

CORRECTION TO "THE SEPARABLE CLOSURE OF SOME COMMUTATIVE RINGS"

BY

ANDY R. MAGID

In [3, 1.4, p. 112] we attempted to prove that every closed subgroupoid of a profinite groupoid is an intersection of open-closed subgroupoids. There is, however, an error in the proof: It is asserted that if G is a groupoid, H a subgroupoid and $f: G \rightarrow G'$ a groupoid homomorphism, then $f^{-1}(f(H))$ is a subgroupoid of G . This will not happen, however, if $f(H)$ is not a subgroupoid of G' , and such things can occur, as pointed out in [1, p. 7]. The intersection theorem is, however, an interesting part of the Galois theory of commutative rings [2, 1.10, p. 96] and also plays a role in some subsequent developments. Thus we give a correction here.

We begin by recalling the relevant definitions. A groupoid is a category in which all morphisms are isomorphisms. A subgroupoid is a subcategory closed under inversion and containing all identities. A homomorphism of groupoids is a functor. A profinite groupoid is a (topological) inverse limit of finite groupoids, over a directed index set, where all transition maps are surjective (so two elements of a profinite groupoid are equal if and only if their homomorphic images in all finite groupoids are equal). A groupoid is connected if there is a map between any two of its objects, and every groupoid is a co-product of its maximal connected subgroupoids, which are called its (connected) components.

If G is a group and X a set, then $X \times X \times G$, with composition $(a, b, g) \cdot (b, c, h) = (a, c, gh)$ is a groupoid. As a first step towards the intersection theorem, we will show that every profinite groupoid is a subgroupoid of a groupoid of this type.

Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite groupoid. We will find a profinite group $F(\mathcal{G})$ and a continuous functor $f: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{G})$ universal with respect to continuous functors from \mathcal{G} to profinite groups: First, take the free group on the set \mathcal{G} . Let F_0 be the (topological) inverse limit of all the (discrete) quotients of the free group by normal subgroups of finite index each of whose cosets meets \mathcal{G} in an open-closed set. Let b map \mathcal{G} to F_0 and let $F(\mathcal{G})$ be the quotient of F_0 by the closed normal subgroup generated by all $b(s)b(t)b(st)^{-1}$ where s, t are composable maps in \mathcal{G} . (This construction is given in detail in [4, Definition 3].)

Received by the editors February 13, 1973.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

To what extent are elements of \mathcal{G} identified by the functor $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow F(\mathcal{G})$? The following lemma will lead to an answer to this question:

Lemma 1 *Let \mathcal{G} be a connected finite groupoid, s, t distinct elements of \mathcal{G} with s not an identity. Then there is a finite group G and a functor $b: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ such that $b(s) \neq b(t)$.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{G} is connected, the cardinality of all its hom-sets are equal. Suppose it is greater than 1. Let a, c and b, d be the domains and ranges of s, t respectively. Let G be the automorphism group of a . If a, b, c, d are all different, construct a functor $b: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ as follows: for each object x of \mathcal{G} choose a map f_x from a to x such that f_a is the identity, $f_b = s$, f_c is arbitrary and $f_d \neq t f_c$. If r in \mathcal{G} has range and domain x and y , let $b(r) = f_y^{-1} r f_x$. Then $b(s) \neq b(t)$. (In case a, b, c, d are not all different, a suitable modification of the choices of the f_x 's gives a similar functor.)

Now suppose there is a unique map $[x, y]$ between each pair of objects x, y of \mathcal{G} . Let G be the free $Z/Z3$ -module on the objects of \mathcal{G} , and define $b: \mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ by $b[x, y] = x - y$. Then b is a functor and if $(x, y) \neq (z, w)$ and $x \neq y, b[x, y] \neq b[z, w]$. So $b(s) \neq b(t)$.

The condition of Lemma 1 is certainly necessary, as all identities of a groupoid go to the identity of a group under any functor.

Lemma 2. *Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite groupoid and s, t distinct elements of \mathcal{G} with s not an identity. Then s and t have distinct images in $F(\mathcal{G})$.*

Proof. By the universal property of $F(\mathcal{G})$, it will be sufficient to find a finite group G and a continuous functor $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow G$ under which s and t have distinct images. Since \mathcal{G} is profinite, there is a finite groupoid \mathcal{G}' and a continuous functor $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ under which the images of s and t are distinct and the former not an identity. So we may assume $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}'$ is finite.

Suppose first that s and t are in different components. Use Lemma 1 to find a functor from the component of s to a finite group G such that the image of s is not the identity, and extend the functor to all of \mathcal{G} by sending all other components to the identity. The functor then separates s and t .

If s and t are in the same component, apply Lemma 1 to that component and extend the functor trivially to all of \mathcal{G} ; it still separates s and t .

Now let X be the (profinite) set of objects of the profinite groupoid \mathcal{G} . There is a continuous function $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow X \times X \times F(\mathcal{G})$ where the first two maps are the domain and range projections and the last is the functor defined above. Give $X \times X \times F(\mathcal{G})$ the groupoid structure we did above; then the function is seen to be a functor. It is immediate from Lemma 2 that the functor is injective, and so we have:

Proposition 3. *Every profinite groupoid is (isomorphic to) a closed subgroupoid of a profinite groupoid of the form $X \times X \times G$, where X is a profinite topological space and G a profinite group.*

This structural result will play a role in the proof of the intersection theorem, which we now state.

Theorem 4. *Let \mathcal{G} be a profinite groupoid and H a closed subgroupoid. Then H is an intersection of open-closed subgroupoids of \mathcal{G} .*

Proof. By Proposition 3, we may assume $\mathcal{G} = X \times X \times G$, where X is a profinite space and G a profinite group. We next show that we can even take G to be finite: suppose s is in \mathcal{G} but not in H . There is a profinite group G' and a continuous homomorphism $G \rightarrow G'$ such that the image of s is not in the image of H under the induced functor $X \times X \times G \rightarrow X \times X \times G'$. Since this map is injective on identities, the image of H is a subgroupoid [1, Proposition 1, p. 8]. Suppose there is an open-closed subgroupoid of $X \times X \times G'$ containing the image of H but not that of s . Then its inverse image is an open-closed subgroupoid of $X \times X \times G$ containing H but not s . Thus if we can prove Theorem 4 when $G = G'$ is finite, it will hold in general.

Now we assume G finite, and introduce some notation: If U, V are subsets of X , let $H(U, V) = \{g \in G \mid (a, b, g) \in H \text{ for some } a \in U \text{ and } b \in V\}$. Note that if $U' \subset U$ and $V' \subset V$ then $H(U', V') \subset H(U, V)$. We need some facts about these sets, beginning with:

For all a, b in X , $H(a, b) = \bigcap H(U, V)$, where the intersection ranges over all neighborhoods U of a and V of b : As we just noted, the left-hand side is contained in the right. If g is not in $H(a, b)$, then (a, b, g) is not in H , and since H is closed, some neighborhood of (a, b, g) misses H . This means there are neighborhoods U of a and V of b such that $U \times V \times g$ misses H , i.e., that g is not in $H(U, V)$. So g is not in the right-hand side.

Using the above plus the fact that G is finite, we can choose for each a and b in X neighborhoods $U(a)$ and $V(b)$ of a and b such that $H(a, b) = H(U(a), V(b))$. Let $W(a) = U(a) \cap V(a)$; we then have $H(a, b) = H(W(a), W(b))$. Since X is a profinite, hence zero-dimensional, space we can refine the open cover $\{W(a)\}$ by a partition $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X into open-closed sets, such that for each i there is an a_i in U_i with $U_i \subseteq W(a_i)$. If we are given a, b in X in advance, we can do the above so that a and b are among the a_i 's. Thus we have shown:

Let a, b in X be given. Then there is a partition $\{U_1, \dots, U_n\}$ of X into open-closed sets and elements a_i of U_i such that $a = a_i$ for some i and $b = a_j$ for some j , and $H(a_i, a_j) = H(U_i, U_j)$.

Now we can complete the proof of Theorem 4. Let (a, b, g) belong to $X \times X \times G$ but not to H . Choose a partition as above relative to this a and b . Let $H_{ij} = H(U_i, U_j)$, and let $K = \bigcup U_i \times U_j \times H_{ij}$ (the union being over all pairs i, j). We will show that K is a subgroupoid of G containing H but not (a, b, g) . Since K is open-closed, this will finish the proof. Since $H(a_i, a_j) \cdot H(a_j, a_k) \subseteq H(a_i, a_k)$, $H_{ij} \cdot H_{jk} \subseteq H_{ik}$, and it follows that K is a groupoid. Since (a, b, g) is not in H , g is not in $H(a, b)$ and hence (a, b, g) is not in K . If (c, d, b) is in H , with c in U_i and d in U_j , then $b \in H(c, d) \subseteq H(U_i, U_j) = H_{ij}$, so $(c, d, b) \in U_i \times U_j \times H_{ij}$ which is contained in K , and K contains H .

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Higgins, *Categories and groupoids*, Van Nostrand Reinhold Math. Studies, 32, London, 1971.
2. A. Magid, *Galois groupoids*, J. Algebra 18 (1971), 89–102. MR 42 #7656.
3. ———, *The separable closure of some commutative rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 170 (1972), 109–124.
4. ———, *Principal homogeneous spaces and Galois extensions*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA, NORMAN, OKLAHOMA
73069