

INVARIANT CONNECTIONS AND YANG-MILLS SOLUTIONS

BY

MITSUHIRO ITOH

ABSTRACT. A condition on the self-duality and the stability of Yang-Mills solutions are discussed. The canonical invariant G -connections on S^4 and $P_2(\mathbf{C})$ are considered as Yang-Mills solutions. The non-self-duality of the connections requires the injectivity of the isotropy homomorphisms. We construct examples of non-self-dual connections on G -vector bundles (G is a compact simple group). Under a certain property of the isotropy homomorphism, these canonical connections are not weakly stable.

Introduction. The subject of *Yang-Mills* solutions has been developed in the last few years by geometrical treatments [1], [3]. In this note, we study a *self-duality* condition and also a *stability* condition on an invariant bundle-connection, which is a Yang-Mills solution, on S^4 or $P_2(\mathbf{C})$.

We show first that the canonical invariant connection on a homogeneous G -vector bundle on a compact symmetric space gives a Yang-Mills solution (Proposition 1). This is available by using the general theory of invariant connections [5].

Secondly, the self-duality is discussed for the canonical invariant connections on the typical 4-spaces S^4 and $P_2(\mathbf{C})$. Its condition is stated as follows: if the isotropy group of the base space is imbedded into the structure group G , then the canonical invariant connection can be represented as the direct sum of a particular self-dual connection and an anti-self-dual one, and on the contrary, it is (anti-) self-dual, when the group is not injectively mapped into G (Theorems 2 and 4). These are easy consequences of the following properties: $\mathfrak{so}(4) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{su}(2)$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2) \times \mathfrak{u}(1) \cong \mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathbf{R}$.

The third deals with the stability on the canonical invariant connections with respect to the second variational formula of the action integral. We have a nonstability condition (Theorems 3 and 5). Namely, let E be a homogeneous G -vector bundle over S^4 or $P_2(\mathbf{C})$. If E has a nonzero invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form, then the canonical invariant connection is not weakly stable.

We remark that there remains the question of whether any Yang-Mills solutions on $SU(2)$ - or $SU(3)$ -vector bundles over S^4 is (anti-) self-dual [2]. Note that any Yang-Mills solutions on $SU(2)$ - or $SU(3)$ -vector bundles over S^4 is (anti-) self-dual, if it is assumed weakly stable [3].

Received by the editors June 5, 1980 and, in revised form, October 6, 1980.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 53C05; Secondary 55F10.

Key words and phrases. Yang-Mills solution, canonical connection, self-duality, stability.

© 1981 American Mathematical Society
0002-9947/81/0000-0415/\$03.00

1. Yang-Mills equations. For basic references, see Atiyah et al. [1] and Bourguignon et al. [3].

Let M be a compact oriented Riemannian manifold and P a G -principal bundle over M (i.e., a principal bundle with structure group G), where G is assumed a compact simple Lie group. Let ω be an Ehresmann connection on P with curvature form $\Omega^\omega = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$. Each connection ω well defines a differential operator $\nabla^\omega = \nabla; \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes E)$ for a G -vector bundle $E = P \times_{(G,\rho)} E^0$ as follows. For any local section s of P , we have a local frame $\{e_i\}$ of E by $e_i = s \cdot e_i^0$, where $\{e_i^0\}$ is a frame of E^0 , and a connection matrix $\{\omega_{ij}\}$ by applying the representation ρ to the pull back 1-form $s^*\omega$. Then ∇^ω is well defined by $\nabla^\omega e_i = \sum \omega_{ij} \otimes e_j$. It follows that if s_t is a horizontal lift of a curve x_t in M ($\omega(\dot{s}_t) = 0$), then $s_t \cdot e^0$ is a parallel section of E ($\nabla_{\dot{x}_t}(s_t \cdot e^0) = 0$) for any e^0 in E^0 .

The curvature tensor $R^{\nabla^\omega} = R^\omega$ of ∇^ω is the $\text{End}(E)$ -valued 2-form defined by $R^\omega(X, Y) = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$. R^ω is also defined directly by applying the ρ to the \mathfrak{g} -valued 2-form $s^*\Omega^\omega$. From now on, $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(E^0)$ is assumed locally faithful. We can identify R^ω with $s^*\Omega^\omega$. Since $(sg)^*\Omega^\omega = \text{Ad}(g^{-1})(s^*\Omega^\omega)$, $s^*\Omega^\omega$ is considered as a \mathfrak{g}_P -valued 2-form, where $\mathfrak{g}_P = P \times_{(G, \text{Ad})} \mathfrak{g}$. Thus, the *action integral* $\int_M |R^\omega|^2 dv$ is well defined by using the Killing form (\cdot, \cdot) of \mathfrak{g} ; here

$$|R^\omega|^2 = - \sum_{i < j} (s^*\Omega^\omega(e_i, e_j), s^*\Omega^\omega(e_i, e_j)),$$

where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of TM .

A critical connection ω of the action integral satisfies the so-called *Yang-Mills equation* $\delta^\omega R^\omega = 0$. The operator δ^ω is a mapping from $\Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} \otimes \text{End}(E))$ to $\Gamma(\Lambda^p \otimes \text{End}(E))$ defined by $\delta^\omega = - * \circ d^\omega \circ *$; here $*$ is the Hodge star operator given by the orientation of M and d^ω is the exterior covariant differentiation from $\Gamma(\Lambda^p \otimes \text{End}(E))$ to $\Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} \otimes \text{End}(E))$, defined as $d^\omega(\theta \otimes \Psi) = d\theta \otimes \Psi + (-1)^p \theta \wedge \nabla \Psi$ (Λ^p is the bundle of p -forms). And a connection ω is called a *Yang-Mills solution* iff it satisfies this equation.

We have the following explicit expression of δ^ω [3]:

$$(\delta^\omega \Psi)(X_1, \dots, X_p) = - \sum_j (\tilde{\nabla}_{e_j} \Psi)(e_j, X_1, \dots, X_p)$$

for Ψ in $\Gamma(\Lambda^{p+1} \otimes \text{End}(E))$; here $\tilde{\nabla} \Psi$ is defined by

$$(\tilde{\nabla}_Y \Psi)(X_0, \dots, X_p) = \nabla_Y(\Psi(X_0, \dots, X_p)) - \sum_k \Psi(X_0, \dots, D_Y X_k, \dots, X_p)$$

(D denotes the Riemannian connection of M). From this, we have a trivial remark, that is, if a connection has the parallel curvature ($\tilde{\nabla} R^\omega = 0$), then it gives a Yang-Mills solution.

Suppose now that ω is a Yang-Mills solution. Then, we have the following description due to [3] for the second variational formula of the action integral. For a deformation ω_t of ω with $(d/dt)(\omega_t)|_{t=0} = A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$, $\delta^\omega A = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_M |R^{(\omega_t)}|^2 dv|_{t=0} = \int_M (\mathfrak{S}^\omega(A), A) dv;$$

here $\mathcal{C}^\omega: \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P) \rightarrow \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{C}^\omega(A) = \delta^\omega d^\omega A + \sum_j [R^\omega(e_j, \cdot), A(e_j)].$$

A Yang-Mills solution ω is *weakly stable* iff $\int_M (\mathcal{C}^\omega(A), A) dv \geq 0$ for any infinitesimal deformation $A \in \Gamma(\Lambda^1 \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$, $\delta^\omega A = 0$.

Let M be 4-dimensional. Then, since $\star^2 = 1$ on Λ^2 , Λ^2 is split into the sum $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda_+ + \Lambda_-$, where Λ_+ (respectively, Λ_-) is the eigenspace of \star corresponding to $+1$ (-1). Note that the \star commutes with any orientation-preserving transformation of M .

A connection ω is called (*anti-*) *self-dual* iff $\star R^\omega = R^\omega$ (resp., $\star R^\omega = -R^\omega$). Since $d^\omega R^\omega = 0$ (Bianchi's identity), each (*anti-*) self-dual connection gives a Yang-Mills solution. And also we have the inequality

$$\int_M |R^\omega|^2 = \int (|R_+^\omega|^2 + |R_-^\omega|^2) \geq \int (|R_+^\omega|^2 - |R_-^\omega|^2) = 4\pi^2 \text{Pont}_1(E),$$

$R^\omega = R_+^\omega + R_-^\omega$, $R_\pm^\omega \in \Gamma(\Lambda_\pm \otimes \mathfrak{g}_P)$ and the equality occurs iff $R_-^\omega = 0$, that is, ω is self-dual. Thus, the self-dual connections give the absolute minimum for the action integral, hence these are naturally weakly stable.

2. Homogeneous vector bundles and invariant connections. Let $M = K/H$ be a compact oriented Riemannian homogeneous space and P a G -principal bundle over M such that K acts on P as automorphisms. Fix u_o in P over $o = eH$ in M . The K -action induces the *isotropy homomorphism* $\lambda: H \rightarrow G$ by $\tilde{h}(u_o) = u_o \cdot \lambda(h)$, where \tilde{h} is an automorphism of P induced by h (see p. 105 in [5]). Let $E = P \times_{(G,\rho)} E^0$ be a vector bundle associated with P through a locally faithful representation $\rho: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(E^0)$. Then E is homogeneous and isomorphic with $K \times_\tau E^0$, where $\tau = \rho \circ \lambda: H \rightarrow \text{GL}(E^0)$, and conversely each homomorphism $\lambda: H \rightarrow G$ induces a homogeneous G -vector bundle [7].

A connection ω on P is called *invariant* iff $\tilde{k}^*\omega = \omega$ for all k in K . Then, we obtain a one-to-one correspondence between $\{K$ -invariant connections ω on $P\}$ and

$$\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}) = \{\text{linear mappings } \Lambda: \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \text{ such that}$$

$$\Lambda(\text{Ad}(h)X) = \text{Ad}(\lambda(h))\Lambda(X), X \in \mathfrak{m} \text{ and } h \in H\},$$

and the correspondence is given by

$$\omega_{u_o}(\tilde{X}) = \lambda(X_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \Lambda(X_{\mathfrak{m}}) \quad \text{for } X = X_{\mathfrak{h}} + X_{\mathfrak{m}} \in \mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m},$$

where \tilde{X} is the vector field in P induced by X and the curvature form Ω^ω of ω has the following expression:

$$2\Omega_{u_o}^\omega(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = [\lambda(X_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \Lambda(X_{\mathfrak{m}}), \lambda(Y_{\mathfrak{h}}) + \Lambda(Y_{\mathfrak{m}})]$$

$$-\lambda([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}}) - \Lambda([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{m}}) \quad \text{for } X \text{ and } Y \text{ in } \mathfrak{k}$$

(see Theorem 11.7 in [5]). Here $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$ is a reductive decomposition of \mathfrak{k} . Each bundle P always admits a particular K -invariant connection corresponding to $\Lambda = 0$, which is called *canonical*. Its curvature satisfies $2\Omega_{u_o}^\omega(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -\lambda([X, Y]_{\mathfrak{h}})$ for X and Y in \mathfrak{m} .

PROPOSITION 1. *Let $M = K/H$ be a compact oriented Riemannian symmetric space and E a homogeneous G -vector bundle associated with a K -invariant G -principal bundle P . Then the canonical invariant connection has parallel curvature, and hence it gives a Yang-Mills solution.*

PROOF. Let $f_t = \exp tX$ be the 1-parameter subgroup of K generated by $X \in \mathfrak{m}$ and \tilde{f}_t the 1-parameter group of transformations of P induced by f_t . The tangent vector of the orbit $\tilde{f}_t(u_o)$ in P is \tilde{X} at $f_t(u_o)$. Because the connection ω is canonical, $\omega_{u_o}(\tilde{X}) = 0$, that is, the orbit is horizontal. Hence, the section $\tilde{f}_t(u_o) \cdot e^0$ of E ($e^0 \in E^0$) is parallel along $x_t = f_t(o)$. Since M is symmetric, the Riemannian connection is also canonical (Theorem 3.1 in [6]). Then, $f_t(a_o) \cdot a_o^{-1}Y$ is parallel to Y in TM_o along x_t for any frame a_o at o . Therefore, we have at $t = 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{x}_i} R^\omega)(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi} &= \nabla_{\tilde{x}_i}(R^\omega(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi}) - R^\omega(D_{\tilde{x}_i}\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi} \\ &\quad - R^\omega(\tilde{X}_1, D_{\tilde{x}_i}\tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi} - R^\omega(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\nabla_{\tilde{x}_i}\tilde{\psi} \\ &= \nabla_{\tilde{x}_i}(R^\omega(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi}) \end{aligned}$$

with respect to the parallel extensions $\tilde{X}_i(t) = f_t(a_o) \cdot a_o^{-1}X_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and $\tilde{\psi}(t) = \tilde{f}_t(u_o) \cdot u_o^{-1}\psi$. Since R^ω is invariant,

$$\nabla_{x_i}(R^\omega(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2)\tilde{\psi}) = \nabla_{x_i}(\tilde{f}_t(u_o) \cdot u_o^{-1}(R^\omega(X_1, X_2))) = 0;$$

hence R^ω is parallel.

Note. For any $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$, the invariant \mathfrak{g} -valued 1-form A induced by Λ is shown to be parallel by an argument similar to that in the proof.

3. Self-duality condition. Now consider, in this section, invariant connections on the 4-sphere $S^4 = SO(5)/SO(4)$. The algebra $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ has the reductive decomposition: $\mathfrak{so}(5) = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{m}$, $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(4)$ such that $[\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{m}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$. And in this case, we have the following decomposition of \mathfrak{h} . $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{su}(2)^{(1)} + \mathfrak{su}(2)^{(2)}$, where $\mathfrak{su}(2)^{(i)}$ is the subalgebra spanned by $\{A^i, B^i, C^i\}$: $A^i = E_{2,3} + (-1)^{i+1}E_{4,5}$, $B^i = E_{3,4} + (-1)^{i+1}E_{2,5}$ and $C^i = E_{2,4} + (-1)^iE_{3,5}$, $i = 1, 2$ ($E_{i,j}$ denotes the matrix in $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ whose entries satisfy $(E_{i,j})_{k,l} = 0$ if $\{k, l\} \neq \{i, j\}$ and $(E_{i,j})_{i,j} = 1$ and $(E_{i,j})_{j,i} = -1$ for $i < j$). Both $\mathfrak{su}(2)^{(i)}$ are isomorphic with $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. The subspace \mathfrak{m} is spanned by $X_i = E_{1,i+1}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$. The orientation of S^4 is fixed once and for all by this frame.

Let E be a homogeneous G -vector bundle over S^4 (G is a compact simple Lie group). The $SO(5)$ -action on E induces the isotropy homomorphism $\lambda: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$.

The following gives a self-duality condition for the canonical invariant connections.

THEOREM 2. (i) *The canonical invariant connection is (anti-) self-dual iff the λ vanishes on the second (first) factor of \mathfrak{h} .*

(ii) *If \mathfrak{h} is imbedded into \mathfrak{g} by the λ , then the canonical connection is not (anti-) self-dual, and hence,*

(iii) *If \mathfrak{g} is either $\mathfrak{so}(5)$, the algebra of G_2 or of rank $r(G) \geq 3$, there are homogeneous G -vector bundles whose canonical connections are not (anti-) self-dual, and on the contrary, if \mathfrak{g} is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, the canonical connection is (anti-) self-dual.*

PROOF. (i) The curvature R^ω of the canonical connection ω is written as $R^\omega(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda([X, Y])$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{m}$. The condition that R^ω is (anti-) self-dual is the following: $R^\omega(X_1, X_2) = \pm R^\omega(X_3, X_4)$, $R^\omega(X_1, X_3) = \mp R^\omega(X_2, X_4)$ and $R^\omega(X_1, X_4) = \pm R^\omega(X_2, X_3)$. By the bracket computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [X_1, X_2] &= -\frac{1}{2}(A^1 + A^2), & [X_3, X_4] &= -\frac{1}{2}(A^1 - A^2), \\ [X_1, X_3] &= -\frac{1}{2}(C^1 + C^2), & [X_4, X_2] &= -\frac{1}{2}(C^1 - C^2), \\ [X_1, X_4] &= -\frac{1}{2}(B^1 - B^2), & [X_2, X_3] &= -\frac{1}{2}(B^1 + B^2), \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the (anti-) self-duality of R^ω is equivalent to $\lambda(A^2) = \lambda(B^2) = \lambda(C^2) = 0$ ($\lambda(A^1) = \lambda(B^1) = \lambda(C^1) = 0$). (ii) is also obtained by this argument.

(iii) Assume that the rank $r(G) > 3$. As is well known, there are simple roots α_i and α_j such that $(\alpha_i, \alpha_j) = 0$, $i < j$. The root vectors corresponding to α_i (resp. α_j) generate the subalgebra \mathfrak{h}_i (resp. \mathfrak{h}_j) of \mathfrak{g} , which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2)$. Since $[\mathfrak{h}_i, \mathfrak{h}_j] = 0$, we have the injective homomorphism $\lambda: \mathfrak{h} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ such that $\mathfrak{su}(2)^{(1)}$ and $\mathfrak{su}(2)^{(2)}$ are mapped onto \mathfrak{h}_i and \mathfrak{h}_j , respectively. Thus, by (ii), the homogeneous G -vector bundle over S^4 induced by the λ has the non- (anti-) self-dual canonical connection. If \mathfrak{g} is $\mathfrak{so}(5)$ or the algebra of G_2 , $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{so}(4)$ is canonically imbedded into \mathfrak{g} . Hence by (ii), we have the same conclusion. Moreover, if \mathfrak{g} is $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ or $\mathfrak{su}(3)$, any homomorphism from \mathfrak{h} to \mathfrak{g} is not imbedded. Thus, the last part of (iii) is verified by (i).

REMARKS. (i) The holonomy group of the G -canonical connection is generated by the image of $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{su}(2)$ through λ (see Theorem 11.8 in [5]).

(ii) If $SO(4)$ is imbedded into a simple G , then $\text{Pont}_1(E) = 0$ for any homogeneous G -vector bundle E constructed by this imbedding. This fact is as follows. The curvature of the canonical connection is the image of the curvature of the standard Riemannian connection on TS^4 . Thus, $\text{Pont}_1(E)$ is a scalar multiple of $\text{Pont}_1(S^4) = 0$.

4. Weak stability. In this section we discuss the weak stability for Yang-Mills solutions given by the canonical invariant connections which are not (anti-) self-dual.

We fix a Λ in $\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$. Since Λ commutes with the action of H , the \mathfrak{g}_P -valued 1-form A induced by Λ is parallel, by the Note in §2, hence $\delta^\omega A = d^\omega A = 0$. Then $\omega_t = \omega + tA$ gives a deformation of ω . Since $R^{(\omega)}$ is invariant under K , $|R^{(\omega)}|^2$ is constant. Thus, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{S^4} |R^{(\omega)}|^2 dv_{|t=0} &= \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}(S^4) \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \{ |R^{(\omega)}|^2 \text{ at the origin } o \}_{|t=0} \\ &= \text{vol}(S^4)(R^\omega, [\Lambda, \Lambda]). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the formula

$$R^{(\omega)}(X, Y) = R^\omega(X, Y) + (t^2/2)[\Lambda(X), \Lambda(Y)]$$

at o .

THEOREM 3. *Let E be a homogeneous G -vector bundle over S^4 induced by an injective homomorphism λ of H to G . Then the canonical connection ω satisfies $\int_{S^4} (\mathfrak{S}^\omega A, A) dv < 0$ for any invariant \mathfrak{g}_p -valued 1-form A induced by Λ in $\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$, $\Lambda \neq 0$. Therefore, if $\dim \text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}) \geq 1$, then ω is not weakly stable.*

PROOF. By the definition of \mathfrak{S}^ω , we have

$$\int_{S^4} (\mathfrak{S}^\omega A, A) dv = \frac{1}{2} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \int_{S^4} |R^{(\omega_t)}|^2 dv|_{t=0}$$

for a deformation ω_t with $(d/dt)(\omega_t)|_{t=0} = A$. Since $R^\omega(X, Y) = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda[X, Y]$ at o and $\Lambda[Z, X] = [\lambda Z, \Lambda X]$ for $Z \in \mathfrak{h}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{m}$,

$$\begin{aligned} (R^\omega, [\Lambda, \Lambda]) &= \sum_{i < j} (R^\omega(e_i, e_j), [\Lambda e_i, \Lambda e_j]) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} (\lambda[e_i, e_j], [\Lambda e_i, \Lambda e_j]) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < j} ([\Lambda e_i, \lambda[e_i, e_j]], \Lambda e_j) \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \sum_{i, j} (\Lambda[e_i, [e_i, e_j]], \Lambda e_j) \\ &= -\frac{3}{4} \sum_{i=1}^4 |\Lambda(e_i)|^2; \end{aligned}$$

here $\{e_i\}$, $i = 1, \dots, 4$, is the orthonormal basis of \mathfrak{m} . Thus, if $\Lambda \neq 0$, then $\int_{S^4} (\mathfrak{S}^\omega A, A) dv < 0$.

REMARKS. (i) Let $\mu: SO(5) \rightarrow G$ (or, more precisely, $\text{Spin}(5) \rightarrow G$) be an injective homomorphism. Then, $\lambda = \mu|_{SO(4)}$ induces a homogeneous G -vector bundle over S^4 . This bundle E is the image of the tangent bundle TS^4 and admits the nontrivial $\Lambda = \mu|_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in $\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$. Then the canonical connection ω on E is just the image of the standard Riemannian connection ω^0 on S^4 and ω is shown to be not weakly stable by the above theorem, whereas ω^0 minimizes the action integral

$$k \cdot \text{Euler}(TS^4) = \int_{S^4} |R^{\omega^0}|^2 dv \leq \int_{S^4} |R^\omega|^2 dv$$

for any connections ω [4]. If the structure group is enlarged from $SO(4)$ to general G , the obstruction induced by the Euler characteristic is removed in contrast to Pont_1 , in fact the integral can be decreased by the theorem.

(ii) It is well known that for any compact simple Lie group G of rank ≥ 3 , there is an injective homomorphism of $\text{Spin}(5)$ into G .

5. The case of $P_2(\mathbb{C})$. We can also discuss the similar argument for another 4-dim symmetric space of compact type; the 2-dim complex projective space $P_2(\mathbb{C}) = SU(3)/S(U(2) \times U(1))$. The following is easily shown.

THEOREM 4. *Let λ be a homomorphism of $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ to G . Then:*

(i) *the canonical connection on a homogeneous G -vector bundle induced by the λ is self-dual iff $\lambda|_{\mathfrak{su}(2)} = 0$ and is anti-self-dual iff $\lambda|_{\mathbb{R}} = 0$; and*

(ii) *when $r(G) \geq 2$, there is a homogeneous G -vector bundle whose canonical connection is not (anti-) self-dual.*

$S(U(2) \times U(1))$ has the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathfrak{u}(1)$, spanned by

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} 2i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -i \end{pmatrix};$$

hence it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(2) + \mathbf{R}$. The orientation is the standard one given by $\{X_i\}$, $1 \leq i \leq 4$, where

$$X_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$X_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & i \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ i & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

PROOF. Since $[X_1, X_2] = D - C$, $[X_3, X_4] = D + C$, $[X_1, X_3] = [X_2, X_4] = -A$ and $[X_2, X_3] = -[X_1, X_4] = B$, (i) is obtained.

(ii) is evident from the fact that there is an injective homomorphism of $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ to any simple G of rank ≥ 2 .

THEOREM 5. *Let E be a homogeneous G -vector bundle over $P_2(\mathbf{C})$ induced by an injective homomorphism λ of $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ to G . Then the canonical connection ω satisfies $\int_{P_2(\mathbf{C})} (\mathfrak{S}^\omega A, A) dv < 0$ for any invariant \mathfrak{g}_P -valued 1-form A induced by Λ in $\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$, $\Lambda \neq 0$. Thus, if $\dim \text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g}) \geq 1$, ω is not weakly stable.*

PROOF. The proof is given in the same manner as the proof of Theorem 3. After a simple bracket calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{i < j} (\lambda[X_i, X_j], [\Lambda X_i, \Lambda X_j]) \\ & = - \{3|\Lambda(X_1)|^2 + 3|\Lambda(X_2)|^2 + 2|\Lambda(X_3)|^2 + 3|\Lambda(X_4)|^2\} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

for nonzero Λ in $\text{Hom}_H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{g})$. Hence $\int_{P_2(\mathbf{C})} (\mathfrak{S}^\omega A, A) dv < 0$.

REMARKS. (i) It may follow from the fact that $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ contains the nontrivial normal subgroup $U(1)$ that the coefficient of $|\Lambda(X_3)|^2$ differs from the other coefficients.

(ii) $SU(3)$ can be imbedded into G of rank ≥ 3 . Thus, this imbedding restricted to $S(U(2) \times U(1))$ induces non- (anti-) self-dual canonical connection, which is actually not weakly stable, from the above theorems.

The author wishes to thank Dr. M. Kamata for his helpful suggestions.

ADDED IN PROOF. The following fact is shown in [4]. Let M be an orientable Riemannian homogeneous 4-space. If ω is a weakly stable Yang-Mills $SU(2)$ -connection on M , then it is self-dual or anti-self-dual.

REFERENCES

1. M. F. Atiyah, F. R. S. Hitchin and I. M. Singer, *Self-duality in four-dimensional Riemannian geometry*, Proc. Roy. Soc. London A **362** (1978), 425–461.
2. M. F. Atiyah and J. D. S. Jones, *Topological aspects of Yang-Mills theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **61** (1978), 97–118.
3. J.-P. Bourguignon, H. B. Lawson and J. Simons, *Stability and gap phenomena for Yang-Mills fields*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **76** (1979), 1150–1153.
4. J.-P. Bourguignon and H. B. Lawson, *Stability and isolation phenomena for Yang-Mills fields* (preprint).
5. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. 1, Interscience, New York, 1963.
6. _____, *Foundations of differential geometry*, Vol. 2, Interscience, New York, 1969.
7. N. R. Wallach, *Harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Dekker, New York, 1973.

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, NI-IHARI, IBARAKI, 305 JAPAN