

REGULARITY THEORY AND TRACES OF \mathcal{A} -HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

PEKKA KOSKELA, JUAN J. MANFREDI, AND ENRIQUE VILLAMOR

ABSTRACT. In this paper we discuss two different topics concerning \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions. These are weak solutions of the partial differential equation

$$\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)) = 0,$$

where $\alpha(x)|\xi|^{p-1} \leq \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \xi), \xi \rangle \leq \beta(x)|\xi|^{p-1}$ for some fixed $p \in (1, \infty)$, the function β is bounded and $\alpha(x) > 0$ for a.e. x . First, we present a new approach to the regularity of \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions for $p > n - 1$. Secondly, we establish results on the existence of nontangential limits for \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions in the Sobolev space $W^{1,q}(\mathbb{B})$, for some $q > 1$, where \mathbb{B} is the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^n . Here q is allowed to be different from p .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we study weak solutions of the partial differential equation

$$(1.1) \quad \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)) = 0,$$

where $1 < p < \infty$ and

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha(x)|\xi|^{p-1} \leq \langle \mathcal{A}(x, \xi), \xi \rangle \leq \beta(x)|\xi|^{p-1}.$$

Here $\beta(x)$ is a bounded function, but we do not ask for the boundedness of $1/\alpha(x)$, but only ask that $\alpha(x) > 0$ for a.e. x . Solutions of (1.1) are called \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions. The prototype of these equations is the p -Laplace equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u) = 0.$$

Two topics will be discussed. When $p > n - 1$, where n is the dimension of the ambient space, we will prove that weak solutions of (1.1) are indeed continuous except for a singular set of p -capacity zero, which is empty when $p = n$. In the uniformly elliptic case $\alpha(x) > \alpha > 0$, we will present a simple approach to the Harnack inequality for nonnegative solutions. We do not use the Moser iteration method as in Serrin [S], but rather exploit the fact that for $p > n - 1$, the Sobolev embedding theorem on spheres and the maximum principle is all that is needed. Our key observation is that weak solutions of (1.1) are monotone in a certain weak sense as described in [M]. In the borderline case $p = n$ this program is essentially done in [G]. See also [GLM] and [H].

Received by the editors June 7, 1994 and, in revised form, January 23, 1995.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 35B65; Secondary 31B25.

Research of the first author was partially supported by the Academy of Finland and NSF grant DMS-9305742.

Research of the second author was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-9101864 .

In the second part of the paper, we consider the uniformly elliptic case and general $1 < p < \infty$, and present generalizations and simplifications of a number of theorems on the existence of nontangential limits of weak solutions of (1.1) in a ball \mathbb{B} with finite q -Dirichlet integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla u|^q dx,$$

for some $q > 1$. Note that q is allowed to be different from p . The first result in this direction goes back to Beurling [B], who proved that if u is a harmonic function with finite Dirichlet integral in the unit disk \mathbb{B}^2 , then the set on $\partial\mathbb{B}^2$ where u fails to have a nontangential limit has logarithmic capacity zero. In Carleson's book [C] Beurling's result is extended to continuous Sobolev functions with finite (even weighted) Dirichlet integral where the logarithmic capacity is replaced by an appropriate Riesz capacity and nontangential limits by radial limits. If the function is, in addition, meromorphic this statement also holds for nontangential limits. Nowadays the existence of radial limits for continuous Sobolev functions is well known in any dimension, see [Mi1] and [R], as well as the fact that in this generality a function need not have a single nontangential limit as it can be seen in [C] for the case $n = p = 2$.

To pass from radial to nontangential limits we need to work with solutions of elliptic partial differential equations. Notice, however, that for $p > n - 1$ monotonicity of the functions in the Sobolev class is all that is needed as is shown in [MV]. We should also mention here that the linear case is well understood [Mi2], [NRS]. Our approach to study the boundary behavior is based on the weak Harnack inequality and pointwise regularity of Sobolev functions, and so, we are able to obtain the existence of nontangential limits in the framework of the **nonlinear** potential theory of \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions.

In the last section of the paper we apply our methods to the components of quasiregular mappings and improve a result of Martio and Rickman [MR] on the existence of nontangential limits of quasiregular mappings with restricted growth on their counting function.

2. REGULARITY OF SOLUTIONS FOR $p > n - 1$

Let $\alpha(x)$ be a measurable function and β a constant such that for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$0 < \alpha(x) \leq \beta < \infty.$$

Let $\mathcal{A}: \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be a mapping satisfying the following assumptions:

$$(2.1) \quad \text{the mapping } x \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \text{ is measurable for all } \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \text{the mapping } \xi \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \text{ is continuous for a.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(2.3) \quad \mathcal{A}(x, \xi) \cdot \xi \geq \alpha(x)|\xi|^p$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad |\mathcal{A}(x, \xi)| \leq \beta|\xi|^{p-1}.$$

Conditions (2.1) and (2.2) insure that the composed mapping $x \mapsto \mathcal{A}(x, g(x))$ is measurable whenever g is measurable. The degenerate ellipticity of the equation is described by condition (2.3). This is the weakest ellipticity condition that still gives

the (weak) maximum principle. Finally, condition (2.4) guarantees that $\mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u)$ can be integrated against functions in $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with compact support.

Usually, it is also required that $\alpha(x) > \alpha > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$. We **do not make** this assumption at this moment.

A function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, where Ω is a domain of \mathbb{R}^n , is \mathcal{A} -harmonic if it is a weak solution of equation (1.1); that is, for every $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ we have

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Omega} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla \phi(x) \, dx = 0.$$

A simple approximation argument shows that (2.5) then holds for compactly supported functions in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$.

Recall that a function $u \in W_{\text{loc}}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ is weakly monotone [M] if for every relatively compact subdomain Ω' of Ω and for every pair of constants $m \leq M$ such that

$$(m - u)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$$

and

$$(u - M)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega'),$$

we have

$$(2.6) \quad m \leq u(x) \leq M \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega'.$$

Lemma 2.7. *\mathcal{A} -harmonic functions are weakly monotone.*

Proof. Using $(u - M)^+$ as a test function in (2.5) we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega' \cap \{x: u(x) > M\}} \mathcal{A}(x, \nabla u(x)) \cdot \nabla u(x) \, dx = 0.$$

It then follows from (2.3) that

$$\int_{\Omega' \cap \{x: u(x) > M\}} \alpha(x) |\nabla u(x)|^p \, dx \leq 0.$$

Therefore, $\nabla u(x) = 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega' \cap \{x : u(x) > M\}$. This implies that $\nabla(u - M)^+$ vanishes a.e. in Ω' , and thus $(u - M)^+$ must be the zero function in Ω' . The proof for the lower bound of u is analogous. \square

We can now apply the results (whose proofs only employ the Sobolev embedding theorem on spheres) in [M] on weakly monotone functions to obtain

Theorem 2.8. *Let u be an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function in a domain Ω .*

Suppose that $n - 1 < p < n$. Then u is locally bounded with

$$(2.9) \quad (\text{ess-osc}_{B_r(x_0)} u)^p \leq C(n, p) r^p \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\nabla u|^p \, dx$$

whenever $B(x_0, 2r) \subset \Omega$.

Moreover, u is continuous in Ω , except for a set of p -capacity zero. In the case $p = n$, u is continuous in Ω . Moreover,

$$(2.10) \quad (\text{osc}_{B(x_0, r)} u)^n \leq C(n) \left(\log \left(\frac{R}{r} \right) \right)^{-1} \int_{B(x_0, R)} |\nabla u|^n \, dx$$

whenever $B(x_0, R) \subset \Omega$ and $r < R$.

The following corollary provides new information even in the linear two dimensional case $n = 2$.

Corollary 2.11. *Let Ω be an open set in \mathbb{R}^n and $u \in W_{loc}^{1,n}(\Omega)$ be a local weak solution of the equation*

$$\operatorname{div}(\alpha(x)|\nabla u|^{n-2}\nabla u) = 0,$$

where $\alpha(x) > 0$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega$. Then u is continuous and its modulus of continuity can be estimated by (2.10).

We observe here that in the case $p < n$ there are examples of weakly monotone functions that are not continuous (see [M]).

On the other hand, \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions are continuous in the uniformly elliptic case

$$(2.12) \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \alpha(x),$$

since they are always Hölder continuous. This was proved, together with the Harnack inequality for nonnegative \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions by Serrin [S] for the complete range of p 's, $1 < p < \infty$.

We now give a simple proof of the Harnack inequality for nonnegative \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions, $n - 1 < p$, assuming the uniform ellipticity condition (2.12).

First we need a lemma which is trivial for continuous monotone functions but requires some work for weakly monotone functions.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $u \in W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$, $p > 1$, be a nonnegative weakly monotone function and $\phi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be C^1 -smooth, satisfying $\phi' > 0$ and onto, such that $\nabla(\phi \circ u) \in L_{loc}^p(\Omega)$. Then $\phi \circ u$ is weakly monotone.*

Proof. Let Ω' be a relatively compact subdomain of Ω and $M \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(\phi(u) - M)^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$. We have to show that

$$(2.14) \quad \phi(u(x)) \leq M \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega'.$$

Choose $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $\phi(\alpha) = M$ and set $u_k = \min(u, k)$ for a positive integer k . Then, $u_k \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$ and $0 \leq u_k \leq u$. By the monotonicity of ϕ we conclude that

$$0 \leq (\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+ \leq (\phi(u) - \phi(\alpha))^+,$$

which implies $(\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+ \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Select a sequence of nonnegative functions $\phi_m \in C_0^\infty(\Omega') \cap W_0^{1,p}(\Omega')$ such that $\phi_m \rightarrow (\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Note that since u_k is bounded, it is possible to choose this sequence so that it is uniformly bounded in Ω' . It then easily follows that $\phi^{-1} \circ \phi_m \rightarrow \phi^{-1}((\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+)$ in $W^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Note that $\phi^{-1} \circ \phi_m \geq \phi^{-1}(0)$ and $\phi^{-1} \circ \phi_m - \phi^{-1}(0)$ has compact support in Ω' . We conclude that

$$\phi^{-1}((\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+) - \phi^{-1}(0) \in W_0^{1,p}(\Omega').$$

At this point observe that for some constant $C(\phi, \alpha, k) > 0$ depending possibly on ϕ , α and k , we have

$$\phi^{-1}((\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+) - \phi^{-1}(0) \geq C(\phi, \alpha, k) (\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))$$

whenever $u_k > \alpha$. By possibly modifying $C(\phi, \alpha, k) > 0$ we obtain

$$0 \leq C(\phi, \alpha, k)(u_k - \alpha)^+ \leq \phi^{-1}((\phi(u_k) - \phi(\alpha))^+) - \phi^{-1}(0).$$

Therefore, we conclude that $(u_k - \alpha)^+ \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$ we deduce that $(u - \alpha)^+ \in W^{1,p}(\Omega')$. Since u is weakly monotone we must have $u(x) \leq \alpha$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega'$, which implies (2.14). The proof for the lower bound of $\phi \circ u$ is analogous. \square

Lemma 2.15. *Assume that (2.12) holds and that $n - 1 < p$. Let $u > \epsilon > 0$ be \mathcal{A} -harmonic in Ω . Then $\log u$ belongs to $W_{loc}^{1,p}(\Omega)$ with bounds independent of ϵ , and is weakly monotone.*

Proof. We start with a known trick. Let $B(x_0, 2r) \in \Omega$ and let $\eta \in C_0^\infty(B(x_0, 2r))$ be a nonnegative test function. Using the function $\eta^p u^{1-p}$ in (2.5) and applying (2.12) we get

$$\int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\nabla \log u|^p \eta^p dx \leq c(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \int_{B(x_0, 2r)} |\nabla \eta|^p dx.$$

Choosing $\eta(x) = 1$ for $x \in B(x_0, r)$ we get

$$(2.16) \quad \int_{B(x_0, r)} |\nabla \log u|^p dx \leq c(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) r^{n-p}.$$

The conclusion now follows from the previous lemma. \square

Theorem 2.17. *Assume that the uniform ellipticity condition (2.12) is satisfied and that we are in the range $n - 1 < p$. Let $u \geq 0$ be a nonnegative \mathcal{A} -harmonic function and B a ball such that $4B \subset \Omega$. Then, we have*

$$(2.18) \quad \sup_{x \in B} u(x) \leq C(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) \inf_{x \in B} u(x),$$

where $C(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ is a constant depending only on n , p and $\frac{\beta}{\alpha}$.

Proof. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and consider the \mathcal{A} -harmonic function $v = u + \epsilon$. It is enough to prove estimate (2.18) for v with a constant $C(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ independent of ϵ . By Lemma (2.15), $\log v$ is weakly monotone and

$$(2.19) \quad \int_{2B} |\nabla \log v|^p dx \leq c(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha}) |B|^{1-p/n}.$$

By virtue of Theorem 2.8, $\log v$ is locally bounded and

$$(2.20) \quad (\text{ess-osc}_B(\log v))^p \leq C(n, p) |B|^{p/n} \int_{2B} |\nabla \log v|^p dx.$$

From (2.19) and (2.20) it follows that

$$\text{ess-osc}_B(\log v) \leq C(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$$

and exponentiating we obtain (2.18). \square

Remark 2.21. A new feature of the proof above is that the constant $C(n, p, \frac{\beta}{\alpha})$ is actually independent of p for p large, say $p \geq n$. One of us (Manfredi) and Linqdvist [LM] have recently used this fact to give a proof of the Harnack inequality for nonnegative viscosity solutions of the ∞ -Laplacian

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = 0.$$

3. EXISTENCE OF NONTANGENTIAL LIMITS

In this section we prove results on boundary limits for Dirichlet finite \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions. Throughout this section we assume that $\alpha(x) > \alpha > 0$ for a.e. x .

First we show that q -Dirichlet finite \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions u , defined in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n of \mathbb{R}^n , have nontangential limits everywhere on the boundary of the unit ball except possibly on a set E of Bessel $B_{1,q}$ -capacity zero, $1 < q \leq n$.

The case $q > n$ is not interesting because then u is continuous up to the boundary by the Sobolev embedding theorem. Recall that an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function u of \mathbb{B}^n is continuous in \mathbb{B}^n .

Theorem 3.1. *Let u be an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function in the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n of \mathbb{R}^n (no restriction on the type of \mathcal{A}). If $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx < \infty$ for some $1 < q \leq n$, then the function u has nontangential limits on all radii terminating outside a set of q -capacity zero.*

The proof of Theorem 3.1 is based on the following two well known lemmas.

Lemma 3.2 [Z, Theorem 3.10.2]. *Let $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $1 < q \leq n$. Then*

$$(3.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B(x,r)} |u(y) - u(x)|^q dy = 0$$

except for x in a set $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ of q -capacity zero.

Lemma 3.4 [HKM, Theorem 3.34]. *Let u be an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function in \mathbb{B}^n , and fix $q > 0$. Then, there exists a constant C such that for each ball $B = B(x,r) \subset \mathbb{B}^n$ and all $a \in \mathbb{R}$*

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{2}B} |u(y) - a| \leq C \left(\int_B |u(y) - a|^q dy \right)^{1/q},$$

where $\frac{1}{2}B = B(x, r/2)$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Since $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx < \infty$, it follows from the Poincaré inequality that $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Hence, by standard extension theorems, we may assume that $u \in W^{1,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. We show that u has a nontangential limit for each $x \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ for which (3.3) holds. The claim then follows from Lemma 3.2. Fix a $w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ for which (3.3) holds. We denote by $C(w)$ the Stolz cone at w with a fixed given aperture. Then we can find a constant $c_n \geq 1$, depending only on the aperture and n , such that for all $x \in C(w)$

$$|w - x| \leq c_n(1 - |x|).$$

Pick $x \in C(w)$. Then, we have that

$$B(x, (1 - |x|)/2) \subset B(w, (c_n + \frac{1}{2})(1 - |x|)),$$

and hence, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x) - u(w)| &\leq C \left(\int_{B(x, (1 - |x|)/2)} |u(y) - u(x)|^q dy \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C' \left(\int_{B(w, (c_n + \frac{1}{2})(1 - |x|))} |u(y) - u(w)|^q dy \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

The claim follows by applying (3.3). \square

In Theorem 3.1, \mathcal{A} -harmonicity was not essential but merely the version of the weak Harnack inequality (Lemma 3.4) satisfied by the solutions to a large class of elliptic nonlinear P.D.E.'s. We continue by showing that Dirichlet finite \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions also possess “tangential” limits. By saying *tangential limits of order $\gamma \geq 1$* , we mean that u has a limit as $y \rightarrow w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ with $(1 - |y|) \geq C|y - w|^\gamma$ for some constant C .

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that u is an \mathcal{A} -harmonic function in \mathbb{B}^n , and suppose that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u|^q dx < \infty,$$

for some $1 < q \leq n$. If $1 < q < n$ and $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{n-1}{n-q}$, then u has tangential limits of order γ outside a set of vanishing \mathcal{H}^λ -Hausdorff measure, $\lambda = \gamma(n - q)$. If $q = n$, then there is a set of vanishing n -capacity such that u has tangential limits of any order outside this set.

Remark 3.6. For harmonic functions, this result is due to Mizuta [Mi3]. Mizuta also allows weights of the type $w(x) = (1 - |x|)^\alpha$, $\alpha < q - 1$. We will comment on results with weights of this type below.

We record the following lemma, see [Z, Corollary 3.2.3], [Mi3, Lemma 2].

Lemma 3.7. *Suppose that $v \in L^1(\mathbb{B}^n)$, and let $0 \leq \lambda \leq n - 1$. Then for any $w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$, except for w in a set $E \subset \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ of \mathcal{H}^λ -Hausdorff measure zero*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B(w,r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |v| dy}{r^\lambda} = 0.$$

Moreover, for any $w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$, except for w in a set $E \subset \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ of n -capacity zero,

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} (\log \frac{1}{r})^{n-1} \int_{B(w,r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |v| dy = 0.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.5. Let first $1 < q < n$. Assume that u has a radial limit at $w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$, and suppose that

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B(w,r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(y)|^q dy}{r^{\gamma(n-q)}} = 0.$$

By Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.7, this holds for every $w \in \partial\mathbb{B}^n$, except possibly for a set of $\gamma(n - q)$ -Hausdorff measure zero. Fix $y \in \mathbb{B}^n$ with $|w - y| < 1$, and set $B_y = B(y, (1 - |y|)/2)$. Then, by Lemma 3.4,

$$\sup_{\frac{1}{2}B_y} |u(z) - u_{B_y}| \leq C \left(\int_{B_y} |u(x) - u_{B_y}|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Here and in what follows, all the constants will be denoted by C . Using Poincaré's inequality we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{\frac{1}{2}B_y} |u(z) - u_{B_y}| &\leq C \left(\int_{B_y} |u(x) - u_{B_y}|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ (3.8) \quad &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(3.9) \quad \text{osc}_{\frac{1}{2}B_y} u \leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q}.$$

Since $B_y \subset B(w, r)$ for $r = 2|w - y|$, we arrive at

$$(3.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \text{osc}_{\frac{1}{2}B_y} u &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B(w, r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Let x_0 be the point on the radius to w belonging to $S^{n-1}(w, |w - y|)$. By the above argument, (3.9) holds with y replaced by y' , for each $y' \in S^{n-1}(w, |w - y|) \cap \mathbb{B}^n$. Pick points $y = y_0, \dots, y_k = x_0$ belonging to $S^{n-1}(w, |w - y|)$ so that the balls $\frac{1}{2}B_{y_j}$ form a chain of balls. By a chain of balls we mean that the intersection of any pair of consecutive balls is non-empty, the diameters increase in a geometric sequence, and only consecutive balls overlap. Then (3.10) yields

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_0) - u(y)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k |u(y_j) - u(y_{j-1})| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^k (1 - |y_j|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_{y_j}} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C (1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B(w, r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $u(x_0)$ tends to a limit as $x_0 \rightarrow w$ radially. Since

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\int_{B(w, r)} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx}{r^{\gamma(n-q)}} = 0,$$

and $r = 2|w - y|$, the claim follows (we require that $(1 - |y|) \geq C|w - y|^\gamma$). Let us finally consider the case $q = n$. Then (3.9) reads

$$\text{osc}_{\frac{1}{2}B_y} u \leq C \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n},$$

and by a chaining argument as above we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |u(x_0) - u(y)| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^k |u(y_j) - u(y_{j-1})| \\ &\leq C \sum_{j=1}^k \left(\int_{B_{y_j}} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} \\ &\leq C k^{1-1/n} \left(\int_{\bigcup_{j=1}^k B_{y_j}} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n} \\ &\leq C k^{1-1/n} \left(\int_{B(w,r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^n dx \right)^{1/n}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that no point in \mathbb{B}^n belongs to more than $C(n)$ of the balls B_{y_j} associated with our chain. We leave it to the reader to check that we can take $k \leq C \log(\frac{1}{1-|y|})$. The claim then follows as in the first part of the proof applying Lemma 3.7. \square

Theorem 3.11. *Let u be \mathcal{A} -harmonic in \mathbb{B}^n . If*

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q (1 - |x|)^\alpha dx < \infty,$$

where $1 < q < n$ and $q - n < \alpha < q - 1$, then u has tangential limits of order $1 < \gamma \leq \frac{n-1}{n-q+\alpha}$ outside a set of vanishing $\gamma(n - q + \alpha)$ -Hausdorff measure on $\partial\mathbb{B}^n$.

Proof. We replace dx by $w(x) dx$, $w(x) = (1 - |x|)^\alpha$, in Lemma 3.7. Then (3.9) reads

$$\begin{aligned} \text{osc}_{B_y} u &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n}{q}} \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^q dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n-\alpha}{q}} \left(\int_{B_y} |\nabla u(x)|^q w(x) dx \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq C(1 - |y|)^{\frac{q-n-\alpha}{q}} \left(\int_{B(w,r) \cap \mathbb{B}^n} |\nabla u(x)|^q w(x) dx \right)^{1/q}. \end{aligned}$$

The existence of radial limits follows from [Mi2], and then the rest of the argument is analogous to the proof of Theorem 3.5. \square

4. NONTANGENTIAL LIMITS FOR QUASIREGULAR MAPPINGS

Let $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n)$ denote the local Sobolev space of functions in $L_{\text{loc}}^n(\mathbb{B}^n)$ whose distributional derivatives belong to $L_{\text{loc}}^n(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Consider a mapping

$$f: \mathbb{B}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$$

whose coordinate functions belong to $W_{\text{loc}}^{1,n}(\mathbb{B}^n)$. Denote by $J_f(x)$ the Jacobian determinant $\det(Df(x))$. For a.e. $x \in \mathbb{B}^n$ the *dilatation* of f is defined by

$$K(x) = \frac{|Df(x)|^n}{J_f(x)},$$

and it satisfies $K(x) \geq c_n$. If $K(x) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{B}^n)$, then f is said to be a quasiregular mapping.

It is well known, see [HKM], that the coordinate functions of a quasiregular mapping are \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions of type n . Therefore, all the results of the previous section apply to quasiregular mappings.

Let E be a subset of the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n in \mathbb{R}^n . We define $n(y; f, E) = \text{card}\{x \in E: f(x) = y\}$, and $N(f, E) = \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} n(y; f, E)$. $N(f, E)$ is called the *multiplicity function* of f . In this section we prove that a certain restriction on the growth of the multiplicity function of f implies the existence of nontangential limits. More precisely, we establish

Theorem 4.1. *Let f be a quasiregular mapping of \mathbb{B}^n , and suppose that, for some $0 \leq a < n - 1$, $N(f, B(0, r)) \leq C(1 - r)^{-a}$ for all $0 < r < 1$.*

If $|f(x)| \leq C(1 - |x|)^{-b}$ for some $0 \leq b < \infty$, then f has nontangential limits at all points on the boundary of the unit ball except possibly on a set E with $\dim_H(E) < \frac{na}{1+a}$.

Before proving Theorem 4.1, let us make some remarks.

Remarks 4.2. (1) Notice that $na/(1+a) < n - 1$ when $a < n - 1$. Hence Theorem 4.1 extends a result of Martio and Rickman [MR] according to which a bounded quasiregular mapping f of \mathbb{B}^n satisfying the growth condition of Theorem 4.1 on the multiplicity function has nontangential limits at all points on the boundary of the unit ball except possibly on a set of $(n - 1)$ -Hausdorff measure zero.

(2) There are analytic functions of arbitrarily slow growth without a single radial limit; see [ML]. Thus some condition on f is needed.

(3) Notice that b plays no role in the conclusion of Theorem 4.1. If f is quasiconformal, then $|f(x)| \leq C(1 - |x|)^{-b}$, for some $b = b(n, K) < \infty$, and we may take $a = 0$. Therefore we recover that quasiconformal mappings have nontangential limits everywhere except possibly on a set $E \subset \partial\mathbb{B}^n$ of Hausdorff dimension zero. As is well known, this holds outside a set of vanishing n -capacity, see [V]. This appears to indicate that our conclusion is fairly sharp.

(4) The main idea in the proof of Theorem 4.1 is to reduce our situation to the case of a Dirichlet finite quasiregular mapping, and then apply results of the previous section. Notice that f also has some ‘‘tangential’’ limits as seen by applying Theorem 3.5 instead of Theorem 3.1 at the last step of the proof. The proof of Theorem 4.1 shows that $|Dg(x)|^q$ is integrable for all $0 < q < 1$ for a bounded quasiregular mapping g of \mathbb{B}^n . For a related result on \mathcal{A} -harmonic functions see [KK].

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Fix $1 < q < \frac{n}{1+a}$. For $\epsilon > 0$ define $g(x) = x|x|^{\epsilon-1}$. Pick $\epsilon > 0$ so that $h(x) = g(f(x))$ satisfies $|h(x)| \leq C(1 - |x|)^{-s}$, for some $s \geq 0$ with $q < \frac{n}{1+a+ns}$.

Then, h is a quasiregular mapping of the unit ball \mathbb{B}^n . It easily follows that if $h(x) \rightarrow z$ as $x \rightarrow w$ along $\Omega \subset \mathbb{B}^n$, then also f has a limit as $x \rightarrow w$ through Ω .

Write $R_j = \{x: 1 - 2^{-j} < |x| \leq 1 - 2^{-j-1}\}$. Now

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_j} |Dh(x)|^q dx \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{R_j} |Dh(x)|^n dx \right)^{q/n} 2^{-j(\frac{n-q}{n})},$$

and by a change of variables [BI, 8.3] we arrive at

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_j} |Dh(x)|^q dx \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\int_{h(R_j)} n(y, h, R_j) dy \right)^{q/n} 2^{-j(\frac{n-q}{n})}.$$

Since $n(y, h, R_j) \leq N(f, B(1 - 2^{-j-1}))$ and since $h(R_j) \subset B(0, C2^{sj})$,

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \int_{R_j} |Dh(x)|^q dx \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} 2^{j(a+ns)\frac{q}{n}} 2^{-j(\frac{n-q}{n})} < \infty,$$

because $q < \frac{n}{1+a+ns}$.

Therefore $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n \setminus B(0, 1/2)} |Dh(x)|^q dx < \infty$, and thus $\int_{\mathbb{B}^n} |Dh(x)|^q dx < \infty$. Applying Theorem 3.1 to the coordinate functions of h we obtain the existence of nontangential limits outside a set of vanishing q -capacity. Since the Hausdorff dimension of such a set is at most $n - q$, the claim follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [B] A. Beurling, *Ensembles exceptionnels*, Acta Math. **72** (1940), 1–13.
- [BI] B. Bojarski and T. Iwaniec, *Analytical foundations of the theory of quasiconformal mappings in \mathbb{R}^n* , Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **8** (1983), 257–324, MR **85h**:30023.
- [C] L. Carleson, *Selected problems in exceptional sets*, Van Nostrand Math. Studies, vol. 13, Van Nostrand, Princeton, NJ, 1967, MR **37**:1576.
- [G] S. Granlund, *Harnack's inequality in the borderline case*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **5** (1980), 159–164, MR **81m**:35041.
- [GLM] S. Granlund, P. Lindqvist, and O. Martio, *Conformally invariant variational integrals*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **277** (1983), 43–73, MR **84f**:30030.
- [HKM] J. Heinonen, T. Kilpeläinen, and O. Martio, *Nonlinear potential theory*, Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 1993, MR **94e**:31003.
- [H] I. Holopainen, *Nonlinear potential theory and quasiregular mappings on Riemannian manifolds*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. Dissertationes **74** (1990), 1–45, MR **91e**:31029.
- [KK] T. Kilpeläinen and P. Koskela, *Global integrability of gradients of solutions to partial differential equations*, Nonlinear Anal. **23** (1994), 899–909, MR **95**:03.
- [LM] P. Lindqvist and J. Manfredi, *The Harnack inequality for ∞ -harmonic functions*, Elec. J. Differential Equations, vol. 1995, n. 4, 1–5.
- [ML] G. R. MacLane, *Holomorphic functions, of arbitrarily slow growth, without radial limits*, Michigan Math. J. **9** (1962), 21–24, MR **25**:203.
- [M] J. J. Manfredi, *Monotone Sobolev functions*, J. Geom. Anal. **4** (1994), 393–402.
- [MV] J. J. Manfredi and E. Villamor, *Traces of monotone Sobolev functions*, J. Geom. Anal. (to appear).
- [MR] O. Martio and S. Rickman, *Boundary behavior of quasiregular mappings*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A I Math. **507** (1972), 1–17, MR **52**:751.
- [Mi1] Y. Mizuta, *Existence of various boundary limits of Beppo Levi functions of higher order*, Hiroshima Math. J. **9** (1979), 717–745, MR **81d**:31013.
- [Mi2] ———, *Boundary behavior of p -precise functions on a half space of \mathbb{R}^n* , Hiroshima Math. J. **18** (1988), 73–94, MR **89d**:31014.
- [Mi3] ———, *On the boundary limits of harmonic functions with gradient in L^p* , Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **34** (1984), 99–109, MR **85f**:31009.
- [NRS] A. Nagel, W. Rudin, and J. H. Shapiro, *Tangential boundary behavior of functions in Dirichlet-type spaces*, Ann. of Math. (2) **116** (1982), 331–360, MR **84a**:31002.

- [R] Yu. G. Rešetnyak, *Boundary behavior of functions with generalized derivatives*, Siberian Math. J. **13** (1972), 285–290, MR **45**:5746.
- [S] J. Serrin, *Local behavior of solutions of quasi-linear equations*, Acta Math. **111** (1964), 247–302, MR **30**:337.
- [V] M. Vuorinen, *Conformal geometry and quasiregular mappings*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1319, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1988, MR **89k**:30021.
- [Z] W. Ziemer, *Weakly differentiable functions*, Graduate Texts in Math., vol. 120, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1989, MR **91e**:46046.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN 48109
E-mail address: `pkoskela@math.jyu.fi`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF PITTSBURGH, PITTSBURGH,
PENNSYLVANIA 15260
E-mail address: `manfredit@pitt.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FLORIDA INTERNATIONAL UNIVERSITY, MIAMI, FLORIDA 33199
E-mail address: `villamor@fiu.edu`