

## I-RINGS

BY

W. K. NICHOLSON<sup>(1)</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** A ring  $R$ , possibly with no identity, is called an  $I_0$ -ring if each one-sided ideal not contained in the Jacobson radical  $J(R)$  contains a nonzero idempotent. If, in addition, idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ ,  $R$  is called an  $I$ -ring. A survey of when these properties are inherited by related rings is given. Maximal idempotents are examined and conditions when  $I_0$ -rings have an identity are given. It is shown that, in an  $I_0$ -ring  $R$ , primitive idempotents are local and primitive idempotents in  $R/J(R)$  can always be lifted. This yields some characterizations of  $I_0$ -rings  $R$  such that  $R/J(R)$  is primitive with nonzero socle. A ring  $R$  (possibly with no identity) is called semiperfect if  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple artinian and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ . These rings are characterized in several new ways: among them as  $I_0$ -rings with no infinite orthogonal family of idempotents, and as  $I_0$ -rings  $R$  with  $R/J(R)$  semisimple artinian. Several other properties are derived. The connection between  $I_0$ -rings and the notion of a regular module is explored. The rings  $R$  which have a regular module  $M$  such that  $J(R) = \text{ann}(M)$  are studied. In particular they are  $I_0$ -rings. In addition, it is shown that, over an  $I_0$ -ring, the endomorphism ring of a regular module is an  $I_0$ -ring with zero radical.

**1. Definitions and examples.** Throughout this paper all rings are assumed to be associative but do not necessarily have an identity. When a ring has an identity, modules are assumed to be unital. Unless otherwise stated, all modules are left modules and homomorphisms are written on the right of their arguments. The *Jacobson radical* of a ring  $R$  will be denoted by  $J(R)$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *If  $R$  is a ring the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *Every left ideal  $L \not\subseteq J(R)$  contains a nonzero idempotent.*
- (2) *Every right ideal  $T \not\subseteq J(R)$  contains a nonzero idempotent.*
- (3) *If  $a \notin J(R)$  then  $xax = x$  for some  $x \neq 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Given (1), let  $a \notin J(R)$ . If  $e = ra \in Ra$  is a nonzero idempotent,

---

Received by the editors November 20, 1973.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 16A32; Secondary 16A20, 16A30, 16A48.

*Key words and phrases.*  $I$ -ring, idempotents, lifting idempotents, semiperfect ring, von Neumann regular ring, regular module, endomorphism ring.

<sup>(1)</sup> This research was supported by N.R.C. (Canada) grant number A8075.

Copyright © 1975, American Mathematical Society

(3) follows with  $x = rar$ . The converse is clear and the proof that (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is analogous.  $\square$

DEFINITION 1.2. A ring  $R$  is called an  $I_0$ -ring if it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1.1. An  $I_0$ -ring in which idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$  is called an  $I$ -ring.

An  $I$ -ring with a nil Jacobson radical will be called a *Zorn ring*. This terminology is used by Kaplansky [5, p. 19], and Bourbaki [3, p. 75]. However the reader is cautioned that Jacobson [4, p. 210] and Levitzky [8, p. 385] refer to our Zorn rings as  $I$ -rings. Many of the results below will be true for Zorn rings, but we shall not mention this fact in most instances. Our primary concern here is with  $I$ -rings. A deep study of Zorn rings can be found in Levitzky [8].

The class of  $I$ -rings is quite large. It obviously contains all division rings and, more generally, contains all local rings where, in this paper, a ring  $R$  will be called *local* if it has an identity and  $R/J(R)$  is a division ring. In a different direction, each primitive ring with a minimal left ideal is an  $I$ -ring. In fact every semi-prime ring with essential socle is an  $I$ -ring. On the other hand any  $I_0$ -ring with identity and no divisors of zero is a local ring, so the integers are an example of a noetherian semiprime ring which is not an  $I$ -ring.

An element  $a$  in a ring  $R$  is called (von Neumann) *regular* if  $aba = a$  for some  $b \in R$ . The ring  $R$  is called *regular* if each of its elements is regular and  $R$  is called  $\pi$ -*regular* if some power of each element is regular. It is easily verified that every  $\pi$ -regular ring is an  $I$ -ring (in fact a Zorn ring). In particular, every algebraic algebra is an  $I$ -ring [4, p. 210].

LEMMA 1.3. Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $L$  be a left (right) ideal of  $R$  and let  $x \in L$ . If there is an idempotent  $f$  such that  $f - x \in J(R)$ , then there exists an idempotent  $e \in L$  such that  $e - x \in J(R)$ .

PROOF. If  $f^2 = f$  and  $f - x \in J(R)$ , choose  $a \in J(R)$  such that  $a + (f - x) = a(f - x)$ . Then  $faf + f - fxf = faf - fxf$  so  $f = f(x - ax)f$ . Take  $e = f(x - ax)$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 1.4. Let  $R$  be a ring in which idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ . Then  $R$  is an  $I$ -ring if and only if  $R/J(R)$  is an  $I$ -ring.

A ring  $R$  (possibly with no identity) will be called *semiperfect* if  $R/J(R)$  is artinian and idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ .

COROLLARY 1.5. Every semiperfect ring is an  $I$ -ring.

PROPOSITION 1.6. If  $R$  is an  $I$ -ring ( $I_0$ -ring) so is each one-sided ideal of  $R$ .

PROOF. Let  $L$  be a left ideal of  $R$ . Then  $J(L) = \{a \in L \mid La \subseteq J(R)\}$  [1,

p. 113]. If  $M$  is a left ideal of  $L$  and  $M \not\subseteq J(L)$  then  $LM \not\subseteq J(R)$  so there exists  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in LM \subseteq M$ . Suppose now that  $x \in L$ ,  $x^2 - x \in J(L)$ . Then  $x^4 - x^2 \in J(R)$  so, by Lemma 1.3, choose  $e^2 = e \in L$  such that  $e - x^2 \in J(R)$ . Then  $e - x \in J(L)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 1.7.** *If  $R$  is an  $I$ -ring ( $I_0$ -ring) so is each subring  $aRb$  where  $a, b \in R$ .*

**PROOF.**  $aRb$  is a left ideal of  $aR$ .  $\square$

Note that Proposition 1.6 and its corollary are true for Zorn rings as well. We remark here that the center of an  $I$ -ring need not be an  $I$ -ring (see Example 1.9).

The  $n \times n$  matrix ring over a ring  $R$  will be denoted by  $M_n(R)$ . If  $r \in R$  let  $E_{ij}(r)$  denote the matrix with  $r$  in the  $(i, j)$ -position and zeros elsewhere.

**PROPOSITION 1.8.** *If  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring so is the ring  $M_n(R)$  of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $R$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $L$  be a left ideal of  $M_n(R)$  with  $L \not\subseteq J[M_n(R)] = M_n[J(R)]$ . There exists a matrix  $A = (a_{ij}) \in L$  with  $a_{pq} \notin J(R)$  for some  $p, q$ . Then  $L_0 = \{x \in R \mid x = x_{pq} \text{ for some } (x_{ij}) \in L\}$  is a left ideal of  $R$  and  $L_0 \not\subseteq J(R)$ . If  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in L_0$ , let  $X = (x_{ij}) \in L$  with  $x_{pq} = e$ . One verifies that  $E_{qp}(e)X = \sum_j E_{qj}(ex_{pj})$  is a nonzero idempotent in  $L$ .  $\square$

A natural question is the following: Is  $M_n(R)$  an  $I$ -ring whenever  $R$  is an  $I$ -ring? It is sufficient to answer this in the case  $n = 2$ . The answer is affirmative if  $R$  is semiperfect or if  $J(R)$  is locally nilpotent. More generally, one can ask: If a ring  $R$  is such that idempotents can be lifted modulo  $J(R)$ , does  $M_2(R)$  have the same property? We remark in this connection that it is an open question whether  $M_2(R)$  is a nil ring whenever  $R$  is a nil ring (see [7]).

It is obvious that a direct sum of rings is an  $I$ -ring (an  $I_0$ -ring) if and only if the same is true of each summand. It is also clear that  $R/A$  is an  $I$ -ring ( $I_0$ -ring) if  $R$  is an  $I$ -ring ( $I_0$ -ring) and  $A \subseteq J(R)$  is an ideal. However the class of  $I$ -rings is not closed under homomorphic images.

**EXAMPLE 1.9.** Let  $\Delta$  be a division ring and let  $S \subseteq \Delta$  be any subring. Let  $R$  be the ring of all countably infinite square matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & & 0 \\ & \delta & \\ 0 & & \delta \dots \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A \in M_n(\Delta)$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $\delta \in S$ . Denote this matrix by  $(A, \delta)$ . If

$(A, \delta) \neq 0$  we can assume  $A \neq 0$  so, by Proposition 1.8, choose a matrix  $B$  such that  $BA$  is a nonzero idempotent. Then  $(B, 0)(A, \delta) = (BA, 0)$  is an idempotent in  $R$ , and it follows that  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring with  $J(R) = 0$ . The map  $R \rightarrow S$  given by  $(A, \delta) \mapsto \delta$  is a ring epimorphism so it is clear that a homomorphic image of an  $I$ -ring need not be an  $I$ -ring. If  $S$  is in the center of  $\Delta$ , the center of  $R$  is isomorphic to  $S$  and so need not be an  $I_0$ -ring.  $\square$

**2. Primitive idempotents.** In any ring  $R$  there is a natural partial ordering of the idempotents defined by  $f \leq e$  if  $f \in eRe$ . A nonzero idempotent which is minimal in this partial ordering is called *primitive*. It is easily verified that  $e$  is primitive if and only if  $0 \neq f^2 = f \in Re$  implies  $Rf = Re$ .

We say that  $e = e^2$  is a *local idempotent* if  $eRe$  is a local ring. It is well known that every primitive idempotent in a semiperfect or regular ring is local. We generalize this as follows:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.** *The following conditions are equivalent for an idempotent  $e$  in an  $I_0$ -ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $e$  is primitive.
- (2) If  $L \subseteq Re$  is a left ideal and  $L \not\subseteq J(R)$  then  $L = Re$ .
- (3)  $e$  is local.

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) by the above remark (since  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring) and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) is obvious. Assume (2). If  $a \in eRe$  and  $a \notin J(eRe) = eRe \cap J(R)$ , then  $Ra = Re$  by (2). Hence  $a$  has a left inverse in  $eRe$ , proving (3).  $\square$

An immediate consequence of this is that an  $I_0$ -ring has a unique nonzero idempotent if and only if it has the form  $L \oplus A$  where  $L$  is local and  $J(A) = A$ .

Two idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in a ring  $R$  are said to be *equivalent* if there exist  $x \in eRf$  and  $y \in fRe$  such that  $e = xy$  and  $f = yx$ .

**COROLLARY 2.2.** *Two primitive idempotents  $e$  and  $f$  in an  $I_0$ -ring  $R$  are equivalent if and only if  $eRf \not\subseteq J(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** If  $x \in eRf$ ,  $x \notin J(R)$ , we have  $Rx = Rf$  by Proposition 2.1. Write  $f = yx$  with  $y \in fRe$ . Then  $0 \neq (xy)^2 = xy \in eRe$  so  $xy = e$ . The converse is trivial.  $\square$

We have the following result on the existence of primitive idempotents:

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *An  $I_0$ -ring with  $J(R) = 0$  has a primitive idempotent if and only if it has a maximal left (right) annihilator.*

**PROOF.** Let  $L = \{a \in R \mid aS = 0\}$  be a maximal left annihilator. If  $0 \neq x \in S$  and  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in xR$ , we have  $L = \{a \mid ae = 0\}$  by maximality. We claim

$e$  is primitive. If  $0 \neq f^2 = f \in eRe$  we have  $L = \{a|af = 0\}$  so  $e - f \in L$ . But then  $0 = (e - f)e = e - f$ . The converse follows from Proposition 2.1.  $\square$

We have immediately the following which retrieves a result of Koh [6] when  $R$  is regular.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *An  $I_0$ -ring  $R$  with  $J(R) = 0$  is primitive with nonzero socle if and only if it is a prime ring with a maximal left (right) annihilator.*

The next result shows that, in an  $I_0$ -ring, primitive idempotents in  $R/J(R)$  can always be lifted to  $R$ . It will be referred to several times below.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Let  $R$  be an  $I_0$ -ring and suppose  $x \in R$  is such that  $x + J(R)$  is a primitive idempotent in  $R/J(R)$ . There exists an idempotent  $e \in R$  such that  $e - x \in J(R)$ .*

**PROOF.** Choose  $0 \neq f^2 = f \in Rx$ . Then, in  $\bar{R} = R/J(R)$ ,  $\bar{f} \in \bar{R}\bar{x}$  so  $\bar{R}\bar{f} = \bar{R}\bar{x}$  by Proposition 2.1. If we set  $e = f + xf - fxf$ , then  $e^2 = e \neq 0$  and  $\bar{e} = \bar{x}$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 2.6.** *The following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  *$R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring and every nonzero idempotent contains a primitive idempotent.*
- (2) *Each left (right) ideal  $L \not\subseteq J(R)$  contains a primitive idempotent.*

**PROOF.** If (2) holds and  $e^2 = e \neq 0$  let  $f^2 = f \in Re$  be primitive. Then  $(ef)^2 = ef \in eRe$  and  $ef$  is primitive by Proposition 2.1 since  $Ref = Rf$ . Hence (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1); the converse is clear.  $\square$

We say a ring  $R$  has primitive idempotents if it satisfies these conditions. Lemmas 2.5 and 1.3 immediately yield

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *An  $I_0$ -ring  $R$  has primitive idempotents if and only if the same is true of  $R/J(R)$ .*

Hence every semiperfect ring has primitive idempotents. It is well known that a primitive ring with nonzero socle has primitive idempotents (the socle is large as a left ideal). The ring in Example 1.9 is easily shown to be primitive with nonzero socle and so a homomorphic image of a ring with primitive idempotents need not have this property. On the other hand, the methods of §1 show that, if  $R$  has primitive idempotents, the same is true of any one-sided ideal of  $R$ , any subring of the form  $aRb$ , and any matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ .

If  $R$  is a ring with identity, a module  $M \neq 0$  is called *local* if it is projective and  $Rx = M$  for each  $x \in M - \text{rad } M$ . If  $e^2 = e \in R$  then  $\text{rad}(Re) = J(R)e$  and it follows easily from Proposition 2.1 that  $Re$  is local if and only if  $e$  is a local idempotent.

**THEOREM 2.8.** *The following are equivalent for an  $I_0$ -ring  $R$ :*

(1)  *$R$  has primitive idempotents and any two primitive idempotents are equivalent.*

(2)  *$R$  contains a primitive idempotent and  $J(R)$  is a prime ideal.*

(3)  *$R/J(R)$  is a primitive ring with nonzero socle.*

*If  $R$  has an identity these are equivalent to*

(4)  *$R$  has a local module and  $J(R)$  is a prime ideal.*

**PROOF.** If  $R$  has an identity, (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) by the remark above; (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) by Corollary 2.7 and [4, p. 51]; and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) is clear. If (1) holds let  $aRb \subseteq J(R)$  where  $a, b \in R - J(R)$ . Choose primitive idempotents  $e \in Ra$  and  $f \in bR$ . By hypothesis,  $e = xy$  where  $x \in eRf$  and  $y \in fRe$  so  $e \in RaRbR \subseteq J(R)$ , a contradiction. This means  $J(R)$  is a prime ideal so (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).  $\square$

In fact, the equivalence of (2) and (4) can be proved under the weaker assumption that  $J(R)$  is small in  $R$  as a left ideal. In this form it generalizes the fact that a prime ring is primitive with nonzero socle if and only if it has an irreducible projective module.

**3. Maximal idempotents.** An idempotent  $e$  in a ring  $R$  is said to be the *greatest* idempotent if  $e \geq f$  for every idempotent  $f$  in  $R$ . Such an idempotent is central since  $e \geq e + er - ere$  and  $e \geq e + re - ere$  for each  $r \in R$ . Hence:

**LEMMA 3.1.** *An  $I_0$ -ring with a greatest idempotent has the form  $S \oplus A$  where  $S$  has an identity and  $J(A) = A$ .*

An idempotent  $e$  is called *maximal* if it is not the greatest idempotent and  $e \leq f, f^2 = f$  implies  $e = f$  or  $f$  is the greatest idempotent.

**LEMMA 3.2.** *Let  $R$  be a ring with no greatest idempotent. The following are equivalent for an idempotent  $e \in R$ :*

(1)  *$e$  is maximal.*

(2) *If  $Re \subseteq Rf$  where  $f^2 = f$  then  $Re = Rf$ .*

(3) *If  $ef = 0$  where  $f^2 = f$  then  $f = 0$ .*

*Moreover the left-right analogs of (2) and (3) are equivalent to (1).*

**PROOF.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $Re \subseteq Rf$  let  $g = e + f - fe$ . Then  $g^2 = g \geq e$  and (2) follows.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $ef = 0$  let  $g = e + f - fe$ . Then  $g^2 = g$  and  $Re \subseteq Rg$  so  $g = ge = e$  by (2). Hence  $f = f^2 = (fe)f = 0$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). If  $g^2 = g \geq e$  then  $e(g - e) = 0$  so  $g = e$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.3.** *If an  $I_0$ -ring  $R$  has a maximal idempotent then  $R/J(R)$  has*

an identity and the following are equivalent:

- (1)  $x \in Rx$  for each  $x \in R$ .
- (2)  $J(R)$  is small as a right ideal.
- (3)  $R$  has a left identity.

PROOF. If  $R$  has a greatest idempotent the result follows from Lemma 3.1. Otherwise, let  $e^2 = e$  be maximal. The right ideal  $T = \{a \in R \mid ea = 0\}$  is contained in  $J(R)$  by Lemma 3.2 so  $r - er \in J(R)$  for every  $r \in R$ . Similarly  $r - re \in J(R)$  so  $R/J(R)$  has an identity.

Now (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is well known and (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) follows since  $R = eR + J(R)$ . If (1) holds let  $r \in R$  and write  $x = r - er$  where  $e^2 = e$  is maximal. If  $x = tx$ ,  $t \in R$  then  $x = (t - te)x$  so  $x = 0$  (since  $t - te \in J(R)$ ). Hence (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3); the converse is obvious.  $\square$

In particular a regular ring with a maximal idempotent has an identity. The next result generalizes the well-known fact that a semisimple artinian ring has an identity.

**COROLLARY 3.4.** *Let  $R$  be an  $I_0$ -ring with  $J(R) = 0$ . If  $R$  has a minimal right annihilator of the form  $r(e) = \{a \in R \mid ea = 0\}$ ,  $e^2 = e$  then  $R$  has an identity.*

PROOF. It suffices to show  $R$  has a greatest idempotent by Lemma 3.1. If not, let  $r(e)$  be minimal. If  $e \leq f$ ,  $f^2 = f$  then  $r(f) \subseteq r(e)$  so either  $r(f) = r(e)$  (so  $e = f$ ) or  $r(f) = 0$  (so  $fR = R$ ). It follows that  $R$  has a maximal idempotent and so has an identity, a contradiction.  $\square$

We remark finally that an  $I$ -ring  $R$  has a maximal idempotent if and only if  $R/J(R)$  has an identity and, in this case, the maximal idempotents are just the pre-images of the identity in  $R/J(R)$ .

**4. Finiteness conditions.** In this section we study  $I_0$ -rings which satisfy chain conditions on the set of idempotents and obtain some new characterizations of semiperfect rings.

**LEMMA 4.1.** *Let  $R$  be any ring. The following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  has maximum condition on idempotents.
- (2)  $R$  has maximum condition on left ideals  $Re$ ,  $e^2 = e$  (on right ideals  $eR$ ,  $e^2 = e$ ).
- (3)  $R$  has no infinite orthogonal family of idempotents.

PROOF. The proofs of (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) are omitted. Given (1) let  $Re_1 \subseteq Re_2 \subseteq \dots$ ,  $e_i^2 = e_i$ . Define  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  as follows:  $f_1 = e_1$ ,  $f_{k+1} = f_k + e_{k+1} - e_{k+1}f_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Then  $f_k \in Re_k$  for each  $k$  and consequently  $f_k^2 = f_k$

and  $f_1 \leq f_2 \leq \dots$ . If  $f_n = f_{n+1} = \dots$  for some  $n$  then  $Re_{n+1} = Re_{n+2} = \dots$ . Hence (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2).  $\square$

We remark that a ring  $R$  with minimum condition in left annihilators of idempotents has maximum condition on idempotents. Moreover, the converse is true if  $R$  has no total right annihilators; that is if  $Ra = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ .

It is surprising that the minimum condition on idempotents is, in general, weaker than the maximum condition. (Consider  $R = \Delta \oplus \Delta \oplus \dots$  where  $\Delta$  is a division ring.) The two are equivalent for rings with identity as the next result shows.

LEMMA 4.2. *The following are equivalent in any ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  has minimum condition on idempotents.
- (2)  $R$  has minimum condition on left ideals  $Re$ ,  $e^2 = e$  (on right ideals  $eR$ ,  $e^2 = e$ ).
- (3) Any bounded ascending chain of idempotents terminates.

PROOF. Given (1) let  $Re_1 \supseteq Re_2 \supseteq \dots$ ,  $e_k^2 = e_k$ . Then  $e_1 e_2 \dots e_k$  is an idempotent for each  $k$ ,  $Re_1 e_2 \dots e_k = Re_k$  and  $e_1 \geq e_1 e_2 \geq \dots$ . Hence (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). We leave (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) to the reader.  $\square$

The next result contains some new characterizations of semiperfect rings (defined following Proposition 1.4).

THEOREM 4.3. *The following are equivalent for any ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $R$  is semiperfect.
- (2)  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring with maximum condition on idempotents.
- (3) If  $L \subseteq R$  is a left (right) ideal there exist an idempotent  $e \in L$  and a left (right) ideal  $M \subseteq J(R)$  such that  $L = Re + M$ .
- (4)  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring and  $R/J(R)$  is semisimple artinian.

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2). If  $R$  is semiperfect it is an  $I_0$ -ring by Corollary 1.5. If  $e_1 \leq e_2 \leq \dots$  are idempotents in  $R$  then  $\bar{e}_n = \bar{e}_{n+1} = \dots$  in  $R/J(R)$  for some  $n$ . Hence  $(e_{k+1} - e_k)^2 = (e_{k+1} - e_k) \in J(R)$  for each  $k \geq n$  and so  $e_n = e_{n+1} = \dots$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3). If  $L \subseteq J(R)$  take  $e = 0$  and  $M = L$ . Otherwise let  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in L$  be maximal in  $L$ . Then  $L = Re + M$  where  $M = \{x \in L | xe = 0\}$ . If  $M \not\subseteq J(R)$  choose  $0 \neq f^2 = f \in M$ . Then  $g = e + f - ef$  is an idempotent in  $L$  and  $e \leq g$ . Hence  $e = g$  by the choice of  $e$  and so  $f = f^2 = f(ef) = 0$ , a contradiction. Hence  $M \subseteq J(R)$ .

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (4). This is obvious.

(4)  $\Rightarrow$  (1). Since  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring, primitive idempotents in  $R/J(R)$  can be



lifted by Lemma 2.5. But every idempotent in  $R/J(R)$  is a sum of orthogonal primitive idempotents and so can be lifted by standard techniques.  $\square$

If  $R$  has an identity it is not hard to show (using Lemma 2.3 of [2]) that condition (3) is equivalent to the condition that every cyclic  $R$ -module has a projective cover. The following consequences of Theorem 4.3 give, in the case when  $R$  has an identity, some new proofs of well-known facts.

**COROLLARY 4.4.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect ring. A subring of  $R$  is semiperfect if and only if it is an  $I_0$ -ring. In particular, one-sided ideals of  $R$  and subrings of the form  $aRb$ ,  $a, b \in R$ , are semiperfect.*

**PROOF.** Simply observe that the maximum condition on idempotents is inherited by subrings and use Proposition 1.6.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.5.** *A ring  $R$  is semiperfect if and only if the ring  $M_n(R)$  is semiperfect for all  $n \geq 1$  (some  $n \geq 1$ ).*

**PROOF.** If  $R$  is semiperfect then  $M_n(R)$  is an  $I_0$ -ring by Proposition 1.8 and  $M_n(R)/J[M_n(R)] \cong M_n[R/J(R)]$  is semisimple artinian. Conversely,  $R$  can be embedded in  $M_n(R)$  as the subring of all matrices with zeros in all positions except  $(1, 1)$ . Since this is the intersection of a left and a right ideal, Corollary 4.4 completes the proof.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 4.6.** *Every homomorphic image of a semiperfect ring is semiperfect.*

**PROOF.** If  $R$  is semiperfect and  $A \subseteq R$  is an ideal, let  $L \supseteq A$  be a left ideal. Choose an idempotent  $e \in L$  and a left ideal  $M \subseteq J(R)$  such that  $L = Re + M$ . Then, in  $\bar{R} = R/A$ ,  $\bar{L} = \bar{R}e + \bar{M}$  and  $\bar{M} \subseteq J(\bar{R})$ . Hence  $R/A$  is semiperfect.  $\square$

We remark that if  $R$  is a semiperfect ring and  $A$  is an ideal of  $R$  then  $J(R/A) = (A + J)/A$  where  $J$  denotes  $J(R)$ . Indeed, there is a ring epimorphism  $R/A \rightarrow R/(A + J)$  with kernel  $(A + J)/A$ . But  $R/(A + J)$  is a homomorphic image of  $R/J$  so  $J(R/A) \subseteq (A + J)/A$ . The reverse inclusion always holds.

**5. Regular idempotents.** The notion of regularity has been extended to projective modules by Ware [9] and to a wider class of modules by Zelmanowitz [10]. In this section we shall study the connection between these modules and the  $I_0$ -rings. In particular we show that, over an  $I_0$ -ring, the endomorphism ring of a regular module is an  $I_0$ -ring with zero radical.

Let  $M$  be a left  $R$ -module and let  $M^* = \text{Hom}_R(M, R)$ . Zelmanowitz calls  $M$  regular if, for any  $x \in M$ , there exists  $\alpha \in M^*$  such that  $(x\alpha)x = x$ . If  $M$  is an  $R$ -module,  $x \in M$  and  $\alpha \in M^*$ , define a map  $[\alpha, x] \in \text{end}(M)$  by  $y[\alpha, x] = (y\alpha)x$

for every  $y \in M$ . We say  $M$  is *projective* if it has a *dual basis*, that is if there exist subsets  $\{x_\nu | \nu \in I\} \subseteq M$  and  $\{\alpha_\nu | \nu \in I\} \subseteq M^*$  (indexed by the same set  $I$ ) such that, for each  $x \in M$ ,  $x\alpha_\nu = 0$  for all but a finite number of  $\nu \in I$  and  $x = \sum_\nu x[\alpha_\nu, x]$ . The following easily verified facts from [10] will be needed.

LEMMA 5.1. *Let  $M$  be a regular module, let  $x \in M$ , and suppose  $\alpha \in M^*$  satisfies  $(x\alpha)x = x$ . Then:*

- (1)  $e = x\alpha$  is an idempotent and  $ex = x$ .
- (2)  $\alpha|_{Rx}: Rx \rightarrow Re$  is an isomorphism so  $Rx$  is projective.
- (3)  $M = Rx \oplus \ker[\alpha, x]$ .

This shows that, if  $M$  is regular and  $x \in M$ , then  $Rx$  is a projective summand of  $M$ . Zelmanowitz proves the converse [10, Theorem 2.2], and also shows that  $Rx_1 + \cdots + Rx_n$  is a projective summand for any  $x_i \in M$ .

LEMMA 5.2. *If  $e^2 = e \in R$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (1)  $Re$  is a regular  $R$ -module.
- (2) For each  $x \in Re$  there exists  $y \in R$  such that  $xyx = x$ .
- (3) For each  $x \in Re$  there exists  $f^2 = f \in Re$  with  $Rx = Rf$ .

The easy proof is left to the reader. An idempotent  $e$  in a ring  $R$  will be called *left regular* if  $Re$  is a regular  $R$ -module. Clearly, every idempotent in a regular ring is left regular. Also,  $eRe$  is a regular ring if  $e$  is a left regular idempotent (the converse is false as an example below will show).

The following is a strengthening of a remark in [10, p. 346].

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a regular  $R$ -module. If  $a \notin \text{ann } M$  then  $aR$  contains a nonzero left regular idempotent. In particular  $J(R) \subseteq \text{ann } M$ .*

PROOF. If  $ax \neq 0$ ,  $x \in M$ , choose  $\alpha \in M^*$  such that  $[(ax)\alpha]ax = ax$ . Then  $(ax)\alpha \in aR$  is a nonzero left regular idempotent by Lemma 5.1 and the fact that submodules of a regular module are regular.  $\square$

Prompted by this, we say that a ring  $R$  has *left regular idempotents* if each right ideal  $T \not\subseteq J(R)$  contains a nonzero left regular idempotent.

THEOREM 5.4. *The following are equivalent for a ring  $R$ :*

- (1)  $J(R)$  is an intersection of annihilators of regular left modules.
- (2)  $J(R) = \text{ann } M$  for some regular left module  $M$ .
- (3)  $R$  has left regular idempotents.

Moreover, when this is the case,  $J(R)$  is the intersection of the annihilators of the cyclic regular left ideals  $Re$ ,  $e^2 = e \in R$ .

PROOF. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) since a direct sum of regular modules is again regular [10, Theorem 2.8]. (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by Lemma 5.3. Hence assume (3). If  $a \notin J(R)$  choose  $0 \neq e^2 = e \in aR$  such that  $Re$  is regular. Then  $a \notin \text{ann}(Re)$  so  $J(R) \supseteq \bigcap \{\text{ann}(Re) | e^2 = e \text{ left regular}\}$ . This must be equality by Lemma 5.3 so the proof is complete.  $\square$

COROLLARY 5.5. *If  $M$  is a regular left  $R$ -module and  $A = \text{ann } M$ , then  $R/A$  has left regular idempotents and  $J(R/A) = 0$ .*

PROPOSITION 5.6. *Let  $R$  be a ring, let  $A \subseteq J(R)$  be an ideal, let  $T \subseteq R$  be a right ideal and let  $e^2 = e \in R$ . If  $R$  has left regular idempotents so do the rings  $R/A$ ,  $T$  and  $eRe$ .*

PROOF. Let  $M$  be a regular  $R$  module with  $\text{ann } M = J(R)$ . Then  $M$  is a regular  $R/A$ -module and  $\text{ann}_{R/A}(M) = J(R/A)$ .

Turning to  $eRe$ ,  $eM$  is an  $eRe$ -module and  $\text{ann}_{eRe}(eM) = J(R) \cap eRe = J(eRe)$ . We show that  $eM$  is regular. If  $x \in eM$  let  $\alpha: M \rightarrow R$  satisfy  $(x\alpha)x = x$ . Define  $\bar{\alpha}: eM \rightarrow eRe$  by  $y\bar{\alpha} = (y\alpha)e$ . Then  $\bar{\alpha} \in (eM)^*$  and  $(x\bar{\alpha})x = (x\alpha)ex = x$ .

Finally,  $TM$  is a  $T$ -module and  $\text{ann}_T(TM) = \{t \in T | tTM = 0\} = \{t \in T | tT \subseteq J(R)\} = J(T)$ . Now let  $x \in TM$  and suppose  $\alpha: M \rightarrow R$  satisfies  $(x\alpha)x = x$ . Since  $(TM)\alpha \subseteq T(M\alpha) \subseteq T$ , the map  $\alpha|_{TM}: TM \rightarrow T$  is a  $T$ -homomorphism and  $(x\alpha|_{TM})x = x$ . This shows  $TM$  is a regular  $T$ -module.  $\square$

PROPOSITION 5.7. *If a ring  $R$  has left regular idempotents the same is true of the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $T \not\subseteq J[M_n(R)]$  be a right ideal. As in the proof of Proposition 1.8, there exists an idempotent  $X$  in  $T$  of the form  $X = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ip}(r_i e)$ . It is easy to show that each element in  $M_n(R)X$  has the form  $Y = \sum_{i=1}^n E_{ip}(t_i)$  where each  $t_i \in Re$ . By Lemma 5.2, we must show each such  $Y$  is regular in  $M_n(R)$ . By Lemma 5.2, let  $t_1 s_1 t_1 = t_1$ . Then  $Y E_{p1}(s_1) Y - Y = \sum_{i=2}^n E_{ip}(t_i s_1 t_1 - t_i)$  and it suffices to show this is regular in  $M_n(R)$ . In other words, we may assume  $Y$  has the form  $Y = \sum_{i=2}^n E_{ip}(t_i)$ ,  $t_i \in Re$ . This procedure can be continued to complete the proof.  $\square$

EXAMPLES AND REMARKS. 1. Every regular ring has left (and right) regular idempotents.

2. Every ring  $R$  with  $J(R) = 0$  and which has primitive idempotents has left (and right) regular idempotents.

3. If a prime ring  $R$  has a nonzero regular left module then it has left regular idempotents and  $J(R) = 0$ .

4. Any local ring  $R$  with  $J(R) \neq 0$  is an example of an  $I$ -ring which does not have regular left (or right) idempotents.

5. The ring  $R$  in Example 1.9 is primitive with nonzero socle and so has left (and right) regular idempotents. Hence, if  $R$  has left regular idempotents, the same need not be true of the center of  $R$  or of a homomorphic image of  $R$ .

6. Let  $\Delta$  be a division ring and let  $R = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta & \Delta \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \subseteq M_2(\Delta)$ . If  $e = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  then  $Re$  is a regular module and  $\text{ann}(Re) = J(R)$ . On the other hand  $fR = R$  for any  $0 \neq f^2 = f \in R$  so  $R$  has no regular right modules. In particular,  $Re$  can be regular while  $eR$  is not even when  $eRe$  is a division ring.

We conclude this section with some remarks about the endomorphism ring  $E(M)$  of a regular module  $M$ . We shall write  $A(M) = \{\alpha \in E(M) \mid \ker \alpha \text{ is large in } M\}$ . It is well known that  $A(M)$  is an ideal of  $E(M)$ .

**THEOREM 5.8.** *If  $M$  is a regular  $R$ -module, each one-sided ideal of  $E(M)$  which is not contained in  $A(M)$  contains a nonzero idempotent.*

**PROOF.** If  $\alpha \in E(M) - A(M)$ , choose  $0 \neq x \in M$  such that  $Rx \cap \ker \alpha = 0$ . Then  $\alpha|_{Rx}: Rx \rightarrow Rx\alpha$  is an isomorphism. We have  $M = Rx\alpha \oplus K$  by Lemma 5.1 so define  $\beta: M \rightarrow M$  by setting  $K\beta = 0$  and  $\beta|_{Rx\alpha} = (\alpha|_{Rx})^{-1}$ . Then  $\beta\alpha\beta = \beta \neq 0$  and the result follows.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.9.** *Let  $M$  be a regular module such that every monomorphism in  $E(M)$  has a right inverse. Then  $E(M)$  is an  $I_0$ -ring and  $J[E(M)] = A(M)$ .*

**PROOF.** Since  $\ker \alpha \cap \ker(1 - \alpha) = 0$  for every  $\alpha \in E(M)$ , our hypothesis implies  $A(M) \subseteq J[E(M)]$ . This must be equality since  $J[E(M)]$  contains no nonzero idempotent.  $\square$

**COROLLARY 5.10.** *Let  $M$  be a regular  $R$ -module with the property that  $M\gamma \subseteq J(R)$  for every  $\gamma \in M^*$  with large kernel. Then  $E(M)$  is an  $I_0$ -ring with  $J[E(M)] = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\alpha \in A(M)$  and let  $y \in M\alpha$ . There exists  $\gamma \in M^*$  such that  $(y\gamma)y = y$ . But  $\ker \alpha \subseteq \ker(\alpha\gamma)$  and consequently  $M\alpha\gamma \subseteq J(R)$ . Thus  $y\gamma$  is an idempotent in  $J(R)$  and so  $y\gamma = 0$ . It follows that  $M\alpha = 0$  and hence that  $A(M) = 0$ .  $\square$

One situation where the condition in Corollary 5.10 is met is when  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring.

**COROLLARY 5.11.** *If  $R$  is an  $I_0$ -ring and  $M$  is a regular module then  $E(M)$  is an  $I_0$ -ring with  $J[E(M)] = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $\gamma \in M^*$  has large kernel and  $M\gamma \not\subseteq J(R)$ . Let  $e = y\gamma$  be a nonzero idempotent and put  $x = ey$ . Then  $x\gamma = e \neq 0$  and  $x = ex$  and it follows that  $Rx \cap \ker \gamma = 0$ . This is a contradiction since  $Rx \neq 0$  and so the result follows from Corollary 5.10.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

1. A. S. Amitsur, *A general theory of radicals. II. Radicals in rings and bi-categories*, Amer. J. Math. **76** (1954), 100–125. MR 15, 499.
2. H. Bass, *Finitistic dimension and a homological generalization of semi-primary rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **95** (1960), 466–488. MR 28 #1212.
3. N. Bourbaki, *Éléments de mathématique. XXIII. Livre II: Algèbre*, Chap. 8: *Modules et anneaux semisimple*, Actualités Sci. Indust., no. 1261, Hermann, Paris, 1958. MR 20 #4576.
4. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964. MR 36 #5158.
5. I. Kaplansky, *Rings of operators*, Benjamin, New York, 1968. MR 39 #6092.
6. K. Koh, *On Von Neumann regular rings*, Canad. Math. Bull. **17** (1974), 283–284.
7. J. Krempa, *Logical connections between some open problems concerning nil rings*, Fund. Math. **76** (1972), no. 2, 121–130. MR 46 #5377.
8. J. Levitzki, *On the structure of algebraic algebras and related rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1953), 384–409. MR 14, 720.
9. R. Ware, *Endomorphism rings of projective modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **155** (1971), 233–256. MR 43 #274.
10. J. Zelmanowitz, *Regular modules*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **163** (1972), 341–355. MR 44 #4050.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALGARY, CALGARY,  
ALBERTA, CANADA T2N 1N4