

CONVERGENCE OF DIAGONAL PADÉ APPROXIMANTS FOR FUNCTIONS ANALYTIC NEAR 0

D. S. LUBINSKY

ABSTRACT. For functions analytic in a neighbourhood of 0, we show that at least for a subsequence of the diagonal Padé approximants, the point 0 attracts a zero proportion of the poles. The same is true for every “sufficiently dense” diagonal subsequence. Consequently these subsequences have a convergence in capacity type property, which is possibly the correct analogue of the Nuttall-Pommerenke theorem in this setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

Recall that if f is analytic near 0, then for $m, n \geq 0$, the m, n Padé approximant to f is a rational function $[m/n](z) = (P/Q)(z)$, where P, Q have degree $\leq m, n$ respectively, Q is not identically zero, and

$$(fQ - P)(z) = O(z^{m+n+1}), \quad z \rightarrow 0.$$

For functions meromorphic in \mathbb{C} , or even with singularities of capacity 0, it is known that the diagonal sequence $\{[n/n]\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ converges in capacity and in measure [11, 14]. Similar results are available in more general circumstances [3, 6, 16, 17, 19].

By contrast, for functions analytic only near 0, the full diagonal sequence of Padé approximants need not converge in capacity in any neighbourhood of zero [7, 8, 15], and moreover, at least for infinitely many n , $[n/n]$ may have at least $n - \log n$ poles arbitrarily near 0 [18]. (We could replace $\log n$ by any sequence increasing to ∞ .) The 1961 Baker-Gammel-Wills conjecture [1, 2] asserts that a subsequence of $\{[n/n]\}$ converges uniformly near 0, but at present it is not even known if a subsequence converges in capacity.

In this paper we show that, at least for a subsequence of $\{[n/n]\}$, the proportion of poles of $[n/n]$ near 0 shrinks to 0, in a certain sense. This result also holds for subsequences of $\{[n_j/n_j]\}$ provided $n_{j+1}/n_j \rightarrow 1$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$. Then we deduce a convergence in capacity type property. Since by a variable scaling $z \rightarrow rz$ any function analytic near 0 can be scaled to a function analytic in $|z| < 1$, the transformation properties of Padé approximants permit us to consider only the latter:

Received by the editors June 2, 1994; originally communicated to the *Proceedings of the AMS* by J. Marshall Ash.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 41A21, 30E10.

Key words and phrases. Padé approximants, convergence of diagonal Padé approximants, pole distribution.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f be analytic in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers with*

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} n_{j+1}/n_j = 1.$$

Let $0 < \delta < 1$. Then there exists an infinite sequence of positive integers \mathcal{S} with the following property: For $j \in \mathcal{S}$, the total multiplicity of poles of $[n_j/n_j]$ in $\{z : |z| \leq e^{-19/\delta}\}$ is at most δn_j .

Under a regularity assumption on the errors of best rational approximation, we can say the same for full sequences of Padé approximants: For $0 < \rho < 1$, we let

$$E_{nn}(f; \rho) := \inf\{\|f - R\|_{L_\infty(|z| \leq \rho)} : R \text{ is a rational function of type } (n, n)\}.$$

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that f is analytic in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, and that*

$$(1.2) \quad 0 < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n} \leq \kappa(\rho) \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n},$$

where $\kappa(\rho)$ is finite for $\rho \in (0, 1)$. Then for large enough n , the total multiplicity of poles of $[n/n](z)$ in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$ is at most δn , provided

$$(1.3) \quad \rho \kappa(\rho)^{1/\delta} \leq \exp(-19/\delta).$$

In particular, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n}$ exists for $0 < \rho < 1$, then for n large enough, the total multiplicity of poles of $[n/n](z)$ in $\{z : |z| \leq \exp(-19/\delta)\}$ is at most δn .

Remarks. (i) Similar results hold if we consider sectorial sequences of Padé approximants of the form $\{[m_j/n_j]\}$, where $\{m_j\}, \{n_j\}$ satisfy (1.1) and, for some fixed λ ,

$$1/\lambda \leq m_j/n_j \leq \lambda, \quad j \geq 1.$$

The formulation will be more complicated and the proofs will be messier, but we hope to attend to this in a subsequent paper. (See [9], where similar results were proved for functions analytic in \mathbb{C} except for singularities of capacity 0 and for general sectorial sequences $\{[m_j/n_j]\}$.)

(ii) Note that the size of the neighbourhood in which there are at most δn poles is a function of δ only, not of f . However, the factor e^{-19} is not optimal.

(iii) Note that if

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n} = 0,$$

then it is easy to see that a subsequence of the $[n/n]$ Padé approximants actually converges in capacity on compact subsets of $\{z : |z| < \rho\}$. Note too that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n} = 0,$$

then f belongs to the Gončar-Walsh class [3, 10], the $[n/n]$ Padé approximants converge in capacity in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$ [3, 19], and for $j \in \mathcal{S}$ all but $o(n_j)$ poles of $[n_j/n_j]$ leave every compact subset of $\{z : |z| < 1\}$ [9, 10].

Now we turn to *convergence in capacity*. Recall that, for a compact set K , the logarithmic capacity $\text{cap}(K)$ is defined by

$$\text{cap}(K) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\min_{P_n} \|P_n\|_{L_\infty(K)} \right)^{1/n},$$

where the minimum is taken over all monic polynomials P_n of degree n . For arbitrary S , the inner logarithmic capacity $\text{cap}(S)$ is defined by

$$\text{cap}(S) := \sup\{\text{cap}(K) : K \subset S, K \text{ compact}\}.$$

Convergence in capacity is essentially the same as convergence in measure. We say $f_n \rightarrow f$ in capacity in $\{z : |z| \leq r\}$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0$,

$$\text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq r \text{ and } |f - f_n|(z) \leq \varepsilon\} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The Nuttall-Pommerenke theorem [11, 14] and its extensions actually prove *geometric convergence in capacity* under suitable hypotheses on f :

$$\text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq r \text{ and } |f - [n/n](z) \geq \varepsilon^n\} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here we shall show that Theorem 1.1 implies a weak convergence in capacity property:

Given $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2}$, $A > 1$, there exists $\rho = \rho(A) < 1$ (independent of f) such that, for all n in a subsequence,

$$\text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq \rho : |f - [n/n](z) \geq \rho^{n\Delta}\} \leq \text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}^A = \rho^A.$$

The same estimate holds if we replace cap by planar Lebesgue measure or one-dimensional Hausdorff content.

The point is that, in most of $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$, $[n/n]$ is geometrically close to f , and we have a weak convergence in capacity property: The capacity (or area or one-dimensional Hausdorff content) of the set on which $[n/n]$ does not approximate is an arbitrarily small proportion of the total capacity (or area or content). I believe that in the setting of the following theorem the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 may possibly be the correct analogue of the Nuttall-Pommerenke theorem: Nothing more can be said of subsequences of $\{[n_j/n_j]\}$, other than this weak convergence in capacity type property near 0. Of subsequences of the full diagonal sequence $\{[n/n]\}$, the Baker-Gammel-Wills conjecture may well be true.

Theorem 1.3. *Let f be analytic in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2}$, $A > 1$ and $\rho := \frac{1}{2} \exp(-19A/(\frac{1}{2} - \Delta))$. Let $\{n_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ be an increasing sequence of positive integers satisfying (1.1). Then there exists an infinite sequence of positive integers \mathcal{S} with the following property: For $j \in \mathcal{S}$,*

$$\text{cap}\left\{z : |z| \leq \rho \text{ and } |f - [n_j/n_j](z) > \left(\frac{|z|}{\rho} \cdot \rho^\Delta\right)^{2n_j}\right\} \leq \text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}^A.$$

Remarks. (i) The restriction $\Delta < \frac{1}{2}$ is related to the exponent $\frac{1}{2}$ in the right-hand side of

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/(2n)} \leq \rho^{1/2}.$$

It is now known [12] that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/(2n)} \leq \rho.$$

Consequently, if we assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/(2n)}$ exists for $0 < \rho < 1$, then our proof allows us to replace $0 < \Delta < \frac{1}{2}$ by $0 < \Delta < 1$ and $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-19A/(\frac{1}{2} - \Delta))$ by $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-19A/(1 - \Delta))$ in the above result. In

that case also, the weak convergence in capacity will hold for the full diagonal sequence, and not just a subsequence.

(ii) The subsequence \mathcal{S} in Theorem 1.3 is the same sequence as in Theorem 1.1, with a suitable choice of $\delta = \delta(A)$.

We prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 in §2 and Theorem 1.3 in §3.

2. PROOF OF THEOREMS 1.1 AND 1.2

We shall use the notion of one-dimensional Hausdorff content:

$$m(E) := \inf \left\{ \sum_j \text{diam}(B_j) : E \subset \bigcup_j B_j \right\},$$

where the inf is taken over all countable collections of balls $\{B_j\}$ of diameters $\{\text{diam } B_j\}$ covering E . We first present four lemmas (at least two of which are standard), and then prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.1. Throughout \mathcal{P}_n denotes the polynomials of degree $\leq n$, and C, C_1, C_2, \dots denote constants independent of n, P , and z . The same symbol does not necessarily denote the same constant in different occurrences. In the sequel, $[n/n] = p_n/q_n$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $U \in \mathcal{P}_l \setminus \{0\}$ and $0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho$. Then there exists a set $\mathcal{E} \subset [0, \rho]$ such that $m(\mathcal{E}) \leq \varepsilon$ and, for $\sigma \in [0, \rho] \setminus \mathcal{E}$,*

$$(2.1) \quad \max\{|U(t)/U(z)| : |t| = \rho, |z| = \sigma\} \leq (12e\rho/\varepsilon)^l.$$

Proof. Split $U = cVW$, where $c \neq 0$, and V, W are monic polynomials of degree ν, ω , respectively, with zeros outside $|z| \leq 2\rho$, inside $|z| \leq 2\rho$, respectively. Now for $|a| \geq 2\rho, |t| = \rho, |z| \leq \rho$,

$$\left| \frac{t-a}{z-a} \right| \leq \frac{1+|t/a|}{1-|z/a|} \leq 3.$$

We deduce that

$$(2.2) \quad |V(t)/V(z)| \leq 3^\nu, \quad |t| = \rho, |z| \leq \rho.$$

Next, by Cartan's lemma [1, p. 174],

$$|W(z)| \geq (\varepsilon/4e)^\omega, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{F},$$

where $m(\mathcal{F}) \leq \varepsilon$. Then using an easy covering argument, we see that $\mathcal{E} := \{|z| : z \in \mathcal{F}\}$ also has $m(\mathcal{E}) \leq \varepsilon$. Moreover for $|t| = \rho$,

$$|W(t)| \leq (3\rho)^\omega.$$

These last two inequalities and (2.2) give (2.1). \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let f be analytic in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$. Let $0 < \varepsilon \leq \rho < 1$. There exists \mathcal{E}_n with $m(\mathcal{E}_n) \leq \varepsilon$, such that, for $\sigma \in [0, \rho] \setminus \mathcal{E}_n$,*

$$(2.3) \quad \max_{|z|=\sigma} |f - [n/n](z)| \leq E_{nn}(f; \rho) \left(\frac{12e\sigma}{\varepsilon} \right)^{2n} \frac{\sigma}{\rho - \sigma}.$$

In particular, for some $\rho_1 \in [\frac{1}{3}\rho, \frac{2}{3}\rho]$,

$$(2.4) \quad \max_{|z|=\rho_1} |f - [n/n](z)| \leq 2E_{nn}(f; \rho)(32e)^{2n}.$$

Proof. Let $r_n^* := p_n^*/q_n^*$ be the best approximant of type (n, n) to f on $|z| \leq \rho$. Then for $|z| < \rho$,

$$q_n^*(z)(fq_n - p_n)(z)/z^{2n+1} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=\rho} [q_n(t)(fq_n^* - p_n^*)(t)/t^{2n+1}] \frac{dt}{t-z}.$$

This is an easy consequence of Cauchy’s integral formula and the fact that, for any $\Pi \in \mathcal{P}_{2n}$,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=\rho} [\Pi(t)/t^{2n+1}] \frac{dt}{t-z} = 0.$$

(We chose $\Pi := p_n^*q_n - p_nq_n^*$.) We deduce that, for $\sigma < \rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \max_{|z|=\sigma} |f - [n/n](z)| \\ & \leq \left(\frac{\sigma}{\rho}\right)^{2n+1} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{(q_nq_n^*)(t)}{(q_nq_n^*)(z)} \right| : |t| = \rho, |z| = \sigma \right\} \frac{\rho}{\rho - \sigma} E_{nn}(f; \rho) \\ & \leq \left(\frac{12e\sigma}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2n} \frac{\sigma}{\rho - \sigma} E_{nn}(f; \rho), \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2.1, provided $\sigma \notin \mathcal{E}$, where $m(\mathcal{E}) \leq \varepsilon$. In particular, if $\varepsilon = \rho/4$, we can choose such a $\rho_1 := \sigma \in [\frac{1}{3}\rho, \frac{2}{3}\rho] \setminus \mathcal{E}$. \square

We shall need a lemma of Gončar and Grigorjan:

Lemma 2.3. *If g is analytic in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$ except for poles of total multiplicity m , none lying on $|z| = \rho$, and if $\mathcal{A}_\rho(g)$ denotes the analytic part of g in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$ (that is, g minus its principal parts in $|z| < \rho$), then*

$$\|\mathcal{A}_\rho(g)\|_{L_\infty(|z| \leq \rho)} \leq 7m^2 \|g\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\rho)}.$$

Proof. See [4]. For more precise results and references, see [5, 12, 13]. \square

Following is our main lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Let f be analytic in $\{z : |z| < 1\}$, and $0 < \rho < 1, K \geq 1$, with $3K\rho < 1$. If $[n/n]$ has $\tau = \tau(n)$ poles counting multiplicity in $\{z : |z| \leq K\rho\}$, then, for large enough n ,*

$$(2.5) \quad E_{n-\tau, n-\tau}(f; K\rho) \leq [e^{16K}]^n E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1+(\log 6K)/(\log 3K\rho)}.$$

Proof. Let S_m be the m th partial sum of the Maclaurin series of f . Let $\varepsilon := 3K\rho$. We have, for large enough m ,

$$\|f - S_m\|_{L_\infty(|z| \leq 2K\rho)} \leq \varepsilon^m.$$

Let $\langle x \rangle$ denote the largest integer $\leq x$. We let

$$m := \left\langle \frac{\log E_{nn}(f; \rho)}{\log \varepsilon} \right\rangle + 1,$$

so that $\varepsilon^m \leq E_{nn}(f; \rho)$. Let $\rho_1 \in [\frac{1}{3}\rho, \frac{2}{3}\rho]$ be as in Lemma 2.2. We deduce from (2.4) and our choice of m that, for n large enough,

$$\|S_m - [n/n]\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\rho_1)} \leq E_{nn}(f; \rho) \{1 + 2(32e)^{2n}\},$$

so

$$\|S_m q_n - p_n\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\rho_1)} \leq E_{nn}(f; \rho) 3(32e)^{2n} \|q_n\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\rho_1)}.$$

The Bernstein-Walsh lemma gives

$$\|S_m q_n - p_n\|_{L_\infty(|z| \leq 2K\rho)} \leq E_{nn}(f; \rho) 3(32e)^{2n} \|q_n\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\rho_1)} (6K)^{m+n}.$$

We deduce that, for $\sigma \leq 2K\rho$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - [n/n]\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\sigma)} &\leq \|f - S_m\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\sigma)} + \|S_m - [n/n]\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\sigma)} \\ &\leq E_{nn}(f; \rho) \left[1 + 3(32e)^{2n} (6K)^{m+n} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{q_n(t)}{q_n(z)} \right| : |t| = \rho_1, |z| = \sigma \right\} \right], \end{aligned}$$

provided, of course, that the right-hand side is finite. By Lemma 2.1 (with ρ there replaced by $2K\rho$, and $\varepsilon = \frac{3}{4}K\rho$), we can choose $\sigma_1 \in (K\rho, 2K\rho)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \left| \frac{q_n(t)}{q_n(z)} \right| : |t| = \rho_1, |z| = \sigma_1 \right\} &\leq \max \left\{ \left| \frac{q_n(t)}{q_n(z)} \right| : |t| = 2K\rho, |z| = \sigma_1 \right\} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{12e \cdot 2K\rho}{3K\rho/4} \right)^n = (32e)^n. \end{aligned}$$

Since f is analytic, $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}(f - [n/n]) = f - \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}([n/n])$. Also $\sigma_1 \geq K\rho$. Then Lemma 2.3 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}([n/n])\|_{L_\infty(|z| \leq K\rho)} &\leq \|f - \mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}([n/n])\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\sigma_1)} \\ &\leq 7n^2 \|f - [n/n]\|_{L_\infty(|z|=\sigma_1)} \leq 28n^2 [6K(32e)^3]^n (6K)^m E_{nn}(f; \rho) \\ &\leq E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1+\log 6K/\log 3K\rho} (e^{16}K)^n, \end{aligned}$$

for n large enough, by our choice of m and of $\varepsilon = 3K\rho$. Since $[n/n]$ has at least τ poles in $|z| \leq K\rho < \sigma_1$, $\mathcal{A}_{\sigma_1}([n/n])$ is a rational function of type $(n - \tau, n - \tau)$, and the result follows. \square

We turn to the proofs of the theorems. To indicate the ideas, we first prove the simpler Theorem 1.2. In the sequel, we let

$$A(\rho) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n}.$$

Recall (as in the Introduction) that if $A(\rho) = 0$ for some $\rho \in (0, 1)$, then, by a result of Gončar [3], $A(\rho) = 0$ for all $0 < \rho < 1$, and then stronger results are available [9]. So we assume that $A(\rho) > 0$ for all $\rho > 0$ in the sequel.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Assume that, for some $\delta \in (0, 1)$, $\rho \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$, and for n belonging to some infinite sequence of integers \mathcal{N} , $[n/n]$ has poles of total multiplicity $\geq \delta n$ in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$. We show that ρ cannot be too small assuming that \mathcal{N} is an infinite set. Applying Lemma 2.4 with $K = 1$ gives

$$E_{(n(1-\delta)), (n(1-\delta))}(f; \rho) \leq e^{16n} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1+\log 6/\log 3\rho}.$$

Taking n th roots, letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ through \mathcal{N} , and using (1.2) gives

$$[\kappa(\rho)^{-1} A(\rho)]^{1-\delta} \leq e^{16} A(\rho)^{1+\log 6/\log 3\rho}.$$

That is,

$$(2.6) \quad A(\rho)^{-\delta - \log 6/\log 3\rho} \leq e^{16} \kappa(\rho).$$

(Recall that (1.2) forces $\kappa(\rho) \geq 1$.) The exponent of $A(\rho)$ is negative if

$$(2.7) \quad \rho \leq \frac{1}{3} \exp\left(-\frac{\log 6}{\delta}\right) \left(\leq \frac{1}{18}\right).$$

Now $A(\rho) \leq \rho$ by analyticity of f in $|z| < 1$, so, for ρ satisfying (2.7), it follows from (2.6) that

$$\delta |\log \rho| \leq 16 + \log \kappa(\rho) + \log 6 \left| \frac{\log \rho}{\log 3\rho} \right|.$$

Here for $\rho \leq \frac{1}{18}$,

$$\log 6 \left| \frac{\log \rho}{\log 3\rho} \right| \leq \log 18 < 3.$$

So we obtain

$$\rho \kappa(\rho)^{1/\delta} > \exp(-19/\delta).$$

Therefore for large enough n , $[n/n]$ can have no more than δn poles in $\{z : |z| < \rho\}$ if $\rho \kappa(\rho)^{1/\delta} \leq \exp(-19/\delta)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The consequence of (1.1) that we shall use is

$$(2.8) \quad \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} E_{n_k, n_k}(f; \rho)^{1/n_k} = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n} = A(\rho).$$

This follows easily from the fact that $E_{nn}(f; \rho)$ is decreasing in n . Let $0 < \eta < \delta < 1$. For large enough k , we define $j = j(k)$ to be the largest integer j for which $n_k \geq n_j(1 - \eta)$, so that

$$n_{j(k)}(1 - \eta) \leq n_k < n_{j(k)+1}(1 - \eta).$$

Let $\tau_k := n_{j(k)} - n_k$. We see from (1.1) and our choice of $j(k)$ that

$$(2.9) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \tau_k/n_{j(k)} = \eta; \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} n_k/n_{j(k)} = 1 - \eta.$$

Suppose that for some $0 < \rho < 1$ and for large enough k , $[n_k/n_k]$ has more than δn_k poles in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$. Then for large enough k , and $j = j(k)$, $[n_{j(k)}/n_{j(k)}]$ has $> \delta n_{j(k)} > \tau_k$ poles in $\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}$ (recall that $\eta < \delta$). As $n_{j(k)} - \tau_k = n_k$, Lemma 2.4 (with $K = 1$) gives

$$E_{n_k, n_k}(f; \rho) \leq e^{16n_{j(k)}} E_{n_{j(k)}, n_{j(k)}}(f; \rho)^{1+(\log 6)/(\log 3\rho)}.$$

Taking $n_{j(k)}$ th roots in this last inequality, and then lim sups as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and using (2.8) and (2.9), give

$$A(\rho)^{1-\eta} \leq e^{16} A(\rho)^{1+(\log 6)/(\log 3\rho)}.$$

Since $\eta < \delta$ is arbitrary, we deduce that

$$A(\rho)^{1-\delta} \leq e^{16} A(\rho)^{1+(\log 6)/(\log 3\rho)}.$$

Then

$$A(\rho)^{-\delta-(\log 6)/(\log 3\rho)} \leq e^{16}.$$

This is the exact same relation as (2.6) with $\kappa(\rho) \equiv 1$. Proceeding exactly as in the previous proof with $\kappa(\rho) \equiv 1$, we obtain

$$\rho > \exp(-19/\delta). \quad \square$$

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

Let $0 < \rho < \frac{1}{2}$, $0 < \delta < 1$, $A > 1$, and assume that for n belonging to some infinite sequence of integers \mathcal{N} , $[n/n] = p_n/q_n$ has no more than δn

poles, counting multiplicity, in $\{z : |z| \leq 2\rho\}$. Let $r_n^* := p_n^*/q_n^*$ be a best approximation of type (n, n) to f on $\{z : |z| \leq 2\rho\}$. We begin with the identity from Lemma 2.2: For $|z| < 2\rho$,

$$(f - [n/n])(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{|t|=2\rho} \left(\frac{z}{t}\right)^{2n+1} \frac{(q_n^* q_n)(t) (f - r_n^*)(t)}{(q_n^* q_n)(z) t - z} dt.$$

We deduce that, for $|z| \leq \rho$,

$$(3.1) \quad |f - [n/n]|(z) \leq 2 \left(\frac{|z|}{2\rho}\right)^{2n} E_{nn}(f; 2\rho) \max_{|t|=2\rho} \left| \frac{(q_n^* q_n)(t)}{(q_n^* q_n)(z)} \right|.$$

Now for $n \geq n_0(\rho)$,

$$(3.2) \quad E_{nn}(f; 2\rho) \leq (3\rho)^n.$$

Recall that q_n^* has all zeros outside $|z| \leq 2\rho$. We split $q_n = S_n U_n$, where S_n is monic of degree $s_n \leq \delta n$ and has zeros in $|z| \leq 2\rho$, and U_n has zeros in $|z| > 2\rho$. Exactly as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we see that, for $|z| \leq \rho$,

$$(3.3) \quad \max_{|t|=\rho} \left| \frac{(q_n^* U_n)(t)}{(q_n^* U_n)(z)} \right| \leq 3^{2n}.$$

Next, as S_n is monic, the set $\mathcal{E}_n := \{z : |S_n(z)| \leq \rho^{As_n}\}$ has $\text{cap}(\mathcal{E}_n) = \rho^A$. Then as in Lemma 2.1, since S_n has all its zeros in $|u| \leq 2\rho$, we have, for $|z| \leq \rho, z \notin \mathcal{E}_n$,

$$(3.4) \quad \max_{|t|=\rho} \left| \frac{(S_n)(t)}{(S_n)(z)} \right| \leq \left(\frac{3\rho}{\rho^A}\right)^{s_n} \leq (3\rho^{-A})^{\delta n}.$$

Combining (3.1)–(3.4), we have, for $|z| \leq \rho, z \notin \mathcal{E}_n$,

$$|f - [n/n]|(z)^{1/(2n)} \leq 2^{1/2n} \frac{|z|}{2\rho} (3\rho)^{1/2} 3(3\rho^{-A})^{\delta/2} \leq \frac{|z|}{\rho} 8\rho^{(1-A\delta)/2} \leq \frac{|z|}{\rho} \rho^A,$$

provided

$$(3.5) \quad \rho^{1/2-\Delta-A\delta/2} \leq \frac{1}{8}.$$

In summary, we have shown that, for large enough $n \in \mathcal{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cap} \left\{ z : |z| \leq \rho \text{ and } |f - [n/n]|(z)^{1/2n} > \frac{|z|}{\rho} \rho^A \right\} &\leq \text{cap}(\mathcal{E}_n) \\ &= \rho^A = \text{cap}\{z : |z| \leq \rho\}^A, \end{aligned}$$

provided (3.5) holds. Let us choose δ and ρ by

$$A\delta = \frac{1}{2} - \Delta, \quad 2\rho = \exp(-19/\delta) = \exp(-19A/(\frac{1}{2} - \Delta)).$$

Then

$$\rho^{1/2-\Delta-A\delta/2} = \rho^{(1/2-\Delta)/2} \leq \exp(-19A/2) \leq \exp(-19/2),$$

as $A \geq 1$, so (3.5) is satisfied. Finally, with this choice of δ and ρ , Theorem 1.1 guarantees that, given $\{n_j\}$ satisfying (1.1), we can find infinitely many j such that for $n = n_j, j \in \mathcal{S}$; that is, $[n_j/n_j]$ has at most δn_j poles in $\{z : |z| \leq 2\rho\}$. \square

We remark that when the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E_{nn}(f; \rho)^{1/n}$$

exists, then the aforementioned result of Parfenov guarantees that it is $\leq \rho^2$. Then we can replace (3.2) by

$$E_{nn}(f; 2\rho) \leq (3\rho^2)^n.$$

Proceeding as before, we see that we can then choose $\rho = \frac{1}{2} \exp(-19A/(1-\Delta))$, for any $0 < \Delta < 1$.

REFERENCES

1. G. A. Baker, *Essentials of Padé approximants*, Academic Press, New York, 1975.
2. G. A. Baker and P. R. Graves-Morris, *Padé approximants*. Part 1: *Basic theory*, Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Vol. 13, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981.
3. A. A. Gončar, *A local condition of single-valuedness of analytic functions*, Math. USSR Sb. **18** (1972), 151–167.
4. A. A. Gončar and L. D. Grigorjan, *On estimates of the norm of the holomorphic component of a meromorphic function*, Math. USSR Sb. **28** (1976), 571–575.
5. L. D. Grigorjan, *Estimates of the norm of the holomorphic components of functions meromorphic in domains with a smooth boundary*, Math. USSR Sb. **29** (1976), 139–146.
6. J. Karlsson, *Rational interpolation and best rational approximation*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **53** (1976), 38–52.
7. D. S. Lubinsky, *Diagonal Padé approximants and capacity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **78** (1980), 58–67.
8. ———, *Divergence of complex rational approximations*, Pacific J. Math. **108** (1983), 141–153.
9. ———, *Distribution of poles of diagonal rational approximants to functions of fast rational approximability*, Constr. Approx. **7** (1991), 501–519.
10. ———, *Spurious poles in diagonal rational approximation*, Progress in Approximation Theory: An International Perspective (A. A. Gončar and E. B. Saff, eds.), Springer Ser. Comput. Math., vol. 9, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1992, pp. 191–213.
11. J. Nuttall, *The convergence of Padé approximants of meromorphic functions*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **31** (1970), 147–153.
12. O. G. Parfenov, *Estimates of the singular numbers of the Carleson imbedding operator*, Math. USSR Sb. **59** (1988), 497–514.
13. A. A. Pekarskii, *Best rational approximations in the complex domain*, Proc. Steklov. Inst. Math. **190** (1992), 231–243.
14. Ch. Pommerenke, *Padé approximants and convergence in capacity*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **41** (1973), 775–780.
15. E. A. Rahmanov, *On the convergence of Padé approximants in classes of holomorphic functions*, Math. USSR Sb. **40** (1981), 149–155.
16. H. Stahl, *A note on three conjectures by Gonchar on rational approximation*, J. Approx. Theory **50** (1987), 3–7.
17. ———, *General convergence results for Padé approximants*, Approximation Theory VI (C. K. Chui et al., eds.), Academic Press, San Diego, CA, 1989, pp. 605–634.
18. H. Wallin, *The convergence of Padé approximants and the size of the power series coefficients*, Applicable Anal. **4** (1974), 235–251.
19. ———, *Potential theory and approximation of analytic functions by rational interpolation*, Proc. Colloq. Complex Analysis at Joensuu, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 747, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1979, pp. 434–450.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF THE WITWATERSRAND, P.O. WITS 2050, JOHANNESBURG, SOUTH AFRICA

E-mail address: 036dsl@cosmos.wits.ac.za