

A GLOBAL LOJASIEWICZ INEQUALITY FOR ALGEBRAIC VARIETIES

SHANYU JI, JÁNOS KOLLÁR AND BERNARD SHIFFMAN

ABSTRACT. Let X be the locus of common zeros of polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k in n complex variables. A global upper bound for the distance to X is given in the form of a Lojasiewicz inequality. The exponent in this inequality is bounded by $d^{\min(n, k)}$ where $d = \max(3, \deg f_i)$. The estimates are also valid over an algebraically closed field of any characteristic.

Let f be a real analytic function on \mathbb{R}^n and let $Z = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n | f(x) = 0\}$. Let $\text{dist}(x, Z) = \inf_{z \in Z} \|x - z\|$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the Euclidean norm. For any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ one expects to be able to compare $\text{dist}(x, Z)$ and $f(x)$. This is done by the Lojasiewicz inequality:

1. **Theorem** (Lojasiewicz [L1, Theorem 17], see also [M, Theorem 4.1]). *With the above notation, for any compact set K there are positive constants C and α such that $\text{dist}(x, Z)^\alpha \leq C \cdot |f(x)|$ for every $x \in K$.*

In general α can be large. For example [L2, p. 85] if $f(x, y) = y^{2m} + (y - x^m)^2$ then $\alpha \geq 2m^2$. Also, it is not clear how α depends on f .

If $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is defined by complex analytic equations $f_1 = \dots = f_k = 0$, then viewed as a real analytic set $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n \cong \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ it is defined by $f = 0$ where $f = |f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_k|^2$ is a real analytic function. Thus the Lojasiewicz inequality applies to complex analytic or algebraic sets too.

If the defining equations f_i are polynomials, one would like to estimate the exponent α in terms of the degrees of the polynomials. Recently Brownawell [B1] (see also [BY, Section 3]) proved such a bound for polynomials over the complex field. A polynomial has more complex zeros than real ones; thus one expects the complex case to be easier. In fact Brownawell's methods (and also ours) do not apply in the real case.

2. **Theorem** (Brownawell [B1]). *Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ and $D = \max \deg f_i$. Let $Z = \{z \in \mathbb{C}^n | f_1(z) = \dots = f_k(z) = 0\}$. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\left(\frac{\min(\text{dist}(z, Z), 1)}{1 + \|z\|^2} \right)^{(n+1)^2 D^{\min(n, k)}} \leq C \cdot \max_i |f_i(z)|,$$

where $\|z\|^2 = |z_1|^2 + \dots + |z_n|^2$.

Received by the editors March 22, 1990.

1980 *Mathematics Subject Classification* (1985 Revision). Primary 14M10, 32C99; Secondary 32B99.

The aim of this paper is to find the best possible exponent in terms of the degrees of the polynomials f_i . We need the following notation:

3. *Notation.* Given natural numbers $n \geq 2$ and $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_k$ let

$$B(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) = \begin{cases} d_1 \cdots d_k & \text{if } k \leq n; \\ d_1 \cdots d_{n-1} \cdot d_k & \text{if } k > n. \end{cases}$$

For technical reasons related to the proofs in [B2] and [K] we also define

$$\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) = \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^j B(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) + \theta,$$

where $j = \#\{i < \min(k, n) - 1 \mid d_i = 2\}$ and

$$\theta = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } k > n \text{ and } d_{n-1} = 2, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We extend the above notation to any sequence d_1, \dots, d_k by first ordering it and then applying the above definitions.

4. **Definition.** Let K be an algebraically closed field. By an absolute value we mean a valuation $|\cdot| : K \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ which satisfies the triangle inequality (and which can be Archimedean or not). Any basis of K^n leads to a norm

$$\|(x_1, \dots, x_n)\| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}.$$

If $V \subset K^n$ then we define

$$\text{dist}(x, V) = \inf_{y \in V} \|x - y\|.$$

The following is our main result:

5. **Theorem (Łojasiewicz-type inequality).** *Let K be an algebraically closed field (any characteristic) and let $|\cdot|$ be an absolute value as in (4). Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ be polynomials and let $d_i = \deg f_i$. Assume that $n \geq 2$. Let $V = V(f_1, \dots, f_k) \subset K^n$ be the common zero set of these polynomials. Assume that V is nonempty.*

Then there is a positive integer $m \leq \bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)$ and a constant $C > 0$ (both depending on the f_i) such that

$$\text{dist}(x, V)^m \leq C \cdot \max_i \{|f_i(x)|\} \cdot (1 + \|x\|)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)}$$

holds for all $x \in K^n$.

Since $\text{dist}(z, Z) \leq C' \cdot (1 + \|z\|)$ holds for some $C' > 0$, (5) implies the following improvement of Brownawell’s result:

6. **Corollary.** *Let $f_1, \dots, f_k \in \mathbb{C}[z_1, \dots, z_n]$ and let $d_i = \deg f_i$. Let $Z \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be the common zero set of these polynomials. Then there is a constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$(6.1) \quad \left(\frac{\min(\text{dist}(z, Z), 1)}{1 + \|z\|}\right)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)} \leq C \cdot \max_i |f_i(z)| \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6.2) \quad \left(\frac{\text{dist}(z, Z)}{1 + \|z\|^2}\right)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)} \leq C \cdot \max_i |f_i(z)|$$

holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$. \square

The proof of (5) will rest on Brownawell’s version [B2] of the effective Nullstellensatz [K]:

7. Theorem [B2, Main Proposition]. *Let K be a field and let $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_k \in K[x_0, \dots, x_n]$ be homogeneous polynomials of degree d_1, \dots, d_k respectively. Assume that $n \geq 2$.*

Then there are prime ideals P_1, \dots, P_s containing $(\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_k)$ and there are natural numbers e_1, \dots, e_s such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^s P_i^{e_i} \subset (\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_k) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^s e_i \cdot \deg P_i \leq \bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k).$$

The “analytic” part of the proof of (5) is based on the following lemma in which we use the notation of (4)

8. Lemma. *Let $Z \subset K^n$ be an irreducible subvariety of dimension k and degree d . Then there are finitely many polynomials g_i of degree at most d vanishing on Z and a constant C such that*

$$\text{dist}(x, Z)^d \leq C \cdot \max_i \{|g_i(x)|\}.$$

Proof. Fix a generic projection $\Pi: K^n \rightarrow L$ where L is a k dimensional linear subspace. The restriction $\pi: Z \rightarrow L$ is finite of degree d and surjective. For any given $x \in K^n$ let

$$\text{dist}_\Pi(x, Z) = \min_{y \in \pi^{-1}(\Pi(x))} \|x - y\|.$$

It is clear that

$$\text{dist}(x, Z) \leq \text{dist}_\Pi(x, Z).$$

Therefore it is sufficient to prove that

$$(9) \quad \text{dist}_\Pi(x, Z)^d \leq C \cdot \max_i \{|g_i(x)|\}.$$

If $\dim Z = n - 1$ then Z is defined by a single polynomial $g \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree d . Since a different basis gives a norm which is bounded by constant multiples of the first norm from below and above, we may choose coordinates such that $\Pi(x_1, \dots, x_n) = (x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})$. Then $g = cx_n^d + \dots$ where $c \neq 0$. Let $y_1, \dots, y_d \in Z$ be the preimages of $\Pi(x)$ under π (with multiplicities). Then

$$\text{dist}(x, Z)^d \leq \prod_{i=1}^d \text{dist}(x, y_i) = |c^{-1}g(x)| = |c^{-1}| \cdot |g(x)|.$$

Thus $C = |c^{-1}|$ is the desired constant.

We prove (9) by induction on the codimension. Suppose $\text{codim } Z > 1$; fix a hyperplane $H \supset L$ and $d + 1$ generic lines $A_i \subset \ker \Pi$. Let $p_i: K^n \rightarrow H$ be the projections with kernel A_i . Let $Z_i = p_i(Z) \subset H$ be the images. By assumption (9) is true for the Z_i .

It suffices to show that there is a constant C' such that

$$\text{dist}_\Pi(x, Z) \leq C' \cdot \max_i \{\text{dist}_\Pi(p_i(x), Z_i)\}.$$

To see this define the ε -neighborhood of A_i as

$$U_\varepsilon(A_i) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{x \in K^n \mid x = x_a + x_h; x_a \in A_i; x_h \in H \text{ and } \|x_h\| < \varepsilon \cdot \|x_a\|\}.$$

Note that if $x \notin U_\varepsilon(A_i)$ then $\|x\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon^{-1})\|p_i(x)\|$. Now choose ε so that the ε -neighborhoods of the A_i do not intersect each other.

Let $\pi^{-1}(\Pi(x)) = \{y_1, \dots, y_p\}$ (as sets); $p \leq d$. There are $(d + 1)$ lines A_i and at most d points $x - y_i$. Thus there is an index j (depending on x) such that

$$\{x - y_1, \dots, x - y_p\} \cap U_\varepsilon(A_j) = \emptyset.$$

This implies that

$$\text{dist}_\Pi(x, Z) \leq (1 + \varepsilon^{-1}) \max_j \{\text{dist}_\Pi(p_j(x), Z_j)\}. \quad \square$$

10. *Remark.* It follows from the above proof that for a nonconstant polynomial $f \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$

$$\text{dist}(x, V(f))^{\deg f} \leq C \cdot |f(x)|.$$

Now we can prove (5).

We introduce a new variable x_0 and homogenize the polynomials f_1, \dots, f_k to get $\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_k$. Let P_1, \dots, P_s be the prime ideals in (7). Assume that they are indexed such that $x_0 \in P_{r+1} \cap \dots \cap P_s$ and $x_0 \notin P_1 \cup \dots \cup P_r$. Let Z_1, \dots, Z_r be the affine varieties in K^n corresponding to P_1, \dots, P_r . Then $V = Z_1 \cup \dots \cup Z_r$.

By (8) for each Z_i we can find a finite collection of polynomials $\{g_{i,j}\}$ of degree at most $z_i := \deg Z_i = \deg P_i$ and a positive constant C_i such that g_{ij} vanishes on Z_i for each j and

$$\text{dist}(x, Z_i)^{z_i} \leq C_i \cdot \max_j \{|g_{i,j}(x)|\}.$$

Let e_1, \dots, e_s be as in (7). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(x, V)^{z_1 e_1 + \dots + z_r e_r} &\leq \prod_{i=1}^r \text{dist}(x, Z_i)^{z_i e_i} \\ (11) \qquad \qquad \qquad &\leq \prod_{i=1}^r C_i^{e_i} \prod_{i=1}^r \max_j \{|g_{i,j}(x)|^{e_i}\} \\ &\leq C' \cdot \max_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \left\{ \left| \prod_{i=1}^r g_{i,j_i}(x)^{e_i} \right| \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we need to understand the polynomials

$$\prod_{i=1}^r g_{i,j_i}(x)^{e_i}.$$

By (7) we conclude that

$$(12) \qquad x_0^{e_{r+1} + \dots + e_s} \prod_{i=1}^r g_{i,j_i}(x)^{e_i} \in (\bar{f}_1, \dots, \bar{f}_k).$$

Since the degree of g_{i,j_i} is at most z_i , by (7) the degree of the left-hand side in (12) is at most $\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)$. Thus there are polynomials $G_{i,j_1, \dots, j_r} \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ of degree at most $\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) - d_i$ such that

$$\prod_{i=1}^r g_{i,j_i}(x)^{e_i} = \sum_{i=1}^k G_{i,j_1, \dots, j_r} f_i.$$

Note that if $h \in K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has degree at most q then there is a constant C'' such that

$$|h(x)| \leq C'' \cdot (1 + \|x\|)^q.$$

Thus for a suitable constant C''

$$|G_{i,j_1, \dots, j_r}(x)| \leq C'' \cdot (1 + \|x\|)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) - d_i},$$

where C'' is independent of i, j_1, \dots, j_r . Therefore by (11)

$$(13) \quad \text{dist}(x, V)^{z_1 e_1 + \dots + z_r e_r} \leq C' \cdot \max_{j_1, \dots, j_r} \left\{ \left| \sum_i G_{i,j_1, \dots, j_r} f_i \right| \right\} \\ \leq k C' C'' \cdot \max_i \{|f_i(x)|\} \cdot (1 + \|x\|)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)}.$$

Take $m = \sum_{i=1}^r z_i e_i$ and $C = k C' C''$ to get (5). \square

Note that we proved in fact a slightly stronger statement:

$$(14) \quad \text{dist}(x, V)^m \leq C \cdot \max_i \{|f_i(x)|\} \cdot (1 + \|x\|)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, \dots, d_k) - d_i}.$$

In this form both the bound on m and the exponents of $1 + \|x\|$ are the best possible, provided that $d_i \neq 2$.

15. Example. This variant of an example given by Masser and Philippon (see [B1; K, 2.3]) shows that the upper bound on m in (14) is sharp (for $d_i \neq 2$).

Let $f_1 = x_2 - x_1^{d_1}, f_2 = x_3 - x_2^{d_2}, \dots, f_{n-1} = x_n - x_{n-1}^{d_{n-1}}, f_n = x_n^{d_n}$. Then $V(f_1, \dots, f_n) = \{0\}$. Let

$$x(t) = (t, t^{d_1}, t^{d_1 d_2}, \dots, t^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_{n-1}}).$$

Then $\text{dist}(x(t), 0) \approx |t|$ for small $|t|$ but

$$\max |f_i(x(t))| = |f_n(x(t))| = |t|^{d_1 d_2 \dots d_n}.$$

16. Example. This example shows that in some cases the only value of m that works in (5) is $m = 1$.

In $K[x, y]$ let $f_1 = y, f_2 = y(x-1)^s - x$ where $s \geq 2$. Then $\bar{B}(2, 1, s+1) = s+1$ and $V(f_1, f_2) = \{0\}$. Consider the family of points $z(t) = (t, t(t-1)^{-s})$. Then $f_2(z(t)) = 0$ and for large values of $|t|$ we have

$$\text{dist}(z(t), \{0\}) = \|z(t)\| \approx |t| \quad \text{and} \quad |f_1(z(t))| \approx |t|^{1-s}.$$

Thus

$$\max_{i=1,2} \{|f_i(z(t))|\} \cdot (1 + \|z(t)\|)^{\bar{B}(n, d_1, d_2) - d_i} = |f_1(z(t))| \cdot (1 + \|z(t)\|)^s \approx |t|.$$

Hence we must take $m = 1$ in (5).

This example also shows that the exponent 2 of $\|z\|$ in (6.2) cannot be made smaller if the degrees go to infinity.

17. *Remark.* One can interpret (5) as follows: Given a system of equations $f_1 = \cdots = f_k = 0$ over an algebraically closed field K , let (x_1, \dots, x_n) be an approximate solution. Then there is an actual solution near (x_1, \dots, x_n) . From this point of view the assumption that V be nonempty is very inconvenient. This form is especially interesting when the absolute value is non-archimedean, e.g. when K is the algebraic closure of a complete discrete valuation ring. However in this case one would like to prove a similar result without assuming that K is algebraically closed, or even for equations over any complete local ring. Such results are known [A, Chapter 6] but the bounds are probably far from being optimal.

18. *Remark.* Let us take this opportunity to correct an error in [K]. In the formulation of [K, Proposition 1.10] the exponent should be the above $B(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)$ instead of $N(n, d_1, \dots, d_k)$. (See [K, Section 4].) These two functions are conjecturally equal but the equality is proved only if all the d_i are different from 2 [K, 1.9].

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We wish to thank C. Berenstein, Y. Shimizu, A. Yger and S. Zucker for their helpful conversations about this work.

Partial financial support for the first author was provided by a University of Houston Research Initiation Grant. Partial financial support for the second author was provided by the NSF under grant numbers DMS-8707320 and DMS-8946082 and by an A. P. Sloan Research Fellowship. Partial financial support for the third author was provided by the NSF under grant numbers DMS-8701808 and DMS-8901571.

REFERENCES

- [A] M. Artin, *Algebraic approximation of structures over complete local rings*, Publ. Math. IHES **36** (1969), 23–58.
- [BY] C. A. Berenstein and A. Yger, *Bounds for the degrees in the division problem*, Michigan Math. J. **37** (1990), 25–43.
- [B1] W. D. Brownawell, *Local diophantine Nullstellen inequalities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 311–322.
- [B2] ———, *A prime power product version of the Nullstellensatz*, Michigan Math. J. (to appear).
- [K] J. Kollár, *Sharp effective Nullstellensatz*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **1** (1988), 963–975.
- [L1] S. Lojasiewicz, *Sur le problème de la division*, Studia Math **18** (1959), 87–136.
- [L2] ———, *Ensembles semi-analytiques*, IHES, Bures-sur-Yvette, 1965.
- [M] B. Malgrange, *Ideals of differentiable functions*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1966.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF HOUSTON, HOUSTON, TEXAS 77204

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF UTAH, SALT LAKE CITY, UTAH 84112

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY, BALTIMORE, MARYLAND 21218