TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 350, Number 2, February 1998, Pages 851–855
S 0002-9947(98)02130-8

ERRATUM TO "ORTHOGONAL CALCULUS"

MICHAEL S. WEISS

The proof of Theorem 6.3 in my paper *Orthogonal calculus* [W] contains a gap. This is caused by an error in the preliminaries [W, 6.2] ; the offending statement is ... *and happens to be inverse to* $\rho_{T(b)}$. The purpose of this note is to fill the gap.

Notation. $\mathcal{J}$ is the category of finite dimensional real vector spaces with a positive definite inner product. Morphisms in $\mathcal{J}$ are the linear maps respecting the inner product. $\mathcal{E}$ is the category of continuous functors from $\mathcal{J}$ to spaces. (The *spaces* in question are assumed to be compactly generated Hausdorff, homotopy equivalent to CW–spaces). A morphism $E \to F$ (natural transformation) in $\mathcal{E}$ is an *equivalence* if $E(V) \to F(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence for each V in $\mathcal{J}$. An object E in $\mathcal{E}$ is *polynomial of degree* $\leq n$ if, for each V in $\mathcal{J}$, the canonical map

$$\rho : E(V) \longrightarrow \operatorname*{holim}_{0\neq U\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} E(U \oplus V)$$

is a homotopy equivalence. The codomain of ρ, which we also denote by $(\tau_n E)(V)$, is a topological homotopy (inverse) limit [W, 5.1] ; more details below, in the proof of Lemma e.3. To repeat, E is polynomial of degree $\leq n$ if and only if $\rho : E \to \tau_n E$ is an equivalence.

6.3. Theorem. *For any $n \geq 0$, there exist a functor $T_n : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ taking equivalences to equivalences, and a natural transformation $\eta_n : 1 \longrightarrow T_n$ with the following properties:*

1. *$T_n(E)$ is polynomial of degree $\leq n$, for all E in $\mathcal{E}$.*
2. *if E is already polynomial of degree $\leq n$, then $\eta_n : E \longrightarrow T_nE$ is an equivalence.*
3. *For every E in $\mathcal{E}$, the map $T_n(\eta_n) : T_nE \longrightarrow T_nT_nE$ is an equivalence.*

What we have to re–prove is 1. The remainder of the proof of 6.3 in [W] is not affected by the error in 6.2. As in [W] define T_nE as the homotopy colimit (telescope in this case) of the direct system

$$E \xrightarrow{\rho} \tau_nE \xrightarrow{\tau_n(\rho)} \tau_n^2E \xrightarrow{\tau_n^2(\rho)} \tau_n^3E \xrightarrow{\tau_n^3(\rho)} \cdots . \tag{e.1}$$

It would be equally reasonable to define T_nE as the homotopy colimit of

$$E \xrightarrow{\rho} \tau_nE \xrightarrow{\rho} \tau_n^2E \xrightarrow{\rho} \tau_n^3E \xrightarrow{\rho} \cdots \tag{e.2}$$

where the k–th map in the direct system is $\rho : \tau_n^{k-1}E \to \tau_n(\tau_n^{k-1}E)$. It turns out that the homotopy colimits of (e.1) and (e.2) are isomorphic, even relative to E. Namely, the Fubini principle for homotopy limits gives

$$(\tau_n^kE)(V) \cong \operatorname*{holim}_{0\neq U_1,\ldots,U_k\subset\mathbb{R}^{n+1}} E(U_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_k \oplus V)\,.$$

Received by the editors January 1, 1997.

Using this as an identification and inspecting the maps in the direct systems (e.1) and (e.2), one finds that the direct systems are isomorphic.

e.3. Lemma. *Let $p : G \to F$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{E}$. Suppose that there exists an integer b such that $p : G(W) \to F(W)$ is $((n+1)\dim(W) - b)$–connected for all W in $\mathcal{J}$. Then $\tau_n(p) : \tau_n G(W) \to \tau_n F(W)$ is $((n+1)\dim(W) - b + 1)$–connected for all W.*

Proof. We begin with a discussion of the homotopy limits involved. Suppose first that Z is any functor from the poset $\mathcal{D}$ of nonzero linear subspaces of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ to spaces. Ignoring the topology on $\mathcal{D}$, we can define holim Z as the totalization of the incomplete cosimplicial space

$$[k] \ \mapsto \prod_{L:[k]\hookrightarrow\mathcal{D}} Z(L(k)) \tag{e.4}$$

where L runs over the order–preserving *injections* from the poset $[k] = \{1, \dots, k\}$ to $\mathcal{D}$. (An incomplete cosimplicial space is a covariant functor from the category with objects $[k]$ for $k \geq 0$ and monotone *injections* as morphisms to the category of spaces ; the totalization of such a thing is the space of natural transformations to it from the functor $[k] \mapsto \Delta^k$.)

We could make (e.4) into a complete cosimplicial space by dropping the injectivity condition on the order–preserving maps L ; the totalization would not change. However, totalizations of incomplete cosimplicial spaces are usually easier to understand than totalizations of complete cosimplicial spaces.— In (e.4) it is understood that a product $\prod_{i\in S}$ with empty S is a single point $*$; therefore the right–hand side of (e.4) is a point for $k > n+1$.

Remembering the topology on $\mathcal{D}$ now, we note that $\mathcal{D}$ is a union of Grassmannians. Let us suppose that the spaces $Z(U)$ are the fibers of a fiber bundle ξ on $\mathcal{D}$ (that is, $Z(U)$ is the fiber over $U \in \mathcal{D}$), and that maps $Z(U_1) \to Z(U_2)$ induced by inclusions $U_1 \subset U_2$ depend continuously on U_1, U_2. Then it is appropriate to replace the incomplete cosimplicial space (e.4) by another incomplete cosimplicial space,

$$[k] \ \mapsto \Gamma(e_k^*\xi) \tag{e.5}$$

where e_k is the evaluation map $L \mapsto L(k)$, with domain equal to the space of monotone injections $L : [k] \to \mathcal{D}$, and codomain $\mathcal{D}$. The symbol Γ denotes a section space. The totalization of (e.5) is the *topological* homotopy limit of Z. For us, the relevant examples are $Z(U) := G(U \oplus W)$ and $Z(U) := F(U \oplus W)$ where W is fixed ; the topological homotopy limits are then $\tau_n G(W)$ and $\tau_n F(W)$, respectively.

The space of monotone injections $[k] \to \mathcal{D}$ is a disjoint union of manifolds $C(\lambda)$. Here $\lambda : [k] \to [n+1]$ is a monotone injection avoiding the element $0 \in [n+1]$, and $C(\lambda)$ consists of those $L : [k] \to \mathcal{D}$ for which $L(i)$ has dimension $\lambda(i)$. Writing $\lambda_i = \lambda(i)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned}
\dim(C(\lambda)) &= (n+1-\lambda_k)\lambda_k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}(\lambda_{i+1} - \lambda_i)\lambda_i \\
&= (n+1)\lambda_k + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1}\lambda_i\lambda_{i+1} \ - \sum_{i=0}^{k}\lambda_i^2 \\
&< (n+1)\lambda_k - k\,.
\end{aligned}$$

We see from (e.5) that the connectivity of $\tau_n(p) : \tau_n G(W) \to \tau_n F(W)$ is greater than or equal to the minimum of the numbers

$$(\text{connectivity of } p : G(L(k) \oplus W) \to F(L(k) \oplus W)) \;- \dim(C(\lambda)) - k$$

taken over all triples (L, λ, k) with $L \in C(\lambda)$ and $\lambda : [k] \to [n{+}1]$. By our hypothesis on $p : G \to F$, the connectivity of $p : G(L(k) \oplus W) \to F(L(k) \oplus W))$ is at least equal to $(n+1)(\lambda_k + \dim(W)) - b$. By the inequality for $\dim(C(\lambda))$, the minimum in question is greater than $(n+1)\dim(W) - b$. □

Remark. The hypothesis in Lemma e.3 is strongly reminiscent of what Goodwillie in his calculus calls *agreement to n–th order*, in [Go3] and (for $n = 1$) in [Go1, 1.13]. Goodwillie also has lemmas similar to e.3, such as [Go1, 1.17] and [Go3, 1.6].

We fix some V in $\mathcal{J}$ from now on ; the goal is to prove that ρ from $T_n E(V)$ to $\tau_n(T_n E)(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence for any E in $\mathcal{E}$.

For W in $\mathcal{J}$ let $\mathrm{mor}(V, W)$ be the space of morphisms $V \to W$ in $\mathcal{J}$ and let $\gamma_1(V, W)$ be the Riemannian vector bundle on $\mathrm{mor}(V, W)$ whose total space is the set of (f, x) in $\mathrm{mor}(V, W) \times W$ with $x \perp \mathrm{im}(f)$. Let $\gamma_{n+1}(V, W)$ be the Whitney sum of $n+1$ copies of $\gamma_1(V, W)$, and let $S\gamma_{n+1}(V, W)$ be the unit sphere bundle of $\gamma_{n+1}(V, W)$. We abbreviate

$$F(W) := \mathrm{mor}(V, W),$$
$$G(W) := S\gamma_{n+1}(V, W)$$

and write $p : G \to F$ for the projection. By [W, 4.2, 5.2] the object G in $\mathcal{E}$ co–represents the functor $E \mapsto \tau_n E(V)$ from $\mathcal{E}$ to spaces. In more detail, writing $\mathrm{nat}(\dots)$ for spaces of natural transformations, we have a commutative diagram, natural in E:

$$\text{(e.6)} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} E(V) & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \tau_n E(V) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\cong} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\cong} \\ \mathrm{nat}(F, E) & \xrightarrow{p^*} & \mathrm{nat}(G, E)\,. \end{array}$$

e.7. Lemma. *$T_n p : T_n G \to T_n F$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. It is clear that $p : G \to F$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma e.3 with b equal to $(n+1)\dim(V) + 1$. (Here V is *not* a variable ; we fixed it, and used it in the definition of G and F.) Repeated application of Lemma e.3 shows that the connectivity of

$$\tau_n^k(p) : \tau_n^k G(W) \to \tau_n^k F(W)$$

tends to infinity as k goes to infinity, for any W in $\mathcal{J}$. Therefore $T_n p$ is an equivalence. □

We shall use (e.7) to prove that the commutative square

$$\text{(e.8)} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} E(V) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & T_n E(V) \\ \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} & & \big\downarrow{\scriptstyle\rho} \\ \tau_n E(V) & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \tau_n(T_n E)(V) \end{array}$$

can be enlarged to a commutative diagram of the form

$$
\text{(e.9)} \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
E(V) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & X \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "g"] & T_nE(V) \arrow[d, "\rho"] \\
\tau_nE(V) \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[r] & \tau_n(T_nE)(V)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

in which the map g is a homotopy equivalence. (That is, (e.8) is obtained from (e.9) by deleting the middle column.) According to (e.6), diagram (e.8) is isomorphic to

$$
\text{(e.10)} \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{nat}(F,E) \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "p^*"] & \mathrm{nat}(F,T_nE) \arrow[d, "p^*"] \\
\mathrm{nat}(G,E) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & \mathrm{nat}(G,T_nE)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

and clearly (e.10) can be enlarged to

$$
\text{(e.11)} \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{nat}(F,E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p^*"] & \mathrm{nat}(T_nF,T_nE) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[d, "(T_np)^*"] & \mathrm{nat}(F,T_nE) \arrow[d, "p^*"] \\
\mathrm{nat}(G,E) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{nat}(T_nG,T_nE) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] & \mathrm{nat}(G,T_nE)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

where the arrows labelled res are restriction maps. We are now very close to having constructed a diagram like (e.9). The idea is that since $T_np : T_nG \to T_nF$ is an equivalence by Lemma e.7, the middle arrow in (e.11) ought to be a homotopy equivalence. Of course, it does not work exactly like that.

What is needed here is the notion of *cofibrant object in* $\mathcal{E}$ from the appendix of [W]. If $v : A \to B$ is an equivalence in $\mathcal{E}$ where A and B are cofibrant, then v admits a homotopy inverse $u : B \to A$, with (natural) homotopies relating vu and uv to the respective identity maps. Every object in $\mathcal{E}$ is the codomain of an equivalence whose domain is a so–called CW–object [W, A.4], and CW–objects are cofibrant [W, A.3]. More generally, every morphism $w : C \to D$ in $\mathcal{E}$ has a factorization

$$C \hookrightarrow D^\diamond \to D$$

where $D^\diamond \to D$ is an equivalence and $D^\diamond$ is a CW–object *relative to* D. (I leave definition and proof to the reader.) This factorization can be constructed functorially in $w : C \to D$, and if C is already cofibrant, then $D^\diamond$ will be cofibrant.

We apply this with w equal to the inclusion $F \to T_nF$ or to the inclusion $G \to T_nG$. It follows from (e.6) that F and G are cofibrant. Therefore $(T_nF)^\diamond$ and $(T_nG)^\diamond$ in the factorizations

$$F \hookrightarrow (T_nF)^\diamond \to T_nF\,, \qquad G \hookrightarrow (T_nG)^\diamond \to T_nG$$

are cofibrant. Replacing T_nF and T_nG by $(T_nF)^\diamond$ and $(T_nG)^\diamond$ in (e.11) we obtain a commutative diagram

$$
\text{(e.12)} \qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathrm{nat}(F,E) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "p^*"] & \mathrm{nat}((T_nF)^\diamond,T_nE) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] \arrow[d] & \mathrm{nat}(F,T_nE) \arrow[d, "p^*"] \\
\mathrm{nat}(G,E) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{nat}((T_nG)^\diamond,T_nE) \arrow[r, "\mathrm{res}"] & \mathrm{nat}(G,T_nE)
\end{tikzcd}
$$

and now the middle arrow is a homotopy equivalence. Diagram (e.12) is the explicit form or fulfillment of (e.9).

Proof of 1 in 6.3. We have to show that $\rho : T_nE(V) \to \tau_n(T_nE)(V)$ is a homotopy equivalence. It is enough to show that the vertical arrows in the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} E(V) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \tau_nE(V) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \tau_n^2E(V) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \tau_n^3E(V) \arrow[r, "\rho"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & \cdots \\ \tau_nE(V) \arrow[r, "\tau_n(\rho)"] & \tau_n^2E(V) \arrow[r, "\tau_n(\rho)"] & \tau_n^3E(V) \arrow[r, "\tau_n(\rho)"] & \tau_n^4E(V) \arrow[r, "\tau_n(\rho)"] & \cdots \end{tikzcd} \tag{e.13}$$

induce a map between the homotopy colimits of the rows which is a homotopy equivalence. It is enough because τ_n commutes with homotopy colimits over $\mathbb{N}$ up to homotopy equivalence, and because we can define T_nE as the homotopy colimit of (e.2). Denote the homotopy colimits of the rows in (e.13) by P and Q, and the map under investigation by $r : P \to Q$. For each $i \geq 0$ the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tau_n^iE(V) \arrow[r, "\subset"] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & P \arrow[d, "r"] \\ \tau_n^{i+1}E(V) \arrow[r, "\subset"] & Q \end{tikzcd}$$

can be enlarged, as in (e.9) and (e.12), to a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} \tau_n^iE(V) \arrow[r] \arrow[d, "\rho"] & X \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & P \arrow[d, "r"] \\ \tau_n^{i+1}E(V) \arrow[r] & Y \arrow[r] & Q \end{tikzcd}$$

where the middle vertical arrow is a homotopy equivalence. It follows easily that $r : P \to Q$ is a homotopy equivalence. $\square$

References

[Go1] T.G. Goodwillie, *Calculus I: The first derivative of pseudoisotopy theory*, K-theory **4** (1990), 1–27. MR **92m:**57027

[Go2] T.G. Goodwillie, *Calculus II: Analytic functors*, K-theory **5** (1992), 295–332. MR **93i:**55015

[Go3] T.G. Goodwillie, *Calculus III: The Taylor series of a homotopy functor*, Preprint/in preparation.

[W] M.Weiss, *Orthogonal Calculus*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **347** (1995), 3743–3796. MR **96m:**55018

Department of Mathematics, Brown University, Providence, RI 02912-0001

E-mail address: `msweiss@gauss.math.brown.edu`