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#### Abstract

We investigate the arithmetic of special values of a new class of $L$-functions recently introduced by the second author. We prove that these special values are encoded in some particular polynomials which we call AndersonStark units. We then use these Anderson-Stark units to prove that $L$-functions can be expressed as sums of polylogarithms.
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## 1. Introduction

A major theme in the arithmetic theory of global function fields is the study of the arithmetic properties of special values of D. Goss $L$-functions. A typical example of such a function is given by the Carlitz-Goss zeta function $\zeta_{A}($.$) , where$ $A=\mathbb{F}_{q}[\theta]$ is the polynomial ring in the variable $\theta$ with coefficients in a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Its special values are given by the following formula:

$$
\forall n \geq 1, \zeta_{A}(n)=\sum_{a \in A_{+}} \frac{1}{a^{n}} \in K_{\infty}
$$

where $A_{+}$is the set of monic elements in $A$ and $K_{\infty}=\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\right)$. In 1990, G. Anderson and D. Thakur proved the following fundamental result (AT90, Theorem 3.8.3]): for $n \geq 1$, there exists $z_{n} \in \operatorname{Lie}\left(C^{\otimes n}\right)\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ such that $\exp _{n}\left(z_{n}\right) \in C^{\otimes n}(A)$, and

$$
\Gamma_{n} \zeta_{A}(n)=e_{n}\left(z_{n}\right),
$$

where $\exp _{n}$ is the exponential map associated to the $n$th tensor power of the Carlitz module $C^{\otimes n}, e_{n}\left(z_{n}\right)$ is the last coordinate of $z_{n} \in K_{\infty}^{n}$, and $\Gamma_{n} \in A$ is the Carlitz factorial (we refer the reader to [BP] for the basic properties of $C^{\otimes n}$ ). This result has recently been generalized by M. A. Papanikolas in Pap who proved a logalgebraicity theorem for $C^{\otimes n}$ in the spirit of the work of G. Anderson in And96.
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M. A. Papanikolas applies this log-algebraicity theorem to obtain remarkable explicit formulas for a large class of special values of D. Goss Dirichlet $L$-functions. Observe that the $t$-motive associated to the $t$-module $C^{\otimes n}$ can be understood as the following object: $(A[t], \tau)$, where $t$ is an indeterminate over $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(\theta)$, and $\tau: A[t] \rightarrow A[t]$ is the $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$-linear map defined as follows:

$$
\tau\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k} t^{k}\right)=(t-\theta)^{n}\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} a_{k}^{q} t^{k}\right)
$$

where $a_{k} \in A$.
Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer and let $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}$ be $s$ indeterminates over $K$. Consider the following object: $\left(A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right], \tau\right)$ where $\tau: A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right] \rightarrow A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$ is the morphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$-modules, semi-linear 1$]$ with respect to $\tau_{0}: A \rightarrow A, x \mapsto$ $x^{q}$, given by:

$$
\tau\left(\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}} i_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{i_{s}}\right)=\left(t_{1}-\theta\right) \cdots\left(t_{s}-\theta\right)\left(\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \in \mathbb{N}} a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}}^{q} t_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{i_{s}}\right)
$$

where $a_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}} \in A$. Note that we have a natural morphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$-algebras

$$
\phi: A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right] \rightarrow \operatorname{End}_{\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]} A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]
$$

given by $\phi_{\theta}=\theta+\tau$. Let $\mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ be the Tate algebra in the variables $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}$, with coefficients in $K_{\infty}$. Then $\tau$ extends naturally to a continuous morphism of $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$-modules on $\mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$. The second author introduced (see Pel12], Per14b, Per14a, AP15]) for integers $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $s \geq 0$ the $L$-series

$$
L(N, s)=\sum_{a \in A_{+}} \frac{a\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots a\left(t_{s}\right)}{a^{N}}
$$

which converges in $\mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$. If $z$ is another indeterminate, we also set

$$
L(N, s, z)=\sum_{d \geq 0} z^{d} \sum_{\substack{a \in A_{+} \\ \operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a=d}} \frac{a\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots a\left(t_{s}\right)}{a^{N}} \in K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right][[z]] .
$$

These series converge at $z=1$ in $\mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ and we have the equality

$$
L(N, s)=\left.L(N, s, z)\right|_{z=1} .
$$

Our main goal in this article is the study of the arithmetic properties of the $L(N, s, z), N \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let us give a brief description of our principal results.

We let $\tau$ act on $K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right][[z]]$ by

$$
\tau\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k} z^{k}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \tau\left(f_{k}\right) z^{k},
$$

where $f_{k} \in K\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$. The exponential function associated to $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\exp _{\phi}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{i}} \tau^{i}
$$

[^0]where $D_{0}=1$, and for $i \geq 1, D_{i}=\left(\theta^{q^{i}}-\theta\right) D_{i-1}^{q}$. We also set
$$
\exp _{\phi, z}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{z^{i}}{D_{i}} \tau^{i}
$$

A formulation of the $s$-variable version of Anderson's log-algebraicity theorem is (see Theorem 4.6 and Proposition 5.4)

$$
\exp _{\phi, z}(L(1, s, z)) \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}, z\right]
$$

from which we also deduce that, in $\mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$,

$$
\exp _{\phi}(L(1, s)) \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]
$$

This $s$-variable version has been proved in APTR16 as a consequence of a class formula. We give here a more direct proof, close to Anderson's original proof in And96.

The special elements $\exp _{\phi, z}(L(1, s, z))$ and $\exp _{\phi}(L(1, s))$ play the role of Stark units in our context. Let us give an example, for $1 \leq s \leq q-1$, by Proposition 5.4 we have the following equality in $\mathbb{T}_{s}$ :

$$
L(1, s)=\log _{\phi}(1)
$$

where $\log _{\phi}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{i}} \tau^{i}$ is the Carlitz logarithm, $l_{0}=1$ and for $i \geq 1, l_{i}=$ $\left(\theta-\theta^{q^{i}}\right) l_{i-1}$. We define for $N>0$, the $N$ th "polylogarithm"

$$
\log _{\phi, N, z}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{z^{i}}{l_{i}^{N}} \tau^{i} .
$$

Set $b_{0}(t)=1$, and for $r \geq 1, b_{r}(t)=\prod_{k=0}^{r-1}\left(t-\theta^{q^{k}}\right)$. Let $N \geq 1$ be an integer and let $r \geq 1$ be the unique integer such that $q^{r} \geq N>q^{r-1}$. We can then prove (see Theorem 6.2 for the precise statement) that there exists a finite set of completely explicit elements $h_{j} \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}, z\right], 0 \leq j \leq d$, that are built from the "unit" $\exp _{\phi, z}\left(L\left(1, n+q^{r}-N, z\right)\right)$, such that

$$
l_{r-1}^{q^{r}-N} b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right) L(N, n, z)=\sum_{j=0}^{d} \theta^{j} \log _{\phi, N, z}\left(h_{j}\right) .
$$

The paper is organized as follows: we first ( $\S 3$ ) introduce a Banach space $\mathbb{B}_{s}$ which is a completion of an $s$-variable polynomial ring for a norm similar to the one considered by Anderson in And96. The study of different natural Carlitz actions on $\mathbb{B}_{s}$ allows us to endow $\mathbb{B}_{s}$ with an action of the Tate algebra $\mathbb{T}_{s}$ and to translate some statements on $\mathbb{B}_{s}$ into statements on $\mathbb{T}_{s}$. We then (§4) prove the $s$-variable log-algebraicity theorem, following the lines of Anderson's proof in And96, and establish some properties of the special polynomials. We also state two "converses" to the log-algebraicity theorem (Propositions 4.16 and 4.17). In the next section (§5) we translate the preceding results in $\mathbb{T}_{s}$, so that the $L$-functions $L(1, s, z)$ and $L(1, s)$ appear naturally. The last section ( $(\S 6)$ is devoted to the proof that $L(N, n, z)$ can be expressed as a sum of polylogarithms.

## 2. Notation

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ be a finite field with $q$ elements, where $q$ is a power of a prime $p, \theta$ an indeterminate over $\mathbb{F}_{q}, A=\mathbb{F}_{q}[\theta], A^{*}=A \backslash\{0\}$ and $K=\mathbb{F}_{q}(\theta)$. The set of monic elements (respectively of degree $j \geq 0$ ) of $A$ is denoted by $A_{+}$(respectively $A_{+, j}$ ). Let $v_{\infty}$ be the valuation on $K$ given by $v_{\infty}\left(\frac{a}{b}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} b-\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a$. We identify with $\mathbb{F}_{q}\left(\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)\right)$ the completion $K_{\infty}$ of $K$ with respect to $v_{\infty}$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be the completion of an algebraic closure of $K_{\infty}$. Then $v_{\infty}$ extends uniquely to a valuation on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$, still denoted by $v_{\infty}$, and we set for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty},|\alpha|_{\infty}=q^{-v_{\infty}(\alpha)}$. The algebraic closures of $K$ and $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ will be denoted by $\bar{K}$ and $\overline{\mathbb{F}_{q}}$.

Let $\tau$ denote an operator which we let act as the Frobenius on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ : for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}, \tau(\alpha)=\alpha^{q}$. If $R$ is a ring endowed with an action of $\tau$ (for instance, $R$ a subring of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ stable under $\tau$ ), then we denote by $R[[\tau]]$ the ring of formal series in $\tau$ with coefficients in $R$ subject to the commutation rule: for all $r \in R, \tau \cdot r=\tau(r) . \tau$. We also denote by $R[\tau]$ the subring of $R[[\tau]]$ of polynomials in $\tau$.

The Carlitz module is the unique morphism $C .: A \rightarrow A[\tau]$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-algebras determined by $C_{\theta}=\theta+\tau$. If $M$ is an $A$-module endowed with a semi-linear endomorphism $\tau_{M}\left(\forall m \in M, \forall a \in A, \tau_{M}(a m)=\tau(a) \tau_{M}(m)\right)$, then $C$. induces a new action of $A$ on $M$; endowed with this action, the $A$-module $M$ is denoted by $C(M)$.

The Carlitz exponential is the formal series

$$
\exp _{C}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{i}} \tau^{i} \in K[[\tau]]
$$

where $D_{0}=1$ and for $i \geq 1, D_{i}=\left(\theta^{q^{i}}-\theta\right) D_{i-1}^{q}$. The evaluation $\exp _{C}: \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C}_{\infty} ; x \mapsto \exp _{C}(x)=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{i}} \tau^{i}(x)$ defines an entire $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear function on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ and $\operatorname{ker}\left(\exp _{C}: \mathbb{C}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)=\tilde{\pi} A$ where $\tilde{\pi}$ is the Carlitz period defined by (see [Gos96, Chapter 3])

$$
\tilde{\pi}=\sqrt[q-1]{\theta-\theta^{q}} \prod_{i \geq 1}\left(1-\frac{\theta^{q^{i}}-\theta}{\theta^{q^{i+1}}-\theta}\right) \in \sqrt[q-1]{-\theta}\left(\theta+\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]\right)
$$

The Carlitz logarithm is the formal series

$$
\log _{C}=\sum_{i \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{i}} \tau^{i} \in K[[\tau]],
$$

where $l_{0}=1$ and for $i \geq 1, l_{i}=\left(\theta-\theta^{q^{i}}\right) l_{i-1}$. It satisfies in $K[[\tau]]$ the equality $\log _{C} \cdot \exp _{C}=1$. It defines a function $x \mapsto \log _{C}(x)$ on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ converging for $v_{\infty}(x)>$ $-\frac{q}{q-1}$. Moreover, if $v_{\infty}(x)>-\frac{q}{q-1}$, then $v_{\infty}(x)=v_{\infty}\left(\exp _{C}(x)\right)=v_{\infty}\left(\log _{C}(x)\right)$ and $\exp _{C} \circ \log _{C}(x)=x=\log _{C} \circ \exp _{C}(x)$. We have the formal identities in $K[[\tau]]$ for all $a \in A$ :

$$
\exp _{C} a=C_{a} \exp _{C} \quad \text { and } \log _{C} C_{a}=a \log _{C}
$$

The identity $\exp _{C}(a x)=C_{a}\left(\exp _{C}(x)\right)$ holds for all $x \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}, a \in A$.
The set of $A$-torsion points of $C\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{C} \subset C(\bar{K})$. Let $a \in A$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a>0$, the $a$-torsion points are precisely the elements $\exp _{C}\left(\frac{b \tilde{\pi}}{a}\right) \in \bar{K}$ with $b \in A$ and $\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} b<\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a$. Therefore, $\Lambda_{C}=\exp _{C}(K \tilde{\pi})$. Since $\exp _{C}$ is continuous for the topology defined by $v_{\infty}$, the closure of $\Lambda_{C}$ in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is the compact set

$$
\mathfrak{K}=\overline{\Lambda_{C}}=\exp _{C}\left(K_{\infty} \tilde{\pi}\right)=\exp _{C}\left(\frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right] \tilde{\pi}\right)=\sqrt[q-1]{-\theta} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]
$$

where the last equality comes from the facts that $\tilde{\pi} \in \sqrt[q-1]{-\theta}\left(\theta+\mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]\right)$, and that for $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $n \geq 1, \exp _{C}\left(\frac{\lambda \tilde{\pi}}{\theta^{n}}\right) \equiv \frac{\lambda \tilde{\pi}}{\theta^{n}} \bmod \frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta^{n+1}} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]$. It is customary to consider $K_{\infty} \tilde{\pi}$ as an analogue of the imaginary line; the compact $\mathfrak{K}$ is then an analogue of the unit circle. We remark that $\exp _{C}$ and $\log _{C}$ define reciprocal automorphisms of $\mathfrak{K}$.

## 3. Some functional analysis

3.1. General settings. Let $s \geq 1$ be a fixed integer and let $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right)$ be a set of indeterminates over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. We want to consider polynomials $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ as polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{K}^{s}$. Thus we introduce the following norm on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ :

$$
\|F\|=\max \left\{\left|F\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s}\right)\right|_{\infty} x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s} \in \mathfrak{K}\right\} .
$$

Since $\mathfrak{K}$ is compact and infinite, this is a well-defined, ultrametric norm of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty^{-}}$ algebra. (In particular, for all $F, G \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}],\|F G\| \leq\|F\|\|G\|$. Moreover, $\|F\|=$ $0 \Rightarrow F=0$ is a consequence of the fact that $\mathfrak{K}$ is infinite.)

If $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right)$ where the $i_{j} \geq 0$ are integers, then we write $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ for $X_{1}^{i_{1}} \ldots X_{s}^{i_{s}}$ and $|\mathbf{i}|=i_{1}+\cdots+i_{s}$.

## Lemma 3.1.

(1) If $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$, then $\left\|\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}\right\|=q^{\frac{|\mathbf{i}|}{q-1}}$.
(2) Write for $n \geq 1, \lambda_{\theta^{n}}=\exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta^{n}}\right) \in \Lambda_{C}$ and let $W \subset \Lambda_{C}$ be the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space spanned by the $\lambda_{\theta^{n}}, n \geq 1$. Then $W$ is dense in $\mathfrak{K}$. In particular, for all $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$,

$$
\|F\|=\sup \left\{|F(\mathbf{x})|_{\infty} \mathbf{x} \in \Lambda_{C}^{s}\right\}=\sup \left\{|F(\mathbf{x})|_{\infty} \mathbf{x} \in W^{s}\right\} .
$$

Proof.
(1) This is a consequence of the fact that if $a, b \in A^{*}$ with $\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a<\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} b$, then

$$
v_{\infty}\left(\exp _{C}\left(\frac{a \tilde{\pi}}{b}\right)\right)=v_{\infty}\left(\frac{a \tilde{\pi}}{b}\right)=\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} b-\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a-\frac{q}{q-1} \geq \frac{-1}{q-1}
$$

(2) This follows from the fact that the $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-vector space spanned by the $\frac{1}{\theta^{n}}$ for $n \geq 1$ is $\frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]$ which is dense in $\frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]$.

Remark 3.2. Note that the norm ||.|| is not multiplicative. We shall give an example in the one variable case. We have

$$
\left\|C_{\theta}(X)\right\|=\|X\|=q^{\frac{1}{q-1}}
$$

but $C_{\theta}(X)=\prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q}}\left(X-\lambda \exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta}\right)\right)$, where for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{q},\left\|X-\lambda \exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta}\right)\right\|=$ $q^{\frac{1}{q-1}}$.

Since $\Lambda_{C}$ is the torsion set of $C\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, it is naturally endowed with the Carlitz action of $A$ : if $x \in \Lambda_{C}, a \in A$, then $C_{a}(x) \in \Lambda_{C}$, which extends by continuity to $\mathfrak{K}$. Thus, we get a natural action of the multiplicative monoid of $A$ on the polynomial functions on $\mathfrak{K}^{s}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall F(\mathbf{X}) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}], \forall a \in A \quad a * F(\mathbf{X})=F\left(C_{a}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, C_{a}\left(X_{s}\right)\right) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This action is a generalisation of Anderson's construction (And96, §3.2]) to our settings. Observe that since for all $a \in A^{*}, C_{a}: \Lambda_{C} \rightarrow \Lambda_{C}$ is surjective, this action is isometric with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$.
3.2. The one variable case. Set $L=K_{\infty}(\tilde{\pi})$ and $\pi=\frac{\theta}{\tilde{\pi}}$. Since $v_{\infty}(\pi)=\frac{1}{q-1}$, the valuation ring of $L$ is

$$
O_{L}=\mathbb{F}_{q}[[\pi]]=\sum_{k=0}^{q-2} \pi^{k} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right],
$$

and its maximal ideal is

$$
\mathfrak{P}_{L}=\pi O_{L}
$$

Recall that since $K_{\infty}=A \oplus \frac{1}{\theta} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right]$, we have

$$
\exp _{C}\left(\tilde{\pi} K_{\infty}\right)=\frac{1}{\pi} \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[\left[\frac{1}{\theta}\right]\right] \subset \mathfrak{P}_{L}^{-1} .
$$

Let $N \in \mathbb{N}=\{0,1, \ldots\}$ and let $N=\sum_{i=0}^{r} N_{i} q^{i}$, with for all $i, 0 \leq N_{i} \leq q-1$, be its base- $q$ decomposition. Set $l_{q}(N)=\sum_{i=0}^{r} N_{i}$. We define the polynomial $G_{N}(X)$ by

$$
G_{N}(X)=\pi^{l_{q}(N)}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r}\left(\theta^{i} * X\right)^{N_{i}}\right)=\pi^{l_{q}(N)}\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r} C_{\theta^{i}}(X)^{N_{i}}\right) \in L[X] .
$$

## Lemma 3.3.

(1) The set $\left\{G_{N}(X), N \geq 0\right\}$ generates $L[X]$ as an $L$-vector space.
(2) For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have:

$$
\left\|G_{N}(X)\right\|=1
$$

Proof.
(1) It follows from the fact that for all $N \geq 0, \operatorname{deg}_{X}\left(G_{N}(X)\right)=N$.
(2) We remark that for all $\lambda \in \Lambda_{C}, v_{\infty}\left(G_{N}(\lambda)\right) \geq 0$ and that if $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and $\lambda_{\theta+\alpha}=\exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta+\alpha}\right)$, then $v_{\infty}\left(G_{N}\left(\lambda_{\theta+\alpha}\right)\right)=0$.

If $\beta=\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ is a sequence of elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we set

$$
\lambda(\beta)=\sum_{i \geq 1} \beta_{i} \exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta^{i}}\right) \in \mathfrak{P}_{L}^{-1}
$$

Note that if we set $\mu(\beta)=\sum_{i \geq 1} \frac{\beta_{i}}{\theta^{i}} \in K_{\infty}$, then we have $\lambda(\beta)=\exp _{C}(\tilde{\pi} \mu(\beta))$.
Lemma 3.4. Let $\beta=\left(\beta_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a sequence of elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and let $N=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{r} N_{i} q^{i}$ be a nonnegative integer written in base $q$. Then

$$
G_{N}(\lambda(\beta)) \equiv \prod_{i=0}^{r} \beta_{i+1}^{N_{i}} \quad \bmod \mathfrak{P}_{L}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\exp _{C}\left(\frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\theta}\right) \equiv \frac{1}{\pi} \quad \bmod O_{L}
$$

Thus, for $j \geq 0$,

$$
\pi C_{\theta_{j}}(\lambda(\beta)) \equiv \beta_{j+1} \quad \bmod \mathfrak{P}_{L}
$$

whence the result.

Lemma 3.5. Let $k, r$ be two integers such that $r \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq q^{r}$, let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ and let $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{k}$ be $k$ distinct integers in $\left\{0, \ldots, q^{r}-1\right\}$. Write $N_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1} n_{i, j} q^{i}$ in base $q$. Then, there exists $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta_{j+1}^{n_{i, j}} \neq 0
$$

with the convention that $0^{0}=1$.
Proof. We proceed by induction on $r$.
If $r=1$, then $k \leq q$ and for $1 \leq j \leq k, N_{i}=n_{i, 1} \in\{0, \ldots, q-1\}$. Since the $N_{i}$ 's are distinct, the polynomial $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} X^{N_{i}}$ is not divisible by $X^{q}-X$, and this implies the assertion of the lemma in this case.

We assume now that the lemma is proved for all integers less than $r-1 \geq 1$, and we also assume that at least one $N_{i}$ is $\geq q^{r-1}$. We define an equivalence relation over the set $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ : for all $1 \leq i, i^{\prime} \leq k, i \sim i^{\prime}$ if and only if $n_{i, j}=n_{i^{\prime}, j}$ for all $1 \leq j \leq r-2\left(\right.$ that is, if $\left.N_{i} \equiv N_{i^{\prime}} \bmod q^{r-1}\right)$. We denote by $I_{1}, \ldots, I_{t}$ the equivalence classes and if $i \in I_{m}$ we define for $1 \leq j \leq r-2, n_{j}^{(m)}=n_{i, j}$ the common value. Let $\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{r} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$; then

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_{i} \prod_{j=0}^{r-1} \beta_{j+1}^{n_{i, j}}=\sum_{m=1}^{t}\left(\sum_{i \in I_{m}} \alpha_{i} \beta_{r}^{n_{i, r-1}}\right) \prod_{j=0}^{r-2} \beta_{j+1}^{n_{j}^{(m)}}
$$

Now, by the case $r=1$, we can find $\beta_{r}$ such that the sum $\sum_{i \in I_{1}} \alpha_{i} \beta_{r}^{n_{i, r-1}}$ is not zero and we can apply the induction hypothesis to conclude the proof.

Let $K_{\infty} \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be a field complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$, and $\mathbb{B}(E)$ denote the completion of $E[X]$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$.

Theorem 3.6. The family $\left\{G_{N}(X), N \geq 0\right\}$ forms an orthonormal basis of the $E$-Banach space $\mathbb{B}(E)$, that is:
(i) any $F \in \mathbb{B}(E)$ can be written in a unique way as a convergent series $F=$ $\sum_{N \geq 0} f_{N} G_{N}(X)$ with $f_{N} \in E, N \geq 0$, and $\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} f_{N}=0$;
(ii) if $F^{-}$is written as above, then $\|F\|=\max _{N \geq 0}\left|f_{N}\right|_{\infty}$.

Proof. It is enough to prove the above properties (i) and (ii) for $F \in E[X]$. Note that property (i) is a consequence of the fact that $\operatorname{deg}_{X} G_{N}(X)=N$ for all $N \geq 0$. Let us prove property (ii). It is enough to consider $F=\sum_{i=0}^{r} x_{r} G_{N_{i}}$ with for all $0 \leq i \leq r, v_{\infty}\left(x_{i}\right)=0$. We are reduced to proving that $\|F\|=1$, that is, $\|F\| \geq 1$ since we already know the converse inequality; and the existence of $\lambda \in \Lambda_{C}$ such that $v_{\infty}(F(\lambda))=0$ is a consequence of Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5.
3.3. The multivariable case. Let $s \geq 1$ be an integer, we define for a field $K_{\infty} \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}, \mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ to be the completion of $E[\mathbf{X}]$ with respect to $\|\cdot\|$. We write also for short $\mathbb{B}_{s}=\mathbb{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$. Observe that for $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|G_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots G_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)\right\|=1
$$

Theorem 3.7. Let $L \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$. Then the family

$$
\left\{G_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots G_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right), N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

forms an orthonormal basis of the $E$-Banach space $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$, that is:
(i) any $F \in \mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ can be written in a unique way as the sum of a summable family

$$
F=\sum_{\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}} f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} G_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots G_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)
$$

with $f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} \in E$ for all $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}}$ goes to 0 with respect to the Fréchet filter ${ }^{2}$
(ii) if $F$ is written as above, then $\|F\|=\max \left\{\left|f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}}\right|_{\infty}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$.

Proof. We proceed by induction on $s \geq 1$. The case $s=1$ is the statement of Theorem 3.6. Assume now that $s \geq 2$ and that the theorem is true for $s-1$. It will be enough to prove (i) and (ii) for polynomials, and (i) is still an easy consequence of $\operatorname{deg}_{X} G_{N}(X)=N$ for all $N \geq 0$. Write a polynomial

$$
F=\sum_{i=0}^{r} \alpha_{r} G_{N_{i}}\left(X_{s}\right) \in E[\mathbf{X}] \text {, where } \forall 1 \leq i \leq r, \alpha_{i} \in E\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s-1}\right]
$$

Write for $1 \leq i \leq r$, the polynomial

$$
\alpha_{i}=\sum_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}} \alpha_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}}^{(i)} G_{i_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots G_{i_{s-1}}\left(X_{s}\right)
$$

with $\alpha_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}}^{(i)} \in E$. Then the induction hypothesis shows that for all $i$ :

$$
\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\alpha_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}}^{(i)}\right|_{\infty}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

Thus

$$
\|F\| \leq \max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|=\max \left\{\left|\alpha_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}}^{(i)}\right|_{\infty}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s-1}, i \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
$$

Let $1 \leq i_{0} \leq r$ be such that $\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\|=\max _{1 \leq i \leq r}\left\|\alpha_{i}\right\|$, to prove the converse inequality, we will find $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s} \in \mathfrak{K}^{s}$ such that $\left|F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right)\right|_{\infty}=\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\|$. Let $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1} \in \mathfrak{K}^{s-1}$ such that

$$
\left|\alpha_{i_{0}}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}\right)\right|_{\infty}=\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\| .
$$

Then, by the case $s=1$,

$$
\left\|F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}, X_{s}\right)\right\|=\max \left|\alpha_{i_{0}}\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}\right)\right|_{\infty}=\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\| .
$$

Therefore, we can find $\lambda \in \mathfrak{K}$ such that $\left|F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}, \lambda\right)\right|_{\infty}=\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\|$, proving that $\|F\|=\left\|\alpha_{i_{0}}\right\|$ and the theorem.

For all $N=\sum_{i=0}^{r} N_{i} q^{i} \geq 0$, define

$$
H_{N}(X)=\left(\prod_{i=0}^{r}\left(\theta^{i} * X\right)^{N_{i}}\right)=\pi^{-l_{q}(N)} G_{N}(X) \in K_{\infty}[X] .
$$

[^1]Then the $H_{N}$ 's generate $K_{\infty}[X]$ and $\left\|H_{N}(X)\right\|=q^{\frac{l_{q}(N)}{q-1}}$. If $E$ does not contain $L$, in particular if $E=K_{\infty}$, then $G_{N}$ has no longer coefficients in $E$ and there might not exist an orthonormal basis of $\mathbb{B}(E)$. However, Theorem 3.7 still implies the following corollary.
Corollary 3.8. Let $K_{\infty} \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$. Then the family

$$
\left\{H_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right), N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}
$$

forms an orthogonal basis of the $E$-Banach space $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$, that is:
(i) any $F \in \mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ can be written in a unique way as the sum of a summable family

$$
F=\sum_{\left(N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}} f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} H_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)
$$

with $f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} \in E$ for all $N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\left|f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}}\right|_{\infty} q^{\frac{l_{q}\left(N_{1}\right)+\cdots+l_{q}\left(N_{s}\right)}{q-1}}$ goes to 0 with respect to the Fréchet filter;
(ii) if $F$ is written as above, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|F\| & =\max \left\{\left|f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} H_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)\right|_{\infty}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\} \\
& =\max \left\{\left|f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}}\right|_{\infty} q^{\frac{q_{q}\left(N_{1}\right)+\cdots+l_{q}\left(N_{s}\right)}{q-1}}, N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

3.4. The Carlitz action. In this section, $K_{\infty} \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ is a field complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$. Note that the action $*$ of $A$ on $E[\mathbf{X}]$ defined in (3.1) satisfies that for all $a \in A^{*}$, the map $F \mapsto a * F$ is an isometry on $E[\mathbf{X}]$. Thus, the action $*$ extends to an action, still denoted $*$, of $A$ on $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$, such that for all $a \in A^{*}$, the $\operatorname{map} F \mapsto a * F$ is an isometry on $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$.

Now, instead of considering the simultaneous action of $A$ on each of the $X_{j}$, we will separate this action into actions on a single variable $X_{j}$, namely, for $1 \leq j \leq s$, $F \in \mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ and $a \in A$, we set:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a *_{j} F(\mathbf{X})=F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{j-1}, C_{a}\left(X_{j}\right), X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is still an action of monoid, but if we restrict this action to the set of polynomials in $E[\mathbf{X}]$ which are $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear in the variable $X_{j}$, the action $*_{j}$ induces a structure of $A$-module. Thus we define:
$E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}=\left\{F \in E[\mathbf{X}] ; F\right.$ is linear with respect to each of the variables $\left.X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right\}$ which is the sub- $E$-vector space of $E[\mathbf{X}]$ spanned by the monomials $X_{1}^{q^{i_{1}}} \cdots X_{s}^{q^{i_{s}}}$, $i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s} \in \mathbb{N}$. Since the actions $*_{j}$ and $*_{i}$ commute and commute with the linear action of $E, E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}$ has a structure of module over $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} A^{\otimes s}$, that is, if $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}$ are new indeterminates, we identify $E \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_{q}} A^{\otimes s}$ with $E\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$ and $E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}$ has a structure of $E\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}\right]$-module given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall 1 \leq j \leq s, \quad t_{j} \cdot F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right)=F\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{j-1}, C_{\theta}\left(X_{j}\right), X_{j+1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right) \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\mathbf{t}$ for the set of variable $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}$ and if $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}, \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}=t_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{i_{s}}$.
The action defined by formula (3.3) extends to an action on $E[\mathbf{X}]$, turning $E[\mathbf{X}]$ into an $E[\mathbf{t}]$-algebra. We define the subordinate norm $\|.\|_{\infty}$ on $E[\mathbf{t}]$ by

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\sup _{F \in E[\mathbf{X}] \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\|f . F\|}{\|F\|}
$$

Lemma 3.9. Let $f \in E[\mathbf{t}], f=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}$. Then for all $F \in E[\mathbf{X}] \backslash\{0\}$,

$$
\|f\|_{\infty}=\max _{\mathbf{i}}\left|f_{\mathbf{i}}\right|_{\infty}=\frac{\|f \cdot F\|}{\|F\|}
$$

Remark 3.10. The lemma says in particular that the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$ coincides with the Gauss norm on $E[\mathbf{t}]$, which is known to be multiplicative. This property also follows easily from the lemma.

Proof of the lemma. Write $F=\sum_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} F_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}} H_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)$ and $M=$ $\max _{\mathbf{i}}\left|f_{\mathbf{i}}\right|_{\infty}$. Note that for all $N \geq 1$ and for all $1 \leq i \leq s, t_{i} \cdot H_{N}\left(X_{i}\right)=H_{q N}\left(X_{i}\right)$. Since $l_{q}(N)=l_{q}(q N)$, we deduce from Corollary 3.8 that $\|f . F\| \leq M\|F\|$.

Conversely, consider $\left(N_{1,0}, \ldots, N_{s, 0}\right)$ the index, minimal for the lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^{s}$, such that

$$
\left|F_{N_{1,0}, \ldots, N_{s, 0}}\right|_{\infty} q^{\frac{l_{q}\left(N_{1,0}\right)+\cdots+l_{q}\left(N_{s, 0}\right)}{q-1}}=\|F\|
$$

and $\mathbf{i}_{0}=\left(i_{1,0}, \ldots, i_{s, 0}\right)$ the index, minimal for the lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{N}^{s}$, such that $M=\left|f_{\mathbf{i}_{0}}\right|_{\infty}$. Then, the coefficient of

$$
H_{q^{i_{1,0}} N_{1,0}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{q^{i_{s, 0}} N_{s, 0}}\left(X_{s}\right)
$$

in the expansion of $f . F$ in the basis of Corollary 3.8 is equal to

$$
f_{\mathbf{i}_{0}} F_{N_{1,0}, \ldots, N_{s, 0}}+\text { terms of lower norm, }
$$

whence the result.
We define

- $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\text {lin }}(E)$ the adherence of $E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}$ in $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$,
- $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ the completion of $E[\mathbf{t}]$ for the Gauss norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.

Recall that $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ is the standard Tate algebra in $s$ variables over $E$ (see FvdP04, §II.1.]), that is, the algebra of formal series $\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with $f_{\mathbf{i}} \in E$ going to zero with respect to the Fréchet filter. The action of $E[\mathbf{t}]$ extends naturally to an action of $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ on $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ and on $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\operatorname{lin}}(E)$.

## Lemma 3.11.

(1) The family $\left\{H_{q^{n_{1}}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{q^{n_{s}}}\left(X_{s}\right), n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ forms an orthogonal basis of elements of the same norm $q^{\frac{s}{q-1}}$ of the $E$-Banach space $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\text {lin }}(E)$.
(2) The map $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}\mathbb{T}_{s}(E) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{B}_{s}(E) \\ f & \mapsto & f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\end{array}\right.$ is injective with, for all $f \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$,

$$
\left\|f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\right\|=q^{\frac{s}{q-1}}\|f\|_{\infty}
$$

(3) $E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}=E[\mathbf{t}] \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$.
(4) $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\text {lin }}(E)=\mathbb{T}_{s}(E) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$.

Proof. Since for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and all $n \geq 0, H_{q^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right)$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear polynomial of degree $q^{n}$, the family $\left\{H_{q^{n_{1}}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{q^{n_{s}}}\left(X_{s}\right), n_{1}, \ldots, n_{s} \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ forms a basis of $E[\mathbf{X}]^{\mathrm{lin}}$ and the first assertion follows from Corollary 3.8. The relation $t_{i}^{n} \cdot X_{i}=$ $H_{q^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right)$ then implies the other assertions.

As a consequence, the map $f \mapsto f . X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$ defines, up to the normalisation constant $q^{\frac{s}{q-1}}$, an isometric immersion of $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ into $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$. Writing $A[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}=$ $A[\mathbf{X}] \cap E[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 3.12. Let $f \in E[\mathbf{t}]$. Then $f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}$ if, and only if, $f \in A[\mathbf{t}]$. In particular, $A[\mathbf{X}]^{\text {lin }}=A[\mathbf{t}] . X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$.
Proof. It is clear that if $f \in A[\mathbf{t}]$, then $f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}]$. Note that, since

$$
t_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots t_{s}^{i_{s}} \cdot H_{N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{N_{s}}\left(X_{s}\right)=H_{q^{i_{1}} N_{1}}\left(X_{1}\right) \cdots H_{q^{i_{s} N_{s}}}\left(X_{s}\right),
$$

a consequence of Corollary 3.8 is that $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ is a torsion-free $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$-module. Then, the converse is an easy consequence of the fact that $t_{i} \cdot X_{i}$ is a monic polynomial in $A\left[X_{i}\right]$.

## 4. Multivariable $\log$-algebraicity

4.1. The log-algebraicity theorem. Let $Z$ be another indeterminate over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}$. We let $\tau$ act on $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ (or in the one variable case on $\left.\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[X][[Z]]\right)$ via $\tau(F)=$ $F^{q}$.

Let $F \in A[X]$; we form the series

$$
\sum_{d \geq 0} Z^{q^{d}} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a * F}{a} \in K[X][[Z]]
$$

and take $\exp _{C}$ of this series which makes sense in $K[X][[Z]]$. Anderson's logalgebraicity theorem [And96, Theorem 3] for $A$ then states the following.

Theorem 4.1 (Anderson). For all $F \in A[X]$,

$$
\exp _{C}\left(\sum_{d \geq 0} Z^{q^{d}} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a * F}{a}\right) \in A[X, Z] .
$$

The aim of this section is to give a multivariable generalisation of this result. But first, let us give a simple proof of Theorem4.1 in the case of $F=X$ and $Z=1$.

Example 4.2. Write $X=\exp _{C} Y$, where $Y=\log _{C} X \in K[[X]]$. Then $a * X=$ $\exp _{C}(a Y)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a^{q^{j}} Y^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}}$. Thus,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{d \geq 0} Z^{q^{d}} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a * X}{a} & =\sum_{d \geq 0} Z^{q^{d}} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{a^{q^{j}-1} Y^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}} \\
& =\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{Y^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}} \sum_{d \geq 0} Z^{q^{d}} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} a^{q^{j}-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

But one can evaluate at $Z=1$ since (see [Gos96, Example 8.13.9]) $\sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} a^{q^{j}-1}=$ 0 for $d \gg j$, and moreover $\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} a^{q^{j}-1}=0$ for all $j>0$ while this sum equals 1 when $j=0$. Therefore, we get

$$
\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a * X}{a}=Y=\log _{C} X
$$

Lemma 4.3. If $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$ satisfies $\|F\| \leq 1$, then $F \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Proof. If $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s} \in \Lambda_{C}$, then $F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right)$ is integral over $A$, and the condition $\|F\| \leq 1$ implies that for all $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s} \in \Lambda_{C}, F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right) \in \overline{\mathbb{F}}_{q}$. But $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is algebraically closed in $K\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right)$ (see Ros02, Corollary to Theorem 12.14]), so that $F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Now, for any $\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1} \in \Lambda_{C}$, the polynomial
$F\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{s-1}, X_{s}\right)$ takes at least one value infinitely many times. An easy induction on $s$ then implies that $F$ is constant, that is $F \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$.

We define an action of the multiplicative monoid $A^{*}$ over $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ by letting for $F(\mathbf{X}, Z) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and $a \in A^{*}$ :

$$
a * F=F\left(C_{a}\left(X_{1}\right), \ldots, C_{a}\left(X_{s}\right), Z^{q^{\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a}}\right)
$$

Observe that $\exp _{C}$ gives rise to a well-defined endomorphism of $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and that

$$
\exp _{C}(K[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]) \subset K[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] .
$$

Let $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$; following Anderson, we set for $k<0$ :

$$
L_{k}(F)=Z_{k}(F)=0
$$

and for $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
L_{k}(F) & =\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} \frac{a * F}{a} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}] \\
Z_{k}(F) & =\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{L_{k-j}(F)^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define, moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
l(F, Z) & =\sum_{a \in A_{+}} \frac{a *(F Z)}{a}=\sum_{k \geq 0} Z^{q^{k}} L_{k}(F) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]], \\
\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) & =\exp _{C}(l(F, Z))=\sum_{k \geq 0} Z_{k}(F) Z^{q^{k}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 4.4. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ and $k \geq 0$.
(1) $\left\|L_{k}(F)\right\| \leq\|F\| q^{-k}$,
(2) $\left\|Z_{k}(F)\right\| \leq \max _{0 \leq j \leq k}\|F\|^{q^{j}} q^{-k q^{j}}$.

Proof. This comes from the definitions and the fact that for all $a \in A^{*},\|a * F\|=$ $\|F\|$.

We call a monic irreducible polynomial of $A$ a prime of $A$. Let $P$ be a prime of $A$. Let $F \in K[\mathbf{X}]$ and let $I$ be a finite subset of $\mathbb{N}^{s}$ such that $F=\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in I} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}} \in K[\mathbf{X}]$, Let $v_{P}$ be the $P$-adic valuation on $K$ normalized by $v_{P}(P)=1$, we set

$$
v_{P}(F)=\inf \left\{v_{P}\left(\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}\right), \mathbf{i} \in I\right\}
$$

Recall that we have for $F, G \in K[\mathbf{X}]$, and $\lambda \in K$ :

- $v_{P}(F+G) \geq \inf \left(v_{P}(F), v_{P}(G)\right), v_{P}(F G)=v_{P}(F)+v_{P}(G)$,
- $v_{p}(\lambda F)=v_{P}(\lambda)+v_{P}(F)$,
- $v_{P}(F)=+\infty$ if and only if $F=0$.

Lemma 4.5. Let $P$ be a prime of $A$. Let $F \in K[\mathbf{X}]$ be such that $v_{P}(F) \geq 0$. Then for all $k \geq 0, v_{P}\left(Z_{k}(F)\right) \geq 0$.

Proof. The proof is essentially the same as And96, Proposition 6]. We recall it because some details will be needed in the proof of Proposition 4.18

Set $A_{(P)}=\left\{x \in K, v_{P}(x) \geq 0\right\}$. Let $d$ be the degree of $P$, we have in $A[\tau]$ : $C_{P} \equiv \tau^{d} \bmod P A[\tau]$. We prove that if $G=\sum_{k \geq 0} G_{k} Z^{q^{k}} \in K[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ satisfies

$$
\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(G) \in P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]],
$$

then for all $k \geq 0, G_{k} \in A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$. Set $G_{k}=0$ if $k<0$ and write $C_{P}=\sum_{i=0}^{d}[P]_{i} \tau^{i}$, where $[P]_{0}=P,[P]_{i} \in P A$ if $i<d$ and $[P]_{d}=1$. We have $\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(G)=$ $\sum_{k \geq 0} H_{k} Z^{q^{k}}$ with for all $k \geq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{k} & =\sum_{i=0}^{d}[P]_{i} \tau^{i}\left(G_{k-i}\right)-P * G_{k-d} \\
& =P G_{k}+\sum_{i=1}^{d-1}[P]_{i} \tau^{i}\left(G_{k-i}\right)+\tau^{d}\left(G_{k-d}\right)-P * G_{k-d} \in P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular, $H_{0}=P G_{0} \in P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$ so that $G_{0} \in A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$. Now, by induction on $k$, if we know that $G_{k-i} \in A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$ for $i=1, \ldots, d$, then $\tau^{d}\left(G_{k-d}\right)-P * G_{k-d} \in$ $P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$ and we deduce that $G_{k} \in P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}]$.

Defining $l^{*}(F, Z)=\sum_{a \in A_{+}, P \nmid a} \frac{a *(F Z)}{a} \in A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
l(F, Z) & =\sum_{a \in A_{+}, P \mid a} \frac{a *(F Z)}{a}+\sum_{a \in A_{+}, P \nmid a} \frac{a *(F Z)}{a} \\
& =\sum_{a \in A_{+}} \frac{(a P) *(F Z)}{a P}+l^{*}(F, Z)=\frac{1}{P}(P * l(F, Z))+l^{*}(F, Z)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields the relation

$$
P l(F, Z)-P * l(F, Z)=P l^{*}(F, Z)
$$

Note that the action $*$ commutes with $\tau$, and thus with $\exp _{C}$, thus if we apply $\exp _{C}$, we get

$$
\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z))=\exp _{C}\left(P l^{*}(F, Z)\right)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{P^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}} l^{*}(F, Z)^{q^{j}}
$$

and since for all $j \geq 0, v_{P}\left(\frac{P^{q^{j}}}{D_{j}}\right) \geq 1$, we get $\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)) \in P A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ whence $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in A_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$.

We can now state and prove the multivariable log-algebraicity theorem.
Theorem 4.6. Let $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$. Then

$$
\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=\exp _{C}\left(\sum_{a \in A_{+}} \frac{a *(F Z)}{a}\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}, Z] .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 4.5, for all $k \geq 0, Z_{k}(F) \in A[\mathbf{X}]$. If $k_{0} \geq 0$ is the smallest integer such that $\|F\| \leq q^{k_{0}}$, then by Lemma 4.4, for all $k>k_{0},\left\|Z_{k}(F)\right\|<1$. Therefore, Lemma 4.3 shows that $Z_{k_{0}}(F) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ and for all $k>k_{0}, Z_{k}(F)=0$.

The previous theorem can also be obtained as a consequence of a class formula for a Drinfeld module on a Tate algebra (see APTR16]).
4.2. The special polynomials. If $s \geq 1$ is an integer, we define the special polynomial:

$$
\mathbb{S}_{s}=\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)=\mathfrak{L}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}, Z\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}, Z]
$$

Let us recall that Anderson's special polynomials are the one variable polynomials $S_{m}(X, Z)=\mathfrak{L}\left(X^{m}, Z\right)$. We recover $S_{m}(X, Z)$ from $\mathbb{S}_{m}$ by specializing each of the $X_{j}, 1 \leq j \leq m$, to $X$. We establish in this section some properties of the polynomials $\mathbb{S}_{s}$.

The following proposition is used to compute explicitly the polynomial $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)$.

## Proposition 4.7.

(1) The polynomial $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ is $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear with respect to each of the variables $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}, Z$; in particular, $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ is divisible by $X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z$.
(2) If $r \in\{1, \ldots, q-1\}$ satisfies $s \equiv r \bmod q-1$, then

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{Z} \mathbb{S}_{s} \leq q^{\frac{s-r}{q-1}}
$$

In particular, if $1 \leq s \leq q-1$, we have

$$
\mathbb{S}_{s}=X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z
$$

Proof. The first assertion is obvious. By Lemmas 4.3, 4.4 and 4.5, $Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \in$ $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ if $k \geq \frac{s}{q-1}$. But since $X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$ divides $Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)$, we get $Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=0$ for $k \geq \frac{s}{q-1}$. The last part comes from the congruence

$$
\mathbb{S}_{s} \equiv X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z \quad \bmod Z^{q}
$$

Corollary 4.8. Let $s, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s} \geq 1$ be integers such that $\sum_{j=1}^{s} k_{j} \leq q-1$ and let $a_{1,1}, \ldots, a_{1, k_{1}}, \ldots, a_{s, 1}, \ldots, a_{s, k_{s}} \in$ A. Set

$$
G=\left(a_{1,1} * X_{1}\right) \cdots\left(a_{1, k_{1}} * X_{1}\right) \cdots\left(a_{s, 1} * X_{s}\right) \cdots\left(a_{s, k_{s}} * X_{s}\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}] .
$$

Then

$$
\mathfrak{L}(G, Z)=G Z
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to consider the case where $k_{j}=1$ for all $1 \leq j \leq s$ since we obtain the general case by specializing variables. The action $*_{j}$ of $A$ (defined in (3.2)) satisfies for all $a \in A, F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$,

$$
a *_{j}(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z))=\mathfrak{L}\left(a *_{j} F, Z\right) .
$$

The corollary follows then from the relation $\mathfrak{L}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}, Z\right)=X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z$ since $s \leq q-1$.

Any $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear combination $F$ of polynomials of the above form still satisfies the equality $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=F Z$. We can ask whether there are other polynomials satisfying this relation. In fact, Proposition 4.19 below assures that if $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=F Z$, then $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$, so we can ask more generally the following question.

Question 4.9. Describe the set of the $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=F Z$.
Lemma 4.10. Let $s \geq 1$. Then $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)=0$ if, and only if, $s \geq 2$ and $s \equiv 1$ $\bmod q-1$.

Proof. First, suppose $s \geq 2$ and $s \equiv 1 \bmod q-1$.
Let $a \in A$. Recall (see AT90, Section 3.4]) that from the relation $C_{a}(X)=$ $\exp _{C}\left(a \log _{C}(X)\right)$, we deduce that we can write

$$
C_{a}(X)=\sum_{k=0}^{\operatorname{deg}_{\theta} a} \psi_{k}(a) X^{q^{k}}
$$

where $\psi_{k}(x) \in A[x]$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q^{-}}$-linear polynomial of degree $q^{k}$, which vanishes exactly at the polynomials $x \in A$ of degree less than $k$. Thus

$$
a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=\sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s} \geq 0} \psi_{k_{1}}(a) \cdots \psi_{k_{s}}(a) X_{1}^{q^{k_{1}}} \cdots X_{s}^{q^{k_{s}}},
$$

where the right-hand side is a finite sum.
We deduce that $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ is equal to

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0} Z^{q^{n}} \sum_{d=0}^{n} D_{n-d}^{-1} \sum_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s} \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}}\left(\frac{\psi_{k_{1}}(a) \cdots \psi_{k_{s}}(a)}{a}\right)^{q^{n-d}} X_{1}^{q^{k_{1}+n-d}} \cdots X_{s}^{q^{k_{s}+n-d}}
$$

and by Theorem 4.6, this is a polynomial. Now, note that $\sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{\psi_{k_{1}}(a) \cdots \psi_{k_{s}}(a)}{a}$ is a linear combination (with coefficients depending only on $k_{1}, \ldots, k_{s}, r_{1}, \ldots, r_{s}$ and independent on $d$ ) of sums of the form $\sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} a^{q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1}$ with, for all $1 \leq j \leq s, 0 \leq r_{j} \leq k_{j}$. According to [Gos96, Lemma 8.8.1], this sum vanishes for $d>\frac{q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1}{q-1}$. Thus the coefficient of $X_{1}^{q^{m_{1}}} \cdots X_{s}^{q^{m_{s}}}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)$ is a linear combination of (finite) sums of the form $\sum_{a \in A_{+}} a^{q^{d}\left(q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1\right)}$. But since $s \equiv 1$ $\bmod q-1, q^{d}\left(q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1\right) \equiv 0 \bmod q-1$, and since $s \geq 2, q^{d}\left(q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1\right)$ $\neq 0$. Thus, by Gos96, Example 8.13.9], all the sums $\sum_{a \in A_{+}} a^{q^{d}\left(q^{r_{1}}+\cdots+q^{r_{s}}-1\right)}$ vanish, that is, $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)=0$.

Conversely, the coefficient of $X_{1} \cdots X_{s}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)$ is $\sum_{a \in A_{+}} a^{s-1}$ which is congruent to 1 modulo $\theta^{q}-\theta$ if $s=1$ or $s \not \equiv 1 \bmod q-1$, so $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)$ does not vanish.

Remark 4.11. Thakur used similar arguments in Tha04, §8.10] to obtain explicit log-algebraicity formulas.

Example 4.12. We already know that $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)=X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z$ if $1 \leq s \leq q-1$. Using Proposition 4.7 and Lemma 4.10 we easily see that

$$
\mathbb{S}_{q}(\mathbf{X}, Z)=X_{1} \cdots X_{q} Z-X_{1} \cdots X_{q} Z^{q}
$$

For $q \geq 3$, a computation leads to

$$
\mathbb{S}_{q+1}(\mathbf{X}, Z)=X_{1} \cdots X_{q+1} Z-X_{1} \cdots X_{q+1}\left(X_{1}^{q-1}+\cdots+X_{q+1}^{q-1}\right) Z^{q} .
$$

Lemma 4.13. Let $s \geq 1$,
(1) for all integer $k \geq \frac{s}{q-1}$, the sum $\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots a\left(t_{s-1}\right)$ vanishes, so that $L(0, s-1)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots a\left(t_{s-1}\right) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[\mathbf{t}]$,
(2) $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1) \equiv\left(L(0, s-1) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right)\right) X_{s} \bmod X_{s}^{q}$.

Proof. For all $k \geq 0, L_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} \frac{a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)}{a}$ can be viewed as a polynomial in $X_{s}$, with no constant term, and since $C_{a}\left(X_{s}\right) \equiv a X_{s} \bmod X_{s}^{q}$, we
have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) & \equiv L_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \equiv \sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} \frac{a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)}{a} \bmod X_{s}^{q} \\
& \equiv \sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right) \frac{a X_{s}}{a} \bmod X_{s}^{q} \\
& \equiv X_{s} \sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right) \bmod X_{s}^{q}
\end{aligned}
$$

But Proposition 4.7 tells that $Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=0$ if $k \geq \frac{s}{q-1}$. Now note that

$$
\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right)=\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots a\left(t_{s-1}\right) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right) .
$$

We deduce then the first point from Lemma 3.11 and the evaluation at $Z=1$,

$$
L(0, s-1) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right) X_{s} \equiv \sum_{k \geq 0} Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \equiv \mathbb{S}_{s}(X, 1) \quad \bmod X_{s}^{q}
$$

gives the second point.
Note that the first point of the above lemma is also a consequence of Gos96, Lemma 8.8.1] (see also [AP15, Lemma 30] and [AP14, Lemma 4]).

Lemma 4.14. Let $s \geq 1$. If there exists $b, c \in A$ and $r \in X_{s} \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ such that

$$
C_{b}(r)=C_{c}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)
$$

then $b$ divides $c$ in $A$ and $r=C_{\frac{c}{b}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)$.
Proof. We first prove that $r$ has coefficients in $A$. We will use the fact that $\exp _{C}$ and $\log _{C}$ define reciprocal bijections of $X_{s} K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s-1}\right]\left[\left[X_{s}\right]\right]$ satisfying for all $F \in X_{s} K\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s-1}\right]\left[\left[X_{s}\right]\right]$ and $a \in A, \log _{C}\left(C_{a}(F)\right)=a \log _{C}(F)$ and $\exp _{C}(a F)=C_{a}\left(\exp _{C}(F)\right)$. Thus $C_{b}(r)=\exp _{C}\left(b \log _{C}(r)\right)$ and $C_{c}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)=$ $\exp _{C}\left(c \log _{C}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)\right)$. We deduce that $r=\exp _{C}\left(\frac{c}{b} \mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right) \in X_{s} K[\mathbf{X}]$. But $C_{b}(X)$ is monic up to a unit in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, and $A[\mathbf{X}]$ is integrally closed. Thus the fact that $C_{b}(r) \in A[\mathbf{X}]$ implies that $r \in A[\mathbf{X}]$.

Write now $r \equiv X_{s} r_{1} \bmod X_{s}^{2}$ with $r_{1} \in A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s-1}\right]$. Then $C_{b}(r) \equiv X_{s} b r_{1}$ $\bmod X_{s}^{2}$ and by Lemma 4.13,

$$
C_{c}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right) \equiv c X_{s}\left(L(0, s-1) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right)\right) \quad \bmod X_{s}^{2}
$$

thus $r_{1}=\frac{c}{b} L(0, s-1) .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s-1}\right)$. Since $r_{1} \in A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s-1}\right]$, Lemma 3.12 assures that $\frac{c}{b} L(0, s-1) \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s-1}\right]$. But $L(0, s-1) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s-1}\right]$. We obtain that $b$ divides $c$ in $A$ and that $r=\exp _{C}\left(\frac{c}{b} \mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)=C_{\frac{c}{b}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)\right)$.

Set $\mathfrak{R}=\bigcup_{s \geq 1} \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right]$ and let $\mathfrak{F}$ be the sub- $A$-module of $C(\mathfrak{R})$ generated by the polynomials $\mathbb{S}_{s}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}, 1\right), s \geq 1$. Set

$$
\sqrt{\mathfrak{F}}=\left\{r \in \mathfrak{R}, \exists a \in A^{*}, C_{a}(r) \in \mathfrak{F}\right\} .
$$

Theorem 4.15.

$$
\sqrt{\mathfrak{F}}=\mathfrak{F}+C\left(\Lambda_{C}\right) .
$$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathfrak{F}+C\left(\Lambda_{C}\right) \subset \sqrt{\mathfrak{F}}$ is clear.
Let $r \in \sqrt{\mathfrak{F}}$. Then there exists $n \geq 1$ such that $r \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}\right]$ and there exist $a \in A^{*}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n} \in A$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{a}(r)=\sum_{m=1}^{n} C_{a_{m}}\left(\mathbb{S}_{m}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, 1\right)\right) \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove by induction on $n \geq 1$ that $r \in \mathfrak{F}+C\left(\Lambda_{C}\right)$.
In the case $n=1$, equation (4.1) reduces to

$$
C_{a}(r)=C_{c}\left(\mathbb{S}_{1}\left(X_{1}, 1\right)\right)
$$

with $c \in A$ and $r \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\left[X_{1}\right]$. The constant term of $r$ is then in $C\left(\Lambda_{C}\right)$ and we can therefore assume $r \in X_{1} \mathbb{C}_{\infty}\left[X_{1}\right]$. The result in this case is then just the one of Lemma 4.14.

We suppose now $n>1$ and that the result is proved for all $k \leq n-1$. We can assume that $a_{n} \neq 0$ and $\mathbb{S}_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, 1\right) \neq 0$, that is, $n \not \equiv 1 \bmod q-1$. Write $r=\sum_{i=0}^{d} r_{i}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) X_{n}^{i}$, with $d>0$. Then equation (4.1) evaluated at $X_{n}=0$ yields

$$
C_{a}\left(r_{0}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right)\right)=\sum_{m=1}^{n-1} C_{a_{m}} \mathbb{S}_{m}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}, 1\right)
$$

and the induction hypothesis assures that $r_{0}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n-1}\right) \in \mathfrak{F}+C\left(\Lambda_{C}\right)$. Thus we can assume $r_{0}=0$ and, for some $c \in A$,

$$
C_{a}(r)=C_{c}\left(\mathbb{S}_{n}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, 1\right)\right) .
$$

Again, we are reduced to the result proved in Lemma 4.14.
4.3. Converses of the log-algebraicity theorem. Let $\bar{A}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $\bar{K}$. The log-algebraicity theorem asserts that if $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$, then $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in$ $A[\mathbf{X}, Z]$. We will prove in this section conversely that, if $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ and $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)$ belongs to $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ or to $\bar{A}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] \otimes_{A} K$, then necessarily, $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$.

If $P$ is a prime of $A, \bar{A}_{(P)}$ denotes the ring of elements of $\bar{K}$ that are $P$-integral.
Lemma 4.16. Let $x \in A$ such that for infinitely many primes $P$,

$$
x^{q^{d}} \equiv x \quad\left(\bmod P^{p}\right),
$$

where $d$ is the degree of $P$. Then $x \in A^{p}$.
Proof. Let $F \in A \backslash A^{p}$, then $F^{\prime} \neq 0$, where $F^{\prime}$ denotes the derivative of $F$ with respect to the variable $\theta$. Then $F^{q^{d}}-F \equiv\left(\theta^{q^{d}}-\theta\right) F^{\prime} \bmod P^{2}$, so that for all primes $P$ not dividing $F^{\prime}, v_{P}\left(F^{q^{d}}-F\right)=1$.

Lemma 4.17.
(1) Let $\alpha \in \bar{A}$ such that for all but finitely many primes $P$ of $A$,

$$
\alpha^{q^{d}} \equiv \alpha \quad(\bmod P \bar{A}),
$$

where $d$ is the degree of $P$. Then $\alpha \in A$.
(2) Let $\alpha \in \bar{K}$ such that for all but finitely many primes $P$ of $A$,

$$
\alpha^{q^{d}} \equiv \alpha \quad\left(\bmod P \bar{A}_{(P)}\right),
$$

where $d$ is the degree of $P$. Then $\alpha \in K$.

Proof.
(1) First we assume that $\alpha$ is separable over $K$. Set $F=K(\alpha)$ and let $O_{F}$ be the integral closure of $A$ in $F$. For a prime $P$ not dividing the discriminant of $A[\alpha]$, we have:

$$
O_{F} \otimes_{A} A_{P}=A[\alpha] \otimes_{A} A_{P},
$$

where $A_{P}$ is the $P$-adic completion of $A$. Therefore, for all but finitely many primes $P$, we have:

$$
\forall x \in O_{F}, x^{q^{d}} \equiv x \quad\left(\bmod P O_{F}\right) .
$$

This implies that all but finitely many primes $P$ of $A$ are totally split in $F$. By the Čebotarev density theorem (see for example Neu99, Chapter VII, Section 13]), this implies that $F=K$ and thus $\alpha \in A$.

In general there exists a minimal integer $m \geq 0$ such that $\alpha^{p^{m}}$ is separable over $K$. If $m \geq 1$, then $x=\alpha^{p^{m}} \in A$ and for all but finitely many primes $P$ of $A$ :

$$
x^{q^{d}} \equiv x \quad\left(\bmod P^{p^{m}} A\right) .
$$

Therefore $\alpha^{p^{m-1}} \in A$ by Lemma 4.16, We deduce that $\alpha \in A$.
(2) Let $b \in A \backslash\{0\}$ such that $x=b \alpha \in \bar{A}$. Then by the first assertion of the lemma, $x \in A$. Therefore $\alpha \in K$.

Proposition 4.18. For all $s \geq 1$, if $\mathbf{X}=\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}\right)$, then

$$
\left\{F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}] ; \mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \bar{A}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] \otimes_{A} K\right\}=A[\mathbf{X}]
$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$ such that $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \bar{A}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] \otimes_{A} K$, i.e., there exists $b \in A \backslash\{0\}$ such that $b \mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \bar{A}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$. Since $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \equiv F Z\left(\bmod Z^{q}\right)$, we get $F \in \bar{K}[\mathbf{X}]$. Let $P$ be a prime of $A$ of degree $d$ not dividing $b$. Then by the proof of Lemma 4.5

$$
\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \bar{A}_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] \quad \text { and } \quad\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)) \in P \bar{A}_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]
$$

and since $C_{P} \equiv \tau^{d} \bmod P A[\tau]$, the coefficient of $Z^{q^{d}}$ in $\left(C_{P}-P *\right)(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z))$ is congruent to $F^{q^{d}}-P * F \bmod P \bar{A}_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$. Therefore

$$
F\left(X_{1}^{q^{d}}, \ldots, X_{s}^{q^{d}}\right) \equiv F^{q^{d}} \quad \bmod P \bar{A}_{(P)}[\mathbf{X}] .
$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.17, we get $F \in K[\mathbf{X}]$. Now select $c \in A \backslash\{0\}$ such that $c F \in$ $A[\mathbf{X}]$. Then by Theorem 4.6.

$$
C_{c}(\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)) \in A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}, Z\right] .
$$

Therefore $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in A[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] \otimes_{A} K$ is integral over $A[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$. But $A[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ is integrally closed (see Bou64, Chapitre 5, Proposition 14]) thus $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in A[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and this implies that $F \in A[\mathbf{X}]$ since $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \equiv F Z \bmod Z^{q}$. We then have the direct inclusion, the equality follows by Theorem 4.6

We remark that if we only suppose that $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \bar{K}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$, then the result no longer holds, for instance $F=\frac{X}{\theta} \in K[X] \backslash A[X]$ and $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in K[X][[Z]]$. Note that the above proposition implies that $\mathfrak{L}^{-1}(\bar{K}[\mathbf{X}, Z])=A[\mathbf{X}]$. In fact we have:

## Proposition 4.19.

$$
\left\{F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}] ; \mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]\right\}=A[\mathbf{X}] .
$$

Proof. Recall that if $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{s}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$, then $\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}=X_{1}^{i_{1}} \cdots X_{s}^{i_{s}}$. If $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$, write $F=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ and define $\operatorname{deg}(F) \in \mathbb{N}_{+}^{s} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$ to be the maximum for the lexicographic ordering of the exponents $\mathbf{i}$ such that $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0$. Now, if $F=$ $\sum_{k \geq 1} F_{k} Z^{k} \in Z \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$, where for all $k, F_{k} \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}]$, then we define the relative degree of $F, \operatorname{rdeg}(F) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$, to be

$$
\operatorname{rdeg}(F)=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
-\infty & \text { if } F=0 \\
\sup _{k \geq 1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{deg}\left(F_{k}\right)}{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \cup\{+\infty\} & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

where the supremum is still relative to the lexicographic ordering on $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s}$ and is well defined in $\mathbb{R}_{+}^{s} \cup\{+\infty\}$. Note the following properties of rdeg: if $F, G \in Z \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and $\psi$ is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear power series in $\mathbb{C}_{\infty}[[T]]$, then

- $\operatorname{rdeg}(F+G) \leq \max (\operatorname{rdeg}(F), \operatorname{rdeg}(G))$ with equality if $\operatorname{rdeg}(F) \neq \operatorname{rdeg}(G)$,
- $\operatorname{rdeg}\left(F^{q}\right)=\operatorname{rdeg}(F)$,
- $\operatorname{rdeg}(\psi(F)) \leq \operatorname{rdeg}(F)$,
- if $\psi \neq 0$, for $k \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}, \operatorname{rdeg}\left(\psi\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}} Z^{k}\right)\right)=\frac{\mathbf{i}}{k}$,
- if $F=\sum_{k \geq 1} F_{k} Z^{k}$ is such that there exists infinitely many indices $k_{j}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\bar{F}_{k_{j}}\right)=k_{j} \operatorname{rdeg}(F)$ (in particular $F \notin A[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ ) and $\operatorname{rdeg}(F)>$ $\operatorname{rdeg}(G)$, then $F+G \notin A[\mathbf{X}, Z]$.
For the last property, if we write $G=\sum_{k \geq 1} G_{k} Z^{k}$, then $F+G=\sum_{k \geq 1}\left(F_{k}+G_{k}\right) Z^{k}$ with for all $j, \operatorname{deg}\left(F_{k_{j}}+G_{k_{j}}\right)=k_{j} \operatorname{rdeg}(F)$ so that $F_{k_{j}}+G_{k_{j}} \neq 0$ and $F+G \notin$ $A[\mathbf{X}, Z]$.

Now let $\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}^{s}$. For $k \geq 0$, we have

$$
L_{k}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\frac{\mathbf{X}^{q^{k}} \mathbf{i}}{l_{k}}+G_{k, \mathbf{i}}
$$

where $G_{k, \mathbf{i}} \in K[\mathbf{X}]$ satisfies $\operatorname{deg}\left(G_{k, \mathbf{i}}\right)<q^{k} \mathbf{i}$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{L}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}, Z\right)=\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}} Z+F_{\mathbf{i}} \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mathbf{i}} \in Z^{q} \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ has relative degree $\operatorname{rdeg}\left(F_{\mathbf{i}}\right)<\mathbf{i}$.
Fix $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$; then

$$
C_{\alpha}(T)=\exp _{C}\left(\alpha \log _{C}(T)\right) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[[T]]
$$

is an $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear power series, and $\mathbb{C}_{\alpha}(T) \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[T]$ if and only if $\alpha \in A$ (see Gos96, Chapter 3]).

Now let $F \in \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}] \backslash A[\mathbf{X}] ;$ we want to prove that $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \notin \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]$. By Theorem 4.6, we can suppose $F=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}$ with for all $\mathbf{i}$ such that $\alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \neq 0, \alpha_{\mathbf{i}} \notin A$. Then equation (4.2) gives

$$
\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} C_{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}\left(\mathfrak{L}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}}, Z\right)\right)=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} C_{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}} Z\right)+C_{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}}}\left(F_{\mathbf{i}}\right) .
$$

If $\mathbf{i}_{0}=\operatorname{deg}(F)$, then we deduce that

$$
\mathfrak{L}(F, Z)=C_{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}_{0}}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}_{0}} Z\right)+G
$$

with $\operatorname{rdeg}(G)<\mathbf{i}_{0}$. Since $C_{\alpha_{\mathbf{i}_{0}}}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\mathbf{i}_{0}} Z\right) \notin \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]$ has infinitely many terms of relative degree $\mathbf{i}_{0}$, we have $\mathfrak{L}(F, Z) \notin \mathbb{C}_{\infty}[\mathbf{X}, Z]$.

## 5. Multivariable $L$-functions

5.1. Frobenius actions. Let $K_{\infty} \subseteq E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\infty}$ be a field complete with respect to $|\cdot|_{\infty}$. Observe that if $n \geq 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq s$, then

$$
H_{q^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right)^{q}=C_{\theta^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right)^{q}=C_{\theta^{n+1}}\left(X_{i}\right)-\theta C_{\theta^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right)=\left(t_{i}-\theta\right) \cdot H_{q^{n}}\left(X_{i}\right) .
$$

Thus we define the following action of $\tau$ on $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ :

$$
\forall f=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E), \quad \tau(f)=\left(t_{1}-\theta\right) \cdots\left(t_{s}-\theta\right) \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{q} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}
$$

and we get for all $f \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ the equality in $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\operatorname{lin}}(E)$ :

$$
\tau\left(f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\right)=\tau(f) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)
$$

We then define on $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ the operator $\varphi$ which will be a Frobenius acting only on coefficients, namely:

$$
\forall f=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E), \quad \varphi(f)=\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{q} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}
$$

so that on $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$, we have $\tau=\left(t_{1}-\theta\right) \cdots\left(t_{s}-\theta\right) \varphi$. Moreover, for $d \geq 1$, if we define, $b_{d}(t)=(t-\theta)\left(t-\theta^{q}\right) \cdots\left(t-\theta^{q^{d-1}}\right)$, then for all $f \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$,

$$
\tau^{d}(f)=b_{d}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{d}\left(t_{s}\right) \varphi^{d}(f)
$$

We also set $b_{0}(t)=1$ so that the above relation still holds for $d=0$. Note that for all $f, g \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$, and $d \geq 0$,

$$
\tau^{d}(f g)=\tau^{d}(f) \varphi^{d}(g)
$$

Observe moreover that

$$
\forall f \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E), \forall d \geq 0, \quad\left\|\varphi^{d}(f)\right\|_{\infty}=\|f\|_{\infty}^{q^{d}} \text { and }\left\|\tau^{d}(f)\right\|_{\infty}=q^{\frac{q^{d}-1}{q-1}}\|f\|_{\infty}^{q^{d}}
$$

We deduce that $\exp _{C}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{j}} \tau^{j}$ is defined on $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ and that for all $f \in \mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$, we have in $\mathbb{B}_{s}^{\text {lin }}(E)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{C}\left(f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\right)=\exp _{C}(f) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now extend the action of $E[\mathbf{t}]$ on $E[\mathbf{X}]$ to an action of $E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ on $E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ via

$$
\left(\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k}(\mathbf{t}) z^{k}\right) \cdot\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} F_{n}(\mathbf{X}) Z^{n}\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0}\left(f_{k}(\mathbf{t}) \cdot F_{n}(\mathbf{X})\right) Z^{n q^{k}}
$$

and we let $\tau$ act on $Z$ via $\tau(Z)=Z^{q}$. Since $\tau(Z)=z . Z$, we define on $E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ the operator $\tau_{z}$ by, for all $f=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} z^{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$,

$$
\tau_{z}(f)=z\left(t_{1}-\theta\right) \cdots\left(t_{s}-\theta\right) \sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{q} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} z^{k}=\sum_{k \geq 0} z^{k+1} \tau\left(\sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{q} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}}\right)
$$

Thus if we extend $\varphi$ by

$$
\forall f=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} z^{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]], \quad \varphi(f)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} f_{\mathbf{i}}^{q} \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{i}} z^{k},
$$

we get for all $f=\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k} z^{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ and $d \geq 0, \tau_{z}^{d}(f)=z^{d} b_{d}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{d}\left(t_{s}\right) \varphi^{d}(f)$. By construction, if $f=E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$, then $f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right) \in E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and for all $d \geq 0$,

$$
\tau^{d}\left(f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)\right)=\tau_{z}^{d}(f) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)
$$

We then have an operator $\exp _{C}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{j}} \tau^{j}$ on $Z E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ and an operator $\exp _{z}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{D_{j}} \tau_{z}^{j}$ on $E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ such that for all $f \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp _{C}\left(f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)\right)=\exp _{z}(f) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar property holds for $\log _{C}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{j}} \tau^{j}$ and $\log _{z}=\sum_{j \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{j}} \tau_{z}^{j}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{C}\left(f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)\right)=\log _{z}(f) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right) \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\log _{z}$ and $\exp _{z}$ define the reciprocal bijection of $E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$.
We now state compatibility results for evaluations at $Z=1$ and $z=1$.
Lemma 5.1. Let $F(\mathbf{X}, Z)=\sum_{n \geq 0} F_{n}(\mathbf{X}) Z^{n} \in E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]$ with $F_{n}(\mathbf{X}) \in E[\mathbf{X}]$ and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|F_{n}\right\|=0$ for all $n \geq 0$, and let $f=\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k} z^{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ with $f_{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}]$ and $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\infty}=0$ for all $k \geq 0$. Then $F$ and $f$.F converge in $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ at $Z=1$, $f$ converges in $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ at $z=1$, and we have the following equality in $\mathbb{B}_{s}(E)$ :

$$
(f . F(\mathbf{X}, Z))_{\mid Z=1}=f(\mathbf{t}, 1) \cdot F(\mathbf{X}, 1) .
$$

Proof. The convergence of $F$ at $Z=1$ and of $f$ at $z=1$ are obvious, the convergence of $f . F$ follows from the equality $\left\|f_{k} \cdot F_{n}\right\|=\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\infty}\left\|F_{n}\right\|$ from Lemma 3.9 Finally, both sides of the equality are equal to $\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{n \geq 0} f_{k} \cdot F_{n}$.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\eta=\sum_{n \geq 0} \eta_{n} \tau_{z}^{n} \in E\left[\left[\tau_{z}\right]\right]$ and $\eta^{1}=\sum_{n \geq 0} \eta_{n} \tau^{n} \in E[[\tau]]$, let $f=$ $\sum_{k \geq 0} f_{k} z^{k} \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ with $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\infty}=0$, write $M=\sup _{k \geq 0}\left\|f_{k}\right\|_{\infty}$ and suppose $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\eta_{n}\right|\left(q^{\frac{s}{q-1}} M\right)^{q^{n}}=0$; finally write $g(\mathbf{t}, z)=\eta(f(\mathbf{t}, z))=\sum_{n \geq 0} \eta_{n} \tau_{z}^{n}(f) \in$ $E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$. Then $f$ and $g$ converge in $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ at $z=1$ and we have the following equality in $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$ :

$$
\eta^{1}(f(\mathbf{t}, 1))=g(\mathbf{t}, 1) .
$$

Proof. The convergence of $f$ is obvious. Both sides of the above equality are easily seen to be equal to

$$
\sum_{n \geq 0, k \geq 0} \eta_{n} \tau^{n}\left(f_{k}(\mathbf{t})\right)
$$

which is the sum of a summable family in $\mathbb{T}_{s}(E)$. This gives at once the convergence of both sides of the equality and the desired identity.

Now define
$E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]^{\text {lin }}=\left\{F \in E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]] ; F\right.$ is linear with respect to each of $\left.X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s}, Z\right\}$.

## Lemma 5.3.

(1) The map

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
E[\mathbf{t}][[z]] & \rightarrow & E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]], \\
f & \mapsto & f \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

is injective with image $E[\mathbf{X}][[Z]]^{\text {lin }}$,
(2) $f \in E[\mathbf{t}][[z]]$ satisfies $f .\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right) \in A[\mathbf{X}][Z]$ if, and only if, $f \in A[\mathbf{t}][z]$.

Proof. The first point is an immediate consequence of Lemma 3.11 and the second one a consequence of Lemma 3.12,
5.2. Anderson-Stark units. We define for all integers $N \in \mathbb{Z}, s \geq 1$ :

$$
L(N, s, z)=\sum_{d \geq 0} z^{d} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots a\left(t_{s}\right)}{a^{N}} \in K[\mathbf{t}][[z]]
$$

and

$$
L(N, s)=\sum_{d \geq 0} \sum_{a \in A_{+, d}} \frac{a\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots a\left(t_{s}\right)}{a^{N}} \in \mathbb{T}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)
$$

where $L(N, s, z) \in A[\mathbf{t}, z]$ if $N \leq 0$ because of Lemma 4.13. We also define the operator $\Gamma$ :

$$
\forall F \in \mathbb{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right), \quad \Gamma(F)=L(1, s) \cdot F \in \mathbb{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)
$$

We will refer to $\Gamma$ as to Anderson's operator. Note that $L(1, s)$ has norm 1 , so that $\Gamma$ is an isometry of $\mathbb{B}_{s}\left(\mathbb{C}_{\infty}\right)$, in particular, $\left\|\Gamma\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\right\|=q^{\frac{s}{q-1}}$. We now define

$$
\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)=\exp _{z}(L(1, s, z))
$$

We then have the following.

## Proposition 5.4.

(1) $L(1, s, z)=\log _{z}\left(\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)\right)$,
(2) $L(1, s, z) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z=\mathfrak{L}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}, Z\right)=\log _{C}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)\right)$,
(3) $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z=\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ and $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z) \in A[\mathbf{t}, z]$.

Proof. The first point and equality $L(1, s, z) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z=\mathfrak{L}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}, Z\right)$ are clear. The equality $L(1, s, z) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z=\log _{C}(\mathbb{S} s(\mathbf{X}, Z))$ comes from equation (5.3). Equation (5.2) shows that $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z) \cdot X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z=\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ and the fact that $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z) \in A[\mathbf{t}, z]$ is a consequence of Lemma 5.3 and Theorem 4.6

We call the special polynomial $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ the Anderson-Stark unit of level $s$.
The evaluation at $Z=1$ leads to the following.

## Proposition 5.5.

(1) $\exp _{C}\left(\Gamma\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)\right)=\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, 1)$,
(2) if $s<q$, then $\Gamma\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=\log _{C}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)$.

Proof. Lemma 5.2 shows that $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, 1)=\exp _{C}(L(1, s))$, and equation (5.1) yields to the first point. For the second point, we remark that if $s<q$, then $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)=$ $X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z$ so that

$$
\mathfrak{L}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}, Z\right)=\log _{C}\left(\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s} Z\right)^{q^{n}}}{l_{n}}
$$

but $\left\|X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right\|=q^{\frac{s}{q-1}}<q^{\frac{q}{q-1}}$ so that

$$
\Gamma\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)^{q^{n}}}{l_{n}}=\log _{C}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)
$$

converges in $\mathbb{B}_{s}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$.

We can recover properties of $\sigma_{s}$ from the ones of $\mathbb{S}_{s}$.

## Proposition 5.6.

(1) $\operatorname{deg}_{z}\left(\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)\right) \leq \frac{s-1}{q-1}$,
(2) $z-1$ divides $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)$ if, and only if, $s \equiv 1 \bmod q-1$ and $s>1$.

Proof. The first point comes from Proposition 4.7 and the second one from Lemma 4.10

Note that in practice, formulas for $\sigma_{s}$ are more manageable and easier to compute than the formulas for $\mathbb{S}_{s}$. Compare the following example with Example 4.12,

Example 5.7. If $1 \leq s \leq q-1$, then $\sigma_{s}=1$. The next two polynomials are: $\sigma_{q}=1-z$ and $\sigma_{q+1}=1-\left(t_{1}-\theta\right) \cdots\left(t_{q+1}-\theta\right) z$.

In the spirit of Lemma 4.13, we can recover the values $L(N, s, z)$ for $N \leq 0$ from the polynomials $\mathbb{S}_{s}(\mathbf{X}, Z)$ :

Theorem 5.8. For all $N \geq 0$ and $s \geq 1$,

$$
L(-N, s, z) \cdot\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right)=\frac{d}{d X_{s+1}} \cdots \frac{d}{d X_{s+N+1}} \mathbb{S}_{s+N+1}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{s+N+1}, Z\right)
$$

Proof. Since $\frac{d}{d X}(a * X)=a$, we have

$$
\frac{d}{d X_{s+1}} \cdots \frac{d}{d X_{s+N+1}} Z_{k}\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s+N+1}\right)=\sum_{a \in A_{+, k}} a *\left(X_{1} \cdots X_{s}\right) a^{N}
$$

which gives the result.

## 6. Special $L$-values

The purpose of this section is to express the series $L(N, s, z)$ as sums of polylogarithms. The idea here is to use the fact that if we evaluate $t_{n+1}, \ldots, t_{s}$ at $\theta$ in $\varphi^{r}(L(1, s, z))=L\left(q^{r}, s, z\right)$, we just obtain $L\left(q^{r}+n-s, n, z\right)$.

If $P$ is a polynomial in a variable among $t, t_{1}, \ldots$, or $t_{s}$, we will write $P^{\varphi}$ for $\varphi(P)$.

Lemma 6.1. For all integers $k \geq 0$ and $r \geq 0$, we have:
(1) $b_{k+r}(t)=\varphi^{k}\left(b_{r}(t)\right) b_{k}(t)=\varphi^{r}\left(b_{k}(t)\right) b_{r}(t)$,
(2) if $r \geq 1, \varphi^{r}\left(b_{k}(t)\right)=\varphi^{k}\left(b_{r}(t)\right) \frac{b_{k}(t)}{b_{r}(t)}=\varphi^{k}\left(b_{r-1}^{\varphi}(t)\right) \frac{b_{k}^{\varphi}(t)}{b_{r-1}^{\varphi}(t)}$,
(3) $b_{k}^{\varphi}(\theta)=l_{k}$.

Proof. The verification of these identities is left to the reader.
We start from the identity of the first point of Proposition 5.4, and we write $\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sigma_{s, i}(\mathbf{t}) z^{i}:$

$$
\begin{aligned}
L(1, s, z) & =\log _{z}\left(\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{k}} \tau_{z}^{k}\left(\sigma_{s}(\mathbf{t}, z)\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{z^{k+i}}{l_{k}} \tau^{k}\left(\sigma_{s, i}(\mathbf{t})\right) \\
& =\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{z^{k+i}}{l_{k}} b_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{k}\left(t_{s}\right) \varphi^{k}\left(\sigma_{s, i}(\mathbf{t})\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we apply $\varphi^{r}$ on both sides, we get by Lemma 6.1)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L\left(q^{r}, s, z\right)=\sum_{k \geq 0} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \frac{z^{k+i}}{l_{k}^{q^{r}}} \varphi^{r}\left(b_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{k}\left(t_{s}\right)\right) \varphi^{k+r}\left(\sigma_{s, i}(\mathbf{t})\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{z^{k+i}}{l_{k}^{q^{r}}} \frac{b_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{k}\left(t_{n}\right) b_{k}^{\varphi}\left(t_{n+1}\right) \cdots b_{k}^{\varphi}\left(t_{s}\right)}{b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right) . b_{r-1}^{\varphi}\left(t_{n+1}\right) \cdots b_{r-1}^{\varphi}\left(t_{s}\right)} \varphi^{k}\left(U_{s, i}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $U_{s, i}=b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right) b_{r-1}^{\varphi}\left(t_{n+1}\right) \cdots b_{r-1}^{\varphi}\left(t_{s}\right) \varphi^{r}\left(\sigma_{s, i}(\mathbf{t})\right)$.
Write $U_{s, i}=\sum_{i_{n+1}, \ldots, i_{s}} f_{i_{n+1}, \ldots, i_{s}} t_{n+1}^{i_{n+1}} \cdots t_{s}^{i_{s}}$ with $f_{i_{n+1}, \ldots, i_{s}} \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{n}\right]$ and for $j \geq 0, g_{i, j}=\sum_{i_{n+1}+\cdots+i_{s}=j} f_{i_{n+1}, \ldots, i_{s}}$ so that $U_{s, i}$ evaluated at $t_{n+1}=\cdots=t_{s}=$ $\theta$ is the polynomial $\sum_{j \geq 0} \theta^{j} g_{i, j}$. We now evaluate $L\left(q^{r}, s, z\right)$ at $t_{n+1}=\cdots=t_{s}=\theta$ and we write $N=q^{r}-s+n$ :

$$
L(N, n, z)=\sum_{j \geq 0} \theta^{j} \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{z^{k+i}}{l_{k}^{N} l_{r-1}^{s-n}} \frac{b_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{k}\left(t_{n}\right)}{b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right)} \varphi^{k}\left(g_{i, j}\right) .
$$

Now write $\log _{N, z}=\sum_{k \geq 0} z^{k} \frac{b_{k}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{k}\left(t_{n}\right)}{l_{k}^{N}} \varphi^{k}=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{k}^{N}} \tau_{z}^{k}$. Then

$$
L(N, n, z)=\frac{1}{l_{r-1}^{s-n} b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right)} \sum_{j \geq 0} \theta^{j} \log _{N, z}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} z^{i} g_{i, j}\right) .
$$

We have proved the next theorem.
Theorem 6.2. For all integers $N \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ such that $q^{r} \geq N$, there exist an integer $d \geq 0$, and for $0 \leq j \leq d$, polynomials $h_{j} \in A\left[t_{1}, \ldots, t_{s}, z\right]$ such that

$$
L(N, n, z)=\frac{1}{l_{r-1}^{q^{r}-N} b_{r}\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots b_{r}\left(t_{n}\right)} \sum_{j=0}^{d} \theta^{j} \log _{N, z}\left(h_{j}\right) .
$$

Now denote for $N \in \mathbb{Z}, \log _{N}=\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{l_{k}^{N}} \tau^{k}$ the $N$ th Carlitz polylogarithm.
Corollary 6.3. For all integers $N \in \mathbb{Z}, n \geq 1$ and $r \geq 1$ such that $q^{r} \geq N$, there exist an integer $d \geq 0$, and for $0 \leq j \leq d$, polynomials $H_{j} \in A\left[X_{1}, \ldots, X_{n}, Z\right]^{\text {lin }}$ such that

$$
L(N, n, z) \cdot\left(X_{1}^{q^{r}} \cdots X_{n}^{q^{r}} Z\right)=\frac{1}{l_{r-1}^{q^{r}-N}} \sum_{j=0}^{d} \theta^{j} \log _{N}\left(H_{j}\right)
$$
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ We signal here to avoid confusion that in the rest of the article $\tau$ will denote more generally a morphism semi-linear with respect to $\tau_{0}$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ We recall that, here, this just means that $\lim _{N_{1}+\cdots+N_{s} \rightarrow \infty} f_{N_{1}, \ldots, N_{s}}=0$.

