsilon_0(x)$ for x in A . But since j is an isomorphism, $\varepsilon_0(A)$ generates $T^2(J)$ as a $T^2(J)$ -module. Since multiplication by v_A and by v are each $T^2(J)$ -module maps, we have that $v = v_A$, and $\varphi_1 \varphi$ is the identity map.

Conversely, let (A) be in $K(J, T/R)$, and let $f: T(J) \rightarrow A' = T \otimes A$ be a $T(J)$ -isomorphism. Let $u = u_A, v = v_A$. Then $f: T(J)^u \rightarrow T \otimes A$ is an isomorphism of Galois extensions. The diagrams below commute, where v_1 is defined by letting v

act on $T^2 \otimes A$, the latter being considered as a $T^2(J)$ -module:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0} & T^2(J)^{e(0)} & \xrightarrow{l(v)} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f) & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) \\
 T \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0 \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{l(v_1)} & T^2 \otimes A \\
 \\
 T(J)^u & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} & T^2(J)^{e(1)} & & \\
 f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_1(f) & & \\
 T \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1 \otimes 1} & T^2 \otimes A & &
 \end{array}$$

By an easy computation, and by [7, Lemma 3.8] we conclude that

$$A = \{x \text{ in } T \otimes A \mid v(\varepsilon_0 \otimes 1)(x) = (\varepsilon_1 \otimes 1)(x)\}.$$

It follows that $A \cong A(u, v)$ as Galois extensions. Thus $\varphi\varphi_1$ is the identity map.

We now show that φ_1 is a group homomorphism. Let $(A_1), (A_2)$ be in $K(J, T/R)$, and let $A = (A_1 \otimes A_2)^H$, where $H = \{(\alpha, \alpha^{-1}) \text{ in } J \times J\}$. By definition, and by (1.10), $(A) = (A_1) \cdot (A_2)$ in $E_R(J)$. Write u_i for u_{A_i} , u for u_A , etc., and let $(u', v') = (u_1 u_2, v_1 v_2)$.

Define $j: T(J)^{u'} \rightarrow (T(J)^{u_1} \otimes T(J)^{u_2})^H$ by $j(\alpha) = \sum_{\beta \in J} \beta \alpha \otimes \alpha^{-1}$ for α in J . Let $f_i: T(J)^{u_i} \rightarrow T \otimes A_i$ be isomorphisms of Galois extensions for $i=1, 2$, and let

$$h: ((T \otimes A_1) \otimes (T \otimes A_2))^H \rightarrow T \otimes (A_1 \otimes A_2)^H$$

be the natural map (which is an isomorphism by (1.6)). The relations $u = u', v = v'$ follow respectively from the proof of (2.2), and via a computation, from commutativity of the diagram below, in which the notation is as indicated:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(j)} & M_1 \otimes_{T^2} M_2 \\
 1 \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon_0(f_1) \otimes \varepsilon_0(f_2) \\
 T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(h^{-1})} & (T^2 \otimes A_1) \otimes_{T^2} (T^2 \otimes A_2) \\
 \sigma \otimes 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \sigma \otimes 1 \otimes \sigma \otimes 1 \\
 T^2 \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(h^{-1})} & (T^2 \otimes A_1) \otimes_{T^2} (T^2 \otimes A_2) \\
 1 \otimes f^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_0(j)} & M_1 \otimes M_2 \\
 \sigma \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 N & \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1(j)} & N_1 \otimes N_2
 \end{array}$$

This completes the proof of the theorem, as naturality is easily verified.

THEOREM 3.9. *Let R, i, T be as in (3.7). Then there exists a natural isomorphism $H^1(T/R, H^1U_{(S)}(J)) \cong \text{Ker}(E_i(J): E_R(J) \rightarrow E_T(J))$.*

Proof. $H^1U_{(S)}(J)$, as defined preceding (2.2), is the kernel of

$$\delta^1: U(S(J)) \rightarrow U(S(J^2)),$$

since δ^0 is the trivial map. (It is easy to see that $H^1U_{(S)}(J) = \{\sum_{\alpha \in J} s_\alpha \alpha \text{ in } S(J) \mid s_\alpha s_\beta = \delta_{\alpha, \beta} s_\alpha, \text{ and } \sum_\alpha s_\alpha = 1\}$.) We have a chain map:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^1U_T(J) & \rightarrow & H^1U_{T^2}(J) & \rightarrow & \dots & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & & & \\ U(T(J)) & \rightarrow & U(T(J^2)) \oplus U(T^2(J)) & \rightarrow & \dots & & \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are the inclusions. This chain map induces a map on cohomology $h: H^1(T/R, H^1U_{(S)}(J)) \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R)$ which is given by $h(\text{cl}(v)) = \text{class}(1, v)$. h is easily seen to be one-one. Using the fact that $T(J)^1 = e_J(T)$, and the constructions employed in the proof of (3.7), it is not difficult to verify that the image of φh consists of $\{(A) \mid T \otimes A \cong e_J(T) \text{ as Galois extensions}\}$. This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.10. *Let $f: T \rightarrow T'$ be a homomorphism of R -algebras. Then f induces a homomorphism of bicomplexes $C(J, f): C(J, T/R) \rightarrow C(J, T'/R)$. If $g: T \rightarrow T'$ is another R -algebra map, then $C(J, f)$ and $C(J, g)$ are chain homotopic, and thus induce the same map $H(J, f): H(J, T/R) \rightarrow H(J, T'/R)$.*

Proof. f induces $f^n: T^n \rightarrow T'^n$ and also $f^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$. $\{f^{n,m}\}$ is easily seen to be a cochain map. Define $\bar{\psi}_i^n: T^{n+1} \rightarrow T'^{n+1}$ by

$$\bar{\psi}_i^n(t_1 \otimes \dots \otimes t_{n+1}) = f(t_1) \otimes \dots \otimes f(t_{i-1})g(t_i) \otimes g(t_{i+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes g(t_{n+1})$$

for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Let

$$\bar{\psi}_i^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$$

be induced by $\bar{\psi}_i^n$. Now define

$$s_1^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^{m+1}))$$

by $s_1^{n,m} = \sum_{i=1}^n (-1)^i \bar{\psi}_i^{n,m}$. Let

$$s_2^{n,m}: U(T^{n+1}(J^{m+1})) \rightarrow U(T'^{n+1}(J^m))$$

be the zero map.

From [2, Theorem 2.7] we know that $ds_1 + s_1d = f - g$, d being the Amitsur coboundary. Thus $ds_1 + s_1d + \delta s_2 + s_2\delta = f - g$, δ being the Harrison coboundary. By definition, $s_2d + ds_2 = 0$, and it is easily verified that $s_1\delta + \delta s_1 = 0$. By [4, p. 60], (s_1, s_2) defines a chain homotopy.

We define \mathcal{U} to be the set of partitions of unity in R , i.e. the set of subsets $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of R for which $x_1 + \dots + x_n = 1$. For x in R , R_x will denote the localization of R at $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$. If $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, we will write $R_V = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$. If V is in \mathcal{U} , R_V is a faithfully flat R -algebra [3, p. 88, Théorème 1], [3, p. 44, Proposition 1(d)].

For V, W in \mathcal{U} , write $R_V \leq R_W$ if there exists an R -algebra homomorphism $R_V \rightarrow R_W$. Write $R_V \sim R_W$ if $R_V \leq R_W$ and $R_W \leq R_V$. Let \mathcal{U}^* denote the set of equivalence classes of \mathcal{U} relative to \sim , and for V in \mathcal{U} , write $(R_V)^*$ for the class of R_V in \mathcal{U}^* . If $V = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $W = \{y_1, \dots, y_m\}$ are in \mathcal{U} , write

$$VW = \{z_{i,j} \mid i = 1, \dots, n; j = 1, \dots, m; z_{i,j} = x_i y_j\}.$$

Clearly VW is in \mathcal{U} , and the relation \leq defines a partial order on \mathcal{U}^* under which the latter is a directed set, e.g. $(R_V)^* \leq (R_{VW})^*$.

DEFINITION 3.11. Let $n \geq 0$. For R_V in \mathcal{U}^* , define an abelian group X_V by $X_V = H^n(J, R_V/R)$; X_V is well defined by (3.10). If $(R_V)^* \leq (R_W)^*$, define $\alpha_V^W: X_V \rightarrow X_W$ by $\alpha_V^W = H^n(J, f)$ with $f: R_V \rightarrow R_W$. It is easy to see that we thus obtain a directed system of abelian groups $\{X_V, \{\alpha_V^W\}\}$. We define $H^n(J, R) = \text{dir lim } H^n(J, R_V/R)$, where the direct limit is taken over $(R_V)^*$ in \mathcal{U}^* .

THEOREM 3.12. (a) *There is a natural isomorphism $H^1(J, R) \cong E_R(J)$.*

(b) *There is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{dir lim } H^1(T/R, H^1 U_{(A)}(J)) \cong \{(A) \text{ in } E_R(J) \mid A_M \cong e_j(R_M) \text{ as Galois extensions for every maximal ideal } M \text{ of } R\},$$

where the direct limit is taken over the elements of \mathcal{U}^* .

Proof. Because of (3.7), it suffices to show that $E_R(J) = \bigcup_{T \in \mathcal{U}} K(J, T/R)$. Let A be a Galois extension of R with group J . For M a maximal ideal of R , we have from (1.4) and from [6, Theorem 4.2(c)] that

$$R_M \otimes A \cong R_M \otimes R_M(J) \cong R_M \otimes e_j(R)$$

as $R_M(J)$ -modules (the proof of [6, Theorem 4.2(c)], and the results used in that proof, hold when A is not necessarily commutative; we also refer the reader to the comments at the beginning of the proof of (1.2)). Now [7, Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2] may be applied to obtain a partition of 1, call it V , such that

$$R_V \otimes A \cong R_V \otimes e_j(R) \cong e_j(R_V)$$

as $R_V(J)$ -modules; we remark that the results of [7] hold under somewhat weaker hypotheses than stated, and that the proof of [7, Lemma 5.1] can be easily corrected. Thus (a) is proved.

(b) Suppose $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$ is such that $T \otimes A \cong e_j(T)$ as Galois extensions of T , where $\sum x_i = 1$. Tensoring with each direct summand of T , and using the fact that $S \otimes e_j(T) \cong e_j(S \otimes T)$ as Galois extensions, we get that $R_{x_i} \otimes A \cong e_j(R_{x_i})$ as

Galois extensions for $i \leq n$. Let M be a maximal ideal of R . Choose j such that x_j is in $R-M$. We have a homomorphism of R -algebras, $S = R_{x_j} \rightarrow R_M$. Thus we obtain isomorphisms $R_M \otimes A \cong R_M \otimes (S \otimes A) \cong R_M \otimes_S e_j(S) \cong e_j(R_M)$. Thus the direct limit of (3.12)(b) is a subset of the indicated subset of $E_R(J)$.

We now prove the reverse inclusion. To begin with, we make the following observation: Let A be a Galois extension of R with group J , and let M be a maximal ideal of R such that $A_M \cong e_j(R_M)$ as Galois extensions of R_M ; then there exists d in $R-M$ such that $A_d \cong e_j(R_d)$ as Galois extensions of R_d . For let $\{r_\alpha/b \text{ in } A_M \mid r_\alpha \text{ is in } A, b \text{ is in } R-M, \alpha \text{ is in } J\}$ be a set in A_M such that $r_\alpha r_\beta/b^2 = (\delta_{\alpha,\beta})r_\alpha/b$, $(\sum_\alpha r_\alpha)/b = 1$, and $\beta(r_\alpha)/b = r_{\alpha\beta}/b$ in A_M . It is easily seen that there exists an element c in $R-M$ such that $c(br_\alpha r_\beta - b^2 \delta_{\alpha,\beta} r_\alpha) = 0$, $c(\sum_\alpha r_\alpha - b) = 0$, and $bc(r_{\alpha\beta} - \beta(r_\alpha)) = 0$ in A . Let $d = bc$. We can compute that $\{r_\alpha/d \mid \alpha \text{ in } J\}$ is a set of mutually orthogonal idempotents in A_d , whose sum is 1, and which are a normal basis for A_d over R_d . Thus $A_d \cong e_j(R_d)$ as Galois extensions of R_d .

Now suppose $A_M \cong e_j(R_M)$ as Galois extensions for all maximal ideals M of R . Let I be the ideal in R generated by $\{x \text{ in } R \mid A_x \cong e_j(R_x) \text{ as Galois extensions}\}$. By the observation above, we conclude that $I = R$. Thus there exist z_1, \dots, z_n in R and y_1, \dots, y_n in I such that $z_1 y_1 + \dots + z_n y_n = 1$. Let $x_i = z_i y_i$. Letting $T = \sum_{i=1}^n \oplus R_{x_i}$, we see that $T \otimes A \cong e_j(T)$ as Galois extensions of T . This completes the proof.

4. A spectral sequence and the semilocal case. We begin by proving a normal basis theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. *Let R be of characteristic p , and let J be a finite abelian group of exponent p . Then*

(a) *If T is a faithfully flat R -algebra, we have that $H^n(J, T/R) \cong H^{n+1}U_R(J)$ for $n \geq 0$.*

(b) $A_R(J) = E_R(J)$, i.e. every Galois extension of R with group J is a Galois (R, J) -algebra.

Proof. By [13, Theorem 3.4], the maps $\alpha_n: T(J^n) \rightarrow T$ defined by $\alpha_n(\sum t_x x) = \sum t_x$ induce isomorphisms $\beta_n: H^n(T(J^n)/R(J^n), U) \cong H^n(T/R, U)$ for $n > 0$; (note that the definition of $H^0(T/R, U)$ in [13] differs from ours). Then

$$H^p(T/R, U) \cong {}'H^{p,q} = \text{Ker}(d^{p,q})/\text{Im}(d^{p-1,q})$$

under the isomorphism β_{q+1} ; it is not difficult to see that the composite

$$\beta_{q+1} \delta^{p,q} \beta_{q+1}^{-1}: H^p(T/R, U) \rightarrow {}'H^{p,q} \rightarrow {}'H^{p,q+1} \rightarrow H^p(T/R, U)$$

is either the zero map or the identity map, depending on whether q is odd or even respectively; this follows by noting that $\delta^n: U(R(J^n)) \rightarrow U(R(J^{n+1}))$, when restricted to $U(R)$, is the zero map or the identity map depending on whether n is even or odd respectively. Thus, the homology of the double complex taken with respect to first d , and then δ , is 0 for $q > 0$ and by [10, p. 89, Théorème 4.8.1] we see that the injection of $\text{Ker}(U(T(J^n)) \rightarrow U(T^2(J^n)))$ into the bicomplex induces an isomorphism

of cohomology. But if T is faithfully flat over R , the kernel in question is $U(R(J^n))$. Thus we have isomorphisms $H^{n+1}U_R \cong H^n(J, T/R)$. Now (b) follows from (2.2), from (3.12), and from the fact that the isomorphism obtained in (a) is induced by inclusion maps.

THEOREM 4.2. *Suppose that R is a semilocal ring, and that T is a faithfully flat R -algebra. Then $H^1(J, T/R) \cong H^2U_R(J)$.*

Proof. The exact sequence associated with the first spectral sequence of our bicomplex [4, chapter XV, §6] yields

$$0 \rightarrow E_{0,1}^2 \rightarrow H^1(J, T/R) \rightarrow E_{1,0}^2,$$

where $E_{p,q}^2$ is the homology of the double complex taken with respect to d and δ in that order. The faithful flatness of T implies that $E_{0,1}^2 = H^2U_R(J)$. Now

$$E_{1,0}^2 = \text{Ker}(H^1(T(J)/R(J), U) \rightarrow H^1(T(J^2)/R(J^2), U)),$$

a subset of $H^1(T(J)/R(J), U)$; the last set may be considered as a subgroup of $\text{Pic}(R(J))$ by (3.3) and (3.5). If S is semilocal, $\text{Pic}(S) = 0$ by [3, p. 143, Proposition 5]. If we show $\text{Pic}(R(J)) = 0$ we will be done. For M a maximal ideal of R , only finitely many maximal ideals of $R(J)$ contain M . For if $K = R/M$, there is a one-one correspondence between the maximal ideals of $R(J)$ containing M and the maximal ideals of $R(J)/MR(J) = K(J)$. But K is a field, so that $K(J)$ has the descending chain conditions on ideals, and is thus semilocal by a Nakayama's lemma argument. Thus only finitely many maximal ideals of $R(J)$ contain M . Now $R(J)$ is an integral extension of R [15, p. 254] since it is a finitely generated R -module. Thus every maximal ideal of $R(J)$ lies over some maximal ideal of R [15, p. 259]. This completes the proof.

Using (4.2), (3.12) and the fact that every Galois extension over a semilocal ring has a normal basis, we can recover the isomorphism between $H^2U_R(J)$ and $A_R(J)$ described in (2.2).

REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Simple algebras and cohomology groups of arbitrary fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 73-112.
2. ———, *Homology groups and double complexes for arbitrary fields*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **14** (1962), 1-25.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, ActuaIités Sci. Ind., No. 1290, Hermann, Paris, 1962, Chapters I, II.
4. H. Cartan and S. Eilenberg, *Homological algebra*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956.
5. S. U. Chase, *Abelian extensions and a cohomology theory of Harrison*, Proc. La Jolla Conference on Categorical Algebra, 1965.
6. S. U. Chase, D. K. Harrison and Alex Rosenberg, *Galois theory and Galois cohomology of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), pp. 15-33.

7. S. U. Chase and Alex Rosenberg, *Amitsur cohomology and the Brauer group*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 34–77.
8. ———, *A theorem of Harrison, Kummer theory, and Galois algebras*, Nagoya Math. J. **27–2** (1966), 663–685.
9. F. R. DeMeyer, *Some notes on the general Galois theory of rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 117–127.
10. R. Godement, *Théorie des faisceaux*, Actualités Sci. Ind., No. 1252, Hermann, Paris, 1958.
11. D. K. Harrison, *Abelian extensions of arbitrary fields*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **106** (1963), 230–235.
12. ———, *Abelian extensions of commutative rings*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 52 (1965), 1–14.
13. Alex Rosenberg and D. Zelinsky, *Amitsur's complex for inseparable fields*, Osaka J. Math. **14** (1962), 219–240.
14. Y. Takeuchi, *On Galois extension over commutative rings*, Osaka J. Math. **2** (1965), 137–145.
15. O. Zariski and P. Samuel, *Commutative algebra*, Vol. I, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1958.

CORNELL UNIVERSITY,
ITHACA, NEW YORK