

**ERRATUM TO  
 "LOCALIZATION OF EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY RINGS"**

BY  
 J. DUFLOT

This note corrects the proof of Theorem 3.8 of [1].

**THEOREM 3.8.** *If  $G$  is finite, the isolated primary ideals of  $H_G(X)$  are of the form*

$$\mathfrak{g}_{(A, c)} = \ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow H_{C_G(A, c)}(c)),$$

where  $(A, c)$  is a maximal pair of  $\mathcal{A}(G, X)$ .

**PROOF.** Consider the map

$$H_G(X) \xrightarrow{r_{G, c}} H_{C_G(A, c)}(c).$$

Let  $\mathfrak{p}^C = \mathfrak{p}_{(A, c)}^C$  and  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}_{(A, c)}$  be as in the proof of 3.7. Since  $\mathfrak{p}^C$  is the only associated prime of  $H_{C_G(A, c)}(c)$  (this ring is Cohen-Macaulay by [2], so has no embedded primes, and  $\mathfrak{p}^C$  is the only *minimal* prime by [3]), we see that  $\{\text{zero divisors of } H_{C_G(A, c)}(c)\} = \mathfrak{p}^C$ . (In general, the set of zero divisors in a commutative Noetherian ring is the union of the associated primes.) Therefore, one has

$$H_{C_G(A, c)}(c) \hookrightarrow (H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}^C}.$$

As shown in the proof of 3.7,  $(H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}^C} = (H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}}$  so that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{(A, c)} &= \ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow H_{C_G(A, c)}(c)) \\ &= \ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow (H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}^C}) \\ &= \ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow (H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ &= \ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow (H_{C_G(A, c)}(c))_{\mathfrak{p}}^{W_G(A, c)}). \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.2, this last ideal equals  $\ker(H_G(X) \rightarrow H_G(X)_{\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Now, from commutative algebra one knows that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is an isolated primary component belonging to the minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  in a commutative ring  $R$  if and only if

$$\mathfrak{q} = \ker(R \rightarrow R_{\mathfrak{p}}). \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

---

Received by the editors October 22, 1984.

It is easy to see that the first sentence of the original “proof” of Theorem 3.8 is not true; in general, there are many ideals in a commutative ring  $R$  that are primary for a single minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ . For example,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is primary for  $\mathfrak{p}$ , and so is the isolated primary component for  $\mathfrak{p}$ ; of course, these need not be the same.

I would like to thank Peter Landweber for pointing out this error and for his version of the above proof.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. Duflot, *Localization of equivariant cohomology rings*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **284** (1984), 91–105.
2. \_\_\_\_\_, *Depth and equivariant cohomology*, Comment. Math. Helv. **56** (1981), 627–637.
3. D. G. Quillen, *The spectrum of an equivariant cohomology ring*, I, II, Ann. of Math. (2) **94** (1971), 549–602.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BRANDEIS UNIVERSITY, WALTHAM, MASSACHUSETTS 02354

*Current address:* Department of Mathematics, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523