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#### Abstract

For a number field, we consider the Galois group of the maximal tamely ramified pro-2-extension with restricted ramification. Providing a general criterion for the metacyclicity of such Galois groups in terms of 2-ranks and 4-ranks of ray class groups, we classify all finite sets of odd prime numbers such that the maximal pro-2-extension unramified outside the set has prometacyclic Galois group over the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of the rationals. The list of such sets yields new affirmative examples of Greenberg's conjecture.


## 1. Introduction

Let $p$ be a prime number. For an algebraic extension $k$ of the rational number field $\mathbb{Q}$ and a finite set $S$ of primes of (a subfield of) $k$, we consider the Galois group $G_{S}(k)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S} / k\right)$ of the maximal pro- $p$-extension $k_{S}$ of $k$ unramified outside (primes dividing an element of) $S$. When the degree $[k: \mathbb{Q}]$ is finite, the pro- $p$ group $G_{S}(k)$ is finitely presented by generators and relations. While arithmetical symbols describe the relations approximately (cf. e.g. [14]), it is in general difficult to know the structure explicitly. If $k_{S}$ contains a $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension $k_{\infty}$ of $k$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ denotes (the additive group of) the ring of $p$-adic integers, then $G_{S}(k)$ and its closed subgroup $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ are relatively well studied also in Iwasawa theory (cf. e.g. [18]).

On the other hand, we focus on the case where $S$ contains no primes lying over $p$. Then $G_{S}(k)$ is a 'fab' pro- $p$ group with derived series corresponding to the ray $p$-class field tower of $k$. Such Galois groups are also studied in nonabelian Iwasawa theory [22] as the closed subgroup $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right) \simeq \lim G_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)$ of the finitely presented pro-p group $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{S} / k\right)$ for the cyclotomic $\overleftarrow{\mathbb{Z}}_{p}$-extension $k_{\infty}=k \mathbb{Q}_{\{p\}}$ (cf. also [4], [26, etc.), where the projective limit is taken on the restriction mappings and the subfields $k \subset k_{n} \subset k_{\infty}$. While there are several explicit examples of finitely presented $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ ([27], etc.), it is not known whether $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is always finitely presented or not. Moreover, one of the difficulties is Greenberg's conjecture [8] which states the finiteness of the Galois group $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ of the maximal unramified abelian pro-p-extension over the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$-extension $K_{\infty}$ of an arbitrary totally real number field $K$. Then it is a supplemental strategy to consider $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ as a subquotient of $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ for a $p$-extension $K_{\infty} / k_{\infty}$ unramified outside $S$. We consider these subjects in the case where $p=2$ and $k=\mathbb{Q}$. The main theorem (Theorem 1.1) below gives a classification of all $S$ with prometacyclic $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ and new examples of finite $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ as a subquotient of $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$.

[^0]A prometacyclic (resp. procyclic) pro-p group is a projective limit of metacyclic (resp. cyclic) $p$-groups. A pro-p group is prometacyclic if and only if it has a procyclic closed normal subgroup with procyclic quotient (cf. [5, Exercise 3.10]), and hence a prometacyclic pro- $p$ group is finitely presented.

In this paper, $\ell$ and $q$ denote prime numbers such that $\ell \equiv-q \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, and $\infty$ as an element of $S$ denotes the archimedean prime of $\mathbb{Q}$. Also ( $\vdots$ ) denotes the quadratic residue symbol, and $(\div)_{4}$ denotes the biquadratic residue symbol defined as follows: $\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right)_{4}= \pm 1 \equiv z^{\frac{\ell-1}{4}}(\bmod \ell)$ for $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$ such that $\left(\frac{z}{\ell}\right)=1$, and $\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{a-1}{8}}$ for an integer $a \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$.

Theorem 1.1. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ not containing 2, and let $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. The Galois group $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ of the maximal pro-2-extension $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ unramified outside $S$ is prometacyclic if and only if $S$ satisfies one of the following:
(1) $S \subset\{\infty\}$ or $S=\{q\}$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial.
(2) $S=\{\ell\}, \ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ or $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
(3) $S=\{q, r\}, q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)=-1$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
(4) $S=\{r, \infty\}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)=-1$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
(5) $S=\{\ell\}, \ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$ and $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{1+\frac{1}{2} h_{\ell}}$ for the class number $h_{\ell}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}}, \sqrt{\ell})$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic.
(6) $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and one of the following is satisfied:

- $r_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8), r_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)_{4}=1$.
- $r_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8), r_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{2 r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{2 r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{r_{1} r_{2}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$.
- $r_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8), r_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{2}{r_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$.
- $r_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8), r_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 4),\left(\frac{r_{2}}{r_{1}}\right)=\left(\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-\left(\frac{2}{r_{1}}\right)_{4}=-\left(\frac{2}{r_{2}}\right)$.
- $r_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16), r_{2} \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$.

Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic.
(7) $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, r\right\}, q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and one of the following is satisfied:

- $q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8), r \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{2}}{r}\right)=-1$.
- $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), r \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}\right)=-1$.
- $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), r \equiv 7(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}\right)=-1$.

Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic.
(8) $S=\{q, \infty\}$ and $q \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic.

Moreover, if $\infty \notin S$ and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic, and if $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite extension contained in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$, then the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension $K_{\infty}$ of $K$ has no infinite unramified abelian pro-2-extension (i.e., $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite).

Remark 1.2. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$, then $h_{\ell}$ is even (cf. e.g. [20]). Moreover, one can see that $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\ell}\right)=1$ from the decomposition of $\ell$ in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt[4]{2}, \sqrt{1+\sqrt{2}})$. Since $(1+\sqrt{2})(1-\sqrt{2})=-1$ and $\left(\frac{-1}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$, the symbol $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}$ does not depend on the choice of an embedding $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}] \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}$.

In the proof of Theorem 1.1, we see that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is infinite procyclic if and only if $S$ satisfies the condition 3 and $q \equiv r(\bmod 8)$. By 9, Theorem 1.1], one can also see that (the maximal abelian pro-2 quotient of) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is infinite if $S$
satisfies the condition (6) and $r_{2} \not \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ or the condition (7) and $q_{2} \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 8)$. The finiteness of $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ in Theorem 1.1 for abelian $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is already known essentially (cf. [20], [23], [28], etc.) and is used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.1 yields new examples of finite $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ when $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is nonabelian. Similar statements for $p \neq 2$ (and for a special case of $p=2$ ) have been obtained in [10] and [19], while the influences of $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ on the prometacyclicity of $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ are different according to the parity of $p$ (cf. assumptions of [19, Theorems 1 and 2]). As a clarification of this difference and as a key tool for the proof of Theorem 1.1, we provide a general criterion (Theorem 3.1 in Section 3) for the metacyclicity of tame pro-2 Galois groups $G_{S}(k)$ in terms of 2 -ranks and 4 -ranks of ray class groups. After recalling some basic facts on pro- $p$ groups and ray class groups and cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extensions (in Sections 2 and 4 ), we prove the first half of Theorem 1.1. dividing the statements according to $(r \bmod 4)_{r \in S}$ (from Sections 5 to 9). Also, we see the structure of $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ more explicitly in some special cases. The proof of Theorem 1.1 will be completed in the final section (Section 10).

Example 1.3. Since $\left(\frac{29}{5}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{5}{29}\right)_{4}=-1$, the set $S=\{5,29\}$ satisfies the condition (6). Then $K=\mathbb{Q}_{S}$ is a nonabelian metacyclic 2-extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ (cf. Remark 2.2 below). Moreover, $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is a pro-2 group with two generators $a, b$ and two relations $a^{16}, a^{-3} b^{-1} a b$ (cf. [19], Example 2]). Put $\ell=137$ or $\ell=409$. Then $\ell \equiv 9$ $(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$. Since $31^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 137)$ and $97^{2} \equiv 2(\bmod 409)$, we have $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{137}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{32}{137}\right)_{4}=-1$ and $\left(\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{409}\right)_{4}=\left(\frac{98}{409}\right)_{4}=1$. Moreover, $h_{137} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $h_{409} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$ by [24]. Hence $S=\{\ell\}$ satisfies the condition (5).

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. Pro- $p$ groups. We denote by $|S|$ the cardinality of a set $S$ and by $\mathbb{F}_{p^{n}}$ the finite field of cardinality $p^{n}$. An abelian pro- $p$ group $A$ is often regarded as a $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{-}}$ module. For an integer $e \geq 1$, we put $A / p^{e}=A / A^{p^{e}}$ and denote by $\mathrm{r}_{p^{e}}(A)=$ $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(A^{p^{e-1}} / A^{p^{e}}\right)$ the $p^{e}$-rank. In particular, $\mathrm{r}_{2}(A)$ and $\mathrm{r}_{4}(A)$ denote the 2-rank and the 4 -rank of an abelian pro-2 group $A$ respectively.

Let $G$ be a pro- $p$ group (not necessarily finitely generated) and $H$ a closed subgroup of $G$. Then $[G, H]$ (resp. $H^{p}$ ) denotes the minimal closed subgroup of $G$ containing all of $[g, h]=g^{-1} h^{-1} g h\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.h^{p}\right)(g \in G, h \in H)$. If $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, the left action of $G$ on $H$ is defined as ${ }^{g} h=g h g^{-1}$. Let $\left\{G_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be the lower central series of $G$, which is defined as $G_{1}=G$ and $G_{i}=\left[G, G_{i-1}\right]$ for $i \geq 2$ recursively. In particular, $G_{2}=[G, G]$ is the closed commutator subgroup of $G$, and $G^{\mathrm{ab}}=G / G_{2}$ is the maximal abelian pro-p quotient of $G$. Burnside's basis theorem yields that $G$ is finitely generated if and only if $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ is finite. Then $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ is the (minimal) number of generators of $G$. In particular, $G$ is nontrivial procyclic (resp. trivial) if and only if $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=1$ (resp. 0). If $G$ is a prometacyclic pro- $p$ group, then its pro- $p$ quotients and $H$ are also prometacyclic, in particular $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq 2$. A finite $p$-group $G$ is metacyclic if and only if $G /\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}$ is metacyclic (cf. 3, Theorem 2.3]).

A group-theoretical part of the proof of Theorem 1.1 is based on the following proposition, which does not depend on the parity of $p$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $G$ be a pro-p group such that $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$. If $G$ has a maximal subgroup $H$ such that $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$, then $G$ is a prometacyclic pro-p group.

Proof. First, we prove the statement for a finite $p$-group $G$ with $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(G^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$. If $G$ is abelian, $G$ is metacyclic. Also, if $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=1$, then $G$ is metacyclic. Assume that $G$ is nonabelian and $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$. There are generators $a, b$ of $G$ such that $\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle=\{1\}$. Then $H$ is either $\left\langle a, b^{p}\right\rangle G_{2},\left\langle a^{p}, b\right\rangle G_{2}$ or $\left\langle a b^{i}, b^{p}\right\rangle G_{2}=\left\langle a b^{i}, a^{p}\right\rangle G_{2}$ with $1 \leq i<p$. Replacing

$$
(a, b) \text { by } \begin{cases}(b, a) & \text { if } H=\left\langle a^{p}, b\right\rangle G_{2}, \\ \left(a b^{i}, a\right) & \text { if } H=\left\langle a b^{i}, b^{p}\right\rangle G_{2} \text { and }\left|\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left|\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle\right|, \\ \left(a b^{i}, b\right) & \text { if } H=\left\langle a b^{i}, b^{p}\right\rangle G_{2} \text { and }\left|\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle\right|>\mid\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle,\end{cases}
$$

we may assume that $H=\left\langle a, b^{p}\right\rangle G_{2}$ and $\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle=\{1\}$. (For example, if $\left(a b^{i} G_{2}\right)^{x} \in\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle$, we have $b^{i x} G_{2} \in\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle \cap\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle=\{1\}$, i.e., $x \equiv 0\left(\bmod \left|\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle\right|\right)$. Then $\left(a b^{i} G_{2}\right)^{x}=1$ if $\left|\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle\right| \leq\left|\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle\right|$.) Note that $G_{2} / G_{3}=\left\langle[a, b] G_{3}\right\rangle \not \approx$ 1. Since $\left[a, b^{p}\right] \equiv[a, b]^{p}\left(\bmod G_{3}\right)$, there is a surjective homomorphism $H^{\text {ab }} \rightarrow$ $H /\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}=\left\langle a\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}, b^{p}\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3},[a, b]\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}\right\rangle$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$, we have $a^{x}\left(b^{p}\right)^{y}[a, b]^{z} \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}\right)$ for some $(x, y, z) \not \equiv(0,0,0)(\bmod p)$. In particular, $a^{x}\left(b^{p}\right)^{y} \equiv 1\left(\bmod G_{2}\right)$. Then $x=p^{m} x^{\prime}$ and $y=p^{n-1} y^{\prime}$ with some $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $p^{m}=\left|\left\langle a G_{2}\right\rangle\right|$ and $p^{n}=\left|\left\langle b G_{2}\right\rangle\right|$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=2$, we have $n \geq 2$, and hence $x \equiv y \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Therefore $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Note that $a^{p^{m}} \equiv[a, b]^{u}\left(\bmod G_{3}\right)$ and $b^{p^{n}} \equiv[a, b]^{v}\left(\bmod G_{3}\right)$ with some $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $[a, b]^{-z} \equiv a^{x} b^{p y} \equiv[a, b]^{u x^{\prime}+v y^{\prime}}\left(\bmod \left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}\right)$. This implies that $(u, v) \not \equiv(0,0)$ $(\bmod p)$. Put $N=\langle a\rangle G_{2}$ or $N=\langle b\rangle G_{2}$ according to $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$or $v \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{\times}$. Then both $N /\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}$ and $G / N$ are cyclic, and hence $G /\left(G_{2}\right)^{p} G_{3}$ is metacyclic. Therefore $G$ is metacyclic by 3, Theorem 2.3].

Suppose that $G$ is not necessarily finite. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i}$ be the lower $p$-central series of $G$, which is defined as $U_{1}=G$ and $U_{i}=U_{i-1}^{p}\left[G, U_{i-1}\right]$ for $i \geq 2$ recursively. We put $\bar{G}=G / U_{i}$ and $\bar{H}=H / U_{i}$ for arbitrary $i \geq 2$. Since $\left\{U_{i}\right\}_{i}$ forms a fundamental system of open neighbourhoods of $1, \mathrm{r}_{p}\left(\bar{G}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(\bar{H} / \bar{G}_{2}\right)=$ $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(\bar{H}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ if $i$ is sufficiently large. Then $\bar{G}$ is metacyclic. Therefore $G \simeq \lim G / U_{i}$ is prometacyclic.

For a nonabelian pro-2 group $G$, it is well known that $G^{\text {ab }} \simeq[2,2]$ if and only if $G$ is either (pro)dihedral, quaternion, generalized quaternion or semidihedral (cf. e.g. [13]). Such pro-2 groups $G$ are prometacyclic.

Remark 2.2. Shafarevich's formula (cf. e.g. [14, (11.12)]) yields that the tame pro-p Galois group $G=G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ has deficiency zero; i.e., the cohomology with $\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ coefficients satisfies $\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{1}(G)\right)=\mathrm{r}_{p}\left(H^{2}(G)\right)$ (cf. [21, (10.7.15)]). Since any finite noncyclic abelian $p$-group has nontrivial Schur multiplier, $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ (and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ ) cannot be abelian if $p \notin S$ and $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is not cyclic. We often use this fact.
2.2. Ray class groups. Let $k / \mathbb{Q}$ be an algebraic extension and $S$ a finite set of integral divisors of (a subfield of) $k$ which are prime to 2 . Let $S_{k}$ be the set of all primes of $k$ dividing $\prod_{\mathfrak{a} \in S} \mathfrak{a}$. We denote by $k_{S}$ (resp. $k_{S}^{\text {ab }}, k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ ) the maximal (resp. maximal abelian, maximal elementary abelian) pro-2-extension of $k$ unramified outside $S_{k}$, and put $G=G_{S}(k)=\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S} / k\right)$. Suppose that $[k: \mathbb{Q}]$ is finite and $S_{k}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{1}, \mathfrak{l}_{2}, \cdots, \mathfrak{l}_{n}\right\}$. Let $k^{\prime}$ be a subfield of $k$ (possibly $k=k^{\prime}$ ) such that $k / k^{\prime}$ is a 2 -extension and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k^{\prime}\right)$ acts on $S_{k}$. Then $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k^{\prime}\right)$ acts on $G^{\text {ab }}$ via the left action of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k^{\prime}\right)$ on $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k\right)$. We denote by $A_{S}(k)$ the Sylow 2-subgroup of the ray class group of $k$ modulo $\prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{l}_{i}$. Then $A_{S}(k) \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k\right) \simeq G^{\mathrm{ab}}$
and $A_{S}(k) / 2 \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / k\right) \simeq G / G^{2} G_{2}$ as $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k^{\prime}\right)$-modules via the Artin map. Suppose that $S_{k}$ contains no archimedean prime. The definition of the ray class groups induces an exact sequence

$$
E(k) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{k, S}}\left(O_{k} / \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathfrak{l}_{i}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow A_{S}(k) \rightarrow A_{\emptyset}(k) \rightarrow 0
$$

of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k / k^{\prime}\right)$-modules, where $O_{k}$ is the ring of integers in $k, E(k)=O_{k}^{\times}$is the unit group of $k$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, we choose a primitive element $g_{\mathfrak{l}_{i}} \in O_{k}$ of the finite field $O_{k} / \mathfrak{l}_{i}$. Let $2^{e_{i}}$ be the order of the cyclic 2 -group $\left(O_{k} / \mathfrak{l}_{i}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then $\mathbb{Z} / 2^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z} \simeq\left(O_{k} / \mathfrak{l}_{i}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}: a \bmod 2^{e_{i}} \mapsto\left(g_{\mathfrak{l}_{i}}^{a} \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{i}\right) \otimes 1$. Depending on the choice of $g_{\mathfrak{l}_{i}}(1 \leq i \leq n)$, the above sequence induces the exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
E(k) & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k, S}} \quad\left[2_{\mathfrak{l}_{1}}^{e_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{l}_{2}}^{e_{2}}, \cdots, 2_{\mathfrak{l}_{n}}^{e_{n}}\right] \quad \rightarrow A_{S}(k) \rightarrow A_{\emptyset}(k) \rightarrow 0, \\
\Psi & \longmapsto \\
\epsilon & \left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \cdots, a_{n}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the second term denotes an abelian group $\left[2^{e_{1}}, 2^{e_{2}}, \cdots, 2^{e_{n}}\right]=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n}\left(\mathbb{Z} / 2^{e_{i}} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, and $a_{i}$ is the abbreviation of $a_{i} \bmod 2^{e_{i}}$ satisfying $\epsilon \equiv g_{\mathfrak{l}_{i}}^{a_{i}} \bmod \mathfrak{l}_{i}$. Let $\left\{\epsilon_{j}\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq d} \subset$ $E(k)$ be a system (not necessarily minimum) such that $\left\{\varphi_{k, S}\left(\epsilon_{j}\right)\right\}_{1 \leq j \leq d}$ generates $\varphi_{k, S}(E(k))$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-module. Then we put a column vector

$$
v_{k, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{k, S}\left(\epsilon_{1}\right) \\
\varphi_{k, S}\left(\epsilon_{2}\right) \\
\vdots \\
\varphi_{k, S}\left(\epsilon_{d}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{11} & a_{21} & \cdots & a_{n 1} \\
a_{12} & a_{22} & \cdots & a_{n 2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
a_{1 d} & a_{2 d} & \cdots & a_{n d}
\end{array}\right)=\left(a_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq i \leq n}
$$

For any $A \in G L_{d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, the components of a vector $A v_{k, S}$ also generate $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k, S}$. By finding suitable $A$ such that $A v_{k, S}$ has a simple form, one can calculate Coker $\varphi_{k, S}$. For a set $\Sigma$ of ideals of $k$ such that $\Sigma_{k}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{i_{1}}, \mathfrak{l}_{i_{2}}, \cdots, \mathfrak{l}_{i_{m}}\right\} \subset S_{k}$, we choose the same $g_{i_{i_{\mu}}}(1 \leq \mu \leq m)$. Then we have the exact sequence

$$
E(k) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k, \Sigma}}\left[22_{\mathfrak{l}_{i_{1}}}^{e_{i_{1}}}, 2_{\mathfrak{l}_{i_{2}}}^{e_{i_{2}}}, \cdots, 2_{\mathfrak{l}_{i_{m}}}^{e_{i_{m}}}\right] \rightarrow A_{\Sigma}(k) \rightarrow A_{\emptyset}(k) \rightarrow 0
$$

with a vector

$$
v_{k, \Sigma}=\left(\varphi_{k, \Sigma}\left(\epsilon_{j}\right)\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d}=\left(a_{i_{\mu} j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq \mu \leq m} .
$$

If $A v_{k, S}=\left(b_{i j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq i \leq n}$ for $A \in G L_{d}\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, then $A v_{k, \Sigma}=\left(b_{i_{\mu} j}\right)_{1 \leq j \leq d, 1 \leq \mu \leq m}$. Hence one can also calculate Coker $\varphi_{k, \Sigma}$ simultaneously.
2.3. Class number formulas. We denote by $N_{K / k}$ (a map induced from) the norm mapping of a 2 -extension $K / k$. For a cyclic 2 -extension $K / k$ with Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}(K / k)=\langle\sigma\rangle$, we have a genus formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\{[\mathfrak{A}] \in A_{\emptyset}(K) \mid \mathfrak{A}^{\sigma}=\mathfrak{A}\right\}\right|=\frac{\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right| \prod_{\mathfrak{r}} e(\mathfrak{r})}{[K: k]\left|E(k) / N_{K / k} E(K)\right|}, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is well known as Chevalley's ambiguous class number formula (cf. also [17, Proposition 1], [31, Proof of Lemma 4], etc.), where $\mathfrak{r}$ varies among all primes of $k$ and $e(\mathfrak{r})$ is the ramification index of $\mathfrak{r}$ in $K / k$. In particular for a quadratic extension $K / k$, we note that an ideal $\mathfrak{A}$ of $K$ satisfies $\mathfrak{A}{ }^{\sigma}=\mathfrak{A}$ if and only if $\mathfrak{A}=\mathfrak{B}\left(\mathfrak{a} O_{K}\right)$ for some ideal $\mathfrak{a}$ of $k$ and a product $\mathfrak{B}$ of primes of $K$ ramified in $K / k$.

On the other hand, we suppose that $K / k$ is a $[2,2]$-extension with three quadratic subextensions $F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}$. Then we have Kuroda's formula (cf. 16])

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{\emptyset}(K)\right|=\frac{2^{d-1-v}}{\left|E(k) / E(k)^{2}\right|} Q(K / k)\left|A_{\emptyset}(F)\left\|A_{\emptyset}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right\| A_{\emptyset}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right) \| A_{\emptyset}(k)\right|^{-2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q(K / k)=\left|E(K) / E(F) E\left(F^{\prime}\right) E\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right|, d$ is the number of archimedean primes of $k$ ramifying in $K / k$, and $v=1$ or 0 according to whether $K=k\left(\sqrt{\epsilon}, \sqrt{\epsilon^{\prime}}\right)$ with some $\epsilon, \epsilon^{\prime} \in E(k)$ or not. In particular, if $k=\mathbb{Q}$ and $K$ is real, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|A_{\emptyset}(K)\right|=4^{-1} Q(K / \mathbb{Q})\left|A_{\emptyset}(F)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right| \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $Q(K / \mathbb{Q}) \in\{1,2,4\}$ (cf. [15). Let $\varepsilon, \varepsilon^{\prime}, \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}$ be the fundamental units of the real quadratic fields $F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}$ respectively. Then $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)=1$ if $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \in E(K)$. Moreover, $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)=N_{F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=1$ if $\sqrt{\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}} \in E(K)$, and $N_{F / \mathbb{Q}}(\varepsilon)=N_{F^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime}\right)=N_{F^{\prime \prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon^{\prime \prime}\right)$ if $\sqrt{\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon^{\prime \prime}} \in E(K)$.

## 3. Criteria

If $A_{S}(k) \simeq[2,2]$, then $G_{S}(k)$ is metacyclic. When $A_{S}(k) \not 千[2,2]$ and $A_{\emptyset}(k) \simeq 0$ (and $S$ contains no archimedean primes), we obtain the following criterion for the metacyclicity of $G_{S}(k)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $k$ be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ with odd class number. Assume that a triple $(K / k, S, \Sigma)$ is given, where $S$ is a finite set of prime ideals of $k$ none of which lies over $2, \Sigma$ is a subset of $S$ such that $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, and $K / k$ is a quadratic extension unramified outside $S$ and ramified at all $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right) . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=2$ (i.e., $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right)=1$ ), then the following four statements hold true:
(1) For any $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}}(k)\right)=1$; i.e., $k_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\mathrm{elem}} / k$ is a quadratic extension. Then, moreover, $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right) \simeq 0$.
(2) Assume that there is $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ such that $k_{S \backslash\{ \}\}}^{\text {elem }}$ is contained in a cyclic quartic extension of $k$ unramified outside $S$, i.e., $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=2$ or $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=$ $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)\right)=1$. Then $G_{S}(k)$ is metacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right|=2$.
(3) If $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=1, \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)\right)=2$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right| \geq 4$, then $G_{S}(k)$ is metacyclic.
(4) If $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=1, \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)\right)=2,\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right|=2$ and the following three conditions are satisfied, then $G_{S}(k)$ is not metacyclic.
(a) $G_{S}(k)$ is nonabelian.
(b) $\left|O_{k} / \mathfrak{l}\right| \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left|A_{S}(k)\right|\right)$ for any $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$.
(c) There exists $\mathfrak{l}_{0} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ such that no $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ is inert in $k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{0}\right\}}^{\text {elem }} / k$.

Proof. Since $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, i.e., $k_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}}=k$, the existence of $K / k$ implies that $S \neq \Sigma$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}(K / k) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $1+\sigma: A_{\Sigma}(K) \xrightarrow{\text { norm }} A_{\Sigma}(k) \xrightarrow{\text { lift }} A_{\Sigma}(K)$ is zero mapping, $\left(A_{\Sigma}(K) / 2\right)^{1+\sigma} \simeq 0$; i.e., $\sigma$ acts on $A_{\Sigma}(K) / 2$ trivially. Hence $K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} \subset k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, and the ramification index of any $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ in $K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is 2 . If $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / k\right)\right) \geq 1, K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}$ contains a cyclic quartic extension of $k$. Then, since
$A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, the cyclic quartic extension is totally ramified at some $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$; i.e., the ramification index of such $\mathfrak{l}$ in $K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is at least 4. This is a contradiction. Therefore $K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} \subset k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$, and hence $1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right) \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)$. On the other hand, since all $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ ramify in $K, k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K$ is unramified outside $\Sigma$. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)-1=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right)$, and hence we obtain the equality (3.11). In particular, we have $K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}=k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$.

In the following, we assume that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=2$. Let $K^{\prime}$ be the inertia field of $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ in the $[2,2]$-extension $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / k$. Since $k \subset K \subset k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ and $\mathfrak{l}$ ramifies in $K / k, K^{\prime}$ is a quadratic extension of $k$ unramified outside $S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}$. In particular, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{1\}}(k)\right) \geq 1$. Moreover, since $K^{\prime} \not \subset k_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}}=k$, we have $S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\} \neq \Sigma$, i.e., $|S \backslash \Sigma| \geq 2$. On the other hand, since $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is not unramified outside $S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{1\}}(k)\right)<\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=2$, i.e., $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{\prime}\}}(k)\right)=1$. Hence $K^{\prime}=k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$. Moreover, $k_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{}\}} / k$ is cyclic. By the assumption that $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0, k_{S \backslash\{1\}} / k$ is totally ramified at some $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime} \in S \backslash(\Sigma \cup\{\mathfrak{l}\})$. Since $k \subset K^{\prime} \subset\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }} \subset k_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}}$, we have $K^{\prime}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }}$, i.e., $A_{\Sigma}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \simeq 0$. Hence statement (1) holds.

We show statement (2). Let $F / k$ be a cyclic quartic extension unramified outside $S$, which contains $K^{\prime}=k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }}$ for some $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$. Let $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset S \backslash \Sigma$ be the set of all primes in $S \backslash \Sigma$ which ramify in $K^{\prime}$. Since $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, we have $\Sigma^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$. Then $\mathfrak{l} \notin \Sigma^{\prime} \cup \Sigma$ and $K^{\prime}=k_{\Sigma \cup \Sigma^{\prime}}^{\text {elem }}$. Put a sequence $S \backslash \Sigma^{\prime}=\Sigma_{0} \subset \Sigma_{1} \subset \cdots \subset \Sigma_{n}=S$ such that $\Sigma_{i} \backslash \Sigma_{i-1}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq n)$. Then $\Sigma^{\prime}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{1}, \cdots, \mathfrak{l}_{n}\right\}$. Since $K / k$ and $K^{\prime} / k$ are ramified at any $\mathfrak{l}_{i} \in \Sigma^{\prime}$, all $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ have the common inertia field $K^{\prime \prime}=k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{i}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}=k_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\text {elem }}$ in the $[2,2]$-extension $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / k$. Moreover, we have $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} \subset\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\text {elem }}$. Since the inertia group $I_{\mathrm{I}_{i}} \subset G_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ of the unique prime of $K^{\prime}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$ is cyclic and $G_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} / I_{\mathrm{I}_{i}} \simeq A_{\Sigma_{i-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 2$ if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$.


Now we assume that $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right|=2$. Since $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime}$ is ramified at any prime lying over a prime in $\Sigma_{0} \backslash \Sigma,\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ is unramified outside $\Sigma$. Recall that $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}$. The assumption $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right|=2$ implies that $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=K_{\Sigma}$, i.e., $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{S}^{\text {elem }}\right) \simeq 0$. Hence $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{0}}^{\text {elem }}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$. We can show that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ and $i<n$ as follows. Suppose that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=2$ for $i<n$. Then $\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i}}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is a Galois extension of degree 8 , and $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i-1}}^{\text {elem }}$. Since $\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i}}^{\text {elem }} \neq\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i-1}}^{\text {elem }}$, $\left.\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)_{\Sigma_{i}}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime \prime}$ is totally ramified at a prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{i}$. Then $\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i}}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime \prime}$ is a cyclic quartic extension. However, $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime \prime}$ is ramified at any prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{n} \notin \Sigma_{0}$, and $\left(K^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma_{i}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ is unramified at any prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{n} \notin \Sigma_{i}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{i-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ and $i<n$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{0}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma_{n-1}}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ by induction, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right) \leq 2$. Put $G=G_{S}(k)$ and $H=G_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Since $F K / K^{\prime}$ is a [2, 2]extension and $F K \subset k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right)\right)=2$. Then $G$ is metacyclic by Proposition 2.1. Thus we obtain the if-part of statement (2).

Conversely, we assume that $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right| \geq 4$. Then there exists a unique cyclic quartic extension $L / K$ unramified outside $\Sigma$. Then $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} \subset L$, and $L / k$ is
a Galois extension of degree 8 . Since $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime}$ is ramified at the primes lying over $\mathfrak{l}, L / K^{\prime}$ is not cyclic.


Since $K^{\prime} / k$ is ramified at $\mathfrak{l}_{1} \in \Sigma^{\prime}, k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime}$ is unramified at any prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{1}$. Hence $L / K^{\prime}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension unramified outside $S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{1}\right\}$. Since $F / k$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{l}_{1}, F / K^{\prime}$ is a quadratic extension ramified at the prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}_{1}$. Therefore $F L / K^{\prime}$ is a $[2,2,2]$-extension unramified outside $S$. Then $G_{S}(k)$ is not metacyclic. Thus we obtain statement (2).

We show statement (3). Assume that $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=1, \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)\right)=2$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right| \geq 4$. Take $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$ arbitrarily. Since $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, the quadratic extension $k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is ramified at some $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime} \in S \backslash \Sigma$. Then $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=k_{S \backslash\{1\}^{\text {elem }}}^{\text {elem }} k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}$ and $k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathbf{l}^{\prime}\right\}}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is a quadratic extension ramified at $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} \cap k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}=k$, we have $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {ab }} \cap$ $k_{S \backslash\left\{{ }^{\prime}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=k$. Note that both $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $k_{S \backslash\left\{{ }^{\prime}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ are cyclic extensions of $k$. Since $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\mathrm{ab}} k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \subset k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}$, the assumption $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)=1$ implies that either $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k$ or $k_{S \backslash\left\{{ }^{\prime}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k$ is a quadratic extension. Replacing $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{l}^{\prime}$ if necessary, we may assume that $\left|A_{S \backslash\{1\}}(k)\right|=2$, i.e., $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}=k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {ab }}=k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$. Put $r=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{1\}}(K)\right) \geq$ $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right)=1$. We can also show that $r=1$ as follows. Suppose that $r \geq$ 2. Note that $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} \subset K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$. Then $K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is a Galois extension of degree $2^{r+1}$, and hence $K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$ is a Galois extension of degree $2^{r}$. Let $M=\left(k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }} \cap K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$ be the maximal abelian extension of $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$ contained in $K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$ (cf. a diagram below). Since $\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right)\right|=2^{r} \neq 2$, we have $\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(K_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right)^{\text {ab }}\right|>2$, i.e., $M \neq k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$. Then $M / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$ is an abelian extension of degree at least 4 . On the other hand, since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(K)\right)=1$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right| \geq 4$, there exists a unique cyclic quartic extension $L / K$ unramified outside $\Sigma$. Then $L / k$ is a Galois extension of degree 8 , and hence $L / k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }}$ is also an abelian quartic extension. Since $M / K$ is an elementary abelian 2 -extension, we have $L \cap M=k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$. Therefore $L M / k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }}$ is an abelian extension of degree at least 8 .


Let $I$ be the subgroup of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(L M / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right)$ generated by the inertia groups of the prime ideals $\mathfrak{L}$ of $k_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{e l e m}}^{\text {elem }}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $L M / k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ is unramified outside $S \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}$, the ramification indices of $\mathfrak{L}$ in $L M / k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }}$ are at most 2 . Since the number of $\mathfrak{L}$ is at most 2 , we have $|I| \leq 4$. Then $\left|\operatorname{Gal}\left(L M / k_{S \backslash\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}\right) / I\right| \geq 8 / 4=2$, and hence
the fixed field of $I$ is a nontrivial abelian 2-extension of $k_{S \backslash\{1\}}=k_{S \backslash\{\{ \}}^{\text {elem }}$ unramified outside $S \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l \}}\right.$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{}\}}(K)\right)=r=1$. Put $G=G_{S}(k)$ and $H=G_{S}(K)$. Since the inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{\imath}} \subset H^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of the unique prime of $K$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$ is cyclic and $H^{\mathrm{ab}} / I_{\mathfrak{l}} \simeq A_{S \backslash\{\mathfrak{\{}\}}(K)$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq 2$. The assumption $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)\right)=2$ yields that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=2$. Then $G$ is metacyclic by Proposition (2.1. Thus we obtain statement (3).

We show statement (4). Put $K^{\prime}=k_{S \backslash\left\{\mathrm{l}_{0}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}$, and put $G=G_{S}(k), H=G_{S}(K)$ and $H^{\prime}=G_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Since $G^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq A_{S}(k) \simeq\left[2,2^{m}\right]$ with some $m \geq 2, G$ has two generators $a, b$ such that $a^{2} \equiv b^{2^{m}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod G_{2}\right)$. Since $H / G_{2} \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K\right)$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{\text {ab }} / K\right)\right)=2$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(K)\right) \geq 2$ and $H^{\prime} / G_{2} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{m} \mathbb{Z}$. Replacing $b$ by $a b$ if necessary, we may assume that $H^{\prime}=\left\langle b, G_{2}\right\rangle$. Then $H=$ $\left\langle a, b^{2}, G_{2}\right\rangle=\left\langle a, b^{2},[a, b],\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right\rangle$, and $H /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$ is abelian (cf. the proof of Proposition (2.1). The condition (4a) yields that $[a, b] \notin\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$. Suppose that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(K)\right)=2$. Then, since there are surjective homomorphisms $A_{S}(K) \rightarrow$ $H /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3} \rightarrow H / G_{2}$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right)=2$. Since $\left\langle a, b^{2^{m-1}} G_{2}\right\rangle / G_{2} \simeq[2,2]$ and $G_{2} /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}=\left\langle[a, b]\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\left\langle a, b^{2^{m-1}} G_{2}\right\rangle /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3} \simeq[2,4]$. Hence $a^{2} \notin\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$ or $b^{2^{m}} \notin\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$. Note that $A_{\Sigma}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \simeq A_{\emptyset}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \simeq 0$ by statement (1). By the snake lemma for the commutative diagram

with exact rows, we obtain a surjective homomorphism $\left(O_{K^{\prime}} / \prod_{\mathfrak{L} \in S_{K^{\prime}} \backslash \Sigma_{K^{\prime}}} \mathfrak{L}\right)^{\times} \otimes$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq \operatorname{Ker} \Psi \rightarrow A_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. The condition (4C) yields that $O_{K^{\prime}} / \mathfrak{L} \simeq O_{k} / \mathfrak{l}$ for any $\mathfrak{L} \in$ $S_{K^{\prime}} \backslash \Sigma_{K^{\prime}}$ and $\mathfrak{l}=\mathfrak{L} \cap K^{\prime} \in S \backslash \Sigma$. Hence the condition (4b) implies that the exponent of $A_{S}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \simeq\left(H^{\prime}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is at most $2^{m}$. In particular, $b^{2^{m}} \in\left(H^{\prime}\right)_{2}$. Since $H^{\prime} /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}=$ $\left\langle b\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3},[a, b]\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right\rangle$ is also abelian, i.e., $\left(H^{\prime}\right)_{2} \subset\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$, we have $b^{2^{m}} \in$ $\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$. Therefore $a^{2} \notin\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$, and hence $a^{2} \equiv[a, b]\left(\bmod \left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right)$. Since

$$
a^{-1} b^{2} a \equiv b^{2}\left[b^{2}, a\right] \equiv b^{2}[b, a]^{2} \equiv b^{2} \quad\left(\bmod \left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right),
$$

the fixed field $k_{S}^{N}$ of $N=\left\langle b^{2},\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right\rangle$ is a Galois extension of $k$. Note that $b^{2^{m-1}} \notin G_{2} \supset\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}$. Since

$$
\left[k_{S}^{N}: k\right]=\frac{\left|G / G_{2}\right|\left|G_{2} /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right|}{\left|N /\left(G_{2}\right)^{2} G_{3}\right|}=\frac{2^{m+1} \cdot 2}{2^{m-1}}=8
$$

we have $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{N} / K^{\prime}\right) \simeq H^{\prime} / N=\langle b N,[a, b] N\rangle \simeq[2,2]$ and $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{N} / K\right) \simeq H / N=$ $\langle a N\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. Put $H^{\prime \prime}=\left\langle a b, G_{2}\right\rangle$, and let $K^{\prime \prime}=k_{S}^{H^{\prime \prime}}$ be the fixed field of $H^{\prime \prime}$. Since

$$
(a b)^{2}=a b a b \equiv a b^{-1} a b=a^{2}[a, b] \equiv[a, b]^{2} \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod N)
$$

we have $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{S}^{N} / K^{\prime \prime}\right) \simeq H^{\prime \prime} / N \simeq\langle a b N,[a, b] N\rangle \simeq[2,2]$. (In fact, $k_{S}^{N} / k$ is a dihedral extension of degree 8.)


For any $\mathfrak{l} \in S \backslash \Sigma$, the inertia field of $\mathfrak{l}$ in the $[2,2]$-extension $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / k$ is either $K^{\prime}$ or $K^{\prime \prime}$; i.e., either $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime}$ or $k_{S}^{\text {elem }} / K^{\prime \prime}$ is ramified at any prime lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $k_{S}^{N} / K^{\prime}$ and $k_{S}^{N} / K^{\prime \prime}$ are $[2,2]$-extensions, $k_{S}^{N} / k_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ is unramified outside $\Sigma$. Since $k_{S}^{\text {elem }}=K_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}, k_{S}^{N} / K$ is a cyclic quartic extension unramified outside $\Sigma$. However, $\left|A_{\Sigma}(K)\right|=2$ by the assumption of statement (4). This is a contradiction. Therefore we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(K)\right) \geq 3$, and hence $G_{S}(k)$ is not metacyclic. Thus the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed.

We see various examples of Theorem 3.1 in the proof of Theorem 1.1 (from Sections 5 to 8).

## 4. Cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extensions

We recall some basic facts on cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extensions. Put $\zeta_{2^{n+2}}=\exp \frac{2 \pi \sqrt{-1}}{2^{n+2}} \in$ $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{n}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\cos \frac{2 \pi}{2^{n+2}}\right) \subset \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right)$ for each $n \geq 0$. The Galois group $\Gamma=$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}\right)$ of the basic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}_{n}=\mathbb{Q}_{\{2\}}$ is isomorphic to the additive group of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (i.e., an infinite procyclic pro-2 group). For a finite extension $k / \mathbb{Q}$, we put $k_{n}=k \mathbb{Q}_{n}$. Then the field $k_{\infty}=k \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} k_{n}$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $k$ with the Galois $\operatorname{group} \operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{\infty} / k\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. In particular, $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{\infty}}\right)=\bigcup_{n \geq 0} \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right)$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$. The following proposition provides a description of the cases with trivial $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$.
Proposition 4.1. Let $k / \mathbb{Q}$ be a finite extension and $S$ a finite set of primes of $k$ none of which lies over 2 . If the prime of $k$ lying over 2 is unique and $G_{S}(k)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq 0$, then $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial for the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension $k_{\infty} / k$.
Proof. Since $G_{S}(k)^{\text {ab }} \simeq 0$, we have $A_{\emptyset}(k) \simeq 0$, and hence $k_{\infty} / k$ is totally ramified at the unique prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of $k$ lying over 2 . Suppose that $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial. Since $k_{\infty} / k$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }} / k_{\infty}$ is a nontrivial pro-2-extension unramified at the prime lying over $\mathfrak{p}, G=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }} / k\right)$ is not procyclic. Hence the fixed field $L$ of $G_{2}$ is a nontrivial pro-2-extension of $k_{\infty}$ unramified outside $S$. Since the abelian pro-2-extension $L / k$ is not totally ramified at $\mathfrak{p}$, the inertia field of $\mathfrak{p}$ is a nontrivial abelian 2-extension of $k$ unramified outside $S$. Then $G_{S}(k)^{\mathrm{ab}} \not \nsim 0$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial. Thus the proof of Proposition 4.1 is completed.

The following corollary for $S=\emptyset$ is a theorem of Weber.
Corollary 4.2. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ not containing 2. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $S \subset\{\infty\}$ or $S=\{q\}$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. In particular, we have $A_{\{q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ if $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.

Proof. By Proposition 4.1, $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq 0$. Hence we obtain the claim.

Depending on the choice of a topological generator $\gamma$ of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{\infty} / k\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, a module over the complete group ring $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{\infty} / k\right)\right]\right]$ is regarded as a module over the ring $\Lambda=\mathbb{Z}_{2}[[T]]$ of formal power series via the isomorphism $\mathbb{Z}_{2}\left[\left[\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{\infty} / k\right)\right]\right] \simeq$ $\Lambda: \gamma \mapsto 1+T$. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $k$ none of which lies over 2 . For fixed $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{S} / k\right)$ such that $\left.\widetilde{\gamma}\right|_{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}}=\gamma$, the left action of $\Gamma$ on $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is defined by ${ }^{\gamma} g=\widetilde{\gamma} g \widetilde{\gamma}^{-1}\left(g \in G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)\right)$. Recall that $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right) \simeq \lim _{S} G_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)$. Then we obtain an isomorphism $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \lim A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)$ as $\Lambda$-modules, where the projective limit is taken on $N_{k_{n} / k_{m}}$. Suppose that $k_{\infty} / k$ is totally ramified at any prime lying over 2 . For any $n \geq m$, since $k_{n} \cap\left(k_{m}\right)_{S}=k_{m}$, the restriction mapping $G_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) \rightarrow G_{S}\left(k_{m}\right)$ is surjective. Hence $N_{k_{n} / k_{m}}: A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) \rightarrow A_{S}\left(k_{m}\right)$ is also surjective. The following theorem (Fukuda's theorem [7] for $p=2$ ) is frequently used in the following sections. We give a proof for convenience.

Theorem 4.3 (Fukuda). Let $k_{\infty}$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of a finite extension $k$ of $\mathbb{Q}$ and $S$ a finite set of prime ideals of $k$ none of which lies over 2. Assume that $k_{\infty} / k$ is totally ramified at any prime lying over 2 . Then the following two statements hold true for $m \geq 0$ :
(1) If $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{m+1}\right)\right|=\left|A_{S}\left(k_{m}\right)\right|$, then $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq A_{S}\left(k_{m}\right)$ for all $n \geq m$.
(2) Suppose that $e \geq 1$. If $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{m+1}\right) / 2^{e}\right|=\left|A_{S}\left(k_{m}\right) / 2^{e}\right|$, then $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) / 2^{e} \simeq$ $A_{S}\left(k_{m}\right) / 2^{e}$ for all $n \geq m$.

Proof. Since $k_{\infty}$ is also the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $k_{m}$ and $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)=A_{S_{k_{m}}}\left(k_{n}\right)$ for all $n \geq m$, it suffices to prove the statements for $m=0$. Put $X=G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq$ $\lim _{\rightleftarrows} A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)$. By the same argument as in [29, §13.3], $X$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$ module, and $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq X / \nu_{n} Y$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $Y=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{\infty} k_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ and $\nu_{n}=\left((1+T)^{2^{n}}-1\right) / T$. Note that $\nu_{0}=1$ and $\nu_{1}=2+T \in(2, T)$, where $(2, T)$ is the maximal ideal of $\Lambda$. If $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=\left|A_{S}(k)\right|$, we have $\left|X / \nu_{1} Y\right|=|X / Y|$, which implies that $Y=\nu_{1} Y \subset(2, T) Y$. Then Nakayama's lemma for $Y$ yields that $Y \simeq 0$, i.e., $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq X \simeq A_{S}(k)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Suppose that $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{1}\right) / 2^{e}\right|=\left|A_{S}(k) / 2^{e}\right|$. Then $\left|X /\left(\nu_{1} Y+2^{e} X\right)\right|=\left|X /\left(Y+2^{e} X\right)\right|$, and hence $Y+2^{e} X=\nu_{1} Y+2^{e} X \subset(2, T) Y+$ $2^{e} X$. Nakayama's lemma for $\left(Y+2^{e} X\right) / 2^{e} X$ yields that $Y \subset 2^{e} X$. In particular, $\nu_{n} Y \subset 2^{e} X$ for all $n \geq 0$. Therefore $A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) / 2^{e} \simeq X /\left(\nu_{n} Y+2^{e} X\right) \simeq X / 2^{e}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Thus the proof of Theorem 4.3 is completed.

As an example of the usage of Theorem 4.3, we obtain the following.
Corollary 4.4. Under the same assumptions of Theorem 4.3, the following hold true:
(1) If $A_{S}(k) \simeq 0$ and $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$, then $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2$ for all $n \geq 1$.
(2) If $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(k_{2}\right)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)$, then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(k)\right)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof. Put $A_{n}=A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)$ or $A_{n}=A_{S}\left(k_{n}\right) / 2$ according to the statements. If $\left|A_{1}\right|=$ $\left|A_{0}\right|$, then $\left|A_{n}\right|=\left|A_{0}\right|$ for all $n \geq 0$ by Theorem 4.3 for $m=0$. Therefore $\left|A_{1}\right| \neq\left|A_{0}\right|$ if $\left|A_{2}\right| \neq\left|A_{0}\right|$. If $\left|A_{2}\right|=2\left|A_{0}\right|$, the surjectivity of $N_{k_{n} / k_{m}}$ yields that $2\left|A_{0}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right| \geq$ $\left|A_{1}\right|>\left|A_{0}\right|$, i.e., $\left|A_{2}\right|=\left|A_{1}\right|$. Then $\left|A_{n}\right|=\left|A_{1}\right|=2\left|A_{0}\right|$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3 for $m=1$. Thus we obtain the statements.

For the basic $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}$, we choose a canonical generator $\gamma=\left.\bar{\gamma}\right|_{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}}$ of $\Gamma$ with a generator $\bar{\gamma}$ of $\bar{\Gamma}=\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{\infty}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{4}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right)=\zeta_{2^{n+2}}^{5}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, we can choose $\widetilde{\gamma}$ such that $\widetilde{\gamma} \in \operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S} / \mathbb{Q}_{S}\right)$. Fukuda's theorem (Theorem 4.3) above and Theorem 3.1 imply that it suffices to consider mainly the metacyclicity of $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\left(\right.$ or $\left.G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.1] Then we often use the cyclotomic unit

$$
\xi=\zeta_{16}^{-2} \frac{1-\zeta_{16}^{5}}{1-\zeta_{16}} \in E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)
$$

to calculate $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$. Since $\zeta_{16}^{\gamma^{2}}=\zeta_{16}^{9}=-\zeta_{16}$, we have $N_{\mathbb{Q}_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}}(\xi)=\xi^{1+\gamma^{2}}=$ $\zeta_{8}^{-2} \frac{1-\zeta_{8}^{5}}{1-\zeta_{8}}=\varepsilon_{2}$, where $\varepsilon_{2}=1+\sqrt{2} \in E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is the fundamental unit of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$. Note that the class number of $\mathbb{Q}_{2}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2+\sqrt{2}})$ is 1 . Since $A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ (by Corollary (4.2), the genus formula (2.1) for $\mathbb{Q}_{n} / \mathbb{Q}$ yields that $N_{\mathbb{Q}_{n} / \mathbb{Q}}=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{2^{n}-1} \gamma^{i}: E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{Q})$ is surjective. Hence $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is a cyclic $\Lambda$-module for all $n \geq 0$, and $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)=\left\langle\xi, \xi^{\gamma}, \xi^{\gamma^{2}}, \xi^{\gamma^{3}}\right\rangle$ (cf. [29, Theorem 8.2, Proposition 8.11 and Remark]). In the following sections, we denote by $\varepsilon_{d}$ the fundamental unit of the real quadratic field $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$. For $z \in \mathbb{Z}, v_{2}(z)$ denotes the normalized additive 2 -adic valuation, i.e., $\left|\mathbb{Z}_{2} / z \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right|=2^{v_{2}(z)}$.

## 5. The case $S=\{\ell\}$

This section treats the case where $S=\{\ell\}$ consists of one prime $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. First, we determine the sets $S$ with procyclic $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$.

Proposition 5.1. Put $S=\{\ell\}$ with a prime number $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then the following four conditions are equivalent:
(1) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
(2) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is finite cyclic.
(3) $G_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}(\sqrt{\ell})\right)$ is trivial.
(4) $\ell$ satisfies $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ or $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$.

Moreover, we have $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ if $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.
Proof. Since $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is finite by [9, Theorem 3.1], the conditions (1) and (2) are equivalent. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\ell})$. By (3.1) for the triple $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and hence the conditions (11) and (3) are equivalent. The conditions (3) and (4) are also equivalent by [20, Corollary 3.4] (and [23]). Suppose that $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}$. Since 2 is inert in $k$ and $A_{S}(k) \simeq 0, G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial by Proposition 4.1. This implies that $k_{\infty}=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$, and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

We prove the following theorem which characterizes $S=\{\ell\}$ such that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic.

Theorem 5.2. Put $S=\{\ell\}$ with a prime number $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:
(1) $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1,\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$, and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt{\ell})\right)\right|=2$.
(2) $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1,\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$, and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}(\sqrt{\ell})\right)\right| \geq 4$.

Proof. By Proposition 5.1] it suffices to consider the case where $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\ell})$ and $k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2 \ell})$. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ lying over $\ell$. In the following, $z_{\ell} \in \mathbb{Z}$ denotes a primitive element modulo $\ell$.

Lemma 5.3. If $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$, then $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$ and $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$.
Proof. Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 4.3 imply that $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \nsucceq 0$. Since $k^{\prime} \subset$ $k_{1} \subset\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)=1$ (cf. e.g. (30]), we have $\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ and hence $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=1$. Then (3.1) for the triple $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1},\left\{\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l}^{\gamma}\right\}, \emptyset\right)$ yields that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=$ 2. Moreover, $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is a quadratic extension by Theorem 3.1(1). Note that $A_{\{1\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) / 2 \simeq \operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ via the Artin map. Since $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}, \sqrt{2} \equiv z_{\ell}^{x}$ $(\bmod \mathfrak{l})$ with some $x \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $2 \equiv z_{\ell}^{2 x}(\bmod \ell)$. The assumption $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$ yields that $x$ is even. Therefore $\left[\left(\sqrt{2}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2 m}}\right)\right]=\left[\left(z_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2 m}}\right)\right]^{x} \in 2 A_{\{\mathfrak{G}\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ as the ideal classes, where $m=v_{2}(\ell-1) \geq 4$. This implies that the prime $(\sqrt{2})$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ splits in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }}$. Then the prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$ lying over 2 splits completely in the $[2,2]$-extension $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}$, and hence a prime $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$ of $k_{n}$ lying over 2 also splits in the unramified quadratic extension $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} k_{n} / k_{n}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Suppose that $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$. Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3, and $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)=A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\Gamma}=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{n}^{h_{n} / 2}\right]\right\rangle$ by [8, Theorem 2], where $h_{n}$ is the class number of $k_{n}$. This implies that $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$ is inert in $\left(k_{n}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }}=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} k_{n}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$.

Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a prime ideal of $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. By the assumption $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, $\ell$ splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$, and hence $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{L}^{\gamma^{i}} \simeq O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \mathfrak{r}^{\gamma^{i}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / \ell \mathbb{Z}$. We choose $g_{\mathfrak{L} r^{i}}=g_{\mathfrak{\gamma} \gamma^{i}}=z_{\ell}$ for any $i$. Recall that $m=v_{2}(\ell-1) \geq 4$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$. Moreover, since $\varepsilon_{2}=\xi^{1+\gamma^{2}}$, we have

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}(\xi) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{2}}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} \\
a_{3} & a_{0} & a_{1} & a_{2} \\
a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{0} & a_{1} \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & a_{3} & a_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} \\
a_{0}+a_{2} & a_{1}+a_{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $a_{j}(0 \leq j \leq 3)$, where we note that $-1 \equiv z_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(\bmod \ell)$ and $\frac{\ell-1}{2} \equiv 2^{m-1}$ $\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$. By the assumption that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$, at least one of $a_{j}$ is odd. Since $\xi^{1+\gamma+\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}}=-1$, we have $a_{0}+a_{1}+a_{2}+a_{3} \equiv 2^{m-1}\left(\bmod 2^{m}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$, we have $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S} \subset 2\left[2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]$, i.e., $a_{0}+a_{2} \equiv a_{1}+a_{3} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then, in particular, $a_{0}+a_{2} \equiv a_{1}+a_{3}(\bmod 4)$. If $a_{0}+a_{2} \equiv a_{1}+a_{3} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$, we have $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S} \subset 4\left[2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]$ and hence $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$. Suppose that $a_{0}+a_{2} \equiv a_{1}+a_{3} \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. If all of $a_{j}$ is odd, then $v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S} \equiv(1)_{0 \leq i \leq 3,0 \leq j \leq 3}$ $(\bmod 2)$, which implies that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / 2 \simeq \operatorname{Coker}\left(\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S} \bmod 2\right) \simeq[2,2,2]$. Hence,
by the assumption that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$, at least one of $a_{j}$ is even. Then $a_{j_{0}} \equiv 0$ $(\bmod 4)$ for some $j_{0}$. Recall that there are also odd $a_{j}$. Replacing the pair $(\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{L})$ by $\left(\mathfrak{r}^{\gamma^{j 0}}, \mathfrak{L}^{\gamma^{j 0}}\right)$ if $j_{0} \neq 0$, we may assume that $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \equiv(0,1,2,1)(\bmod 4)$. Since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 2 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 1 & 2 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad(\bmod 4)
$$

we have $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / 4 \simeq \operatorname{Coker}\left(\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S} \bmod 4\right) \simeq[4,4]$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.3 is completed.

Lemma 5.4. Assume that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[4,4] & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1, \\
A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[8,2] \text { and } A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[16,2] & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. Since $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16), O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l} \simeq O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}$ on which $\gamma^{2}$ acts as the Frobenius automorphism $x \mapsto x^{\ell}\left(x \in \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}\right)$. We choose $g_{\mathrm{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}$ and $z_{\ell}$ such that $z_{\ell} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{r} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{1+\ell}(\bmod \mathfrak{l})$. Put $g_{\mathfrak{r} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}=g_{\mathfrak{l}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{\gamma}$. Then $z_{\ell} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{r}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{1+\ell}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{r}^{\gamma}\right)$, and we obtain the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}}\left[16_{\mathfrak{r} \mathbb{Q}_{2}}, 16_{\mathfrak{r \gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}\right] \longrightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\uparrow \cup \\
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[8_{\mathfrak{l}}, 8_{\mathfrak{r \gamma}}\right] \longrightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

with exact rows, where $\psi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left((\ell+1) x_{0},(\ell+1) x_{1}\right)=\left(10 x_{0}, 10 x_{1}\right)$. In particular, $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right) \leq 2$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right)=1$ and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not cyclic by Proposition [5.1, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3. Since $-1 \equiv z_{\ell}^{\frac{\ell-1}{2}}(\bmod \ell)$ and $\frac{\ell-1}{2} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$, we have $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)=(4,4)$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2, \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S} \subset 2[8,8]$ and hence $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$ with some $a_{0}, a_{1} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{\gamma}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right)$. Since $\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma}=-1$, we have $a_{0}+a_{1} \equiv 4$ $(\bmod 8)$. Note that $a_{0} \equiv a_{1} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}= \begin{cases}\langle(4,0),(0,4)\rangle & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1, \\ \langle(2,2)\rangle & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Thus we obtain the claim for $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$ and $2 \ell \equiv 2(\bmod 16)$, we have

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}(\xi) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{2}}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{0} & b_{1} \\
b_{1} \ell & b_{0} \\
b_{0} \ell & b_{1} \ell \\
b_{1} \ell^{2} & b_{0} \ell
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
b_{0} & b_{1} \\
b_{1} & b_{0} \\
b_{0} & b_{1} \\
b_{1} & b_{0}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $b_{0}, b_{1} \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\varepsilon_{2}=\xi^{1+\gamma^{2}}$ and $\left.\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\right|_{E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)}=\psi \circ \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}$, we have $\left(2 b_{0}, 2 b_{1}\right)=\left(10 a_{0}, 10 a_{1}\right)=\left(2 a_{0}, 2 a_{1}\right) \in[16,16]$, i.e., $\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right) \equiv\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$ $(\bmod 8[16,16])$. Recall that $a_{0} \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$. Since $b_{0}+b_{1} \equiv a_{0}+a_{1} \equiv \pm 4(\bmod 16)$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left\langle\left(b_{0}, b_{1}\right),(4,4)\right\rangle= \begin{cases}\langle(4,0),(0,4)\rangle & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1, \\ \langle(2,2)\rangle \text { or }\langle(2,10)\rangle & \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1 .\end{cases}
$$

This implies the claim for $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.4 is completed.
Lemma 5.5. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1,\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$, then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is nonabelian metacyclic.

Proof. Since $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$, we have $A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_{k^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)=-1$ by [30, Proposition 3.4(b)]. Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Applying Kuroda's formula (2.3) for $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}$, we have

$$
2=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=4^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \| A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right|=Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right),
$$

i.e., $\left|E\left(k_{1}\right) /\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right\rangle\right|=2$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the prime ideal of $k_{1}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. Choosing $g_{\mathfrak{L} r^{i}}=g_{\mathfrak{\vdash} \gamma^{i}}=g_{\sqrt{\ell} O_{k}}=g_{\mathfrak{N} \cap k^{\prime}}=z_{\ell}$, we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi(x)=(x, x)$. In the proof of Lemma 5.4 we have seen that $\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\langle(2,2)\rangle$ when $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$. Since $A_{S}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$, we have $A_{S}(k) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $\varphi_{k_{1}, S}(E(k))=\psi\left(\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k, S}\right)$ $=\psi(2 \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})=\langle(2,2)\rangle$. Since $k^{\prime} \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)_{1} \subset\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }}$ and $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)_{1} / k^{\prime}$ is not unramified, we have $A_{S}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \not 千 A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$; i.e., $\varphi_{k^{\prime}, S}$ is not surjective. Hence $\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(E\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right) \subset$ $\psi(2 \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z})=\langle(2,2)\rangle$. Then $\varphi_{k_{1}, S}$ induces the surjective homomorphism

$$
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \simeq E\left(k_{1}\right) /\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right\rangle \rightarrow \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{1}, S} /\langle(2,2)\rangle .
$$

This implies that $\left|\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{1}, S}\right| \leq 8$, i.e., $\left|\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{k_{1}, S}\right| \geq 8$. Since $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[8,2]$ by Lemma 5.4, we have $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2\left|\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{k_{1}, S}\right| \geq 16=\left|A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|$. This implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is nonabelian. Put $G=G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ and $H=G_{S}(K)$, where $K=$ $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{1\}}$. Since $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\{\mathfrak{}\}}=2 \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$, we have $\left|A_{\{\mathfrak{} 1}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|=2$ and hence $K / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is a quadratic extension such that $A_{\{\mathfrak{1}\}}(K) \simeq 0$. Recall that $A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$ and $N_{k^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)=-1$. Then $1 \neq\left[\mathfrak{L} \cap k^{\prime}\right] \in A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left[\mathfrak{L} \cap k^{\prime}\right]^{2}=1$. Hence $1 \neq\left[\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma}\right] \in A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)$; i.e., $\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma}$ is inert in $\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}=k_{1} K$. This implies that ${ }^{\gamma}$ is inert in $K / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. Since $A_{\{\mathfrak{1}\}}(K) \simeq 0, K_{S}^{\text {ab }} / K$ is totally ramified at $\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} O_{K}$. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H^{\mathrm{ab}}\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(K)\right)=1$; i.e., $G$ has a cyclic maximal subgroup $H$. Hence $G$ is metacyclic. Thus the proof of Lemma 5.5 is completed.

Now we complete the proof of Theorem [5.2. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$, we have $S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}=\left\{\mathfrak{l} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}},{ }^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right\}$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for any $n \geq 1$ by Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 4.3. Then, since $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}$ is a quadratic extension by Theorem 3.1(1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right), \mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{n}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension. This implies that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }} / k_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for any $n \geq 1$. Now we assume one of the two conditions of Theorem 5.2] Suppose $n \geq 2$. Then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq[4,4] \text { and }\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2 \quad \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1 \text { and }\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2, \\
A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) / 4 \simeq[2,4] \text { and }\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 4 \quad \text { if }\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1 \text { and }\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4
\end{array}
$$

by Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 4.3. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic by Theorem [3.1(2), (3) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \varliminf_{幺} G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Thus the if-part is completed.

Conversely, we assume that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic. Then $\ell \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$ and $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \not 千 0$ by Proposition 5.1 Theorem 4.3 implies that $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$. We apply Theorem 3.1 for $\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}, \emptyset\right)$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right)=1$ by (3.1). Since $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is metacyclic, $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=1$ or $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$ by Theorem 3.1(2). Hence $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$ by Lemma 5.3. Then we have seen that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{2}\right)\right)=2$. Since $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension and $\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma}$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}$ splits in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{\{1\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$; i.e., the condition (4C) of Theorem[3.1] is satisfied. Note that $\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l}\right|=$ $\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} /{ }^{\gamma}\right|=\ell^{2} \not \equiv 1(\bmod 32)$. If $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=1$, we have $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[2,16]$ and $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$ by Lemma 5.4 and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is nonabelian by Lemma 5.5. Then the conditions (4a) and (4b) are also satisfied. Moreover if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$ is also satisfied, $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is not metacyclic by Theorem (3.1(4). This is a contradiction. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=1$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$ do not occur simultaneously; i.e., we have either $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=1$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$ or $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$. Then Lemma 5.4 completes the only-if part. Thus the proof of Theorem55.2 is completed.

Remark 5.6. Assume that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$ and $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$. Then $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,8]$ by Lemma 5.4, and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{1}\right)\right)=2$. Moreover, $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=$ 2 (cf. the proof of Lemma 5.5). Since $\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \mathfrak{l}\right|=\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \mathfrak{l}\right|=\ell \not \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$ and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is nonabelian metacyclic by Lemma 5.5 the triple $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}, \emptyset\right)$ satisfies the assumptions of Theorem 3.1(4) except (4c).

## 6. The case $S=\{\ell, q\}$

This section treats the case where $S=\{\ell, q\}$ consists of two primes $\ell \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. First, we prepare the following lemma.
Lemma 6.1. Put $S=\{\ell, q\}$ with prime numbers $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. Assume that $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ if $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. Put $v=v_{2}\left(\frac{\ell-1}{4}\right) \geq 0$ and $w=v_{2}\left(\frac{q+1}{4}\right) \geq 0$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=\min \left\{2^{v}, 2^{w}+1\right\}$ for all $n \geq \max \{v, w\}$. Proof. The decomposition field of $\ell$ (resp. $q$ ) in $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{v}$ (resp. $\mathbb{Q}_{w}$ ). By Proposition [5.1, $A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is cyclic for all $n$. Suppose that $n \geq \max \{v, w\}$. Since $\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \ell\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are cyclic $\Lambda$-modules, we have $\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \ell\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \simeq$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T^{2^{v}}$ and $\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T^{2^{w}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]$-modules. Hence we obtain the commutative diagram

of $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]$-modules with exact rows. Since $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is a cyclic $\Lambda$-module, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi=$ $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]\left(f \bmod T^{2^{v}}, g \bmod T^{2^{w}}\right)$ with some $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]$. Since $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] /\left(f, T^{2^{v}}\right) \simeq$ $A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) / 2 \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] /\left(g, T^{2^{w}}\right) \simeq A_{\{q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) / 2 \simeq 0$ (cf. Corollary 4.2), we
have $f \equiv T\left(\bmod T^{2}\right)$ and $g \equiv 1(\bmod T)$. Hence $\operatorname{Im} \varphi \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T^{\max \left\{2^{v}-1,2^{w}\right\}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]$-modules. Therefore $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) / 2 \simeq \operatorname{Coker} \varphi \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}^{\min \left\{2^{v}, 2^{w}+1\right\}}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}$-vector spaces. Thus the proof of Lemma 6.1] is completed.

The following proposition determines the case where $G_{\{\ell, q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
Proposition 6.2. Put $S=\{\ell, q\}$ with prime numbers $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. Then the following three conditions are equivalent:
(1) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic.
(2) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$.
(3) $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic. Then $G_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is also procyclic, and hence $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ or $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ by Proposition 5.1. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq 2$ in the latter case by Lemma 6.1, we have $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Therefore (11) implies (3). Suppose that $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}$ is a cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\ell}) \subset k$. Since 2 is inert in $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}$ and $A_{S}(k) \simeq 0$, $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial by Proposition 4.1. This implies that $k_{\infty}=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$, and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. Thus the proof of Proposition 6.2 is completed.

We prove the following theorem which determines the case where $G_{\{\ell, q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic.
Theorem 6.3. Put $S=\{\ell, q\}$ with prime numbers $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is (nonprocyclic) prometacyclic if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:
(1) $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1, q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$.
(2) $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1, q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$.

Proof. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {elem }}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\ell})$ and $k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2 \ell})$. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ lying over $\ell$. In the following, $z_{\ell}$ (resp. $z_{q}$ ) denotes a primitive element modulo $\ell$ (resp. $q)$. First, we consider the case where $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$.
Lemma 6.4. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$, then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=$ 2 for all $n \geq 1$, and $\left|A_{\{q\}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$.
Proof. Suppose that $n \geq 1$. We have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$ by Lemma 5.4. Let $I_{\mathfrak{l}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.I_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma}\right)$ be the inertia group of the prime $\mathfrak{l} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{n}$ in $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Since $I_{\mathfrak{l}}$ and $I_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma}$ are cyclic and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)^{\text {ab }} / I_{\mathfrak{l}} I_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma} \simeq A_{\{q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ (cf. Corollary 4.2), we have $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq$ $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)$, Lemma 5.4 yields that $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ if $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1$. Suppose that $\left(\frac{\varepsilon_{2}}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq 1$. We choose $g_{\mathfrak{l}}=g_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma}=z_{\ell}$. If $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, then $S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{l} \gamma, q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right\}$, and we fix $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}$. If $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, then $S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}=\left\{\mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}^{\gamma}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{q}^{\gamma}\right\}$, and we choose $g_{\mathfrak{q}}=$ $g_{\mathfrak{q}^{\gamma}}=z_{q}$, where $\mathfrak{q}$ is a prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ lying over $q$. Then we have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[8_{\mathfrak{l}}, 8_{\mathfrak{l}_{\gamma}}, 8_{\left.q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { if } q \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 8)}^{E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[8_{\mathfrak{l}}, 8_{\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma}}, 2_{\mathfrak{q}}, 2_{\mathfrak{q}^{\gamma}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { if } q \equiv 7 \quad(\bmod 8) .} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\{\ell\}}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=(2,2)$ or $(6,6) \in[8,8]$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 5.4), we have

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{ \pm 1}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
4 & 4 & 4 \\
2 & 2 & a
\end{array}\right) \text { or }\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & a_{0} & a_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $a, a_{0}, a_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$ according to $q \equiv 3$ or $7(\bmod 8)$. Since $A_{\{q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$, $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\{q\}}$ is surjective. Hence $a$ is odd when $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, and $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=(1,0)$ or $(0,1)$ when $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. By an easy calculation, we have $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[8,4]$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq \mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Put $\Sigma=\{q\}$. We prove the inequality $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$. By Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 4.3 $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \nsucceq 0$ for all $n \geq 1$. If $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$, then $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq$ 4. In the following, we assume that $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and hence $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3. Let $M$ be a cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ contained in $k_{2}$ different from $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$, and let $\mathfrak{L}$ be the unique prime of $k_{2}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. Then $M / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is a quadratic extension ramified at $\mathfrak{l}$ and $\mathfrak{r}$, and $\mathfrak{L} \cap M$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma} \cap M$ are inert in the unramified quadratic extension $k_{2} / M$. By [20, Proposition 3.6], we have $A_{\emptyset}(M) \simeq[2,2]$. Then $M_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\left(k_{2}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is a [2, 2]extension of $M$, and hence both $\mathfrak{L}$ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma}$ split in $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{2}$; i.e., $[\mathfrak{L}]=\left[\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma}\right]=1$ in $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)$. Moreover, Kuroda's formula (2.2)

$$
2=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2^{-3} Q\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(M)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \| A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|^{-2}=Q\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)
$$

for $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ yields that

$$
E\left(k_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle\eta E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}
$$

with some $\eta \in E\left(k_{2}\right)$. Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. We regard $\gamma$ as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{2} / k\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 4 \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma}=-1$ and $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{1+\sigma}=-1$. Moreover, we have $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=4$ and $\varepsilon_{2 \ell}^{1+\gamma}=\varepsilon_{2 \ell}^{1+\sigma}=-1$ by [30, Proposition 3.4 (b)]. Then Kuroda's formula (2.3)

$$
2=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=4^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

for $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}$ yields that $E\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{\ell} \varepsilon_{2 \ell}}\right\rangle$. Since $\left(\varepsilon_{2} \varepsilon_{\ell} \varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)^{1+\sigma}=\varepsilon_{2}^{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{1+\sigma}=-1$, we have $E\left(k_{1}\right)^{1+\sigma}=E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$. By the genus formula (2.1)

$$
1=\left|\left\langle[\mathfrak{L}],\left[\mathfrak{L}^{\gamma}\right]\right\rangle\right|=\frac{\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right| 2^{2}}{2\left|E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / E\left(k_{2}\right)^{1+\sigma}\right|}
$$

for $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$, we have $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / E\left(k_{2}\right)^{1+\sigma} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)=\left\langle\xi E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right), \xi^{\gamma} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right\rangle \simeq[2,2],
$$

we obtain the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow E\left(k_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{1+\sigma} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

of Galois modules. Note that $\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)^{\Gamma}=\left\langle\xi^{1+\gamma} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right\rangle \simeq$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\eta^{\gamma} \equiv \eta\left(\bmod E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)$, we have $\left(\eta^{1+\sigma}\right)^{\gamma} \equiv \eta^{1+\sigma}$ $\left(\bmod E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{1+\sigma} \equiv \xi^{1+\gamma} \quad \bmod E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a prime of $k_{2}$ lying over $q$.
Suppose that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Then $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / q \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{4}}$, and the prime $q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits in $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. We choose $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}$ and $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}}$ such that $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{1+q^{2}} \equiv g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}(\bmod q)$. Since $O_{M} / q \simeq O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q} \simeq O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}$, we can choose $g_{q O_{M}}$ such that $g_{q O_{M}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}}(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$. Since $\left.\sigma\right|_{M}$ acts on $O_{M} / q$ as the generator of
$\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right), g_{q O_{M}}^{\sigma} \equiv g_{q O_{M}}^{q^{2}}(\bmod q)$ and hence $g_{q O_{M}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}^{q^{2}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}\right)$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}(x)=(x, x) \in\langle(1,1)\rangle, \psi_{k_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}\left(1+q^{2}\right)\right.$, $\left.x_{1}\left(1+q^{2}\right)\right) \in 2[16,16]$ and $\psi_{M}(y)=\left(y, q^{2} y\right) \in\langle(2,0),(1,1)\rangle$. Since $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, \Sigma}$ is surjective, $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, \Sigma}(\xi)=(u)$ with some odd $u$. Since $\gamma$ acts on $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / q$ as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} / \mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$, we have $\xi^{\gamma} \equiv \xi^{q^{i}}(\bmod q)$ where $i \in\{1,3\}$. Since $\varepsilon_{2}=\xi^{1+\gamma^{2}}$, we have $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=\left(u\left(1+q^{2 i}\right)\right) \in 2 \mathbb{Z} / 16 \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)^{2} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right) \subset\langle(2,2)\rangle$. Put $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}(\eta)$. Then $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta^{\sigma}\right)=\left(a_{1}, a_{0}\right)$. The congruence (6.1) yields that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(a_{0}+a_{1}, a_{0}+a_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta^{1+\sigma}\right) & \equiv \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\xi^{1+\gamma}\right)=\left(u\left(1+q^{i}\right), u\left(1+q^{i}\right)\right) \\
& \equiv(0,0) \bmod \langle(2,2)\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $a_{0} \equiv a_{1}(\bmod 2)$, i.e., $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right) \in\langle(2,0),(1,1)\rangle$. Since $E\left(k_{2}\right)$ is generated by $\eta$ and $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)$, we have $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma} \subset\langle(2,0),(1,1)\rangle$; i.e., $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}$ is not surjective. Therefore $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$ if $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$.

Suppose that $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, and assume that $q \not \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$ or $\left(\frac{\ell}{q}\right)=-1$. Then $q$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$, and none of the primes lying over $q$ splits completely in $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. Let $F$ be the decomposition field of $q$ in $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}$, and let $F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}$ be the quadratic extensions of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ contained in $k_{2}$ and different from $F$. $\left(\left\{F, F^{\prime}, F^{\prime \prime}\right\}=\left\{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, M, k_{1}\right\}\right.$ as a set.) Then $O_{F^{\prime}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}\right) \simeq O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q} \simeq O_{F^{\prime \prime}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Let $\tau$ be the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{2} / F^{\prime}\right)$. We choose $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\top}}$ and $z_{q}$ such that $z_{q} \equiv$ $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{1+q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{1+\tau}\right)$. Then $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \tau}:=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{\gamma}$ satisfies $z_{q} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime}}^{1+q}$ $\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma(1+\tau)}\right)$. On the other hand, we choose $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}$ such that $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}}$ $(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$. Then $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{\tau} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\top}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\tau}\right)$. Moreover, $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime \prime}}:=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{\gamma}$ satisfies $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime \prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma}\right)$. Since $\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\tau} \cap F^{\prime \prime}, \tau$ acts on $O_{F^{\prime \prime}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ as the Frobenius automorphism. Then $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{\tau} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{1+\tau}\right)$, and hence $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{q^{2}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime \prime}}^{\tau q} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \tau}^{q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\tau}\right)$. Then $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime \prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \tau}^{q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma \tau}\right)$. Choosing $z_{q}$ as the primitive elements of the residue fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of $O_{F}$, we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $m=v_{2}\left(q^{2}-1\right) \geq 4$,

$$
\psi_{0}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(2^{m-1} x_{0}, 2^{m-1} x_{1}, 2^{m-1} x_{2}, 2^{m-1} x_{3}\right)
$$

$\psi_{1}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{2}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, q x_{0}, x_{1}, q x_{1}\right)$. Then $\sum_{i=0}^{2} \operatorname{Im} \psi_{i}$ is generated by $2^{m-1}\left[2^{m}, 2^{m}, 2^{m}, 2^{m}\right]$ and $(1,1,0,0),(0,0,1,1),(1, q, 0,0),(0,0,1, q)$. Hence $\left[2^{m}, 2^{m}, 2^{m}, 2^{m}\right] / \sum_{i=0}^{2} \operatorname{Im} \psi_{i} \simeq[2,2]$. Since $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \subset$ $\sum_{i=0}^{2} \operatorname{Im} \psi_{i}$ and $E\left(k_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}, \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}$ is not surjective. Therefore $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$ if $q \not \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$ or $\left(\frac{\ell}{q}\right)=-1$.

Suppose that $q \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{\ell}{q}\right)=1$. Then $q$ splits completely in $k_{2}$. Choosing $z_{q}$ as the primitive elements of the residue fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we obtain a commutative diagram

with exact rows, where

$$
\psi_{F}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)= \begin{cases}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \text { and } \tau=\sigma & \text { if } F=k_{1} \\ \left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right) \text { and } \tau=\gamma^{2} & \text { if } F=\mathbb{Q}_{2} \\ \left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{0}, x_{1}\right) \text { and } \tau=\gamma^{2} & \text { if } F=M\end{cases}
$$

An easy calculation shows that $[2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2] / \sum_{F \in\left\{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, M, k_{1}\right\}} \operatorname{Im} \psi_{F} \simeq[2,2]$. This implies that $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$. Thus the proof of Lemma 6.4 is completed.

As we will see later, Lemma 6.4 implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic if $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. In the following, we consider the case where $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic, then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \leq 2$ for all $n$. Hence, by Lemma 6.1. it suffices to consider the case where $v=1$ or $w=0$, i.e., $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ or $q \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 8)$.
Lemma 6.5. Assume that $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. If $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, then $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|A_{\{q\}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2 & \text { if } \ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16) \text { and }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1, \\
\left|A_{\{q\}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4 & \text { if } \ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16) \text { or }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1 .
\end{array}
$$

If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, then $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[2,16]$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|A_{\{q\}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2 & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1, \\
\left|A_{\{q\}}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4 & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1 .
\end{array}
$$

Proof. First, we prepare some properties of units. By the assumption, $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ (cf. Proposition 5.1). Let $\sigma$ be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$. We regard $\gamma$ as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{2} / k\right)$. Recall that $\varepsilon_{2}^{\gamma+1}=\varepsilon_{\ell}^{\sigma+1}=-1$. Since $k_{1} / k^{\prime}$ is unramified and $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq 0$, we have $k_{1}=\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }}$ and $A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\left|A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 4$ (cf. [30]), we have $\varepsilon_{2 \ell}^{\sigma+1}=1$. Kuroda's formula (2.3)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=4^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=2^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

for $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}$ yields that $E\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}\right\rangle$. An easy calculation shows that $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}=x \sqrt{2}+y \sqrt{\ell} \in O_{k_{1}}$ with some $x, y \in \mathbb{Q}$. Then $2 x^{2}-\ell y^{2}={\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{1+\sigma}= \pm 1$. If $2 x^{2}-\ell y^{2}=1$, then $2|x|+|y| \sqrt{2 \ell} \in O_{k^{\prime}}$ is totally positive and $(2|x|+|y| \sqrt{2 \ell}) O_{k^{\prime}}$ is
the prime lying over 2 . If $2 x^{2}-\ell y^{2}=-1$, then $\ell|y|+|x| \sqrt{2 \ell} \in O_{k^{\prime}}$ is totally positive and $(\ell|y|+|x| \sqrt{2 \ell}) O_{k^{\prime}}$ is the prime lying over $\ell$. By [30, Proposition 3.4(a)], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
-{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{1+\gamma}={\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{1+\sigma}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that ${\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{\gamma \sigma}=-\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}$. Let $M$ be a cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ contained in $k_{2}$ different from $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$. Then $k_{2}=M_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Kuroda's formula (2.2)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2^{-3} Q\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(M)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|^{-2}=2^{-2} Q\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)
$$

for $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ yields that $\left|E\left(k_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=4$. The genus formula (2.1)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| 2^{2}}{2\left|E\left(k_{1}\right) / E\left(k_{2}\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}\right|}
$$

for $k_{2} / k_{1}$ yields the existence of an exact sequence

$$
E\left(k_{2}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{1+\gamma^{2}} E\left(k_{1}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0
$$

Note that $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}^{2}, \varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right\rangle=\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}$ and

$$
E\left(k_{1}\right) / E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}=\left\langle\varepsilon_{\ell} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}} E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\right\rangle \simeq[2,2] .
$$

The genus formula (2.1)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq \frac{\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right| 4^{2}}{4\left|E(k) / E\left(k_{2}\right)^{\left(1+\gamma^{2}\right)(1+\gamma)}\right|}
$$

for $k_{2} / k$ yields that $E\left(k_{2}\right)^{\left(1+\gamma^{2}\right)(1+\gamma)}=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{\ell}^{4}\right\rangle$. Since $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{1+\gamma}=\varepsilon_{\ell}^{2}$ and $\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}} \varepsilon_{\ell}\right)^{1+\gamma}$ $= \pm \varepsilon_{\ell}^{2}$, we have $\varepsilon_{\ell}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}} \varepsilon_{\ell} \notin E\left(k_{2}\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}$, and hence $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}} \in E\left(k_{2}\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}$. Therefore

$$
E\left(k_{2}\right)=\left\langle\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}\right\rangle E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)
$$

with some $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2} \in E\left(k_{2}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta_{1}^{1+\gamma^{2}} \equiv \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}, \quad \eta_{2}^{1+\gamma^{2}} \equiv 1 \quad\left(\bmod E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\right) \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put $\Sigma=\{q\}$, and put $e=v_{2}(q+1) \geq 2$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}$ be a prime of $k_{2}$ lying over $q$. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ or $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Lemma 6.1. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$ by (3.1) for the triple $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }} / \mathbb{Q}$ is a cyclic extension totally ramified at $\ell$, we have $A_{\Sigma}(k) \simeq 0$, and hence $\gamma$ acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)$ as -1 . Since $A_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0, \sigma$ also acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)$ as -1 . Therefore $\sigma \gamma$ acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)$ trivially. This implies that $\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }}=\left(k_{1}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }}$. In particular, $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=2\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$. Recall the exact sequence

$$
E\left(k^{\prime}\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}}\left(O_{k^{\prime}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}(-1)$ is nontrivial, $\Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}$ is not zero mapping. If $\left(\frac{2 \ell}{q}\right)=1$, then $\left(O_{k^{\prime}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq[2,2]$, and hence $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=4$. This implies that $\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}=$ $\left\langle\Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}(-1)\right\rangle$ if $\left(\frac{2 \ell}{q}\right)=1$. If $\left(\frac{2 \ell}{q}\right)=-1$, we choose $g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}$ which is also a primitive element of $O_{k_{1}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}\right) \simeq O_{k^{\prime}} / q \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. Then $\left(O_{k^{\prime}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\langle g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}} \otimes 1\right\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2^{e+1} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}} \equiv g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}^{t}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}\right)$ with some $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)=-1$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$, then $g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}^{(1+q) t} \equiv{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{1+\gamma}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap k_{1}\right)$. If $\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)=1$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$, then
$g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}^{(1+q) t} \equiv{\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}}^{1+\sigma}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}\right)$. By (6.2), the parity of $t$ is determined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{t}=\left(\frac{2}{q}\right)(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}} \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\varepsilon_{2 \ell} \equiv g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}^{2 t}(\bmod q)$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=\left|\operatorname{Coker} \Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}\right|$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2 & \text { if }\left(\frac{2 \ell}{q}\right)=1 \text { or }(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}} \neq\left(\frac{2}{q}\right), \\
\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4 & \text { if }\left(\frac{2 \ell}{q}\right)=-1 \text { and }(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}=\left(\frac{2}{q}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{array}
$$

Suppose that $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. For $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}$ and $g_{\imath}=g_{\iota^{\gamma}}=z_{\ell}$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[2_{\imath}^{m}, 2_{\imath^{\gamma}}^{m}, 8_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} & 4 \\
a_{0} & a_{1} & b
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $a_{0}, a_{1}, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $m=v_{2}(\ell-1) \geq 3$. Since $G_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is cyclic by Proposition 5.1 $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\{\ell\}}^{\text {elem }}=k_{1}$, and hence $A_{\{1\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq A_{\left\{{ }^{\prime}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$. Recall that $A_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$ (cf. Corollary 4.2). These imply that $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\{1\}}, \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\left\{{ }^{\gamma}\right\}}$ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, \Sigma}$ are surjective; i.e., $a_{0}, a_{1}$ and $b$ are odd. An easy calculation shows that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq$ $\left[2^{m}, 4\right]$. In particular, $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$. If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$ and $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$, we have the claim $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$ by (6.5). Suppose that $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$ or $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$. Then $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$ by (6.5). Note that $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / q \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} \simeq O_{M} / q$ and that $q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits in $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. We choose $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}}$ and $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}$ such that $g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}} \equiv g_{q O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{1+q^{2}}(\bmod q)$. We also choose $g_{q O_{M}}$ such that $g_{q O_{M}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}}(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$. Since $\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma^{2}}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}$ and $\gamma^{2}$ acts on $O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}$ as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\mathbb{F}_{q^{4}} / \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}\right)$, we have $g_{q O_{M}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{\sigma \gamma^{2}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}^{q^{2}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}\right)$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi_{k_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(\left(1+q^{2}\right) x_{0},\left(1+q^{2}\right) x_{1}\right)=\left(10 x_{0}, 10 x_{1}\right)$, $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}(x)=(x, x)$ and $\psi_{M}(y)=\left(y, q^{2} y\right) \in\langle(1,1),(4,0)\rangle$. If $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ with some $\varepsilon \in E\left(k_{1}\right)$, then $\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)=\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\sigma}\right)$. This implies that $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}=$ $\langle(1,1),(2,0)\rangle$, i.e., $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\langle(2,2),(4,0)\rangle$. Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\langle(1,1),(4,0)\rangle . \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$, we have $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right) \in \psi_{k_{1}}\left(\Psi\left(\operatorname{Im} \Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}\right)\right)=\psi_{k_{1}}\left(\Psi\left(\left\langle\Phi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}(-1)\right\rangle\right)\right)=$ $\langle(8,8)\rangle$. On the other hand, if $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1, g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}}^{u}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}\right)$ with some odd $u \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then, since $\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma} \cap k_{1}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}$ and $\gamma$ acts on $O_{k_{1}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}\right)$ as the Frobenius automorphism, we have $g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap k_{1}}^{u \sigma \gamma} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \sigma k_{1}}^{q u}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap k_{1}\right)$. Since $\varepsilon_{2 \ell} \equiv g_{q O_{k^{\prime}}}^{2 t}$
$(\bmod q)$, we have $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)=\psi_{k_{1}}\left(\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)\right)=\psi_{k_{1}}((2 t u, 2 t u q))=(4 t u,-4 t u)$ if $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$. Therefore

$$
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(0,0) & (\bmod \langle(4,4),(8,0)\rangle) & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1  \tag{6.7}\\
(2 t u,-2 t u) & (\bmod 8[16,16]) & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Recall that $\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}=E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}$. If $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ with some $\varepsilon \in E\left(k_{2}\right)$, then $\left(q^{2} y_{0}, q^{2} y_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma^{2}}\right)$. Hence $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\right)=$ $\langle(2,2),(8,0)\rangle \supset 8[16,16]$ by (6.6). Put $\left(c_{0}, c_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{1}\right)$ and $\left(d_{0}, d_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$. Since $\left(10 c_{0}, 10 c_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{1}^{1+\gamma^{2}}\right) \equiv \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}\right)(\bmod \langle(2,2),(8,0)\rangle)$ and $\left(10 d_{0}, 10 d_{1}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{2}^{1+\gamma^{2}}\right) \in\langle(2,2),(8,0)\rangle$ by (6.3), we have

$$
\left(5 c_{0}, 5 c_{1}\right) \equiv\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(0,0) & (\bmod \langle(1,1),(4,0)\rangle) & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1 \\
(t u,-t u) & (\bmod \langle(1,1),(4,0)\rangle) & \text { if }\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $\left(5 d_{0}, 5 d_{1}\right) \in\langle(1,1),(4,0)\rangle$ by (6.7). Then $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}=\left\langle\left(5 c_{0}, 5 c_{1}\right),(1,1),(4,0)\right\rangle$. If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$, we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=4$. If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$ and $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$, then $t$ is odd by (6.4), and hence $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$. Thus we obtain the statement for the case where $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$.

Suppose that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$. Recall that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Then $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}=\left(k_{1}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} k_{2}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension of $k_{1}$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a prime of $k_{2}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$. Since $\mathfrak{L} \cap k_{1}$ is inert in $k_{2} / k_{1}, \mathfrak{L}$ splits in $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / k_{2}$. Since $\mathfrak{L} \cap M$ is also inert in $k_{2} / M$, the quartic extension $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / M$ is a $[2,2]$-extension unramified outside $\Sigma$. Since $M_{\Sigma}=\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}}, \mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\Sigma}(M)\right) \leq 1$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}(M)\right)=2$. Let $M^{\prime}$ and $M^{\prime \prime}$ be the distinct quadratic extensions of $M$ contained in $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }}$ different from $k_{2}$. Since $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}$ is not abelian, $M^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}$ is not a Galois extension, and $M^{\prime \prime}$ is the conjugate of $M^{\prime}$. Then $G_{\Sigma}(M)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq A_{\Sigma}(M)$ has a cyclic maximal subgroup $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{2}\right)$, and two other maximal subgroups $\operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}} / M^{\prime}\right), \operatorname{Gal}\left(M_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}} / M^{\prime \prime}\right)$ are isomorphic to each other. This implies that $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\Sigma}(M)\right)=0$, i.e., $A_{\Sigma}(M) \simeq[2,2]$.

Suppose that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $q \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Then $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell^{2}}$ and $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. We choose $g_{\mathrm{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}, g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}$, and put $g_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}=g_{\mathrm{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{\gamma}, g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}=$ $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{\gamma}$. If $\varepsilon \equiv g_{\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{a}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{r}^{\gamma}\right)$ and $\varepsilon \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{b}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ for some $\varepsilon \in$ $E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ and $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $\varepsilon^{\gamma} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{l} Q_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{\gamma^{2}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{l} Q_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{\ell a}(\bmod \mathfrak{l})$ and $\varepsilon^{\gamma} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{\gamma^{2} b} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}^{q b}$ $\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$. Hence we obtain the exact sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}}\left[16_{\mathrm{O}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}, 16_{\mathfrak{l \gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}, 16_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}, 16_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and
$v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}(\xi) \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma}\right) \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{2}}\right) \\ \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{3}}\right)\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{0} & a_{1} & b_{0} & b_{1} \\ \ell a_{1} & a_{0} & q b_{1} & b_{0} \\ \ell a_{0} & \ell a_{1} & q b_{0} & q b_{1} \\ \ell^{2} a_{1} & \ell a_{0} & q^{2} b_{1} & q b_{0}\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}a_{0} & a_{1} & b_{0} & b_{1} \\ 9 a_{1} & a_{0} & 7 b_{1} & b_{0} \\ 9 a_{0} & 9 a_{1} & 7 b_{0} & 7 b_{1} \\ a_{1} & 9 a_{0} & b_{1} & 7 b_{0}\end{array}\right)$.
Since $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{1+\gamma+\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}}\right)=\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}(-1)=(8,8,8,8)$, we have $a_{0}+a_{1} \equiv 4(\bmod 8)$ and $b_{0}+b_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. In particular, $a_{0}+a_{1} \equiv \pm 4(\bmod 16)$. Replacing $\mathfrak{Q}$ by $\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma}$ if necessary, we may assume that $b_{0} \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\times}$. Since $A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is cyclic by Proposition 5.1. $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2},\{\ell\}} \notin 2[16,16]$, i.e., $a_{0} \equiv a_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then $a_{1}^{2} \equiv 8+a_{0}^{2}(\bmod 16)$.

Since

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & \frac{a_{0}-9 a_{1}}{2 a_{0}} & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \frac{9 a_{1}-1}{2 a_{0}} & 0 \\
0 & -2 & \frac{-9 a_{1}}{a_{0}} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 4 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{9-7 b_{0}}{2 b_{0}} & 0 & \frac{b_{0}-1}{2 b_{0}} & 0 \\
\frac{b_{1}}{2 b_{0}} & 1 & \frac{7 b_{1}}{2 b_{0}} & 0 \\
7 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
\frac{b_{0}-4}{b_{0}} & 1 & \frac{b_{0}+4}{b_{0}} & 1
\end{array}\right) v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & \frac{b_{1}-b_{0}^{2}-b_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}} \\
1 & \frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} & 0 & \frac{b_{0}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -2 \frac{b_{0}^{2}+b_{1}^{2}}{b_{0}} \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 8
\end{array}\right),
$$

one can see that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[2,16]$. Since $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q} \simeq O_{k_{2}} / \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}$, we can put $g_{\mathfrak{Q}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}:=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}$ and $g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{2}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma}}:=g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}$. Put $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=\left(k_{1}, M\right)$ or $\left(M, k_{1}\right)$ according to $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$ or -1 . Then $F$ is the decomposition field of $q$ in $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}$, and $\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \cap F^{\prime}$. We choose $z_{q}$ satisfying $g_{\mathfrak{Q}}^{1+q} \equiv z_{q}(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$ as the primitive elements of residue fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}$ such that $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}}(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$. Since $\sigma$ acts on $O_{F^{\prime}} /\left(\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}\right)$ as the Frobenius automorphism, $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{q \sigma} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \mathcal{Q}^{\sigma}}^{q}$ $\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}\right)$, and $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}:=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{\gamma}$ satisfies $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma}\right)$ and $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime}} \equiv$ $g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma}}^{q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma}\right)$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}\right), \psi^{\prime}\left(y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(y_{0}, q y_{0}, y_{1}, q y_{1}\right)$ and $\psi\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)=\left(8 x_{0}, 8 x_{1}, 8 x_{2}, 8 x_{3}\right)$. Recall that $A_{\Sigma}(M) \simeq[2,2], A_{\emptyset}(M) \simeq$ $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq 0$. By (6.5), we have $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. These yield that $\left|\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{F, \Sigma}\right|=\left|\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}\right|=2$. Note that $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap F^{\prime}}^{\gamma}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{\gamma^{2}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}^{q}$ or $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}}$ $\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q} \cap F^{\prime}\right)$ according to $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$ or -1 . If $\varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)=(1,0)$ (resp. $\left.(0,1)\right)$ for some $\varepsilon$, then $\varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}\right)=(0,1)$ (resp. $(q, 0)$ or $(1,0)$ ). Since $\varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}$ is not surjective, $\{(1,0),(0,1)\} \cap \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}=\emptyset$, and hence $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{F^{\prime}, \Sigma}=\langle(1,1),(2,0)\rangle$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right) & =\langle(1,1,0,0),(0,0,1,1),(1, q, 1, q),(2,2 q, 0,0)\rangle \\
& =\langle(1,1,0,0),(0,0,1,1),(0,2,0,2),(0,4,0,0)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}(E(F)) \subset \operatorname{Im} \psi=8[16,16,16,16] \subset \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E\left(F^{\prime}\right)\right)$. In particular,

$$
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\langle(1,1,0,0),(0,0,1,1),(0,2,0,2),(0,4,0,0)\rangle .
$$

Since $A_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq 0, \sigma$ acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)$ as -1 . If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$, the inclusion $\operatorname{Im} \psi \subset$ $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}$ implies that $\iota: A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right) \rightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)$ is zero mapping; i.e., $\gamma^{2}$ also acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)$ as -1 . Then, since $\sigma \gamma^{2}$ acts on $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)$ trivially, $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }} / M$ is abelian, i.e., $\left(k_{2}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}}=M_{\Sigma}^{\mathrm{ab}}$. Therefore $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=\frac{1}{2}\left|A_{\Sigma}(M)\right|=2$ if $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$. Suppose that $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$. Then $\left(F, F^{\prime}\right)=\left(M, k_{1}\right)$ and $\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}=\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma^{2}}$. Recall that $\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)^{1+\gamma^{2}}=E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}$. If $\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)$ with some $\varepsilon \in E\left(k_{2}\right)$, then $\left(q y_{1}, q y_{0}, q y_{3}, q y_{2}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma^{2}}\right)$. Hence

$$
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}\right)=\langle(-2,2,-2,2),(-4,4,0,0)\rangle .
$$

$\operatorname{Put}\left(d_{0}, d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{2}\right)$. By (6.3), we have

$$
\left(d_{0}+q d_{1}, d_{1}+q d_{0}, d_{2}+q d_{3}, d_{3}+q d_{2}\right) \in\langle(-2,2,-2,2),(-4,4,0,0)\rangle .
$$

In particular, $d_{0}-d_{1} \equiv d_{2}-d_{3}(\bmod 4)$ and $d_{2}-d_{3} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(\eta_{2}\right) \\
& =d_{0}(1,1,0,0)+d_{2}(0,0,1,1)-\frac{d_{2}-d_{3}}{2}(0,2,0,2)+\frac{\left(d_{2}-d_{3}\right)-\left(d_{0}-d_{1}\right)}{4}(0,4,0,0) \\
& \in \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\left|\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma} / \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)\right| \leq 2$. Since

$$
[16,16,16,16] / \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \simeq[2,4]
$$

we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$ if $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$.
Suppose that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $q \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$. We choose $g_{O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}$ and put $g_{\imath \gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}=g_{\mathrm{IO}_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}}^{\gamma}$. Choosing $z_{q}$ as the primitive elements of residue fields $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}}\left[16_{\mathfrak{l} \mathbb{Q}_{2}}, 16_{\mathfrak{l \gamma}{O_{\mathbb{Q}}^{2}}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{2} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2},}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{3} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{2}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}(\xi) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{2}}\right) \\
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}\left(\xi^{\gamma^{3}}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & b_{0} & b_{2} & b_{1} & b_{3} \\
9 a_{1} & a_{0} & b_{3} & b_{1} & b_{0} & b_{2} \\
9 a_{0} & 9 a_{1} & b_{2} & b_{0} & b_{3} & b_{1} \\
a_{1} & 9 a_{0} & b_{1} & b_{3} & b_{2} & b_{0}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $\xi^{1+\gamma+\gamma^{2}+\gamma^{3}}=-1$, we have $a_{0}+a_{1} \equiv \pm 4(\bmod 16)$ and $\sum_{i=0}^{3} b_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Replacing $\mathfrak{Q}$ by $\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma^{i}}$ if necessary, we may assume that $b_{0} \equiv 1, b_{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then $b_{1} \equiv b_{3}(\bmod 2)$. Since $A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is cyclic by Proposition 5.1, $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2},\{\ell\}} \notin 2[16,16]$, i.e., $a_{0} \equiv a_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & b_{1} \\
0 & 1 & b_{1} & b_{1} \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
8-\frac{a_{1}}{a_{0}} & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
-9 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
10 & 3 & 2 & 1
\end{array}\right) v_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
a_{0} & a_{1} & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Hence we have $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[2,16]$. Recall that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right)=1$. If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1, q$ splits completely in $k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}$. Then the exact sequence

$$
E\left(k_{2}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}}\left[2_{\mathfrak{Q}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{2}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{3}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma} \gamma^{2}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\sigma \gamma}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q} \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma}}\right] \rightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

yields that $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$. Suppose that $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$. We choose $g_{q O_{k}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{i} \cap k_{1}}=$ $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \gamma^{i}}$ commonly for all $i$. Then $z_{q} \equiv g_{q O_{k}}^{u(1+q)}(\bmod q)$ with some odd $u$. We
choose $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap M}$ such that $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap M} \equiv g_{q O_{k}}(\bmod \mathfrak{Q})$. Then $g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap M} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap M}^{q \gamma^{2}} \equiv g_{q O_{k}}^{q}$ $\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma^{2}}\right)$, and $g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap M}=g_{\mathfrak{Q} \cap M}^{\gamma}$ satisfies $g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap M} \equiv g_{q O_{k}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma}\right)$ and $g_{\mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma} \cap M} \equiv$ $g_{q O_{k}}^{q}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}^{\gamma^{3}}\right)$. Then we obtain a commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $e=v_{2}(q+1) \geq 4$, $\psi_{k_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, x_{1}, x_{1}\right)$, $\psi_{M}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(x_{0}, q x_{0}, x_{1}, q x_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}\left(y_{0}, y_{2}, y_{1}, y_{3}\right)=\left(2^{e} y_{0}, 2^{e} y_{2}, 2^{e} y_{1}, 2^{e} y_{3}\right)$. By (6.5), $A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that $A_{\Sigma}(M) \simeq[2,2]$ and $A_{\emptyset}(M) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{0}\right)$ if $\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ and that $\varphi_{M, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon^{\gamma}\right)=\left(q x_{1}, x_{0}\right)$ if $\varphi_{M, \Sigma}(\varepsilon)=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$. Therefore $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}=\langle(1,1),(2,0)\rangle$ and $\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{M, \Sigma}=$ $\langle(1,1),(2,0)\rangle$. Then

$$
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\langle(1,1,1,1),(2,2,0,0),(1, q, 1, q),(2,2 q, 0,0)\rangle
$$

and $\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2^{e}\left[2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}\right] \subset \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)$. Thus we have

$$
\varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=\langle(1,1,1,1),(2,2,0,0),(2,0,2,0),(4,0,0,0)\rangle .
$$

Since $\left|\operatorname{Im} \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma} / \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right)\right| \leq 4$ and

$$
\left[2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}, 2^{e+1}\right] / \varphi_{k_{2}, \Sigma}\left(E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) E(M) E\left(k_{1}\right)\right) \simeq[2,2,4]
$$

we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$. Thus the proof of Lemma 6.5 is completed.
Lemma 6.6. If $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1, q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$, then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is nonabelian.

Proof. Recall that $E\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 \ell}}\right\rangle$ (cf. the proof of Lemma 6.5). Let $\sigma$ (resp. $\gamma$ ) be a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{1} / k\right)\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{L}($ resp. $\mathfrak{Q})$ be a prime of $k_{1}$ lying over $\mathfrak{l}$ (resp. $q$ ). We choose $z_{\ell}$ (resp. $z_{q}$ ) as the primitive elements of residue fields $\mathbb{F}_{\ell}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.\mathbb{F}_{q}\right)$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $\psi_{k}\left(x, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=\left(x, x, y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{1}\right)=$ $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, y_{0}, y_{0}, y_{1}, y_{1}\right)$. Recall that $\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma}=-1$ and $A_{\{q\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)$ $=1$ by Proposition 5.1. we have

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
u & 4-u & b & b+1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $u \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $b \in\{0,1\}$. Then one can easily see that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq$ $[2,8]$. Since $\varepsilon_{\ell}^{1+\sigma}=-1$, we have $\varphi_{k, S}\left(\varepsilon_{\ell}\right)=(a, d, d+1)$ with some $a \equiv 2$ $(\bmod 4)$ and $d \in\{0,1\}$. Since $\varepsilon_{2 \ell} \in E\left(k_{1}\right)^{2}$ and $\varepsilon_{2 \ell}^{1+\sigma}=1$, we have $\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)=$ $(c, c, 0,0,0,0)$ with some $c \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$. Put

$$
w_{k_{1}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{k_{1}, S}(-1) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{\ell}\right) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
4 & 4 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
u & 4-u & b & b & b+1 & b+1 \\
a & a & d & d+1 & d & d+1 \\
c & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
-1 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\
-b & \frac{1}{u} & 2 b & 0 \\
-d & 0 & \frac{2}{a}+2 d & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \frac{c}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right) w_{k_{1}, S}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
2 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

This yields that $[8,8,2,2,2,2] / \varphi_{k_{1}, S}\left(\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{\ell}, \varepsilon_{2 \ell}\right\rangle\right) \simeq[8,2,2]$. Hence $\left|A_{S}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=$ $\left|\operatorname{Coker} \varphi_{k_{1}, S}\right| \geq \frac{1}{2}|[8,2,2]|=\left|A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|$. This implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is nonabelian. Thus the proof of Lemma 6.6 is completed.

Now we complete the proof of Theorem 6.3. Put $\Sigma=\{q\}$. Since $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4), \mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}$ is a cyclic extension of degree at least 8 , which is totally ramified at $\ell$. Hence $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$. Moreover, $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic by Proposition 6.2, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \geq 2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3 If $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$, Theorem 3.1(1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$ yields that $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} \backslash\{\mathfrak{L}\}}^{\text {elem }} \neq \mathbb{Q}_{n}$ for $\mathfrak{L} \in S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} \backslash \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} \backslash\{\mathfrak{L}\}}^{\text {elem }} / k_{n}$ is a noncyclic abelian extension. Therefore $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{n}\right)\right)=2$ if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$.

First, we prove the if-part. Assume one of the two conditions, and suppose $n \geq 1$. Then $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. Since $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ or $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ by Lemma 6.1, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{n}\right)\right)=2$. Recall that $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right) \geq 1$. For any $n \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=1 \text { and }\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 4 \text { if } \ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16), q \equiv 7(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1, \\
& \mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2 \text { and }\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2 \text { if } \ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16), q \equiv 3(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1
\end{aligned}
$$

by Lemma 6.5 and Theorem 4.3. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic for all $n \geq 2$ by Theorem 3.1(2), (3) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic.

Conversely, we assume that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Then $G_{\{\ell\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is also prometacyclic. Suppose that $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. Then, since $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$ by Theorem [5.2, we have $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 4$ for all $n \geq 2$ by Lemma 6.4. Theorem [3.1(2) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$ implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is not metacyclic if $n \geq 2$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4} \neq(-1)^{\frac{\ell-1}{8}}$. Since $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem4.3. In particular, $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{S}^{\text {ab }} / k_{2}\right)\right)=2$. Also, $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ or $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ by Lemma 6.1. We apply Theorem 3.1 for $\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}\right)$. Since $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is metacyclic, $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=1$ or $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$ by Theorem 3.1(22). Hence, if $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, we have $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 16)$ (i.e., $\left.\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1\right)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$ by Lemma 6.5. This is one of the two conditions. On the other hand, we assume that $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)\left(\right.$ i.e., $\left.\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1\right)$. Then $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$, and $S_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} \backslash \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}=\left\{\varliminf_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}, \mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}\right\}$. Lemma 6.5 yields that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq[2,16]$. In particular, $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=1$ and $\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l}\right|=\mid O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / \mathfrak{l} \gamma=\ell^{2} \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left|A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right|\right)$.

Since $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{\{1, q\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension and $\mathfrak{l}^{\gamma}$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, \mathfrak{l}^{\gamma} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}$ splits in the quadratic extension $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)_{\{1, q\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}$ ramified at $\mathfrak{l} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}}$. Hence the conditions (4b), (4c) of Theorem 3.1 are satisfied. If $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=1$, we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$ by Lemma 6.5 and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is nonabelian (i.e., (4a) is also satisfied) by Lemma 6.6 Then Theorem 3.1(4) yields that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$ is not metacyclic. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q}{\ell}\right)=-1$ if $\ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16)$ (i.e., $\left.\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=1\right)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 6.3 is completed.

## 7. The case of other $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$

This section treats the cases where $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ and $r_{1} \equiv r_{2}(\bmod 4)$. First, we consider the case $S=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right\}$. The following theorem is a partial refinement of [19, Theorem 2].
Theorem 7.1. Put $S=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right\}$ with two distinct prime numbers $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $\ell_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if one of the following two conditions holds:
(1) $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)\right)\right| \geq 4$.
(2) $\ell_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{i}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{i}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ and $\ell_{j} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ for $(i, j)=(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$, and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)\right)\right|=2$.

Proof. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right)=2, G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is not cyclic for all $n \geq 0$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)$. Then $2 \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$ by (3.1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is procyclic (i.e., $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 0$ ) if and only if $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=1$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$ if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic, it suffices to consider only the case where $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=1$. If $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$, then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is not procyclic (cf. e.g. [20, Theorem 3.8]). Hence, replacing $\left(\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right)$ by $\left(\ell_{2}, \ell_{1}\right)$ if necessary, we may assume that $\ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=$ 1 if and only if $\ell_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ or $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1(\mathrm{cf}$. [20, Theorem 3.8]).

Assume that $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then $A_{S}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq[2,4]$. Note that $\gamma$ acts on $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \ell_{i} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\ell_{i}^{2}}$ as the Frobenius automorphism for each $i$. Choosing $g_{\ell_{1} O_{Q_{1}}}$ and $g_{\ell_{2} \mathrm{O}_{\mathrm{Q}_{1}}}$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[8_{\ell_{1} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}, 8_{\ell_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2, \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=(a, b)$ with some $a, b \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(4,4)=$ $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)=\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma}\right)=\left(\left(\ell_{1}+1\right) a,\left(\ell_{2}+1\right) b\right)$, we have $a \equiv b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,8]$, and hence $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) / 4 \simeq[2,4]$ for all $n \geq 0$ by Theorem 4.3. Moreover, $\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \ell_{1}\right| \equiv\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / \ell_{2}\right| \not \equiv 1\left(\bmod \left|A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|\right)$. Since $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonabelian (cf. Remark 2.2), $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is also nonabelian. Moreover, $\ell_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1}}\right)=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{\left\{\ell_{1}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}$. Hence the conditions (4a), (4b) and (4c) of Theorem 3.1 for $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}, \emptyset\right)$ are satisfied. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }} / k$ is a $[2,2]$-extension, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for any $n \geq 0$. Hence, if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$, then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is not metacyclic by Theorem [3.1(4)) for $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}, \emptyset\right)$. On the other hand, if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$, then $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 4$ for all $n \geq 1$, and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 3.1(3) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$.

Assume that $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$ and $\ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{1}$ lying over $\ell_{1}$. Choosing $g_{\mathfrak{l}}=g_{\mathfrak{l} \gamma}=z_{\ell_{1}}$ and $g_{\ell_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}$, we obtain the exact
sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[2_{\imath}^{m}, 2_{\imath \gamma}^{m}, 8_{\ell_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

and

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} & 4 \\
a_{0} & a_{1} & b
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $m=v_{2}\left(\ell_{1}-1\right) \geq 3$. Since $\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma}=-1$ and $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$, we have $a_{0} \equiv a_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ and $b \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$. Then $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq\left[2^{m}, 4\right]$, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$. For any $n \geq 1$, Theorem 3.1(2) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$ yields that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$. Thus the proof of Theorem 7.1 is completed.

For a real quadratic field $k$, the 4-rank $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\{\infty\}}(k)\right)$ of the narrow class group of $k$ can be calculated by the theorem of Rédei and Reichardt [25] (cf. [1, Proposition 1]), and whether $G_{\emptyset}(k)$ is abelian or not can be decided by the theorems of Benjamin, Lemmermeyer and Snyder [1]. Hence the two conditions of Theorem 7.1 can be written in the words of power residue symbols as follows.

Lemma 7.2. Let $\ell_{1}$ and $\ell_{2}$ be distinct prime numbers such that $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $\ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. When $\ell_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$, we have $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)\right)\right| \geq 4$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{2}}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}=1$ or $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{2 \ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{2 \ell_{2}}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$. When $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$, we have $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)\right)\right|=2$ if and only if $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=-1$.
Proof. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)$ and $k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 \ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)=2$. Since $\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}=k_{1}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1}}\right) \subset\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}$, we have $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$ if and only if $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$.

Suppose that $\ell_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then, since $A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$ by [25] (cf. [1, Proposition 1]), $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$ if and only if $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is nonabelian. Hence [1, Theorem 1] implies the claim for the case $\ell_{1} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$.

Suppose that $\ell_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=-1$. If $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is abelian and $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=1$, we have $N_{k^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}}\left(\varepsilon_{2 \ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)=-1$ by [1, Theorem 1]. Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$, and hence $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right) \geq 1$ by [25] (cf. [1, Proposition 1]). Hence $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=-1$ if $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$. Conversely, if $\left(\frac{\ell_{1}}{\ell_{2}}\right)=-1$, then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)$ is abelian and $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$ by [1, Theorem 1] and [25] (cf. [1, Proposition 1]). Thus we obtain Lemma 7.2.

The next theorem treats the case $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$.
Theorem 7.3. Put $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ with two distinct prime numbers $q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then the following two statements hold true:
(1) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic if and only if $q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ or $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Then

$$
G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3 \quad(\bmod 8) \\ \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } q_{1} \not \equiv q_{2} \quad(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

(2) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic if and only if $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $q_{1} \not \equiv q_{2}(\bmod 16)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq[2,2]$.
Proof. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}$. For each $n \geq 0, \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)$ by (3.1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic (i.e., $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n$ ) if and only if $q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ or $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ by [20, Corollary 3.4] (and [23]). If $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$, then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is infinite, i.e., $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by
9. Theorem 1.1]. If $q_{1} \not \equiv q_{2}(\bmod 8), 2$ is inert in $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}$. Then, since $A_{S}(k) \simeq 0$, $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial by Proposition 4.1. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq G_{S}(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$.

On the other hand, $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$ (i.e., $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is nontrivial procyclic) if and only if $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $q_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ for $i=1$ or 2 by [20, Theorem 3.8] and Theorem 4.3 If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic, then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 4.3. Hence, replacing $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ by $\left(q_{2}, q_{1}\right)$ if necessary, it suffices to consider only the case where $q_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ for the second statement.

Lemma 7.4. Assume $q_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Then $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,2]$. Moreover, the primes of $k_{1}$ lying over 2 split in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }}$ if and only if $q_{2} \equiv 7$ $(\bmod 16)$.

Proof. We regard $\gamma$ as a generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{1} / k\right)$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}_{i}$ be a prime of $k_{1}$ lying over $q_{i}$. Choosing $z_{q_{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}$ as the primitive element of $\mathbb{F}_{q_{i}}$, we obtain the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi \mathbb{Q}_{1}, s}\left[2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{1} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{1}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{2} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right] A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\downarrow \cap \\
\downarrow \\
\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right] \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{1} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{1}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{2} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{2}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right] \longrightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) /\left\langle\left[\sqrt{2} O_{\left.\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right]}\right] \longrightarrow 0\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

with exact rows, where $\left.\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}^{\prime}\right|_{E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)}=\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}$ and $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}^{\prime}(\sqrt{2})=\left(a_{1}, b_{1}, a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ with $a_{i}$, $b_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\sqrt{2} \equiv z_{q_{i}}^{a_{i}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}_{i}\right)$ and $\sqrt{2} \equiv z_{q_{i}}^{b_{i}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}_{i}^{\gamma}\right)$. Since $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)=$ $(1,1,1,1)$ and $A_{\left\{q_{i}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$ (i.e., $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\left\{q_{i}\right\}}$ is surjective), we may assume that $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)=(1,0,1,0)$, replacing $\mathfrak{Q}_{i}$ by $\mathfrak{Q}_{i}^{\gamma}$ if necessary. In particular, we have $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,2]$. Since $z_{q_{i}}^{a_{i}} \equiv \sqrt{2}^{\gamma} \equiv-z_{q_{i}}^{b_{i}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}_{i}^{\gamma}\right)$, we have $a_{i} \equiv 1+b_{i}(\bmod 2)$, i.e., $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}^{\prime}\left(\varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{2}\right)=\left(b_{1}, b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{2}\right)$. Note that $\mathfrak{Q}_{i} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}_{2}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{2}}\right)$ (i.e., $\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2} \sqrt{2}} \notin \mathbb{Z}_{q_{i}}$ ) if and only if $q_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Hence $b_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$ if and only if $q_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Therefore $b_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$, and

$$
\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}^{\prime}(\sqrt{2})=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(0,1,0,1) \in \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S} & \text { if } q_{2} \equiv 7 \quad(\bmod 16), \\
(0,1,1,0) \notin \operatorname{Im} \varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S} & \text { if } q_{2} \equiv 15
\end{array}(\bmod 16) .\right.
$$

This implies that the prime $\sqrt{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits completely in the $[2,2]$-extension $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$ (i.e., $\left.\left\langle\left[\sqrt{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right]\right\rangle \simeq 0\right)$ if and only if $q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Since $\sqrt{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits in $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$, we obtain the claim.

Assume that $q_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$. Since $A_{\left\{q_{1}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq 0$, the snake lemma for the commutative diagram

with exact rows induces a surjective homomorphism $[2,2,2,2] \simeq\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{2}} / q_{2}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \rightarrow$ $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)\right)=2$, this implies that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{2}\right) \simeq A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,2]$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq[2,2]$ by Theorem 4.3, and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic.

Assume that $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ be a prime of $k_{1}$ lying over 2. By Lemma [7.4] $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ splits in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }}$. On the other hand, we have $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ by [9. Theorem 1.1]. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is abelian if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Recall that
$\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ acts on $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)$ as $-1, \operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(k_{n}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is nonabelian if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right| \geq 4$. Suppose that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Then $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2$ for all $n \geq 1$. In particular, $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)=A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\Gamma}$ and $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }}=\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }}$. Since $N_{k_{n} / k_{1}}: A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \rightarrow A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)$ is surjective, we have $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{1}^{h_{1} / 2}\right]\right\rangle$ by [8, Theorem 2], where $h_{1}$ is the class number of $k_{1}$. This implies that $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ is inert in $\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }}=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }}$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic if $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Thus the proof of Theorem 7.3 is completed.

Lemma 7.4 above induces the following corollary which we need in the proof of Theorem 1.1

Corollary 7.5. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2}}\right)$ with prime numbers $q_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$. Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is finite cyclic.
Proof. By [20, Theorem 3.8] and Theorem 4.3, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$ be a prime of $k$ lying over 2 and $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$ the prime of $k_{n}$ lying over $\mathfrak{p}_{0}$. Put $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$. By Lemma[7.4, $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,2]$, and $\mathfrak{p}_{1}$ is inert in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }}=\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}$. Therefore, $\mathfrak{p}_{n}$ is also inert in $\left(k_{n}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}$; i.e., $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)=\left\langle\left[\mathfrak{p}_{n}^{h_{n}^{\prime}}\right]\right\rangle$ for any $n \geq 1$, where $h_{n}^{\prime}$ is the maximal odd factor of the class number of $k_{n}$. In particular, $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)=$ $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\Gamma}$ for all $n \geq 1$. Since $k_{\infty}$ is the unique $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $k,\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\Gamma}\right|$ is bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (cf. [8, Proposition 1]), and hence $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is finite cyclic.

## 8. The case $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}$

If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic for $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}\right\}$ (and $\{2, \infty\} \cap S=\emptyset$ ), then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right) \leq 2$, and hence $S$ contains at least one prime $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
Proposition 8.1. If $S=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}, q\right\}$ with three distinct prime numbers $\ell_{1} \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4), \ell_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$, then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic.

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right)=2$. Suppose that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Then $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right)=2$, and $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension. For each $i \in\{1,2\}$, since $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{i}}\right) \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{\left\{\ell_{i}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}$, we have $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }} \neq\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S \backslash\left\{\ell_{i}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}$, and hence $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S \backslash\left\{\ell_{i}\right\}}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is a quadratic extension; i.e., $G_{S \backslash\left\{\ell_{i}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is procyclic. Proposition 6.2 yields that $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)$ and $\Sigma=\{q\}$. Since $G_{\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is also prometacyclic, we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$ by Theorem 7.1. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is not metacyclic by Theorem 3.1(2) for $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right)$. This is a contradiction. Thus we obtain the statement.
Theorem 8.2. Put $S=\left\{\ell, q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ with three distinct prime numbers $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, $q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if one of the following two conditions holds true:
(1) $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8), q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\ell}\right)=-1$.
(2) $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8), q_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), q_{j} \equiv 7(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{j}}{\ell}\right)=-1$ for $(i, j)=$ $(1,2)$ or $(2,1)$.
Moreover, we have $G_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\left(\sqrt{\ell q_{1} q_{2}}\right)\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ under each of these conditions.
Proof. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell q_{1} q_{2}}\right)$. For each $n \geq 0, \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=1+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right) \geq 2$ by (3.1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$. Then $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 0$ (i.e., $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is procyclic) if and only if $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $q_{i} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ for $i=1$ or 2 by
[20, Theorem 3.8]. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 0$ if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic, it suffices to consider only this case. Replacing $\left(q_{1}, q_{2}\right)$ by $\left(q_{2}, q_{1}\right)$ if necessary, we may assume that $\ell \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Then, since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$, we have $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} \backslash\{\mathfrak{l}\}}^{\text {elem }}=\mathbb{Q}_{n}(\sqrt{\ell})$ for $\mathfrak{l}=q_{1} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}$ by Theorem 3.1) (11). Since $\mathbb{Q}_{\left\{\ell, q_{1}\right\}}^{\text {ab }} \mathbb{Q}_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}$ is a cyclic quartic extension which contains $\mathbb{Q}_{n}(\sqrt{\ell})$, Theorem 3.1(2) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \emptyset\right)$ yields that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)\right|=2$. Theorem 4.3 implies that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$. Put $k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 \ell q_{1} q_{2}}\right)$. Since $\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}=k_{1}(\sqrt{\ell}) \subset\left(k_{1}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}$, we have $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=2$ if and only if $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$. By the theorem of Rédei and Reichardt [25] (or [2, Proposition 1]), $A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$ if and only if at least one of $\left(\frac{2}{q_{2}}\right),\left(\frac{q_{1}}{\ell}\right),\left(\frac{q_{2}}{\ell}\right)$ is 1 . Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right) \simeq[2,2]$ if and only if $\left(\frac{2}{q_{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{\ell}\right)=-1$ or $\left(\frac{2}{q_{2}}\right)=-\left(\frac{q_{2}}{\ell}\right)=1$ by [1, Theorem 2] (or [2, Theorem 2]). Thus the proof of Theorem 8.2 is completed.

Theorem 8.3. Put $S=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}\right\}$ with three distinct prime numbers $q_{1} \equiv 3$ $(\bmod 4), q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ and $q_{3} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if and only if $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), q_{3} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$ and $\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{q_{3}}\right)=-1$ after a suitable permutation of the indices.

Proof. Since $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S \backslash\left\{q_{i}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}} \cap\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S \backslash\left\{q_{j}\right\}}^{\mathrm{ab}}=\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ for any distinct $i$ and $j, G_{S \backslash\left\{q_{i}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is procyclic for any $i$ if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Theorem 7.3 implies that $G_{S \backslash\left\{q_{i}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is procyclic for any $i$ if and only if at least two $q \in S$ satisfy $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. If all of $q \in S$ satisfy $q \equiv 3(\bmod 8), G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ has a quotient $G_{S \backslash\left\{q_{1}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \times G_{S \backslash\left\{q_{2}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ by Theorem 7.3. Then, since $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonabelian (cf. Remark [2.2), $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic. Hence, permuting the indices if necessary, it suffices to consider only the case where $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$ and $q_{3} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Then, since the inertia group $I_{q_{2}} \subset G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ of the prime $q_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}$ is cyclic and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} / I_{q_{2}} \simeq A_{\left\{q_{1}, q_{3}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 7.3, we have $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=2$ and $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right) \leq 1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2}}\right)$ and $k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{2 q_{1} q_{2}}\right)$. Then $A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$ by [23, Theorem]. We regard $\gamma$ as the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{1} / k\right)$. Since $-1=\varepsilon_{2}^{1+\gamma} \in$ $E\left(k_{1}\right)^{1+\gamma}$, the genus formula (2.1)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq \frac{2^{2}}{2\left|E(k) / E\left(k_{1}\right)^{1+\gamma}\right|}
$$

for $k_{1} / k$ yields that $\pm \varepsilon_{q_{1} q_{2}} \notin E\left(k_{1}\right)^{1+\gamma}$. Hence Kuroda's formula (2.3)

$$
1=\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=4^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}(k)\right|\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right|=2^{-1} Q\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}\right)
$$

implies that $E\left(k_{1}\right)=\left\langle-1, \varepsilon_{2}, \varepsilon_{q_{1} q_{2}}, \sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 q_{1} q_{2}}}\right\rangle$. Let $\mathfrak{Q}_{i}$ be a prime of $k_{1}$ lying over $q_{i}$. Then $\mathfrak{Q}_{i} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}=q_{i} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Choosing $g_{q_{1} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}, g_{q_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}$ and $g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}=$ $g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}=z_{q_{3}} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we obtain the exact sequence

$$
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}}\left[8_{q_{1} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}, 8_{q_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma} \cap \mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right] \rightarrow A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \rightarrow 0 .
$$

Since Coker $\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1},\left\{q_{i}\right\}} \simeq A_{\left\{q_{i}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, replacing $\mathfrak{Q}_{3}$ by $\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma}$ if necessary, we may assume that

$$
v_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}=\binom{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}(-1)}{\varphi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}, S}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right)}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
4 & 4 & 1 & 1 \\
a_{1} & a_{2} & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $a_{1} \equiv a_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Hence an easy calculation shows that $A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq[2,8]$ and $A_{\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 8 \mathbb{Z}$. This implies that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{n}\right)\right)=2$ for all $n \geq 1$. Moreover, we have $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 1$. Put $\Sigma=\left\{q_{3}\right\}$. Then (3.1) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right)$ yields that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right)\right)=1$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Assume that $\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{q_{3}}\right)=-1$. We choose $g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}}=g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma}}=g_{q_{3} O_{k}}$ and $g_{q_{3} O_{k^{\prime}}}$ such that $g_{q_{3} O_{k^{\prime}}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}_{3}\right)$. Then $g_{q_{3} O_{k}}^{\left(1+q_{3}\right) u} \equiv z_{q_{3}}\left(\bmod q_{3}\right)$ with some odd $u$. Moreover, since $g_{q_{3} O_{k^{\prime}}}^{\gamma} \equiv g_{q_{3} O_{k^{\prime}}}^{q_{3}}\left(\bmod q_{3}\right)$, we have $g_{q_{3} O_{k^{\prime}}} \equiv g_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma}}^{q_{3}}\left(\bmod \mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma}\right)$. Then we obtain the commutative diagram

with exact rows, where $m=v_{2}\left(q_{3}^{2}-1\right) \geq 4, \psi_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)=\left(2^{m-1} x_{0}, 2^{m-1} x_{1}\right)$, $\psi_{k}(x)=(x, x)$, and $\psi_{k^{\prime}}(x)=\left(x, q_{3} x\right)=\left(x,\left(2^{m-1}-1\right) x\right)$. Since $k\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right) \subset k_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }}$ and $k_{1}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right) \subset\left(k^{\prime}\right)_{\Sigma}^{\text {ab }}$, we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}(k)\right| \geq 2$ and $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 4$. Hence $\varphi_{k, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{q_{1} q_{2}}\right)=$ $(2 a)$ and $\varphi_{k^{\prime}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2 q_{1} q_{2}}\right)=(2 b)$ with some $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$
v_{k_{1}, \Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}(-1) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{2}\right) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\varepsilon_{q_{1} q_{2}}\right) \\
\varphi_{k_{1}, \Sigma}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon_{2 q_{1} q_{2}}}\right)
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
2^{m-1} & 2^{m-1} \\
0 & 2^{m-1} \\
2 a & 2 a \\
b+2^{m-1} e_{0} & -b+2^{m-1} e_{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

with some $e_{0}, e_{1} \in\{0,1\}$. Since $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right)=1$, we have $b \equiv 1(\bmod 2)$. Then

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 2^{m-1} \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -2 a \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
\frac{e_{0}}{b} & \frac{e_{0}+e_{1}}{b} & 0 & b^{-1}
\end{array}\right) v_{k_{1}, \Sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 2^{m-1} \\
0 & 4 a \\
1 & -1
\end{array}\right),
$$

and hence $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$. By Theorem (3.1(3) for $\left(k_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}\right), G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right)$ is metacyclic for any $n \geq 1$. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic if $\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{q_{3}}\right)=-1$.

Assume that $\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{q_{3}}\right)=1$. Then $q_{3}$ splits completely in $k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}$. Since there is a surjective homomorphism $\left[2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\sigma}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\gamma}}, 2_{\mathfrak{Q}_{3}^{\sigma \gamma}}\right] \rightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)$, we have $\left|A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{1}\right)\right|=$ 2. We apply Theorem 3.1 for $\left(k_{1} / \mathbb{Q}_{1}, S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}, \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right)$. Since $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is nonabelian (cf. Remark (2.2), $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is also nonabelian. For each $i \in\{1,2\},\left|O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} / q_{i}\right|=q_{i}^{2} \not \equiv 1$ $\left(\bmod \left|A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)\right|\right)$. By Theorem 3.1(11), $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)_{S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} \backslash\left\{\mathfrak{l}_{0}\right\}}^{\text {elem }}=\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right)$ for $\mathfrak{l}_{0}=q_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}$ is a $[2,2]$-extension, the prime $q_{2} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right)$. Hence no prime in $S_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}} \backslash \Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}$ is inert in $\mathbb{Q}_{1}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{3}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{1}$. By Theorem 3.1(4), $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}\right)$ is not metacyclic. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic if $\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{q_{3}}\right)=1$. Thus the proof of Theorem 8.3 is completed.

## 9. The case $\infty \in S$

For a finite extension $k / \mathbb{Q}$, the Iwasawa $\lambda$-invariant $\lambda(k)$ is defined as the 2-rank of the maximal free abelian pro-2 quotient of $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$. Then there is a surjective homomorphism $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\lambda(k)}$ with torsion kernel. First, we prepare the following lemma.

Lemma 9.1. Let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $\mathbb{Q}$ not containing 2 and $K / \mathbb{Q}$ a finite extension such that $K_{\infty} \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$. If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic, then $\lambda(K) \leq 1$.

Proof. Assume that $\lambda(K) \geq 2$. Then there are surjective homomorphisms $G_{S}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ $\rightarrow G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$. Suppose that $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Then there exists a procyclic extension $M / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ such that $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S} / M$ is also a procyclic extension. Moreover, since $G_{S}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ is also prometacyclic, we have $G_{S}\left(K_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$. Then $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}=\left(K_{\infty}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}}$.


Hence $K_{\infty} M / K_{\infty}$ is an unramified $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension. Since $\left[K_{\infty}: K_{\infty} \cap M\right] \leq[K:$ $\mathbb{Q}]$, any prime has finite ramification index in $K_{\infty} M /\left(K_{\infty} \cap M\right)$. On the other hand, since $G_{\{\infty\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq 1$ (cf. Corollary 4.2) and $M /\left(K_{\infty} \cap M\right)$ is also a $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$ extension, $M / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension totally ramified at some $v \in S_{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}}$. Then the primes lying over $v$ have infinite ramification indices in $K_{\infty} M /\left(K_{\infty} \cap M\right)$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic if $\lambda(K) \geq 2$. Thus the proof is completed.

We recall Kida's formulas [12] for the $\lambda$-invariants. Suppose that $k / \mathbb{Q}$ is an imaginary abelian extension unramified at 2 . Then $k \cap \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}=\mathbb{Q}, \sqrt{-1} \notin k_{\infty}$ and the $\mu$-invariant is zero (cf. [12, Remarks (i)] or [29, §7.5]). By [12, Theorem 1], we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(k)=\lambda\left(k^{+}\right)+\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k_{n}^{+}\right)\right)-1+s\left(k_{n} / k_{n}^{+}\right) \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all sufficiently large $n$, where $k^{+}=k \cap \mathbb{R}$, and $s\left(k_{n} / k_{n}^{+}\right)$denotes the number of prime ideals of $k_{n}$ ramified over $k_{n}^{+}$. Moreover, $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\lambda(k)}$ if $k$ is an imaginary quadratic field with odd discriminant (cf. 6] or [11, Theorem 1]). Let $K$ be a CM-field such that $K / k$ is a finite 2 -extension. Suppose that $K_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is unramified at any prime lying over 2 . Then $\sqrt{-1} \notin K_{\infty}$, and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda(K)-\lambda\left(K^{+}\right)=\left[K_{\infty}: k_{\infty}\right]\left(\lambda(k)-\lambda\left(k^{+}\right)\right)+\sum_{v}\left(e_{v}-1\right)-\sum_{v^{+}}\left(e_{v^{+}}-1\right) \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by [12, Theorem 3], where $K^{+}=K \cap \mathbb{R}, v$ (resp. $v^{+}$) runs over all nonarchimedean primes of $K_{\infty}$ (resp. $K_{\infty}^{+}$), and $e_{v}$ (resp. $e_{v^{+}}$) is the ramification index of $v$ in $K_{\infty} / k_{\infty}$ (resp. $v^{+}$in $K_{\infty}^{+} / k_{\infty}^{+}$). Using these formulas, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 9.2. Let $\Sigma$ be a finite set of odd prime numbers, and put $S=\Sigma \cup\{\infty\}$.
Then the following two statements hold true:
(1) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial procyclic if and only if $\Sigma=\{r\}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)=-1$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2} /(r-1) \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
(2) $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nonprocyclic prometacyclic if and only if $\Sigma=\{q\}$ and $q \equiv 7$ $(\bmod 16)$. Then $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is isomorphic to a prodihedral pro-2 group $\mathbb{Z}_{2} \rtimes$ $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z})$.

Proof. If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial prometacyclic, then $|\Sigma|=\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{ab}}\right) \leq 2$. Moreover, $\Sigma \neq \emptyset$ by Corollary 4.2, Hence it suffices to consider the case $1 \leq|\Sigma| \leq 2$.

Assume that $\Sigma=\{r\}$ and $\left(\frac{2}{r}\right)=-1$. Then 2 does not split in $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}$. Since $k / \mathbb{Q}$ is cyclic, we have $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}$. Since $G_{S}(k)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq 0, G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial by Proposition 4.1. This implies that $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}=k_{\infty}$. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2} /(r-1) \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Assume that $\Sigma=\{\ell\}$ and $\ell \equiv 1(\bmod 8)$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}$. Then $k / \mathbb{Q}$ is a cyclic extension totally ramified at $\ell$, and hence $s\left(k_{1} / k_{1}^{+}\right)=\left|\Sigma_{\mathbb{Q}_{1}}\right|=2$. Since $\left|A_{\{\infty\}}(\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2 \ell}))\right| \geq 4$ (cf. $[30]$, we have $\left|A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k_{1}^{+}\right)\right| \geq\left|A_{\{\infty\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{1}(\sqrt{\ell})\right)\right| \geq 2$. Then $\lambda(k) \geq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{\{\infty\}}\left(k_{1}^{+}\right)\right)-1+s\left(k_{1} / k_{1}^{+}\right) \geq 2$ by (9.1), and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic by Lemma 9.1 .

Assume that $\Sigma=\{q\}$ and $q \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$. Since $A_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq 0$, the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gathered}
E\left(k_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\Phi_{k_{n}, \Sigma}}\left(O_{k_{n}} / \sqrt{-q}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right) \longrightarrow A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \\
\quad \simeq \\
E\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\text { ( }} \xrightarrow{\Phi_{n}, \Sigma}\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / q\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow A_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \longrightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

with exact rows yields that $G_{S}\left(k_{n}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq A_{\Sigma}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right)$ for all $n \geq 0$. Hence $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \lim A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{\lambda(k)}$. If $q \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$, then $\lambda(k) \geq-1+s\left(k_{2} / \mathbb{Q}_{2}\right)=$ 3 by (9.1), and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic by Lemma 9.1 Suppose that $q \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$. Then $\lambda(k)=1$ by (9.1) (or [6, Theorem 7]). Since $A_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, the generator of $\operatorname{Gal}\left(k_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ acts on $G_{S}\left(k_{\infty}\right) \simeq \lim _{幺} A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{n}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ as -1 . Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prodihedral if $q \equiv 7(\bmod 16)$.

Assume that $\Sigma=\left\{\ell_{1}, \ell_{2}\right\}$ and $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. If $\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{1}}\right)=1$ or $\left(\frac{2}{\ell_{2}}\right)=1$, then we have seen that $G_{\left\{\ell_{i}, \infty\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1} \ell_{2}}\right)$. If $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right|=2$, then $G_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic by Theorem 7.1 Note that $\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }} \cap k\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1}}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }}=k\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1}}\right)=k_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }}$. If $\ell_{1} \equiv \ell_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$ and $\left|A_{\emptyset}\left(k_{2}\right)\right| \geq 4$, then $\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }} L / k_{2}\left(\sqrt{\ell_{1}}\right)$ is a $[2,2,2]$-extension unramified outside $S$, where $L$ is an unramified quartic extension of $k_{2}$. Therefore $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic.

Assume that $\Sigma=\{\ell, q\}$ and $\ell \not \equiv q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-q})$ and $K=\mathbb{Q}_{S}^{\text {ab }}$. Then $K_{\infty} / k_{\infty}$ and $K_{\infty}^{+} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ are cyclic extensions unramified outside $\ell$ and totally ramified at any prime lying over $\ell$. Since any prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ lying over $\ell$ splits in $k_{\infty}$, we have $\lambda(K) \geq \sum_{v^{+} \mid \ell}\left(e_{v^{+}}-1\right) \geq \sum_{v^{+} \mid \ell} 3 \geq 3$ by (9.2). Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic by Lemma 9.1

Assume that $\Sigma=\left\{q_{1}, q_{2}\right\}$ and $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$. Since $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{\left\{q_{1}, \infty\right\}} \cap$ $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{\left\{q_{2}, \infty\right\}}=\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}, G_{\left\{q_{1}, \infty\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ and $G_{\left\{q_{2}, \infty\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ are procyclic if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. We have seen that $G_{\left\{q_{i}, \infty\right\}}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not procyclic if $q_{i} \equiv 7(\bmod 8)$. Hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic if $\left(\frac{2}{q_{1}}\right)=1$ or $\left(\frac{2}{q_{2}}\right)=1$. Suppose that $q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8)$. Then $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are primes in $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$. Since $G_{\Sigma}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$
by Theorem 7.3, there is a 2-extension $K^{+} / \mathbb{Q}$ such that $\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2}}\right) \subset K^{+}$and $K_{\infty}^{+}$is the unique cyclic quartic extension of $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ unramified outside $\Sigma$. Then $K_{\infty}^{+} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is totally ramified at $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Put $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-q_{2}}\right), k^{\prime}=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{-q_{1}}\right)$ and $K=K^{+} k=K^{+} k^{\prime}$. Note that $q_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.q_{2}\right)$ splits in $k_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.k_{\infty}^{\prime} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$. Then $\lambda(K) \geq \sum_{v \mid q_{1}} 3+\sum_{v \mid q_{2}} 1-\sum_{v^{+} \in \Sigma} 3=2$ by (9.2) for $K / k$, and hence $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is not prometacyclic by Lemma 9.1. Thus the proof of Theorem 9.2 is completed.

## 10. Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Corollary 4.2, $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial if and only if $S \subset\{\infty\}$ or $S=\{q\}$ and $q \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$ (i.e., $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is trivial). Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial for such $S$ and $K \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}=\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$. The statement for the case $\infty \in S$ has been obtained as Theorem 9.2. In the following, we assume that $\infty \notin S$ and $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial. If $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is nontrivial prometacyclic, $G_{S}(\mathbb{Q})$ is also nontrivial metacyclic. Then $1 \leq \mathrm{r}_{2}\left(A_{S}(\mathbb{Q})\right) \leq 2$, and hence $S=\{\ell\},\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ or $\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, q\right\}$, where $\ell \equiv-q \equiv 1$ $(\bmod 4)$. Thus we obtain the list of all $S$ with prometacyclic $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$, combining the following:

- Proposition 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 for $S=\{\ell\}$.
- Proposition 6.2 and Theorem 6.3 for $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ with $r_{1} \not \equiv r_{2}(\bmod 4)$.
- Theorem 7.1 (with Lemma 7.2) and Theorem 7.3 for $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$ with $r_{1} \equiv r_{2}(\bmod 4)$.
- Proposition 8.1. Theorem 8.2 and Theorem 8.3 for $S=\left\{r_{1}, r_{2}, q\right\}$.

Put $G=G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$. Recall that $\Gamma$ has a generator $\gamma=\left.\bar{\gamma}\right|_{\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}}$, where $\bar{\gamma}$ is a generator of $\bar{\Gamma}$ such that $\bar{\gamma}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right)=\zeta_{2^{n+2}}^{5}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Put $n_{r}=v_{2}\left(\frac{r^{2}-1}{8}\right) \geq 0$ for $r \in S$. Then the decomposition field of $r$ in $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} / \mathbb{Q}$ is $\mathbb{Q}_{n_{r}}$. Let $\mathfrak{r}$ be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}_{n_{r}}$ lying over $r$. Suppose that $n>n_{r}$. Since $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right) / \mathbb{Q}_{n_{r}}$ is not a cyclic extension and $\mathfrak{r}$ does not split in $\mathbb{Q}_{n} / \mathbb{Q}_{n_{r}}, \mathfrak{r} O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}}$ splits in $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right)=\mathbb{Q}_{n}(\sqrt{-1})$. Let $\mathfrak{R}$ be a prime of $\mathbb{Q}\left(\zeta_{2^{n_{r}+3}}\right)$ lying over $\mathfrak{r}$. Then $O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \mathfrak{r} \simeq \mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right] / \mathfrak{R} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{r^{2 n-n_{r}}}$. Note that $v_{2}\left(\left|\mathbb{F}_{2^{2 n-n_{r}}}^{\times}\right|\right)=v_{2}\left(r^{2^{n-n_{r}}}-1\right)=2^{n+2}$. Since

$$
\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \mathfrak{r}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq\left(\mathbb{Z}\left[\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right] / \mathfrak{R}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\left\langle\left(\zeta_{2^{n+2}} \bmod \mathfrak{R}\right) \otimes 1\right\rangle \simeq\left\langle\zeta_{2^{n+2}}\right\rangle
$$

as $\bar{\Gamma}^{2^{n_{r}+1}}$-modules, $\gamma^{2^{n_{r}+1}}$ acts on $\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / r\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \simeq \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{r} \mid r}\left(\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \mathfrak{r}\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ as $5^{2^{n_{r}+1}}$ for any $n>n_{r}$. Put $\nu=\max \left\{n_{r}+1 \mid r \in S\right\}$. Then, since there is a surjective $\Lambda$-homomorphism $\lim _{\leftrightarrows}\left(\left(O_{\mathbb{Q}_{n}} / \prod_{r \in S} r\right)^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow \lim _{\leftrightarrows} A_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{n}\right) \simeq G^{\text {ab }}, \gamma^{2^{\nu}}$ acts on $G^{\text {ab }}$ as $5^{2^{\nu}}$, i.e.,,$^{2^{2^{L}}} g=\widetilde{\gamma}^{2^{\nu}} g \widetilde{\gamma}^{-2^{\nu}} \equiv g^{5^{2^{\nu}}}\left(\bmod G_{2}\right)$ for $g \in G$.

Let $K / \mathbb{Q}$ be a finite extension such that $K \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$. Then $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty} \subset K_{\infty} \subset$ $\left(K_{\infty}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {ab }} \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}$. We show that $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite if $G$ is prometacyclic. If $G$ is finite, then $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is also finite. In the following, we assume that $G$ is infinite prometacyclic. If $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}^{\prime}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite for some finite extension $K^{\prime} / K$, then $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is also finite. Hence we may assume that $K / \mathbb{Q}$ is a finite Galois extension such that $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }} \subset K_{\infty}$. Let $N$ be a procyclic closed normal subgroup of $G$ such that $G / N$ is also procyclic. If $G$ is procyclic, we assume that $N$ is trivial. Put $M=\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{N}$ the fixed field of $N$. Since $G_{\emptyset}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is trivial, $M / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is totally ramified at some prime $v$ of $\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$. If $G$ is procyclic, then $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}=M$, and hence $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is trivial. Suppose that $N$ is finite. Then the subquotient $\operatorname{Gal}\left(\left(K_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\mathrm{ab}} / K_{\infty} M\right)$ of $N$ is also finite. Since $G$ is infinite, $M / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension, and hence $K_{\infty} M$ is the unique $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension of $K_{\infty}$ unramified outside $S$. Since $M / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is totally ramified at $v, K_{\infty} M / K_{\infty}$ is not unramified. This implies that
$K_{\infty}$ has no unramified $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-extension. Therefore $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite if $N$ is finite. In the following, we assume that $N$ is infinite and $G$ is not procyclic. Let $a, b$ be the generators of $G$ such that $N=\langle a\rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $G / N=\langle b N\rangle$. Since $G_{2} \subset N$, we have $[a, b]=a^{z}$ with some $z \in 2 \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Then $G_{2}=\left\langle a^{z}\right\rangle$ and $b^{-1} a b=a^{1+z}$. Since $\gamma^{2^{\nu}}$ acts on $G^{\text {ab }}$ as $5^{2^{\nu}}, \gamma^{2^{\nu}} a=a^{5^{2^{\nu}}+x z}$ and ${\gamma^{2^{\nu}}} b=b^{5^{2^{\nu}}} a^{y z}$ with some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence

$$
1=\gamma^{2^{\nu}} 1=\gamma^{2^{\nu}}\left(a^{-(1+z)} b^{-1} a b\right)=a^{(1+z)\left(5^{2^{\nu}}+x z\right)\left((1+z)^{5^{2^{\nu}}-1}-1\right)} .
$$

This implies that $(1+z)^{5^{2^{\nu}}-1}=1$, i.e., $z=0$ or $z=-2$. If $z=0$, then $G$ is abelian, and $G / G^{2} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T^{2}$ or $\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T\right)^{2}$ as $\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]]$-modules. If $z=-2$, we have $b^{-1} a b=a^{-1}$ and $G_{2}=\left\langle a^{2}\right\rangle$. Then $\left[a, b^{2}\right]=1$. Let $H$ be an abelian maximal subgroup of $G$ such that:

- $H / G^{2}=T\left(G / G^{2}\right)$ if $z=0$ and $G / G^{2} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T^{2}$,
- $H=\left\langle a, b^{2}\right\rangle$ if $z=-2$.
(If $z=0$ and $G / G^{2} \simeq\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}[[T]] / T\right)^{2}$, then $H$ is an arbitrary maximal subgroup of $G$.) If $z=0$, then $T\left(H / G^{2}\right) \simeq 0$, i.e., ${ }^{\gamma} h \equiv h\left(\bmod G^{2}\right)$ for any $h \in H$, and hence ${ }^{\gamma} H=H$. If $z=-2$ and $b^{2} \in N$, then $G$ is prodihedral, and $H=N$ is the unique procyclic maximal subgroup. If $z=-2$ and $b^{2} \notin N$, then $\mathrm{r}_{4}\left(G / G_{2}\right)=1$, and $H$ is the unique maximal subgroup such that $\mathrm{r}_{2}\left(H / G_{2}\right)=2$. Therefore, by the uniqueness of such $H$, we have ${ }^{\gamma} H=H$ even if $z=-2$. This implies that the fixed field $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{H}$ of $H$ is a Galois extension of $\mathbb{Q}$. Since $\gamma$ acts on $G / H$ trivially, $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{H} / \mathbb{Q}$ is abelian. Hence the inertia field $k$ of 2 in $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{H} / \mathbb{Q}$ is a real quadratic field, and $\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{H}=k_{\infty}$. Recall that we are assuming $k_{\infty} \subset\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)_{S}^{\text {elem }} \subset K_{\infty}$. Since $H$ is abelian, $\left(K_{\infty}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{\infty}$ is an abelian extension. Since any prime in the finite set $S_{k_{\infty}}$ has finite ramification index in $\left(K_{\infty}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\mathrm{ab}} / k_{\infty}, G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is infinite if $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is infinite. Hence it suffices to show the finiteness of nontrivial $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$. Since $\left(k_{\infty}\right)_{\emptyset}^{\text {elem }} / \mathbb{Q}_{\infty}$ is an elementary abelian 2-extension, $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is procyclic. By the list of $S$ with nonprocyclic prometacyclic $G$ and [20, Corollary 3.4 and Theorem 3.8], the real quadratic field $k \subset \mathbb{Q}_{S}$ with nontrivial procyclic $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ satisfies one of the following:
$\cdot k=\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{\ell}), \ell \equiv 9(\bmod 16),\left(\frac{2}{\ell}\right)_{4}=-1$. Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite by [20, Theorem 4.1].
- $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\right), r_{1} \equiv r_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8)$. Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite by [23.
$\cdot k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\right), r_{1} \equiv 1(\bmod 8), r_{2} \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{r_{1}}{r_{2}}\right)=-1,\left(\frac{2}{r_{1}}\right)_{4}\left(\frac{r_{1}}{2}\right)_{4}=$
-1 . Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 4.3 and Lemma 7.2 ,
$\cdot k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{r_{1} r_{2}}\right), r_{1} \equiv 7(\bmod 16), r_{2} \equiv 15(\bmod 16)$. Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ is finite by Corollary 7.5
- $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2} r}\right), q_{1} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), q_{2} \equiv 7(\bmod 8), r \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{2}}{r}\right)=$ -1 . Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 8.2 (cf. also [20, Theorem 4.4]).
- $k=\mathbb{Q}\left(\sqrt{q_{1} q_{2} r}\right), q_{1} \equiv q_{2} \equiv 3(\bmod 8), r \equiv 5(\bmod 8),\left(\frac{q_{1} q_{2}}{r}\right)=-1$. Then $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}} \simeq \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$ by Theorem 8.2
The finiteness of $G_{\emptyset}\left(k_{\infty}\right)^{\text {ab }}$ has been known in each case. Therefore $G_{\emptyset}\left(K_{\infty}\right)^{\mathrm{ab}}$ is finite if $G_{S}\left(\mathbb{Q}_{\infty}\right)$ is prometacyclic. Thus the proof of Theorem 1.1 is completed.
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