# A RELATION BETWEEN K-THEORY AND COHOMOLOGY

#### ΒY

### ALAN THOMAS

ABSTRACT. It is well known that for X a CW-complex, K(X) and  $H^{ev}(X)$  are isomorphic modulo finite groups, although the "isomorphism" is not natural. The purpose of this paper is to improve this result for X a finite CW-complex.

1. Preliminaries. For the basic definitions and theory (1) of  $\lambda$ -rings, we refer to [2], [12]. The ring Z of integers has a  $\lambda$ -ring structure with  $\lambda^m: Z \to Z$  the function

$$\lambda^m(n) = \binom{n}{m} = \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-m+1)}{m!}.$$

**Definition.** An augmented  $\lambda$ -ring is a  $\lambda$ -ring R together with  $\lambda$ -ring homomorphisms  $i: Z \to R$  and  $\epsilon: R \to Z$  such that  $\epsilon i = 1$ .

Since the Definition implies that  $i: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{R}$  is a monomorphism, we think of  $\mathbb{Z} \subset \mathbb{R}$  as the multiples of the identity. Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  denote the category of augmented  $\lambda$ -rings and  $\lambda$ -ring homomorphisms which commute with the augmentation. If  $B \in Ob \mathfrak{B}$ , we write  $B_n^{\gamma}$  for the *n*th term of the  $\gamma$ -filtration on B, and  $\Gamma(B)$  for the associated graded ring. We note that  $\Gamma(B)_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the category of commutative graded rings A with  $A_0 = \mathbb{Z}$ . We define  $\widetilde{\Lambda}: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{B}$  as follows: If  $A \in Ob \mathfrak{A}$ , then as a set  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(A) = \prod_{n>0} A_n$ . If  $a \in \widetilde{\Lambda}(A)$ , we denote the component in  $A_n$  by  $a_n$  and for convenience of notation define  $a_0 = 1 \in A_0$  and write a as either of the formal expressions

$$1 + a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n + \dots$$
 or  $\sum_{i \ge 0} a_i$ .

If a,  $b \in \widetilde{\Lambda}(A)$ , we define their sum,  $a \oplus b$ , componentwise:

$$(a \oplus b)_n = \sum_{i+j=n} a_i b_j$$

so that ' $\Theta$ ' is analogous with multiplication of formal power series. This operation makes  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(A)$  into an Abelian group, and we denote the inverse of a by  $\bigcirc a$ . In particular if a is an element with  $a_n = 0$  for  $n \ge 2$ , then

Received by the editors December 13, 1972 and, in revised form, July 9, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classications (1970). Primary 55B15; Secondary 55B40, 55F40.

<sup>(1)</sup> We are indebted to Atiyah and Tall [2] for explicit proofs, in particular those based on 'formal algebra'.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

$$\bigcirc a = 1 - a_1 + a_1^2 - a_1^3 + \dots + (-a_1)^n + \dots$$

A map  $f: A \to B$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  induces a function  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(f): \widetilde{\Lambda}(A) \to \widetilde{\Lambda}(B)$  componentwise:

$$(\widetilde{\Lambda}(f)(a))_n = f(a_n).$$

Since ' $\Theta$ ' is defined in terms of the ring structure and f preserves the ring structure, clearly  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(f)$  is a homorphism.

Define  $\Lambda(A) = Z \oplus \widetilde{\Lambda}(A)$  as a abelian group, and write [m, a] for the element  $m \oplus a$ .

**Proposition 1 (Grothendieck).** (a) There is a unique multiplication on  $\widetilde{\Lambda}(A)$ , denoted by  $\otimes$ , which is associative, commutative and distributive over addition such that

(i) for each integer n there is a polynomial  $P_n(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ with integer coefficients such that

$$(a \otimes b)_n = P_n(a_1, \cdots, a_n, b_1, \cdots, b_n);$$

(ii) if  $a_1, b_1 \in A_1$ , then  $(1 + a_1) \otimes (1 + b_1) = (1 + a_1 + b_1) \ominus (1 + a_1) \ominus (1 + b_1)$ .

(b) If we give  $\Lambda(A)$  the ring structure obtained from A by adjoining a unit (i.e. define  $[m, a] \otimes [n, b] = [mn, mb + na + a \otimes b]$ ), then  $\Lambda(A)$  admits a unique  $\lambda$ -ring structure such that

(i) for each pair of integers m, n, there is a polynomial  $Q_{m,n}(X_1, \dots, X_n)$  with integer coefficients such that

$$\lambda^{m}[0, a] = [0, b] \quad where \quad b_{n} = Q_{m,n}(a_{1}, \dots, a_{n});$$
  
(ii)  $\lambda^{m}[0, 1 + a_{1}] = (-1)^{m-1}[0, 1 + a_{1}] \quad if \quad a_{1} \in A_{1} \quad and \quad m \ge 1.$ 

The existence and uniqueness depend on formal algebra.

We define a functor  $D: \mathfrak{A} \to \mathfrak{A}$  as follows:

If  $A \in Ob$   $(\mathcal{A}) = A$  as a graded abelian group but with multiplication (denoted by  $\cdot$ ) defined as follows: if  $x_m \in A_m$  and  $x_n \in A_n$  then

$$x_m \cdot x_n = \frac{(m+n)!}{m!n!} x_m \times x_n.$$

If  $f: A \to B$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  define  $D(f) = f: D(A) \to D(B)$ .

Let  $N_n = N_n(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r)$  be the polynomial defined inductively for  $n \ge r$  by  $N_1(\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_r) = \sigma_1$  and the formula

$$N_n - \sigma_1 N_{n-1} + \sigma_2 N_{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n n \sigma_n = 0.$$

Define  $\sigma: \Lambda(A) \rightarrow D(A)$  by

$$(\sigma[m, a])_0 = m, \quad (\sigma[m, a])_n = N_n(a_1, \dots, a_n) \text{ for } n \ge 1,$$

where  $N_n$  is evaluated in A, not in D(A).

**Proposition 2.**  $\sigma: \Lambda(A) \to D(A)$  is a ring homomorphism.

**Proof.** That  $\sigma$  is additive depends on certain identities satisfied by the polynomials  $N_{\pi}$ , and is omitted.

To show  $\sigma$  preserves multiplication, by virtue of the universality of the definition of multiplication, it suffices to examine  $\sigma(x \otimes y)$  where x = [1, 1 + a] and y = [1, 1 + b] with  $a, b \in b_1$ .

In this case 
$$x \otimes y = [1, 1 + a + b]$$
 so that  $(\sigma(x \otimes y))_n = (a + b)^n$ . But  
 $(\sigma(x)\sigma(y))_n = \sum_{r+s=n} \frac{(r+s)!}{r!s!} a^r b^s = (a + b)^n$ .

So  $\sigma(x \otimes y) = \sigma(x) \cdot \sigma(y)$ .

2. The main theorem. Let  $\mathfrak{V}_*$  be the category of finite based connected CWcomplexes and based maps. If  $X \in \mathfrak{V}_*$  then  $H^{ev}(X) = \bigoplus_{n \ge 0} H^{2n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  is a graded commutative ring and, since  $H^0(X, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}$ , belongs to  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Thus  $H^{ev}$ :  $\mathfrak{V}_* \to \mathfrak{A}$  is a functor, and we define

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{G} &= \widetilde{\Lambda} H^{ev} \colon \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_* \longrightarrow rings, \\ G &= \Lambda H^{ev} \colon \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_* \longrightarrow \mathscr{B}, \\ H &= D H^{ev} \colon \widetilde{\mathbb{Q}}_* \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}. \end{aligned}$$

The internal multiplication  $G(X) \otimes G(X) \to G(X)$  induces an external multiplication  $G(X) \otimes G(Y) \to G(X \times Y)$  in the usual way. This in turn induces a multiplication  $\widetilde{G}(X) \otimes \widetilde{G}(Y) \to \widetilde{G}(X \wedge Y)$ .

If  $E \to X$  is a complex vector bundle, let  $c_i(E) \in H^{2i}(X, Z)$  denote its *i*th Chern class and define

$$\widetilde{c}(E) = 1 + c_1(E) + c_2(E) + \cdots \in \widetilde{C}(X),$$
  
$$c(E) = [\operatorname{rank} E, \widetilde{c}(E)] \in G(X).$$

Lemma 1. If E, F are vector bundles over X and G over Y then (1)  $\widetilde{c}(E \oplus F) = \widetilde{c}(E) \oplus \widetilde{c}(F)$  and  $c(E \oplus F) = c(E) \oplus c(F)$ .

(2)  $c(E \otimes G) = c(E) \otimes c(G)$  where  $E \otimes G$  is the exterior tensor product bundle over  $X \times Y$ .

(3)  $c(\lambda^i E) = \lambda^i c(E)$ .

Proof. The formulae are the standard ones-see Hirzebruch [3].

Hence  $\widetilde{c}$  defines a ring homomorphism  $\widetilde{c}: \widetilde{K}(X) \to \widetilde{G}(X)$  and c defines a  $\lambda$ -ring homomorphism  $c: K(X) \to G(X)$ . Let  $s: K(X) \to H(X)$  be the composite  $K(X) \to c = G(X) \to \sigma H(X)$ .

The purpose of this section is to prove the following theorem. If n is a positive integer let  $l_n$  be the set of primes less than n.

**Theorem 1.** If X is a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 2n + 1$ , then (i)  $\widetilde{c}: \widetilde{K}(X) \to \widetilde{G}(X)$  and  $c: K(X) \to G(X)$  are isomorphisms modulo  $l_n$ -torsion. (ii)  $s: K(X) \to H(X)$  is an isomorphism modulo  $l_{n+1}$ -torsion.

The proof of Theorem 1 is an easy consequence of the following mod  $\mathcal C$  version of a well-known theorem on half-exact functors.

**Proposition 4.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a Serre class of abelian groups, and let  $\rho: t_1 \to t_2$ be a map of balf-exact functors, where  $t_i: \mathcal{W}_* \to Abelian$  groups, such that  $\rho: t_1(S^n) \to t_2(S^n)$  is an isomorphism mod  $\mathcal{C}$  for  $n \leq m$ . Then  $\rho: t_1(X) \to t_2(X)$  is an isomorphism mod  $\mathcal{C}$  when X is finite and dim  $X \leq m$ .

Thus in order to prove the theorem, we examine the maps on spheres.

Lemma 2. (i)  $\widetilde{K}(S^{2n+1}) \rightarrow \widetilde{C} \widetilde{G}(S^{2n+1})$  is an isomorphism. (ii)  $\widetilde{K}(S^{2n}) \rightarrow \widetilde{C} \widetilde{G}(S^{2n})$  is a monomorphism with cokernel  $\mathbb{Z}_{(n-1)!}$ . (iii)  $G(S^{2n}) \rightarrow \sigma H(S^{2n})$  is a monomorphism with cokernel  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ .

Proof. (i) Trivial, since both groups are zero.

(ii) The map  $K(S^{2n}) \rightarrow G(S^{2n}) \cong H^{2n}(S^{2n})$  is given by the *n*th Chern class, and by theorems of Borel-Hirzebruch the *n*th Chern class of a complex vector bundle on  $S^{2n}$  is a multiple of (n-1)! times the generator, and every such multiple arises.

(iii) The map  $G(S^{2n}) \rightarrow^{\sigma} H(S^{2n}) \cong H^{2n}(S^{2n})$  is easily seen by calculation to be multiplication by *n*.

Let  $\mathcal{C}_n$  be the class of abelian groups whose order is a product of primes in  $l_n$ . By Lemma 2

 $\widetilde{c}\colon \widetilde{K}(S^m)\to \widetilde{G}(S^m) \quad \text{is an isomorphism mod } \mathcal{C}_n \text{ for } m\leq 2n+1,$ 

 $s: K(S^m) \to H(S^m)$  is an isomorphism mod  $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$  for  $m \leq 2n+1$ ,

whence the theorem follows.

3. Finite CW-complexes of dimension  $\leq 5$ . In the case n = 2, Theorem 1 says that if dim  $X \leq 5$ ,  $c: K(X) \to G(X)$  is an isomorphism of  $\lambda$ -rings, i.e.  $K(X) \cong \Lambda H(X)$  [in particular, if dim  $X \leq 4$ , we see that  $K^1(X) \cong H^1(X) \oplus H^3(X)$ ], so that the graded ring structure of  $H^{ev}(X)$  determines the  $\lambda$ -ring structure of K(X). In this section we show that in these low dimensions the converse is true, namely  $H^{ev}(X) \cong \Gamma K(X)$ . Since we already know that  $K(X) \cong G(X)$  as  $\lambda$ -rings, it suffices to show that  $\Gamma G(X) \cong H^{ev}(X)$ .

Let  $a: \widetilde{G}(X) \to H^2(X)$  be the projection  $a(1 + a_1 + a_2) = a_1$  and let  $\beta: H^4(X) \to \widetilde{G}(X)$  be the inclusion  $\beta(a_2) = 1 + (-a_2)$ . The sequence  $0 \to H^4(X) \to \beta$  $\widetilde{G}(X) \to a H^2(X) \to 0$  is clearly exact.

Lemma 3. (i) Im  $\beta = G(X)_2^{\gamma}$ . (ii)  $G(X)_n^{\gamma} = 0$  for n > 2. (iii) The product in  $\Gamma G(X)$  from  $(G(X)_1^{\gamma}/G(X)_2^{\gamma}) \times G(X)_1^{\gamma}/G(X)_2^{\gamma} \to G(X)_2$  is (isomorphic to) the cup product  $H^2(X) \times H^2(X) \to H^4(X)$ .

**Proof.** By formal algebra it follows that

(1) 
$$\gamma^{n}[0, a] = [0, 1 + (-1)^{n-1}(n-1)!a_{n} + \cdots],$$

 $[0, 1 + a_n + \text{higher terms}] \otimes [0, 1 + b_n + \text{higher terms}]$ 

(2)

= 
$$[0, 1 + c_{m+n} + \text{higher terms}]$$
.

From (1), (2), it follows that  $G(X)_n^{\gamma} = 0$  for n > 2 and since  $\gamma^2[0, 1 + a_2] = [0, 1 - a_2]$ , we see that Im  $\beta \subset G(X)_2^{\gamma}$ . But  $G(X)_2^{\gamma}$  in this case is generated by elements of the form  $\gamma^2[0, 1 + a_1 + a_2]$  or  $[0, 1 + a_1][0, 1 + b_1]$ , i.e. by elements of the form  $[0, 1 + a_2]$ , whence Im  $\beta = G(X)_2^{\gamma}$ . Finally a simple calculation shows

$$[0, 1 + a_1 + a_2] \otimes [0, 1 + b_1 + b_2] = [0, 1 + (-a_1b_1)]$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

4. A real version. We would like to prove a theorem analogous to Theorem 1 for KO-theory using the corresponding characteristic classes. Since Stiefel-Whitney classes do not carry enough information even on spheres, we try Pontryagin classes. However, there is a technical difficulty to overcome, namely that Pontryagin classes do not obey a Whitney-sum formula. However, if E, F are real vector bundles over X and if  $p_i(E) \in H^{4i}(X, \mathbb{Z})$  denotes the *i*th Pontryagin class then  $p_n(E \oplus F) - \sum_{i+j=n} p_i(E)p_j(F)$  is an element of order 2 in  $H^{4n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ . Let  $H^{4^*}(X) = \bigoplus_{n\geq 0} H^{4n}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ .  $H^{4^*}: \bigoplus_* \to graded rings$ , so we can define

$$(GO)^{(X)} = \Lambda H^{4}(X), \quad GO(X) = \Lambda H^{4}(X), \quad H^{4}(X) = DH^{4}(X),$$

(Alternatively, we can define  $(GO)^{\sim}(X)$  as the subring of  $\widetilde{G}(X)$  consisting of elements with zero components in odd degrees:

$$(GO)^{\sim}(X) = \{a \in \widetilde{G}(X) : a_n = 0 \text{ if } n \text{ is odd}\}.$$

Let q:  $(KO)^{\sim}(X) \rightarrow \widetilde{G}(X)$  be the composite

$$q: KO(X) \xrightarrow{\text{complexification}} K(X) \xrightarrow{c} G(X) \xrightarrow{\psi^2} G(X)$$

where  $\psi^2$  is the Adams operation.

Clearly q is a ring homorphism.

Lemma 4. If 
$$F \to X$$
 is a real vector bundle, then  
 $(q(E))_{2n+1} = 0, \quad (q(E))_{2n} = (-4)^n p_n(E).$ 

**Proof.** If F is the complexification of E, with Chern classes  $c_1, \dots, c_n$ , then  $p_i(E) = (-1)^i c_{2i}$ . If F were a sum of line bundles  $F = L_1 \oplus \dots \oplus L_n$  with  $c_1(L_i) = \alpha_i$  then

$$\psi^{2}c(F) = c\psi^{2}(F) = c(L_{1}^{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n}^{2})$$
  
= [1, 1 + 2\alpha]  $\oplus$  [1, 1 + 2\alpha\_{2}]  $\oplus \cdots \oplus$  [1, 1 + 2\alpha\_{n}]  
= [n, 1 + 2\cap c\_{1} + 2^{2}c\_{2} + 2^{3}c\_{3} + \cdots]

so by the splitting principle for complex vector bundles, we see that  $(q(E))_i = (\psi^2 c(F))_n = 2^n c_n(F)$ .

If *i* is odd, then  $2c_i(F) = 0$ , so  $(q(E))_i = 0$ . If i = 2n, then  $(q(E))_{2n} = 2^{2n}c_{2n}(E) = 2^{2n}(-1)^n p_n(E)$ .

Thus the image of q is contained in GO(X). By naturality it induces a map  $\widetilde{q}: (KO)^{\sim}(X) \rightarrow (GO)^{\sim}(X)$ .

**Theorem 2.** If X is a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 4n + 3$ , then (i)  $\sim (100)^{\circ}(10) = (100)^{\circ}(10)$ 

- (i)  $\widetilde{q}$ :  $(KO)^{\sim}(X) \rightarrow (GO)^{\sim}(X)$  is an isomorphism modulo  $(l_{2n} \cup \{2\})$ -torsion.
- (ii) q:  $KO(X) \rightarrow GO(X)$  is an isomorphism modulo  $(l_{2n+1} \cup \{2\})$ -torsion.
- (iii)  $\sigma_q: KO(X) \rightarrow DH^{4*}(X)$  is an isomorphism modulo  $(l_{2n+1} \cup \{2\})$ -torsion.

**Proof.** As before it suffices to examine the maps on spheres. On  $S^t$ , where  $t \neq 0 \mod 4$ ,  $(KO)^{\sim}(S^t)$  is either  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  or 0, but  $(GO)^{\sim}(S^t)$  and  $H^{4^*}(S^t)$  are zero. The map from  $(KO)^{\sim}(S^{4n}) \rightarrow (GO)^{\sim}(S^{4n}) \cong H^{4n}(S^{4n}, \mathbb{Z})$  is  $4^n$  times the *n*th Pontryagin class and again by theorems of Borel-Hirzebruch the *n*th Pontryagin class is a multiple of (2n-1)! GCD(n+1, 2), and moreover every such multiple arises. Thus this map from  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$  is multiplication by some power of 2 times (2n-1)!

whose cokernel is thus a  $(l_{2n} \cup \{2\})$ -torsion group. The result now follows. We briefly state two corollaries of Theorems 1 and 2.

**Corollary 1.** (1) If X is a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 2n + 1$  and  $H^{ev}(X)$  has no  $l_{n+1}$ -torsion then

 $K(X) \cong H^{ev}(X)$  as abelian groups.

(2) If X is a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 4n + 3$  and  $H^{4*}(X)$  bas no  $l_{2n+1}$  torsion then

 $KO(X) \cong H^{4^*}(X)$  modulo 2 torsion, as abelian groups.

**Proof.** (1) K(X) and  $H^{ev}(X)$  have the same ranks and the same *p*-torsion for  $p \neq l_n$ . From [6] by a simple spectral sequence argument we see that if  $H^{ev}(X)$  has no *p*-torsion then K(X) has no *p*-torsion.

(2) Proof similar.

**Corollary 2.** If X is a finite CW-complex of dim  $\leq 2n$  and  $H^{2k}(X)$  has no  $l_k$ -torsion then c:  $K(X) \rightarrow G(X)$  is an isomorphism on non- $l_n$ -torsion and a monomorphism on  $l_n$ -torsion.

**Proof.** By Corollary 1, it suffices to show that  $\tilde{c}$  is a monomorphism. Suppose  $\tilde{c}(x) = 0$ . Then since dim  $X \leq 2n$ , we can represent x by E - n for some *n*-dimensional complex vector bundle E. Then  $\tilde{c}(E) = 0$ , so by a theorem of Peterson [7] E is trivial whence  $\tilde{c}$  is a monomorphism.

5. Bott periodicity and an exact sequence. By Brown's theorem,  $\widetilde{K}$  and  $\widetilde{G}$  are representable functors. Let  $\widetilde{K}(\ ) = [\ , E]$  and  $\widetilde{G} = [\ , B]$  where E, B are H-spaces with multiplication m. Then  $\widetilde{c}: \widetilde{K} \to \widetilde{G}$  defines a map  $p: E \to B$  which we can assume without loss of generality to be a fibration, and which is an H-map, since  $\widetilde{c}$  is a homomorphism.

Let  $i: F \to E$  be the fibre of  $p: E \to B$ . The composite  $F \times F \to {}^{i \times i} E \times E$  $\to^m E \to^p B$  is homotopic to the composite  $F \times F \to_{i \times i} E \times E \to_{p \times p} B \times B \to_m B$ which is the constant map. Let  $H: * \simeq pm(i \times i)$ .



There exists a map  $G: F \times F \times I \to E$  making the diagram commute. Let  $m = G_1: F \times F \to F$ . Thus *m* defines an *H*-space structure on *F* such that  $i: F \to E$  is an *H*-map.

Define  $\widetilde{U} = [, F]: \ \mathcal{W}_* \longrightarrow Abelian groups.$  The Puppe sequence

$$\cdots \to \Omega^n F \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}^n i} \Omega^n E \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}^n p} \Omega^n B \to \cdots \to \Omega B \to F \xrightarrow{i} E \xrightarrow{p} B$$

induces a long exact sequence

$$\cdots \to [X, \Omega^n F] \to [X, \Omega^n E] \to [X, \Omega^n B] \to \cdots$$
$$\to [X, \Omega B] \to [X, F] \to [X, E] \to [X, B]$$

which can be rewritten as

(S) 
$$\cdots \to \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(\Sigma^n X) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(\Sigma^n X) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(\Sigma^n X)} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(\Sigma^n X) \to \cdots$$
  
 $\to \widetilde{\mathcal{U}}(X) \to \widetilde{\mathcal{K}}(X) \xrightarrow{\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(X)} \widetilde{\mathcal{G}}(X).$ 

A simple calculation when  $X = S^k$  gives the following lemma.

Lemma 5. (i)  $\widetilde{U}(S^{2n}) = 0$ . (ii)  $\widetilde{U}(S^{2n+1}) = Z_{n!}$ . (iii) If X is a finite CW-comp

(iii) If X is a finite CW-complex of dimension  $\leq 2n+1$  with  $\nu_r$  r-cells then  $|\widetilde{U}(X)|$  divides  $\prod_{r< n} (r!)^{\nu_{2r+1}}$ .

The purpose of this section is to obtain an exact sequence

(E)  
$$\widetilde{U}(\Sigma^{2}X) \to K(X) \xrightarrow{s} H(X) \to \widetilde{U}(\Sigma X) \to K^{1}(X)$$
$$\xrightarrow{t} H^{\text{odd}}(X) \to \widetilde{U}(X) \to K(X) \xrightarrow{c} G(X)$$

where t is defined as follows: If  $E \to SX$  is an n-dimensional vector bundle, then it is determined by a map  $X \to {}^{f} U(n)$ . The cohomology of U(n) is an exterior algebra on generators  $x_i \in H^{2i-1}(U(n))$  where  $1 \le i \le n$ . Define  $t(E) = (f^*x_1, f^*x_2, \dots, f^*x_n)$ .

First we observe that since cup products vanish on suspensions the natural bijection  $\widetilde{H}^{ev}(\Sigma X) \to \widetilde{G}(\Sigma X)$  is an isomorphism of abelian groups, so that  $\widetilde{G}(\Sigma X) \to H^{odd}(X)$ , and  $\widetilde{G}(\Sigma^2 X) \cong \widetilde{H}^{ev}(X)$ , so we can insert these groups into the sequence (S). That the map

$$t: K^{1}(X) = \widetilde{K}(\Sigma X) \stackrel{\widetilde{c}}{\longrightarrow} \widetilde{G}(\Sigma X) \cong H^{\text{odd}}(X)$$

is given by the above construction we leave to the reader. Essentially it remains to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 6. The following diagram commutes:



where  $\beta$  is Bott periodicity and  $*: \overset{\sim}{K}(X) \to \overset{\sim}{K}(X)$  is conjugation.

**Proof.** Let  $L \to X$  be a line bundle with  $c_1(L) = l \in H^2(X)$  and let  $H \to S^2$  be the Hopf bundle with  $c_1(H) = b$ . From the commutative diagram

we see that

$$P(L - 1) = c(L - 1) \otimes c(H - 1)$$
$$= (1 + l) \otimes (1 + b)$$
$$= (1 + \hat{b} + \hat{l}) \ominus (1 + \hat{b}) \ominus (1 + \hat{l})$$

where  $\hat{b}$ ,  $\hat{l}$  are the images of b, l in  $H^2(S^2 \times X)$ . That is,  $\tilde{c}\beta(L-1) = (1+\hat{b}+\hat{l})$  $\oplus (1-\hat{b}) \oplus (1-\hat{l}+\hat{l}^2-\hat{l}^3+\cdots)$  since  $\hat{b}^n = 0$  for n > 1, i.e.  $\tilde{c}\beta(L-1) = (1+\hat{l}-\hat{b}\hat{l}) \oplus (1-\hat{l}+\hat{l}^2-\cdots)$ . The component in dimension n, that is in  $H^{2n}(S^2 \times X)$ , is

$$(-1)^{n-1}\hat{l}^{n-1}\hat{l} - (\hat{b}\hat{l})(-1)^{n-2}\hat{l}^{n-2}$$

The term  $(-1)^{n-1}\hat{l^n}$  lies in  $H^{2n}(S^2\nu X)$  and so contributes nothing in  $H^{2n}(\Sigma^2 X)$ and the term  $(-1)^{n-1}\hat{bl^{n-1}}$  on desuspending maps to  $(-1)^{n-1}l^{n-1} \in H^{2n-2}(X)$ , so that

$$\hat{c}\beta(L-1) = (-l, l^2, -l^3, \cdots) = s(L-1)$$

and so by the splitting principle and the universal definition of s, we see that  $\tilde{c}\beta * = s$ .

The exact sequence (E) is now obtained from (S) by replacing  $\widetilde{K}(\Sigma^2 X) \rightarrow \widetilde{C}$  $\widetilde{G}(\Sigma^2 X)$  by  $K(X) \rightarrow {}^{s} H^{ev}(X)$  which is isomorphic to  $\widetilde{K}(\Sigma^2 X) \oplus Z \rightarrow \widetilde{C}^{\oplus 1} \widetilde{G}(\Sigma^2 X)$  $\oplus Z$  and so preserves exactness.

#### REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah and F. Hirzebruch, Vector bundles and homogeneous spaces, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 3, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961, pp. 7-38. MR 25 #2617.

2. M. F. Atiyah and D. O. Tall, Group representations,  $\lambda$ -rings and the J-homomorphism, Topology 8 (1969), 253-297. MR 39 #5702.

3. F. Hirzebruch, Neue topologische Methoden in der algebraischen Geometrie, Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Heft 9, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1956; English transl., Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 131, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1966. MR 18, 509; 34 #2573.

4. A. Dold, Halbexakte homotopiefunktoren, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 12, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1966. MR 33 #6622.

5. A. Borel and F. Hirzebruch, Characteristic classes and homogeneous spaces. I, Amer. J. Math. 80 (1958), 458-538. MR 21 #1586.

6. L. Hodgkin, On the K-theory of Lie groups, Topology 6 (1967), 1-36. MR 35 #4950.

7. F. Peterson, Some remarks on Chern classes, Ann. of Math. (2) 69 (1959), 414-420. MR 21 #1593.

8. E. H. Brown, Cohomology theories, Ann. of Math (2) 75 (1%2), 467-484. MR 25 #1551.

J. P. Serre, Groupes d'homotopie et classes de groups abéliens, Ann. of Math.
 58 (1953), 258-294. MR 15, 548.

10. A. Grothendieck, Special  $\lambda$ -rings, (1957) (unpublished).

11. A. Thomas, Almost complex structures on complex projective spaces, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 193 (1974), 123-132.

12. D. Knutson,  $\lambda$  rings and the representation theory of the symmetric group, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 308, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1973.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF SWANSEA, SINGLETON PARK, SWANSEA, WALES