

INVOLUTIONS PRESERVING AN SU STRUCTURE

BY

R. J. ROWLETT

ABSTRACT. Bordism theories $SU_*(Z_2, all)$ for SU -manifolds with involution and $SU_*(Z_2, free)$ for SU -manifolds with free involution are defined. The latter is studied by use of the SU -bordism spectral sequence of BZ_2 , and the orders of the spheres S^{4n+3} with antipodal action are determined. It is shown that $SU_{2k}(Z_2, free) \rightarrow SU_{2k}(Z_2, all)$ is monic, and that an element of $SU_{2k}(Z_2, all)$ bounds as a unitary involution if and only if it is a multiple of the nonzero class $\alpha \in SU_1$.

1. Introduction. Conner and Floyd defined and studied the bordism of unitary manifolds M with smooth involution T preserving the unitary structure ([4], [5], [1]). Suppose M is also an SU -manifold; we think of the SU structure as being given by a trivialization $\phi: \det \tau(M) \cong M \times \mathbb{C}$ of the (complex) determinant of the tangent bundle of M (see [9, VIII]). Then T preserves the SU structure if $\phi(\det dT) = (T \times 1)\phi$.

Two such SU -manifolds with involution, (M_1, T_1) and (M_2, T_2) , are *bordant* if there is an SU -manifold N with ∂N the disjoint union of M_1 and $-M_2$, and a structure-preserving involution T' on N with $T'|M_i = T_i$. The set $SU_*(Z_2, all)$ of equivalence classes, under this bordism relation, is then a graded algebra over the bordism ring SU_* , with operations induced by disjoint union and Cartesian product.

One also obtains $SU_*(Z_2, free)$ in the same way, but requiring all involutions to be fixed point free, as well as a relative theory $SU_*(Z_2, rel)$ whose elements are represented by SU -manifolds M with involution free on ∂M . As usual, one obtains a long exact sequence

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} SU_*(Z_2, free) & \xrightarrow{r} & SU_*(Z_2, all) & \xrightarrow{s} & SU_*(Z_2, rel) \\ & & \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\partial} & & \end{array}$$

of SU_* -modules, where r and s are forgetful and $\partial[M, T] = [\partial M, T|_{\partial M}]$. The reader can easily supply the details (compare [1, §10]).

Also, as usual, a free involution is determined by its quotient space and an element of the relative group is determined by the normal bundle of the fixed point

Received by the editors August 13, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57D85; Secondary 57D15.

Key words and phrases. Involution, SU -manifold, Wall manifold.

Copyright © 1974, American Mathematical Society

set. Unfortunately, the fixed point data is not very amenable to calculation, due to our ignorance of SU -bordism. However, this paper presents several results about the entries in (1.1), including:

(1.2) The kernel of the forgetful map

$$F: SU_*(Z_2, free) \rightarrow U_*(Z_2, free)$$

is $\{\beta_0[S^0, A] + \beta_1[S^1, A]: \beta_i \in \text{torsion } SU_*\}$.

(1.3) If A represents the antipodal involution on a sphere, $[S^{4n+3}, A] \in SU_{4n+3}(Z_2, free)$ has order 2^{2n+2} if n is odd, and 2^{2n+3} if n is even.

(1.4) r is a monomorphism in even dimensions.

(1.5) For $k \geq 1$ there is an exact sequence

$$SU_{2k-1}(Z_2, all) \xrightarrow{t} SU_{2k}(Z_2, all) \xrightarrow{F} U_{2k}(Z_2, all),$$

where t is multiplication by the nonzero class $\alpha \in SU_1$.

Notes. For (1.3), S^{4n+3} is given an SU -structure by means of its usual imbedding in C^{2n+2} . For (1.2) and (1.5), S^1 is given the SU -structure obtained via a trivialization of $\tau(S^1)$; with this structure $[S^1] = \alpha$.

C. B. Thomas [10] has shown that (1.3) also gives the order of $[S^{4n+3}, A]$ in the symplectic group $Sp_*(Z_2, free)$. Theorem (1.5) is definitely not true in odd dimensions; $[S^1, A]$ lies in $\text{Ker } F$ but not $\text{Im } t$.

2. The SU -bordism of BZ_2 . Let (M, T) be an SU -manifold with free involution, and let M/T be the quotient space obtained by identifying Tm with m for each $m \in M$. M/T is a unitary manifold [5]. Furthermore $\det \tau(M)/\det dT$ is identified with $\det \tau(M/T)$; hence ϕ defines a trivialization $\phi/T: \det \tau(M/T) \cong (M/T) \times C$. Thus M/T is an SU -manifold. The double cover $M \rightarrow M/T$ is classified by a map $f: M \rightarrow BZ_2$, and we see at once the analog of [3, (19.1)]:

(2.1) Proposition. *The assignment $[M, T] \rightarrow [M/T, f]$ defines an isomorphism*

$$SU_*(Z_2, free) \cong SU_*(BZ_2).$$

Since $SU_*(BZ_2) = SU_* \oplus SU_*(BZ_2, *)$ our problem is to study the latter summand. Writing $BZ_2 = RP(\infty)$, notice that $i^n: RP(n) \subset RP(\infty)$ is the inclusion of a unitary manifold if n is odd, and of an SU -manifold if $n = 3 \pmod 4$. This defines $r_{2k+1} \in U_{2k+1}(BZ_2, *)$ and $\sigma_{4k+3} \in SU_{4k+3}(Z_2, *)$. Letting $*: S^1 \rightarrow *$ be the point map, there is also

$$\sigma_1 = [S^1, i^1] - [S^1, *] \in SU_1(BZ_2, *).$$

If F forgets SU -structure, $F\sigma_n = r_n$ for $n = 4k + 3$ and also for $n = 1$, since S^1 bounds in U_1 .

(2.2) Proposition (Conner-Floyd [4], [5]). $U_*(BZ_2, *)$ is the U_* -module generated by the r_{2k-1} , for all $k \geq 1$, with the relations

$$2^k r_{2k-1} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [CP(1)]r_{2k-1} = 2r_{2k+1}.$$

In particular, $U_{2k}(BZ_2, *) = 0$.

For any pair (X, A) there are homomorphisms $d: U_n(X, A) \rightarrow SU_{n-2}(X, A)$ and $d': U_n(X, A) \rightarrow U_{n-4}(X, A)$ which send (M, f) to $(N, f|N)$, where N is the submanifold dual to $c_1 M$ and to $(c_1 M)^2$, respectively. Notice that $d r_{4k+1} = \sigma_{4k-1}$, but, since r_{4k-1} is represented by an SU -manifold, $d(r_{4k-1}) = 0 = d'(r_{4k-1})$.

Let $t: SU_n(X, A) \rightarrow SU_{n+1}(X, A)$ be multiplication by α . Combining [6, (15.2)] and (2.2) gives:

(2.3) Proposition. For each $n \geq 0$ there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow SU_{2m}(BZ_2, *) \xrightarrow{t} SU_{2m+1}(BZ_2, *) \xrightarrow{F} U_{2m+1}(BZ_2, *) \xrightarrow{(d, d')} SU_{2m-1}(BZ_2, *) \oplus U_{2m-3}(BZ_2, *) \xrightarrow{t} SU_{2m}(BZ_2, *) \rightarrow 0.$$

(2.4) Proposition. For $1 \leq n \leq 6$, $SU_n(BZ_2, *) = Z_2, Z_2, Z_8, 0, 0, 0$, respectively. The generators are $\sigma_1, \alpha\sigma_1$, and σ_3 . $\alpha^2\sigma_1 = 4\sigma_3$.

Proof. For $m = 0$, (2.3) gives $F: SU_1(BZ_2, *) \cong U_1(BZ_2, *)$. Setting $m = 1$, (2.2) implies $U_3(BZ_2, *) = Z_4$ with generator $r_3 \in \text{Ker}(d, d')$. Thus (2.3) breaks up to show $SU_2(BZ_2, *) = Z_2$ on $\alpha\sigma_1$ and $SU_3(BZ_2, *)$ is a group of order 8.

Define $\beta = 9[CP(1)]^2 - 8[CP(2)] \in U_4$; then $d\beta = \alpha^2 \in SU_2$ [6, p. 33]. Since r_1 is of order 2, $\beta r_1 = [CP(1)]^2 r_1$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 4\sigma_3 &= d(4r_3) = d([CP(1)]^2 r_1), \quad \text{by (2.2),} \\ &= (d\beta)[S^1, i^1] = (d\beta)\sigma_1, \quad \text{since } (d\beta)[S^1] = 0, \\ &= \alpha^2\sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $SU_3(BZ_2, *) = Z_8$ with generator σ_3 .

Since $t(\sigma_3) = td(r_3) = 0$, $SU_4(BZ_2, *) = 0$. With $m = 2$ in (2.3), this means (d, d') is onto. But (d, d') connects two groups of order 16, by (2.2) and the previous paragraph. It follows that $F = 0$, so $SU_5(BZ_2, *) = 0$, which also implies $SU_6(BZ_2, *) = 0$. \square

We now recall the structure of SU_* , for which [9, X] is a convenient general reference. In particular, $SU_n/\text{torsion} = 0$ if n is odd. Moreover, there exist elements $b_i^{8k} \in SU_{8k}$, one for each partition of k , so that torsion SU_* is the Z_2 -vector space with generators $\{ab_i^{8k}, \alpha^2 b_i^{8k}\}$.

As in [3, §7] there is a spectral sequence $\{E_{p,q}^r; r \geq 1; p, q \geq 0\}$ with

$$E_{p,q}^r = \frac{\text{Im } SU_{p+q}(\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-r)) \rightarrow SU_{p+q}(\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-1))}{\text{Im } SU_{p+q+1}(\text{RP}(p+r-1), \text{RP}(p)) \rightarrow SU_{p+q}(\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-1))}$$

and $E_{p,q}^\infty$ associated to a filtration of $SU_{p+q}(\text{BZ}_2, *)$. Observe that

$$E_{p,q}^1 = SU_{p+q}(\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-1)) \cong SU_q;$$

$E_{p,0}^1$ is generated by the class of the usual map

$$g_p: (D^p, S^{p-1}) \rightarrow (\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-1))$$

attaching the p -cell of $\text{RP}(p)$. Also,

$$E_{p,q}^2 \cong \tilde{H}_p(\text{BZ}_2; SU_q) = \begin{cases} Z_2 \otimes SU_q & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \text{Tor}(Z_2, SU_q) & \text{if } p \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Thus $E_{p,q}^2 = 0$ for p even, $q \neq 1, 2 \pmod 8$, and for p odd, $q = 3, 5, 7 \pmod 8$.

This spectral sequence has $E^4 = E^\infty$. We will show something less than this.

(2.5) In $\{E_{p,q}^r\}$ the differentials $d_{4k+1,8j}^2, d_{4k+1,8j+1}^2, d_{4k,8j+1}^2$, and $d_{4k,8j+2}^3$ are of maximal rank, for all $k \geq 1, j \geq 0$.

(2.6) Corollary. Let $p \geq 2, q \geq 0$. $E_{p,q}^4 = 0$ whenever p is even or q is odd, except for $E_{4k+2,8j+1}^4$.

The corollary follows without trouble from (2.5) and the structure of torsion SU_* . To show $E^4 = E^\infty$ it suffices to verify that the exceptional entries of (2.6) persist to E^∞ .

Proof of (2.5). Begin with $k = 1$. It follows from (2.4) that $d_{5,0}^2, d_{5,1}^2, d_{4,1}^2$ and $d_{4,2}^3$ must be isomorphisms. SU_* acts on the spectral sequence as in [3, (7.1)]. Since $d_{5,0}^2 \neq 0$, it follows that $d_{5,8j}^2(1 \otimes b_i^{8j}) \neq 0$ and hence $d_{5,8j}^2$ and $d_{5,8j+1}^2$ have maximal rank. In the same way, $d_{4,1}^2 \neq 0$ implies $d_{4,8j+1}^2$ is an isomorphism for all j .

To use the same reasoning on $d_{4,2}^3$, somewhat more care is required. $d_{4,2}^3$ maps onto $E_{1,4}^2$, which is generated by $1 \otimes \beta'$, where $\beta' \in SU_4$ is the generator. $F\beta' = 2\beta \in U_4$ [6, (19.1)]. Now suppose $2x = \beta' b_i^{8j} \in SU_{8j+4}$; since U_{8j+4} is free abelian, $\beta F(b_i^{8j}) = Fx \in U_{8j+4}$. This cannot be, because $d(\beta F(b_i^{8j})) = \alpha^2 b_i^{8j} \neq 0$. Therefore $1 \otimes b_i^{8j} \beta' \neq 0 \in E_{1,8j+4}^2$ and $d_{4,8j+2}^3$ has maximal rank.

To complete the proof, define Smith operators in the spectral sequence as follows. Let $\Delta_{p,q}^1: E_{p,q}^1 \rightarrow E_{p-4,q}^1$ assign to $[M, f] \in SU_{p+q}(\text{RP}(p), \text{RP}(p-1))$ the class of $[N, f|N] \in SU_{p+q-4}(\text{RP}(p-4), \text{RP}(p-5))$, where f is transverse regular on $\text{RP}(p-4)$ and $N = f^{-1}\text{RP}(p-4)$. This can be done because the normal

bundle of $RP(p - 4)$ in $RP(p)$, being the quotient of the normal bundle of $S^{p-4} \subset S^p$, has a natural SU structure.

This construction commutes with d^1 , and we receive $\Delta_{p,q}^r: E_{p,q}^r \rightarrow E_{p-4,q}^r$ for each r , commuting with d^r . Clearly, Δ^1 takes $[D^p, g_p]$ to $[D^{p-4}, g_{p-4}]$. Thus $\Delta_{p,q}^1$ is an isomorphism for $p \geq 5$, and so is $\Delta_{p,q}^2$. (2.5) then follows by an easy induction from the case $k = 1$. \square

From (2.6), $\alpha E_{p,q}^4 = 0$ for all $p \geq 2$. It follows that $\text{Im } t \subset SU_*(BZ_2, *)$ can contain only multiples of $\alpha\sigma_1$. Together with the exact sequence

$$SU_*(BZ_2) \xrightarrow{t} SU_*(BZ_2) \xrightarrow{F} U_*(BZ_2)$$

of [6, (15.2)], this observation proves Theorem (1.2). In addition

(2.7) **Proposition.** $SU_{2k}(BZ_2, *) = 0$ unless $2k = 2 \pmod 8$. $SU_{8k+2}(BZ_2, *)$ is the Z_2 -vector space on $\{\alpha b_i^{8k}\sigma_1\}$.

Proof. It remains only to show that the $\{\alpha b_i^{8k}\sigma_1\}$ are linearly independent. For this, note that the composition

$$SU_{8k+2}(BZ_2, *) \rightarrow SU_{8k+2}(Z_2, \text{free}) \rightarrow SU_{8k+2},$$

where the latter map forgets involution, maps the $\alpha b_i^{8k}\sigma_1$ to a basis of torsion SU_{8k+2} . \square

Proof of Theorem (1.3). Consider σ_{4n+3} . $F\sigma_{4n+3}$ is of order 2^{2n+2} by (2.2). For odd n , $4n + 3 = 7 \pmod 8$ and F is monic, by (2.3) and (2.7).

Let \circ be the product in U_* introduced by Wall [11]:

$$x \circ y = xy + \lambda([CP(1)]^2 - [CP(2)])Dx Dy$$

where D is the composite $Fd: U_* \rightarrow U_{*-2}$. Let $x^{(k)}$ be the k -fold product $x \circ x \circ \dots \circ x$. Then $x^{(k)}\tau_1 = x^k\tau_1$ since τ_1 has order 2. Let $x_1 = [CP(1)]$; then $x_1^{(2)} = \beta$ and $Dx_1 = 2$. By [9, pp. 265-266], if n is even we can choose $b_n \in SU_{4n}$ such that $\alpha b_n \neq 0$ and $Fb_n = x_1^{(2n)}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{2n+2}\sigma_{4n+3} &= d(2^{2n+2}\tau_{4n+5}) = d(x_1^{2n+2}\tau_1), \text{ by (2.2)} \\ &= d(x_1^{(2)}x_1^{(2n)}\tau_1) = (d(x_1^{(2)}))b_n\sigma_1 = \alpha^2 b_n\sigma_1 \neq 0, \text{ by (2.7) and (2.3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus σ_{4n+3} has order 2^{2n+3} . \square

3. The Smith homomorphism. The Smith construction appeared abruptly in the proof of (2.5), and it is convenient to reconsider it at this point. Let $[M, T] \in SU_n(Z_2, \text{free})$. For large q , there is an equivariant map $g: (M, T) \rightarrow (S^{4q+3}, A)$. Make g equivariantly transverse regular to S^{4q-1} . Then $N = g^{-1}S^{4q-1}$ is an SU -manifold, and assigning $(N, T|N)$ to (M, T) defines the *Smith homomorphism*

$$\Delta: SU_n(Z_2, \text{free}) \rightarrow SU_{n-4}(Z_2, \text{free}).$$

Applying the isomorphism (2.1), the Smith operators of (2.5) are induced, not by Δ , but by $\pi\Delta$, where $\pi: SU_*(BZ_2) \rightarrow SU_*(BZ_2, *)$ is projection on the summand. But, as it turns out, this makes no difference.

(3.1) Proposition. $\text{Im } \Delta \subset SU_*(BZ_2, *)$.

Proof. Consider $i_*^p: SU_*(RP(p), *) \rightarrow SU_*(BZ_2, *)$. The spectral sequence has

$$E_{p, *-p}^\infty = \text{Im } i_*^p / \text{Im } i_*^{p-1}.$$

(3.2) Claim. If $\Delta': SU_*(BZ_2, *) \rightarrow SU_{*-4}(BZ_2)$ is the restriction of Δ , then $\text{Ker } \Delta' = \text{Im } i_*^3$ and $\text{Ker } \pi\Delta' = \text{Im } i_*^4$.

On the other hand, $E_{4,q}^\infty = 0$ for all q , by (2.6). Hence $\text{Im } \Delta' \cap \text{Ker } \pi = 0$, which is (3.1).

We thus prove (3.2). Suppose $[M, T] \in \text{Ker } \pi\Delta'$. Then there is an SU -manifold P with involution T' such that

$$\partial(P, T') = (N, T|N) - (N \times S^0, 1 \times A).$$

Let $g: N \times S^0 \rightarrow S^0 \rightarrow S^{4q+3}$ be the obvious equivariant map. Without loss of generality we may assume q is large enough for g to extend to an equivariant $g: (P, T') \rightarrow (S^{4q+3}, A)$.

The normal bundle of N in M is clearly $N \times \mathbb{R}^4$ with action $(T|N) \times A$. Thickening P and pasting it to $M \times I$ one can construct a cobordism from (M, T) to (M_0, T_0) where the latter is classified by a map into (S^{4q+3}, A) whose image intersects S^{4q-1} , transversely, in (S^0, A) . Thus (M_0, T_0) admits an equivariant map into (S^4, A) . Under (2.1) it falls into $\text{Im } i_*^4$. The converse is obvious.

If $[M, T] \in \text{Ker } \Delta'$ the same argument applies, but $\partial(T, T') = (N, T|N)$ and the image of (M_0, T_0) misses S^{4q-1} . Hence (M_0, T_0) admits an equivariant map into (S^3, A) . \square

It should be noted that $\text{Im } \Delta$ is properly contained in $SU_*(BZ_2, *)$. For example, $\sigma_1 \notin \text{Im } \Delta$ since $SU_5(BZ_2) = 0$.

Let $B: SU_n(Z_2, \text{rel}) \rightarrow SU_{n+4}(Z_2, \text{rel})$ be multiplication by the 4-disk D^4 with antipodal action.

(3.3) Proposition. *There is a commutative diagram:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} SU_{n+1}(Z_2, \text{rel}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & SU_n(Z_2, \text{free}) \\ \downarrow B & & \uparrow \Delta \\ SU_{n+5}(Z_2, \text{rel}) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & SU_{n+4}(Z_2, \text{free}) \end{array}$$

Proof. Same as the unitary case [1, (10.3)]. Briefly, if $g: (M, T) \rightarrow (S^{4q-1}, A)$ is an equivariant map, then by suspending g one obtains an equivariant

map $b: \partial(M \times D^4, T \times A) \rightarrow (S^{4q+3}, A)$ which is transverse regular on S^{4q-1} . \square

Using (2.1), let r' be the restriction of r to SU_* . That is, $r'[M] = [M \times S^0, 1 \times A] \in SU_*(Z_2, all)$.

(3.4) Proposition. r' is a monomorphism.

Proof. By (3.3) and (1.1), $\text{Ker } r = \text{Im } \partial \subseteq \text{Im } \Delta$. On the other hand, $\text{Im } \Delta$ is orthogonal to the summand SU_* , by (3.1). \square

Proof of Theorem (1.4). By (2.7) every element $x \in SU_{2k}(Z_2, free)$ can be written

$$x = y[S^0, A] + \alpha z[S^1, A], \quad y \in SU_{2k}, \quad z \in SU_{2k-2}.$$

Let $\epsilon: SU_*(Z_2, free) \rightarrow SU_*$ forget involution. If $\epsilon(x) = 0$ then $2y + \alpha^2 z = \epsilon(x) = 0$. Since $\alpha^2 z$ cannot be divisible by 2 we must have $z = 0$. Then $y = 0$ by (3.4). \square

4. Complex Wall manifolds. A unitary manifold M has a complex Wall structure if there is a map $f: M \rightarrow CP(1)$ and an isomorphism $\phi: \det \tau(M) \cong f^* \xi$, where $\xi \rightarrow CP(1)$ is the canonical line bundle. There is a bordism theory W_* for such objects, and a homology theory $W_*(X, A)$ for which Stong [9, VIII] is the general reference.

An involution T on M preserves the complex Wall structure if $fT = f$ and $\phi(\det dT) = T' \phi$, where $T': f^* \xi \rightarrow f^* \xi$ is induced by $T \times 1: M \times \xi \rightarrow M \times \xi$. Without belaboring the details, it should be clear that one has theories $W_*(Z_2, Q)$ for $Q = free, all, rel$, and an exact sequence

$$(4.1) \quad W_*(Z_2, free) \longrightarrow W_*(Z_2, all) \longrightarrow W_*(Z_2, rel) \\ \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{\partial}$$

Since an SU -structure is a complex Wall structure with $f = \text{point map}$, (1.1) maps into (4.1) via forgetful maps G .

If T is free, f defines $g: M/T \rightarrow CP(1)$ and ϕ defines an isomorphism

$$\phi/T: \det \tau(M/T) = \det \tau(M) / \det dT \rightarrow g^* \xi = (f^* \xi) / T.$$

Thus M/T is again a complex Wall manifold, so

$$(4.2) \quad W_*(Z_2, free) \cong W_*(BZ_2).$$

Whether T is free or not, one may choose $x \in CP(1)$ and make f equivariantly transverse regular to x [8, (4.1)]. Since $f^* \xi$ is trivial over $N = f^{-1}(x)$, the assignment of $(N, T|N)$ to (M, T) defines a homomorphism

$$d: W_*(Z_2, Q) \rightarrow SU_*(Z_2, Q).$$

Then there are Rohlin-Dold sequences.

(4.3) Proposition. For $Q = \text{free, all, rel}$, there are exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{c}
 SU_*(Z_2, Q) \xrightarrow{t} SU_*(Z_2, Q) \xrightarrow{G} W_*(Z_2, Q) \\
 \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_d
 \end{array}$$

The proof is a copy of [9, pp. 169–172], using [8, (4.1)] to secure the needed transversalities. For $Q = \text{free}$, (4.2) and (2.1) identify (4.3) as the usual Rohlin-Dold triangle in the bordism of BZ_2 .

(Perhaps one should note that (4.3) is stronger than the sequence [8, (4.2)] used by the author in the O/SO case. This is because a stronger notion of structure-preserving has been used.)

Unfortunately, $F': W_*(Z_2, \text{all}) \rightarrow U_*(Z_2, \text{all})$ is not monic. Thus the sequence

$$SU_*(Z_2, \text{all}) \rightarrow SU_*(Z_2, \text{all}) \rightarrow U_*(Z_2, \text{all})$$

need not be exact. A similar phenomenon is well known in the case of the SO -bordism theories [2].

Also unfortunately, the product of complex Wall manifolds need not be a complex Wall manifold. Thus $W_*(BZ_2)$ is not a W_* -module under the usual Cartesian product. However, we can circumvent this difficulty.

Recall that for any pair (X, A) , $F': W_*(X, A) \rightarrow U_*(X, A)$ is monic [9, p. 153]. In fact there is a splitting $\Phi: U_*(X, A) \rightarrow W_*(X, A)$ which assigns to (M, f) the submanifold $N \subset M \times \mathbb{C}P(1)$ dual to $\det \tau(M) \otimes \xi$. Given $u \in U_*$, $x \in U_*(X, A)$, define the Wall product $u \circ x$ by

$$(4.4) \quad u \circ x = ux + 2([\mathbb{C}P(1)]^2 - [\mathbb{C}P(2)])DxDy, \quad \text{where } F = Fd \text{ as before.}$$

(4.5) Proposition. Under the Wall product the image of $W_*(X, A)$ in $U_*(X, A)$ becomes a W_* -module. In fact, for $w \in W_*$, $x \in W_*(X, A)$, $w \circ x = F'\Phi(wx)$ and $D(wx) = wDx + (Dw)x - [\mathbb{C}P(1)]DwDx$.

The proof parrots one of Stong's [9]; we indicate the preliminaries. Let $P = \mathbb{C}P(\infty)$. $U_*(P)$ is the free U_* -module on $\{a_i = [\mathbb{C}P(i) \subset \mathbb{C}P(\infty)]\}$ [6, (1.5)].

$$U_*(P \times X, P \times A) = U_*(P) \otimes U_*(X, A) \quad [7, (6.2)].$$

Let $H: U_*(P \times X, P \times A) \rightarrow U_*(P \times X, P \times A)$ send $(M, f \times g)$ to $(N, (f \times g)j)$ where $j: N \subset M$ includes the submanifold dual to $f^*\lambda$, $\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P(\infty)$ being the universal line bundle. Then $H(a_i \otimes y) = a_{i-1} \otimes y$.

Let $\mu: U_*(X, A) \rightarrow U_*(P \times X, P \times A)$ send (M, g) to $(M, f \times g)$, where $f: M \rightarrow P$ classifies $\det \tau(M)$, and let $\pi: U_*(P \times X, P \times A) \rightarrow U_*(X, A)$ be the projection. Then $D = \pi H \mu$ by definition.

If $P \times P \rightarrow P$ classifies $\lambda \otimes \lambda$, there is induced a product

$$U_*(P) \otimes U_*(P \times X, P \times A) \rightarrow U_*(P \times X, P \times A).$$

Clearly $\Phi(z) = \pi H(a_1 \mu(z))$. Furthermore, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_* \otimes U_*(X, A) & \rightarrow & U_*(X, A) \\ \downarrow \mu \otimes \mu & & \downarrow \mu \\ U_*(P) \otimes U_*(P \times X, P \times A) & \rightarrow & U_*(P \times X, P \times A) \end{array}$$

in which the top map is the usual U_* -module structure.

Since w, x are represented by Wall manifolds, write $\mu w = a_0 \otimes \alpha_0 + a_1 \otimes \alpha_1$ and $\mu x = a_0 \otimes \beta_0 + a_1 \otimes \beta_1$ for $\alpha_i \in U_*, \beta_i \in U_*(X, A)$. One may then compute $D(wx)$ and $\Phi(wx)$ in exactly the same way as in [9, pp. 165–166]. Finally, the identity $(w_1 \circ w_2) \circ x = w_1 \circ (w_2 \circ x)$ is easily obtained from the formula for D . \square

Observe that d is natural with respect to maps of pairs, and commutes with $\partial: U_*(X, A) \rightarrow U_*(A)$. The Wall product inherits these properties. In particular, if (X, A) is a CW-pair then W_* acts, via (4.4), on the W_* -bordism spectral sequence $\{F_{p,q}^r\}$ of (X, A) , and the action $F_{p,q}^2 \otimes W_s \rightarrow F_{p,q+s}^2$ is identified with the composite

$$H_p(X, A, W_q) \otimes W_s \rightarrow H_p(X, A; W_q \otimes W_s) \rightarrow H_p(X, A; W_{q+s})$$

(compare [3, (7.1)]).

Now set $(X, A) = (BZ_2, *)$. If $n = 1$ or $n = 4k + 3$ let $\omega_n \in W_n(BZ_2, *)$ be $[RP(n), i^n]$. If $\mu: W_n(BZ_2, *) \rightarrow H_n(BZ_2, *)$ is the usual evaluation [3, §6], then $\mu(\omega_n)$ is the nonzero class in $H_n(BZ_2, *)$.

(4.6) Proposition. Suppose $n = 4k + 1$. Let $\omega_n \in W_n(BZ_2, *)$ be represented by $Y^n = RP(\xi \oplus (2k - 1)C \rightarrow CP(1))$, and the map $f: Y^n \rightarrow BZ_2$ classifying the double cover $S(\xi \oplus (2k - 1)) \rightarrow Y^n$. Then $\mu(\omega_n) \neq 0 \in H_n(BZ_2, *)$.

Proof. The disk bundle $D(\xi \oplus (2k - 1))$ has Chern classes induced from the base $CP(1)$. Hence it is a Wall manifold and the antipodal involution is structure preserving. Let $\Delta_U: U_q(BZ_2, *) \rightarrow U_{q-2}(BZ_2, *)$ be the unitary Smith homomorphism. By [1, (10.3)],

$$\Delta_U^{2k-1}[Y^n, f] = [RP(3), i^3] = r_3.$$

By [1, (10.2)],

$$[Y^n, f] = r_n + \sum_{j < 2k} [X^{n-2j+1}] r_{2j+1} \in U_n(BZ_2, *).$$

Thus $\mu(\omega_n) = \mu(r_n) \neq 0$. \square

(4.7) Corollary. Using the Wall product, $W_*(BZ_2, *)$ is generated over W_* by the $\omega_n, n = 2j + 1$.

Proof. It is clear that $F_{p,q}^2 = F_{p,q}^\infty$. Since W_* is torsionfree,

$$F_{p,q}^2 \cong H_p(BZ_2, *; W_q) \cong H_p(BZ_2, *) \otimes W_q \cong F_{p,0}^2 \otimes W_q.$$

For p even, $F_{p,0}^2 = 0$. For p odd, let e_p generate $F_{p,0}^2 = Z_2$. If $x \in W_q$, then by (4.4) $x \circ \omega_p$ corresponds to $e_p \otimes x \in F_{p,q}^2$. The rest is entirely standard [3, (18.1)]. \square

5. On $SU_*(Z_2, all)$.

(5.1) Lemma. $W_*(Z_2, rel) \cong \sum_q W_*(MU(2q))$, where $MU(2q)$ is the Thom space of the universal bundle $\gamma \rightarrow U(2q)$.

Proof. Given $[M, T] \in W_n(Z_2, rel)$ let F be a component of the fixed set and let $\nu \rightarrow F$ be the normal bundle. Since $\det r(M)|F = (\det r(F) \otimes \det \nu)$, $\det dT$ acts on $\det r(M)|F$ as multiplication by $(-1)^{\dim \nu}$ in the fibers.

Imbed $D\nu$ in M as a tubular neighborhood of F and let $f: M \rightarrow CP(1)$ and $\phi: \det r(M) \cong f^*\xi$ give the Wall structure. Via a homotopy, if needed, assume $f|D\nu$ factors through projection on F . Then $\det dT$ must act in the fiber of $\det r(M)$ over $x \in F$ as multiplication by the determinant of $(\phi^{-1}\phi)_x = 1$.

Therefore $\dim \nu$ is even, so classifying the fixed set defines a homomorphism

$$W_*(Z_2, rel) \rightarrow \sum_q W_*(MU(2q)).$$

The rest of the proof is like [8, (3.2)]. \square

(5.2) Lemma. $\text{Im } r: W_q(Z_2, free) \rightarrow W_q(Z_2, all)$ is

$$\{M \times S^0, 1 \times A: [M] \in W_q\} \quad \text{if } q \text{ is even,}$$

$$\{M \times S^1, 1 \times A: [M] \in W_{q-1}\} \quad \text{if } q \text{ is odd.}$$

Proof. Choose $[M] \in W_*$. If $n = 4k + 3$, $[M] \circ \omega_n$ corresponds in $W_*(Z_2, free)$ to $[M \times S^{4k+3}, 1 \times A]$, which certainly bounds in $W_*(Z_2, all)$. If $n = 4k + 1 \geq 5$, $[M] \circ \omega_n = \partial([M] \circ [D(\xi \oplus (2k - 1)), A])$, where (5.1) is used to define the Wall product in $W_*(Z_2, rel)$. Thus (5.2) follows from (4.7) and the fact that $W_{2m+1} = 0$. \square

(5.3) Corollary. $F': W_q(Z_2, all) \rightarrow U_q(Z_2, all)$ is monic if q is even. If q is odd, $W_q(Z_2, all)$ contains only the classes $[M \times S^1, 1 \times A]$, so $F' = 0$.

Proof. Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_q(Z_2, free) & \xrightarrow{r} & W_q(Z_2, all) & \rightarrow & W_q(Z_2, rel) \\ \downarrow a & & \downarrow b & & \downarrow c \\ U_q(Z_2, free) & \rightarrow & U_q(Z_2, all) & \rightarrow & U_q(Z_2, rel) \end{array}$$

a and c are monic, by (4.2), (5.1), the results of [1] on the unitary groups, and the knowledge that $W_*(X, A) \subset U_*(X, A)$. Thus $\text{Ker } b \subseteq \text{Im } r$. If q is even, the composition $W_* \subset U_* \rightarrow U_*(Z_2, all)$, sending x to $x[S^0, A]$ is monic [1]. If q is odd,

$U_q(Z_2, all) = 0$, again by [1]. Hence the corollary follows from (5.2) in either case. \square

Theorem (1.5) is an obvious corollary of (5.3) and (4.3). We can also prove

(5.4) Proposition. $\text{Im } r: SU_*(Z_2, free) \rightarrow SU_*(Z_2, all)$ is generated by $[S^0, A]$ and $[S^1, A]$.

Proof. Given $x \in SU_n(Z_2, free)$, by the results of §2 we can write $x = y_0[S^0, A] + y_1[S^1, A] + z$, where $z \in \text{Ker } t = \text{Im } d$. But since $rd = dr$ it follows from (5.2) that $\text{Im } rd$ is generated by $[S^0, A]$ and $[S^1, A]$. \square

REFERENCES

1. P. E. Conner, *Seminar on periodic maps*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 46, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1967. MR 36 #7147.
2. ———, *Lectures on the action of a finite group*, Lecture Notes in Math., no. 73, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1968. MR 41 #2670.
3. P. E. Conner and E. E. Floyd, *Differentiable periodic maps*, *Ergebnisse der Math. und ihrer Grenzgebiete*, Band 33, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1964. MR 31 #750.
4. ———, *Cobordism theories*, Mimeographed lecture notes, Summer Institute in Differential and Algebraic Topology, Seattle, 1963.
5. ———, *Periodic maps which preserve a complex structure*, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 70 (1964), 574–579. MR 29 #1653.
6. ———, *Torsion in SU -bordism*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. No. 60* (1966). MR 32 #6471.
7. P. S. Landweber, *Künneth formulas for bordism theories*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 121 (1966), 242–256. MR 33 #728.
8. R. J. Rowlett, *Wall manifolds with involution*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 169 (1972), 153–162.
9. R. E. Stong, *Notes on cobordism theory*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J.; Univ. of Tokyo Press, Tokyo, 1968. MR 40 #2108.
10. C. B. Thomas, *On periodic maps which respect a symplectic structure*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 22 (1969), 251–254. MR 39 #4833.
11. C. T. C. Wall, *Addendum to a paper of Conner and Floyd*, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 62 (1966), 171–175. MR 32 #6472.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY
08540