

## A DIVERGENT WEIGHTED ORTHONORMAL SERIES OF BROKEN LINE FRANKLIN FUNCTIONS

BY  
COKE S. REED

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of this paper is to define a differentiable function  $F$  and an inner product on the space of continuous functions on  $[0,1]$  in such a way that the Fourier expansion of  $F$  obtained by orthonormalizing the broken line Franklin functions according to this inner product is divergent.

**I. Introduction.** Let  $z_1, z_2, \dots$  denote a reversible number sequence such that  $\{z_i\}$  is a subset of  $[0,1]$  and is dense in  $[0,1]$ . Define  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$  to be the sequence of functions on  $[0,1]$  such that  $\theta_0(x) = 1$ , and for each positive integer  $i$ ,  $\theta_i(x) = 0$  if  $0 \leq x \leq z_i$ , and  $\theta_i(x) = x - z_i$  if  $z_i \leq x \leq 1$ . Let  $W$  denote a continuous strictly increasing function on  $[0,1]$ . Define the inner product  $((f, g))_W$  of two continuous functions  $f$  and  $g$  with domain  $[0,1]$  to be  $\int_0^1 f \cdot g dW$ . Since no member of the sequence  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$  is a finite linear combination of the other members of the sequence, we can use the Gram-Schmidt process to construct a sequence  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  of functions on  $[0,1]$  such that for each positive integer  $k$ ,  $\phi_k$  is a linear combination of  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_k$  and  $\theta_k$  is a linear combination of  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots, \phi_k$  and such that  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  is orthonormal relative to  $(( , ))_W$ . The sequence  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  will be referred to as the  $(z, W)$  sequence. Let  $I$  denote the function such that  $I(x) = x$ .

Franklin [1] showed that if  $f$  is a continuous function with domain  $[0,1]$ , and  $z_1, z_2, \dots$  satisfies a certain property and  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \dots$  is the  $(z, I)$  sequence, then the sequence of functions  $s_n = \sum_{i=0}^n ((f, \phi_i)) \cdot \phi_i$  converges uniformly to  $f$  as  $n$  tends to infinity. Wall [3] used  $(z, W)$  sequences for arbitrary  $z$  and  $W$  in the study of certain moment problems and raised the following question to his students. Is it true that if  $F$  is a continuous function defined on  $[0,1]$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots$  is a reversible number sequence such that  $\{z_i\}$  is a subset of  $[0,1]$  and is dense in  $[0,1]$  and  $W$  is a continuous and strictly increasing function defined on  $[0,1]$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^n ((f, \phi_i))_W \cdot \phi_i$  converges uniformly to  $f$  as  $n$  tends to infinity? Sox [2] showed that for every such sequence  $z$ , the  $(z, I)$  Fourier expansion of a continuous function  $f$  converges uniformly to  $f$ . It is the purpose of this paper to exhibit a  $z$ ,  $W$ , and  $F$  so that if  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  is the  $(z, W)$  sequence, then  $\sum_{i=0}^n ((F, \phi_i)) \phi_i$  is divergent.

Presented to the Society, November 25, 1972; received by the editors December 5, 1972 and, in revised form, March 29, 1973.

*AMS (MOS) subject classifications* (1970). Primary 42A56, 42A60.

*Key words and phrases.* Franklin functions, Fourier series, weighted orthonormal series, broken line functions.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

II. **The example.** There exists a  $z$  sequence, a differentiable function  $F$  defined on  $[0,1]$  and a strictly increasing infinitely differentiable function  $W$  defined on  $[0,1]$  such that if  $\phi_0, \phi_1, \phi_2, \dots$  is the  $(z, W)$  sequence, then the number sequence  $\sum_{i=0}^n ((\phi_i, F)) \cdot \phi_i(1)$  is unbounded.

Let  $(z_1, z_2, \dots)$  denote the sequence  $(0, 1/2, 1/4, 3/4, 1/8, 3/8, 5/8, 7/8, 1/16, \dots)$ ; let  $K$  denote the set  $\{1/2, 1/4, 1/8, \dots\}$  and let  $h$  denote the function defined by  $h(x) = (1-x)^2$ . Two sequences  $(f_1, f_2, \dots)$  and  $(w_1, w_2, \dots)$  of functions will now be defined inductively so that the desired functions  $F$  and  $W$  of the example can be defined by the formulas  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$  and  $W = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} w_i$ . In order to facilitate this construction, fourteen additional sequences,  $(N_1, N_2, \dots)$ ,  $(M_1, M_2, \dots)$ ,  $(t_1, t_2, \dots)$ ,  $(g_1, g_2, \dots)$ ,  $(R_1, R_2, \dots)$ ,  $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots)$ ,  $(\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots)$ ,  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots)$ ,  $(V_1, V_2, \dots)$ ,  $(d_1, d_2, \dots)$ ,  $(s_1, s_2, \dots)$ ,  $(u_1, u_2, \dots)$ ,  $(c_1, c_2, \dots)$  and  $(P_1, P_2, \dots)$ , will be defined inductively along with the sequences  $(f_1, f_2, \dots)$  and  $(w_1, w_2, \dots)$ .

Set  $M_1 = 1/2$  and set  $N_1 = 1/2$ . Let  $t_1$  denote a member of  $K$  such that  $t_1 < M_1/4$  and such that the function  $g_1$  defined by the following formulas lies below  $h$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(x) &= 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq N_1, \\ &= 4x/M_1 - 4N_1/M_1, & \text{if } N_1 \leq x \leq N_1 + t_1, \\ &= -4x/M_1 + 4(N_1 + 2t_1)/M_1, & \text{if } N_1 + t_1 \leq x \leq N_1 + 2t_1, \\ &= 0, & \text{if } N_1 + 2t_1 < x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $g_1$  is continuous. Let  $f_1$  denote a differentiable function such that if  $x \in [0,1]$ ; then  $0 \leq f_1(x) \leq h(x)$  and if  $x \in [0,1] - ([N_1 - t_1/4, N_1 + t_1/4] \cup [N_1 + 3t_1/4, N_1 + 5t_1/4] \cup [N_1 + 7t_1/4, N_1 + 9t_1/4])$ , then  $f_1(x) = g_1(x)$ . Let  $R_1$  denote the class of all straight lines  $\gamma$  with the property that  $\gamma(1) < 1$ . Set  $\alpha_1 = N_1 + t_1/3$ , set  $\beta_1 = N_1 + 2t_1/3$  and set  $\lambda_1 = N_1 + 3t_1$ . Let  $V_1$  denote the number set to which the number  $d$  belongs if and only if there exists a member  $\gamma$  of  $R_1$  with the property that  $d = \int_{\alpha_1}^{\beta_1} [\gamma(x) - f_1(x)]^2 dx$ . Let  $\delta$  denote a straight line such that  $1 < \delta(1) < 2$  and such that  $\delta$  intersects  $f_1$  at a point  $(a, b)$  where  $\alpha_1 < a < \beta_1$ . If  $\gamma$  is in  $R_1$ , then either  $\int_{\alpha_1}^a [\gamma(x) - \delta(x)]^2 dx < \int_{\alpha_1}^a [\gamma(x) - f_1(x)]^2 dx$  or else  $\int_a^{\beta_1} [\gamma(x) - \delta(x)]^2 dx < \int_a^{\beta_1} [\gamma(x) - f_1(x)]^2 dx$ . Therefore, the greatest lower bound of  $V_1$  is positive. Let  $d_1$  denote a positive number less than one and less than the greatest lower bound of  $V_1$ . Set  $s_1 = 1$ . Let  $u_1$  denote a function defined on  $[0,1]$  with the following seven properties:

- (1)  $u_1$  is infinitely differentiable over  $[0,1]$ .
- (2)  $u_1(0) = 0$ .
- (3)  $u_1$  is strictly increasing over  $[0, \lambda_1]$ .
- (4) If  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $[\lambda_1, 1]$ , then  $u_1(x) = u_1(y)$ .
- (5) The restriction of  $u_1$  to  $[\alpha_1, \beta_1]$  is a straight line with slope  $s_1$ .
- (6) If  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $u_1'(x) \leq s_1$ .
- (7)  $[u_1(\alpha_1) - u_1(0)] + [u_1(1) - u_1(\beta_1)] < s_1 \cdot d_1/4$ .

There is a positive number  $c_1 < 1$  such that for each number  $x$  in  $[0,1]$ ,  $|c_1 \cdot u_1'(x)| < 1/2$ . Let  $w_1 = c_1 \cdot u_1$ .

Continue this construction inductively for each integer  $j > 1$  as follows. Let  $M_j$  denote a member of  $K$  satisfying the inequalities  $\lambda_{j-1} < 1 - 3M_j$  and  $M_j < t_{j-1}$ . Let  $N_j = 1 - M_j$ . There is an integer  $k$  such that  $z_k = N_j$ . There exists a  $k + 1$  term number sequence  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  such that if  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_k$  is a  $k + 1$  term number sequence, then

$$\int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} f_n - \sum_{n=0}^k a_n \phi_n \right)^2 d \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} w_n \leq \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} f_n - \sum_{n=0}^k b_n \phi_n \right)^2 d \sum_{n=1}^{j-1} w_n.$$

Let  $P_{j-1} = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n \phi_n$ . Let  $t_j$  denote a member of  $K$  such that  $t_j < M_j/4$  and such that the function  $g_j$ , defined by the following formulas, lies below  $h$ .

$$\begin{aligned} g_j(x) &= 0, & \text{if } 0 \leq x \leq N_j, \\ &= 4jx/M_j - 4jN_j/M_j, & \text{if } N_j \leq x \leq N_j + t_j, \\ &= -4jx/M_j + 4j(N_j + 2t_j)/M_j, & \text{if } N_j + t_j \leq x \leq N_j + 2t_j, \\ &= 0, & \text{if } N_j + 2t_j < x \leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that  $g_j$  is continuous. Let  $f_j$  denote a differentiable function such that if  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $0 \leq f_j(x) \leq h(x)$  and if  $x \in [0, 1] - \{[N_j - t_j/4, N_j + t_j/4] \cup [N_j + 3t_j/4, N_j + 5t_j/4] \cup [N_j + 7t_j/4, N_j + 9t_j/4]\}$ , then  $f_j(x) = g_j(x)$ . Let  $R_j$  denote the class of all straight lines  $\gamma$  with the property that  $\gamma(1) < j$ . Set  $\alpha_j = N_j + t_j/3$ , set  $\beta_j = N_j + 2t_j/3$ , and set  $\lambda_j = N_j + 3t_j$ . Let  $V_j$  denote the number set to which the number  $d$  belongs if and only if there exists a member  $\gamma$  of  $R_j$  with the property that  $d = \int_{\beta_j}^{\alpha_j} (\gamma(x) - f_j(x))^2 dx$ . As with  $V_1$ , the greatest lower bound of  $V_j$  is positive. Let  $d_j$  denote a positive number less than  $d_{j-1}$  and less than the greatest lower bound of  $V_j$ . Set  $s_j = c_{j-1} \cdot s_{j-1} \cdot d_{j-1}/(64j^2)$ .

Let  $u_j$  denote a function defined on  $[0,1]$  with the following eight properties:

- (1)  $u_j$  is infinitely differentiable over  $[0,1]$ .
- (2) If  $x \in [0, \lambda_{j-1}]$ , then  $u_j(x) = 0$ .
- (3)  $u_j$  is strictly increasing on  $[\lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_j]$ .
- (4) If  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $[\lambda_j, 1]$ , then  $u_j(x) = u_j(y)$ .
- (5) The restriction of  $u_j$  to  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  is a straight line with slope  $s_j$ .
- (6) If  $x \in [0, 1]$ , then  $u_j'(x) \leq s_j$ .
- (7)  $[u_j(\alpha_j) - u_j(0)] + [u_j(1) - u_j(\beta_j)] < s_j \cdot d_j/(64j^2)$ .
- (8)  $u_j(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j) < s_j \cdot d_j/(32j^2(|P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1})| + 1)^2)$ .

There is a positive number  $c_j < 1$  such that for each  $x$  in  $[0,1]$  and each positive integer  $k \leq j$ ,  $|c_j \cdot u_j^{(k)}(x)| < 1/2^j$  where  $u_j^{(k)}$  is the  $k$ th derivative of  $u_j$ . Let  $w_j = c_j \cdot u_j$ .

Notice that if  $x$  is a number in  $[0,1)$  and there exists a positive integer  $i$  such that  $f_i(x) \neq 0$ , then there is an open set  $S$  containing  $x$  such that if  $j$  is a positive integer distinct from  $i$  and  $\psi$  is in  $S$ , then  $f_j(\psi) = 0$ . It follows from this fact that the function  $F = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} f_i$  exists and is differentiable at each number  $x$  in  $[0,1)$ . But for each  $x \in [0,1]$ , we have that  $0 \leq F(x) \leq h(x)$  and therefore  $F$  is differentiable at 1.

If  $m$  is a positive integer, and  $j$  is an integer greater than  $m$ , and  $x$  is a number in  $[1 - 2M_j, 1]$ , then  $|W^{(m)}(x)| < 1/2^j$ . Notice that this fact implies that  $W$  and all of its derivatives exist on  $[0,1]$  and  $W^{(m)}(1) = 0$ .

Suppose that there exists an integer  $j > 1$  such that if  $k$  is the integer with the property that  $z_k = N_j$ , then  $\sum_{i=0}^k ((\phi_i, F))_W \phi_i(1) < j$ . Let  $G = \sum_{i=0}^k ((\phi_i, F))_W \phi_i$ .  $G$  has the property that if  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  is a  $k + 1$  term number sequence, then

$$\int_0^1 (F - G)^2 dW \leq \int_0^1 \left( F - \sum_{i=0}^k a_i \phi_i \right)^2 dW.$$

Since  $\lambda_{j-1} < N_j < \alpha_j < \beta_j$ , we have that

$$\int_0^1 (F - G)^2 dW \geq \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - G)^2 dW + \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (F - G)^2 dW.$$

But the restriction of  $F$  to  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  is a subset of  $f_j$  and the restriction of  $G$  to  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  lies on a straight line  $\gamma$  such that  $\gamma(1) < j$ . Therefore, we have that  $\int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (F - G)^2 dW = \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (f_j - \gamma)^2 dW$ . But the restriction of  $W$  to  $[\alpha_j, \beta_j]$  lies on a straight line with slope  $c_j \cdot s_j$  and therefore

$$\int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (f_j - \gamma)^2 dW = c_j \cdot s_j \cdot \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (f_j - \gamma)^2(x) dx.$$

Since  $\gamma$  is in  $R_j$ ,  $\int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (f_j - \gamma)^2(x) dx > d_j$ . Combining these inequalities gives the inequality

$$\int_0^1 (F - G)^2 dW > \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - G)^2 dW + c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j.$$

Let  $H$  denote the function with the following four properties:

- (1) The restriction of  $H$  to  $[0, \lambda_{j-1}]$  is  $P_{j-1}$ .
- (2) The restriction of  $H$  to  $[\lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j-1} + M_j]$  lies on the straight line containing  $(\lambda_{j-1}, P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1}))$  and  $(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j, 0)$ .
- (3) If  $x \in [\lambda_{j-1} + M_j, N_j]$ , then  $H(x) = 0$ .
- (4) The restriction of  $H$  to  $[N_j, 1]$  is a straight line such that if  $x \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ , then  $H(x) = F(x)$ .

There is a  $k + 1$  term number sequence  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  such that  $H(x) = a_0 \phi_0 + a_1 \phi_1 + \dots + a_k \phi_k$ , and therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 (F - H)^2 dW &\geq \int_0^1 (F - G)^2 dW \\ &> \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - G)^2 dW + c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j. \end{aligned}$$

(A)

Notice that

$$(B) \quad \int_0^1 (F - H)^2 dW = \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - H)^2 dW + \int_{\lambda_{j-1}}^{\lambda_{j-1} + M_j} (F - H)^2 dW \\ + \int_{\lambda_{j-1} + M_j}^{\alpha_j} (F - H)^2 dW + \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (F - H)^2 dW \\ + \int_{\beta_j}^1 (F - H)^2 dW.$$

For each  $x \in [0, \lambda_{j-1}]$ ,  $F(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f_i(x)$  and  $H(x) = P_{j-1}(x)$ . Therefore, from the definition of  $P$ , we obtain:

$$(C) \quad \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - H)^2 dW = \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} \left( \left( \sum_{i=1}^{j-1} f_i \right) - P_{j-1} \right)^2 dW \\ \leq \int_0^{\lambda_{j-1}} (F - G)^2 dW.$$

For each  $x \in [\lambda_{j-1}, \lambda_{j-1} + M_j]$ ,  $F(x) = 0$  and  $|H(x)| \leq |P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1})|$ . Moreover,

$$W(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j) - W(\lambda_{j-1}) = w_j(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j) - w_j(\lambda_{j-1}) < \frac{c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j}{4j(|P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1})| + 1)^2}.$$

Therefore, we have that

$$(D) \quad \int_{\lambda_{j-1}}^{\lambda_{j-1} + M_j} (F - H)^2 dW \leq \frac{(P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1}))^2 \cdot c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j}{(4j(|P_{j-1}(\lambda_{j-1})| + 1)^2)} \\ < \frac{c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j}{4}.$$

For each  $x \in [\lambda_{j-1} + M_j, \alpha_j]$ ,  $(F - H)^2(x) < 1$ . Moreover,  $W(\alpha_j) - W(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j) = w_j(\alpha_j) - w_j(\lambda_{j-1} + M_j) < c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j/4$ . There inequalities imply

$$(E) \quad \int_{\lambda_{j-1} + M_j}^{\alpha_j} (F - H)^2 dW < c_j \cdot s_j \cdot d_j/4.$$

For each  $x \in [\alpha_j, \beta_j]$ ,  $F(x) = H(x)$  and therefore,

$$(F) \quad \int_{\alpha_j}^{\beta_j} (F - H)^2 dW = 0.$$

For each  $x \in [\beta_j, 1]$ ,  $(F - H)^2(x) < 16j^2$ . Moreover,

$$W(1) - W(\beta_j) = w_j(1) - w_j(\beta_j) + w_{j+1}(1) - w_{j+1}(\beta_j) + w_{j+2}(1) - w_{j+2}(\beta_j) + \dots \\ < c_j s_j d_j / (64j^2) + c_{j+1} s_{j+1} \cdot (\lambda_{j+1} - \lambda_j) + c_{j+2} s_{j+2} \cdot (\lambda_{j+2} - \lambda_{j+1}) + \dots \\ < c_j s_j d_j / (32j^2).$$

Therefore, we have the inequality

$$(G) \quad \int_{\beta_j}^1 (F - H)^2 dW < (16j^2)(s_j d_j c_j / (32j^2)) < c_j s_j d_j / 2.$$

Combining inequalities (B), (C), (D), (E), (F), and (G) yields

$$\int_0^1 (F - H)^2 dW < \int_0^{\lambda-1} (F - G)^2 dW + c_j s_j d_j$$

which contradicts inequality (A) and therefore the proof is completed.

This example gives rise to a number of questions:

(1) Characterize those strictly increasing continuous functions  $w$  which have the property that if  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[0,1]$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots$  is a  $z$  sequence, then the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $f$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .

(2) By a slight modification of the example in this paper, one can construct a  $z, f$ , and  $w$  such that the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $f$  converges pointwise to  $f$  but not uniformly to  $f$ . However, the following question remains unanswered: Is there a  $(z,w)$  system such that if  $f$  is a continuous function on  $[0,1]$  then the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $f$  converges pointwise to  $f$ , but there exists a continuous  $g$  on  $[0,1]$  such that the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $g$  does not converge uniformly to  $g$ ?

(3) Is there a strictly increasing continuous  $w$  such that there exist sequences  $z$  and  $z'$  such that if  $f$  is continuous on  $[0,1]$  then the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $f$  converges uniformly to  $f$ , but there exists a continuous function  $g$  on  $[0,1]$  such that the  $(z',w)$  Fourier expansion of  $g$  does not converge to  $g$ ?

(4) Is the following statement a theorem: If  $w$  is a strictly increasing continuously differentiable function on  $[0,1]$  and  $z_1, z_2, \dots$  is a  $z$  sequence in  $[0,1]$  and  $f$  is continuously differentiable on  $[0,1]$ , then the  $(z,w)$  Fourier expansion of  $f$  converges uniformly to  $f$  over  $[0,1]$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. Philip Franklin, *A set of continuous orthogonal functions*, Math. Ann. **100** (1928), 522-529.
2. J. L. Sox, Jr., *A class of complete orthogonal sequences of broken line functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **166** (1972), 293-296. MR **45** #811.
3. H. S. Wall, *Creative mathematics*, Univ. of Texas Press, Austin, Tex., 1963, pp. 161-168.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, AUBURN UNIVERSITY, AUBURN, ALABAMA 36830