

K₁ OF SOME ABELIAN CATEGORIES

BY

LESLIE G. ROBERTS

1. **Introduction.** Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category. Then the groups $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ and $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ have been defined in [2] or [3]. I will recall their definition.

Let $F(\mathcal{A})$ be the free abelian group on isomorphism classes of objects of \mathcal{A} and let (A) be the basis element of $F(\mathcal{A})$ corresponding to the isomorphism class of the object A of \mathcal{A} . Then $K_0(\mathcal{A})$ is $F(\mathcal{A})$ factored out by the subgroup of $F(\mathcal{A})$ generated by all $(A) - (A_1) - (A_2)$ where $0 \rightarrow A_1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow A_2 \rightarrow 0$ is an exact sequence in \mathcal{A} .

Denote by $\kappa_0(A)$ the image of (A) in $K_0(\mathcal{A})$.

In order to define $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ it is convenient to first define a new category $\Omega\mathcal{A}$. The objects of $\Omega\mathcal{A}$ are pairs (A, α) where A is an object of \mathcal{A} and α is an automorphism of A . A morphism $(A_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow (A_2, \alpha_2)$ consists of a morphism $f: A_1 \rightarrow A_2$ such that $\alpha_2 f = f \alpha_1$.

It is easily seen that $\Omega\mathcal{A}$ is abelian. A sequence $(A_1, \alpha_1) \xrightarrow{f} (A_2, \alpha_2) \xrightarrow{g} (A_3, \alpha_3)$ in $\Omega\mathcal{A}$ is exact if and only if the corresponding sequence $A_1 \xrightarrow{f} A_2 \xrightarrow{g} A_3$ is exact in \mathcal{A} .

Then $K_1(\mathcal{A})$ is equal to $K_0(\Omega\mathcal{A})$ factored out by the subgroup generated by all $\kappa_0(A, \alpha\beta) - \kappa_0(A, \alpha) - \kappa_0(A, \beta)$ where $A \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$ and α, β are automorphisms of A .

Denote by $\kappa_1(A, \alpha)$ the image of $\kappa_0(A, \alpha)$ in $K_1(\mathcal{A})$.

Now assume that \mathcal{A} satisfies the following two conditions:

(i) For all objects A, B of \mathcal{A} , $\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ is a finite dimensional vector space over an algebraically closed field k . ($\mathcal{A}(A, B)$ denotes the morphisms in \mathcal{A} from A to B .)

(ii) For all maps f, g in \mathcal{A} , $f \in \mathcal{A}(A', A)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}(B, B')$ $\mathcal{A}(f, g): \mathcal{A}(A, B) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A', B')$ is a k -homomorphism.

The aim of this paper is to prove that under these conditions

$$K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} k^* \cong K_1(\mathcal{A}).$$

This paper is based on part of the author's doctoral dissertation at Harvard University under the direction of Professor D. Mumford. A number of suggestions of the referee have been adopted.

2. **Definition of Φ .** If A is a nonzero object of \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$ is nonzero, and condition (i) implies that $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$ contains a nonzero copy of k , i.e. $k \cdot 1_A$. I will omit the 1_A for convenience of notation.

Received by the editors March 27, 1968 and, in revised form, May 16, 1968.

Condition (ii) implies that if $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$ then $f\lambda = \lambda f$, $\lambda \in k$. In particular, taking $A = B$, we see that k lies in the center of $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$.

If $\lambda \in k^*$, then λ is an automorphism of A . Define a map

$$\text{obj}(\mathcal{A}) \times k^* \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$$

by $(A, \lambda) \rightarrow \kappa_1(A, \lambda)$. It is easily seen that this defines a homomorphism

$$\Phi: K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^* \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$$

with $\Phi(\kappa_0(A) \otimes \lambda) = \kappa_1(A, \lambda)$. (All tensor products will be over the integers, so I will omit the Z .) I will show that Φ is an isomorphism.

3. The functors F_λ . Let A be a nonzero object of \mathcal{A} and let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(A, A)$. Consider the k -algebra homomorphism $k[X] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(A, A)$ given by $X \rightarrow \alpha$. Since $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$ is a finite dimensional vector space, this homomorphism has nontrivial kernel (f). Then $f \in k[X]$ is the polynomial of lowest degree satisfied by α . Take f to be monic for definiteness. Since k is algebraically closed, we have

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - \lambda_i)^{n_i},$$

with $\sum_{i=1}^r n_i = n$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$, if $i \neq j$. The λ_i will be called eigenvalues of α , and f will be called the minimal equation for α . α is an automorphism if and only if no λ_i is zero.

We have

$$k[\alpha] \cong \frac{k[X]}{(f)} \cong \frac{k[X]}{(X - \lambda_1)^{n_1}} \oplus \frac{k[X]}{(X - \lambda_2)^{n_2}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \frac{k[X]}{(X - \lambda_r)^{n_r}}.$$

Let $p_i(\alpha)$, $1 \leq i \leq r$, be idempotents in the above direct sum decomposition. Then we have a direct sum decomposition $A \cong A_1 \oplus A_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus A_r$, where $A_i = \text{im } p_i(\alpha)$.

It is easy to see that this direct sum decomposition is stable under α , and that $A_i = \ker(\alpha - \lambda_i)^{n_i}$ = the subobject of A on which $\alpha - \lambda_i$ is nilpotent.

I will summarize the properties of this decomposition in the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. *Let α be an endomorphism of $A \in \text{obj}(\mathcal{A})$, $A \neq 0$. If*

$$f(X) = \prod_{i=1}^r (X - \lambda_i)^{n_i} \quad (\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \text{ if } i \neq j)$$

is the minimal equation of α , then $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \ker(\alpha - \lambda_i)^{n_i} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i$. The A_i have the following properties: (a) A_i is stable under α . (b) α restricted to A_i has one eigenvalue λ_i ($\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$). Furthermore any direct sum decomposition with properties (a) and (b) is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r A_i$.

The uniqueness assertion is easy to prove.

COROLLARY. *If M is indecomposable, every endomorphism of M is an automorphism or nilpotent, and $\mathcal{A}(M, M)$ is a local ring.*

Now suppose that $(A, \alpha) \in \Omega\mathcal{A}$. For all $\lambda \in k^*$, let $(A, \alpha)_\lambda$ be the subobject of A on which $\alpha - \lambda$ is nilpotent. Let α_λ be the restriction of α to $(A, \alpha)_\lambda$. Then $((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda) \in \Omega\mathcal{A}$. If $(A, \alpha) \xrightarrow{f} (B, \beta)$ is a morphism, then $\beta f = f\alpha$. Hence also $(\beta - \lambda)^n f = f(\alpha - \lambda)^n$ for all n . Therefore f maps $(A, \alpha)_\lambda$ into $(B, \beta)_\lambda$. Hence, for all $\lambda \in k^*$, we have a functor $F_\lambda: \Omega\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Omega\mathcal{A}$ which sends $(A, \alpha) \rightarrow ((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda)$.

THEOREM 2. *If $(A, \alpha) \in \text{obj } \Omega\mathcal{A}$, then*

$$(A, \alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in k^*} ((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda)$$

and the functors F_λ are exact, $\lambda \in k^*$.

Proof. The first assertion follows from Theorem 1. (There are only a finite number of nonzero $(A, \alpha)_\lambda$ so the direct sum makes sense.)

To prove the exactness, let

$$0 \longrightarrow (A_1, \alpha_1) \xrightarrow{f} (A, \alpha) \xrightarrow{g} (A_2, \alpha_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence in $\Omega\mathcal{A}$. Then for all $\lambda \in k^*$ we get a sequence S_λ :

$$0 \rightarrow F_\lambda(A_1, \alpha_1) \rightarrow F_\lambda(A, \alpha) \rightarrow F_\lambda(A_2, \alpha_2) \rightarrow 0.$$

If we take the direct sum of these sequences over all λ we get the original exact sequence back again. Therefore S_λ must be an exact sequence for all λ , and hence the functors F_λ are exact.

4. Proof that Φ is surjective. Let α be an automorphism of $A \in \text{obj } \mathcal{A}$. Then $(A, \alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in k^*} ((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda)$ by Theorem 2. Thus $\kappa_1(A, \alpha) = \sum_{\lambda \in k^*} \kappa_1((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda)$. Thus we are reduced to showing that $\kappa_1(A, \alpha)$ lies in the image of Φ , where α has one eigenvalue $\lambda \in k^*$, i.e. $(\alpha - \lambda)^n = 0$ for some $n > 0$.

Then we have a filtration of A by subobjects

$$0 = A_0 \subset A_1 \subset A_2 \subset \dots \subset A_n = A,$$

where $A_i = \ker(\alpha - \lambda)^i$, $0 \leq i \leq n$, and this filtration is stable under α . Since $(\alpha - \lambda)A_i \subset A_{i-1}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, α must induce scalar multiplication by λ on the quotient object A_i/A_{i-1} , $1 \leq i \leq n$. Therefore $\kappa_1(A, \alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_1(A_i/A_{i-1}, \lambda) = \kappa_1(A, \lambda)$ lies in the image of Φ , thus proving that Φ is surjective.

5. The radical of \mathcal{A} . My aim is to define an inverse to Φ . I will first discuss the radical of \mathcal{A} .

The Krull-Schmidt theorem holds in an abelian category satisfying conditions (i) and (ii) of the introduction, by Atiyah [1]. That is, every object in \mathcal{A} can be expressed uniquely as the direct sum of a finite number of indecomposable objects.

Furthermore, if M is an indecomposable object in \mathcal{A} , then $\mathcal{A}(M, M)$ is a local ring by the corollary to Theorem 1. Let m be the radical of $\mathcal{A}(M, M)$. Then $\mathcal{A}(M, M)/m$ is a division ring which contains k in its center. Since k is assumed to be algebraically closed we must have $\mathcal{A}(M, M)/m = k$.

Also we have

LEMMA 1. *If A and B are nonisomorphic indecomposable objects, then any composition $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} A$ lies in the radical of $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$.*

Proof. Otherwise gf would be an isomorphism. This would make A a direct summand of B , which is impossible.

An ideal \mathcal{I} of the category \mathcal{A} consists of a subgroup $\mathcal{I}(A, B) \subset \mathcal{A}(A, B)$, $A, B \in \text{obj } \mathcal{A}$, such that if $f \in \mathcal{I}(A, B)$, $g \in \mathcal{A}(B, C)$ and $h \in \mathcal{A}(D, A)$, then $gf h \in \mathcal{I}(D, C)$.

The radical of an additive category is defined in Kelley [4]. It is defined to be the unique ideal \mathcal{R} in \mathcal{A} such that $\mathcal{R}(A, A)$ is the radical of $\mathcal{A}(A, A)$.

If A and B are objects of \mathcal{A} , then we may write each as the direct sum of a finite number of indecomposable objects, $A \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n A_i$, $B \cong \bigoplus_{j=1}^m B_j$. Then any morphism $f \in \mathcal{A}(A, B)$ will be given by an $m \times n$ matrix with entries f_{ij} in $\mathcal{A}(A_j, B_i)$. Then by Lemma 1 above, and Lemmas 1 to 6 of Kelley [4] $\mathcal{R}(A, B)$ consists of those f such that no f_{ij} is an isomorphism.

Define the category \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} by letting the objects of \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} be the same as those of \mathcal{A} , and $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}(A, B) = \mathcal{A}(A, B)/\mathcal{R}(A, B)$, and let N be the canonical functor $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}$. Then N is additive, and α is an isomorphism if and only if $N\alpha$ is an isomorphism.

Let $\{A_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a representative set of indecomposable objects of \mathcal{A} . Then $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}(A_i, A_i) = k$, $\forall i$, and $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}(A_i, A_j) = 0$, $\forall i, j, i \neq j$. Let \mathcal{V} be the category whose objects consist of $k^n \forall n \geq 0$, and whose morphisms are all vector space homomorphisms. Let $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V}$ be the subcategory of the product such that all but a finite number of the coordinates are zero. Define a functor

$$G: \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

by setting $G(k^n, i) = \bigoplus_{j=1}^n A_i$. If $\alpha: k^n \rightarrow k^m$, let $G(\alpha, i): \bigoplus_{j=1}^n A_i \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^m A_i$ be given by the same matrix.

Then from the description of morphisms in \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} given above it is easily seen that NG gives an equivalence of categories.

Thus \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R} is abelian, and $K_1(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} k^*$, the map $\kappa_1: F(\Omega \mathcal{V}) \rightarrow k^*$ being given by the determinant.

6. Definition of the map Ψ . We can now define a homomorphism $\Gamma: K_1(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{R}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^*$ by $\Gamma(\lambda, i) = \kappa_0(A_i) \otimes \lambda$. Let $(A, \alpha) \in \text{obj } (\Omega \mathcal{A})$. Define $\Psi(A, \alpha) = \Gamma \kappa_1(N A, N \alpha)$. Then I claim that Ψ defines a homomorphism

$$K_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^*.$$

First I will check that Ψ vanishes on the defining relations for $K_0(\Omega \mathcal{A})$. Let $(A, \alpha) \in \Omega \mathcal{A}$. Then $(A, \alpha) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda \in k^*} ((A, \alpha)_\lambda, \alpha_\lambda)$. Therefore

$$\kappa_1(N(A, \alpha)) = \sum_{\lambda \in k^*} \kappa_1(N((A, \alpha)_\lambda, N \alpha_\lambda)).$$

I claim that $\kappa_1(N((A, \alpha)_\lambda), N\alpha_\lambda) = \kappa_1(N((A, \alpha)_\lambda), \lambda)$. Then it will follow immediately from the exactness of the functors F_λ that Ψ vanishes on the defining relations for $K_0(\Omega\mathcal{A})$. This will follow from

LEMMA 2. *Let α be an automorphism of A such that $(\alpha - \lambda)^n = 0$ ($n > 0$). Then $\kappa_1(NA, N\alpha) = \kappa_1(NA, \lambda)$.*

Proof. $(\alpha - \lambda)^n = 0$. Therefore $(N\alpha - \lambda)^n = 0$. Therefore the matrix $N\alpha$ has one eigenvalue λ . This can be checked by using the Jordan Canonical form for α . Hence if $A \cong \bigoplus n_i A_i$ then $\kappa_1(NA, N\alpha) = \bigoplus_i \lambda^{n_i} = \kappa_1(NA, \lambda)$ as required.

This proves that Ψ vanishes on the defining relations for $K_0(\Omega\mathcal{A})$. Now I will check that Ψ vanishes on the other relation defining $K_1(\mathcal{A})$.

If α and β are automorphisms of A , then

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(A, \alpha\beta) &= \Gamma_{\kappa_1(NA, N(\alpha\beta))} = \Gamma_{\kappa_1(NA, (N\alpha)(N\beta))} \\ &= \Gamma(\kappa_1(NA, N\alpha) + \kappa_1(NA, N\beta)) \\ &= \Psi(A, \alpha) + \Psi(A, \beta) \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Therefore Ψ defines a homomorphism from $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^*$ which I will also denote by Ψ .

7. Proof that Φ is an isomorphism. Φ has already been shown to be onto. We will now show that $\Psi\Phi: K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^* \rightarrow K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^*$ equals the identity. Then Φ will be a monomorphism also.

$$\Phi(\kappa_0(A) \otimes \lambda) = \kappa_1(A, \lambda), \quad \Psi(\kappa_1(A, \lambda)) = \kappa_0(A) \otimes \lambda.$$

Therefore $\Psi\Phi = \text{identity}$, and Φ is an isomorphism.

Thus my results may be stated as follows:

THEOREM 3. *Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category which satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) of the introduction. Then the map $\Phi: K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes k^* \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{A})$ defined by $\Phi(\kappa_0(A) \otimes \lambda) = \kappa_1(A, \lambda)$ is an isomorphism.*

8. Examples. Some examples of abelian categories which satisfy conditions (i) and (ii) of the introduction are the following:

(1) The category \mathcal{C} of coherent sheaves on a projective algebraic variety X , over an algebraically closed field k . In particular, if X is a nonsingular curve, then

$$K_0(\mathcal{C}) = Z \oplus \text{Pic}(X) = Z \oplus Z \oplus \text{Pic}_0(X),$$

and so

$$K_1(\mathcal{C}) = k^* \oplus k^* \oplus (\text{Pic}_0(X) \otimes k^*).$$

(2) The category of left modules of finite type over a finite dimensional k -algebra.

I will conclude by giving a couple of examples where all hypotheses are satisfied except the algebraic closure of the field k . Suppose that k is of finite index in its algebraic closure \bar{k} .

(3) Let \mathcal{A} be an abelian category which satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3 over \bar{k} . Then the hypotheses are also satisfied over k (except for algebraic closure of k). But $K_1(\mathcal{A}) \cong K_0(\mathcal{A}) \otimes \bar{k}^*$.

(4) Let \mathcal{V} be the category of finite dimensional vector spaces over k . In this case $K_1(\mathcal{V}) = k^* = K_0(\mathcal{V}) \otimes k^*$.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, *On the Krull-Schmidt theorem with application to sheaves*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **84** (1956), 307–317.
2. H. Bass, A. Heller and R. Swan, *The Whitehead group a polynomial extension*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math., No. 22, 1964, pp. 61–79.
3. A. Heller, *Some exact sequences in algebraic K-theory*, Topology **4** (1965), 389–408.
4. G. M. Kelley, *The radical of a category* J. Austral. Math. Soc. **4** (1964), 299.

HARVARD UNIVERSITY,
CAMBRIDGE, MASSACHUSETTS