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#### Abstract

We prove that the topological locally flat slice genus of large torus knots takes up less than three quarters of the ordinary genus. As an application, we derive the best possible linear estimate of the topological slice genus for torus knots with non-maximal signature invariant.


## 1. Introduction

The Thom conjecture asserts that algebraic curves in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ are genus-minimising within their homology class KM94. More precisely, no smooth embedded surface in $\mathbb{C P}^{2}$ has smaller genus than an algebraic curve homologous to that surface. Regularity plays an important role here. In fact, Rudolph proved the existence of topological locally flat surfaces with strictly smaller genus than all algebraic curves homologous to it Rud84. A precise quantitative measure of the drop in genus for locally flat surfaces was given in [WW97. The knot theoretic version of the Thom conjecture asserts that the smooth slice genus of a positive braid knot coincides with the ordinary genus Rud93]. Much less is known about the topological locally flat slice genus $g_{4}$ of positive braid knots, or even torus knots. Positive braid knots have non-zero signature invariant $\sigma$ Rud82, whence $g_{4}>0$, by the following signature bound: $|\sigma| \leq 2 g_{4}$. This bound was proven smoothly in Mur65, and for the locally flat slice genus in KT76. Using the existence of quasipositive knots with Alexander polynomial 1, Rudolph showed that the torus knot $T(5,6)$ has $g_{4}<g$, where $g$ is the classical minimal genus of knots Rud84. The main purpose of this paper is to show that the genus defect $\Delta g=g-g_{4}$ takes up a large portion of the genus for most torus knots.

Theorem 1. Let $K=T(p, q)$ be a torus knot with non-maximal signature invariant, i.e., $K \neq T(2, n), T(3,4), T(3,5)$. Then

$$
g_{4}(K) \leq \frac{6}{7} g(K) .
$$

This result is sharp, since the torus knot $T(3,8)$ has $g_{4}=6$ and $g=7$. However, a larger genus defect is attained for torus knots with large parameters $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$. The classical genus formula $g(T(p, q))=\frac{1}{2}(p-1)(q-1)$ yields

$$
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{p q} g(T(p, q))=1 .
$$

[^0]Here the limit is understood as $\lim \min \{p, q\} \rightarrow \infty$ (i.e., both parameters must be taken to infinity). As we will see, the corresponding limit for $g_{4}$ drops by at least one quarter. The existence of this limit follows from the subadditivity of the function $g_{4}(T(p, q))$ in both parameters (see the proof of Proposition 9 in the Appendix of Liv10] for the one-variable case known as Fekete's Lemma; the two-variable case follows from an analogous estimate between the ratios $\frac{g_{4}(T(p, q))}{p q}$ and $\frac{g_{4}(T(N, N))}{N^{2}}$, where $p=a N+b$ and $q=c N+d$ ).

## Theorem 2.

$$
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{p q} g_{4}(T(p, q))<\frac{3}{4} .
$$

To the best of our knowledge, no attempt at determining the actual limit has been made so far. The signature bound $|\sigma| \leq 2 g_{4}$ potentially allows a drop down to one-half, since

$$
\lim _{p, q \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p q} \sigma(T(p, q))=\frac{1}{2} .
$$

The latter is an easy consequence of the signature formula for torus knots by Gordon, Litherland and Murasugi GLM81.

We will prove Theorems 1 and 2 in Sections 3 and 4, respectively. The reason for the reverse order is simple: Theorem 2implies Theorem1, up to finitely many values of the braid index $\min \{p, q\}$, since $\frac{3}{4}<\frac{6}{7}$. The main tool for proving Theorem 2 is a homological improvement of Rudolph's method, which we will explain in Section 2,

The strength of this method is demonstrated in Proposition 7, which provides a sharp estimate of the topological slice genus for positive fibred arborescent links. In particular, we find prime positive braid links of arbitrarily large genus with $\frac{g_{4}}{g}=\frac{1}{2}$.

## 2. Construction of locally flat surfaces

Let us first briefly fix notation and conventions. We assume all Seifert surfaces to be connected. The genus $g(L)$ and Betti number $b_{1}(L)$ of a link $L$ are the minimal genus and Betti number of a Seifert surface of $L$, respectively. Homology groups are considered over the integers. The topological slice genus $g_{4}(L)$ is the minimal genus of a slice surface of $L$, i.e., of a connected oriented compact surface, properly and locally flatly embedded into the 4 -ball, whose boundary is $L$. For any surface $\Sigma$, a subsurface $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset \Sigma$ is simply a surface contained in $\Sigma$, assuming neither that $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is connected, nor that it is embedded properly into $\Sigma$. We write $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n-1}$ for the standard generators of the braid group on $n$ strands. If a braid is given by a braid word $\beta$, we write $\widehat{\beta}$ for its closure. A non-split braid word $\beta$ yields a canonical Seifert surface for $\widehat{\beta}$, which we denote by $\Sigma(\beta)$. If, in addition, $\beta$ is positive, $\Sigma(\beta)$ is in fact the fibre surface of $\widehat{\beta}$ Sta78]. The Alexander polynomial of a bilinear integral form represented by a matrix $M$ is $\operatorname{det}\left(t \cdot M-M^{\top}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm}\right]$; this does not depend on the chosen matrix, and is considered up to multiplication with a unit.

Our main tool uses Freedman's celebrated result Fre82, FQ90 to construct slice surfaces of lower genus from Seifert surfaces by ambient surgery. See Fel16, BL15, FM15 for other applications of this method. Here we prove a version for multicomponent links.
Proposition 3. Let $L$ be a link with a Seifert surface $\Sigma$. Let $V \subset H_{1}(\Sigma)$ be a subgroup. If the Seifert form of $\Sigma$ restricted to $V$ has Alexander polynomial 1, then $L$ has a slice surface of genus $g(\Sigma)-\mathrm{rk} V / 2$.

We will call such a subgroup V Alexander-trivial. Before the proof, let us show a sample application.


Figure 1. The surface $\widetilde{X}$, which is a plumbing of six positive Hopf bands. For simplicity, the twists of the individual Hopf bands are not drawn. Two curves representing homology classes of interest are drawn in red and dashed blue - see Example 4 for details.

Example 4. The link $L$ given as the closure of the positive 4-braid $a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{2} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{3}$ has topological slice genus one. Calculating the signature yields $1=\frac{|\sigma(L)|-2}{2} \leq$ $g_{4}(L)$ by the bound provided in KT76. We now show that $L$ has a genus one slice surface. For this, let $\widetilde{X}$ be the canonical fibre surface $\Sigma\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{2} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{3}\right)$. Observe that $\widetilde{X}$ is a plumbing of six positive Hopf bands along an X-shaped tree, as shown in Figure [1. Here we use the fact that fibre surfaces with the same boundary link are isotopic. The two additional simple closed curves in the figure (red and dashed blue) represent homology classes $\left[\gamma_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$ in $H_{1}(\widetilde{X})$. We claim that the subspace $V$ generated by $\left[\gamma_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$ is Alexander-trivial. A matrix for the Seifert form of the boundary link is given by the $6 \times 6$ matrix $A$, where

$$
A_{i i}=A_{12}=A_{23}=A_{43}=A_{53}=A_{63}=1
$$

and $A_{i j}=0$ otherwise. In the chosen basis, $\left[\gamma_{1}\right]$ and $\left[\gamma_{2}\right]$ are represented by the vectors $(0,1,-2,1,1,1)^{\top}$ and $(1,0,0,0,0,0)^{\top}$, respectively. A direct computation
yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[\gamma_{1}\right]^{\top} A\left[\gamma_{1}\right] } & =\left[\gamma_{1}\right]^{\top} A\left[\gamma_{2}\right]=0, \\
{\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\top} A\left[\gamma_{2}\right] } & =\left[\gamma_{2}\right]^{\top} A\left[\gamma_{1}\right]=1,
\end{aligned}
$$

so a matrix $B$ of the Seifert form restricted to $V$ is given by

$$
B=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Indeed, we now have $\operatorname{det}\left(t \cdot B-B^{\top}\right)=t$, which is a unit in $\mathbb{Z}\left[t^{ \pm 1}\right]$. Thus, by Proposition 3, the boundary link $\partial \widetilde{X}$ possesses a slice surface of genus $g(\widetilde{X})-1=1$. Geometrically, what happens if we apply Proposition 3 is the following: starting from $\widetilde{X}$ we cut out the punctured torus $T$ defined by the union of the thickened red and dashed blue curves. Then, using Freedman's disc theorem, we reglue a disc whose interior lies in the 4 -dimensional unit ball along $\partial T$, obtaining a slice surface with smaller genus than $\widetilde{X}$. For this we use that $\partial T$ is a knot with Alexander polynomial 1.

Let us now turn to the proof of Proposition3. A crucial ingredient is the following fact about the mapping class group of surfaces, which is well-known for surfaces with at most one boundary component (see, e.g., [FM12]).

Lemma 5. Let $\Sigma$ be a connected oriented compact surface of genus $g$ with $n$ boundary components. An automorphism $\varphi$ of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$ is induced by an orientationpreserving diffeomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}$ of $\Sigma$ if and only if $\varphi$ preserves the intersection form of $\Sigma$ and permutes the homology classes of the boundary curves.

Proof. Clearly every orientation-preserving diffeomorphism preserves the intersection form and maps boundary curves to boundary curves (preserving the orientations), which induces a permutation of the corresponding homology classes.

Now let us prove that the conditions are sufficient. Let

$$
\gamma_{1,1}, \gamma_{2,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{g, 1}, \gamma_{1,2}, \ldots, \gamma_{g, 2}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}
$$

be a geometric basis on $\Sigma$ (a term taken from [GT04); that is, the $\delta_{i}$ are boundary curves, $\gamma_{i, j}$ intersects $\gamma_{i, 3-j}$ once (geometrically), and there are no other geometric intersections between any of these curves. The homology classes of these curves then form a basis of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$.

One easily finds a simple closed curve $\zeta \subset \Sigma$ with the following properties: it intersects $\gamma_{1,1}$ once, does not intersect any other curve in the geometric basis, and $[\zeta]=\left[\gamma_{1,2}\right]+\left[\delta_{1}\right]$. So the only basis curve affected by a Dehn twist along $\zeta$ is $\gamma_{1,1}$, whose homology class is sent to $\left[\gamma_{1,1}\right]+\left[\gamma_{1,2}\right]+\left[\delta_{1}\right]$. Composing with another Dehn twist along $\gamma_{1,2}$, one finds a diffeomorphism that sends $\left[\gamma_{1,1}\right] \mapsto$ $\left[\gamma_{1,1}\right]+\left[\delta_{1}\right]$. Similarly, for all $i \in\{1, \ldots, g\}, j \in\{1,2\}, k \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$, there is a diffeomorphism sending $\left[\gamma_{i, j}\right] \mapsto\left[\gamma_{i, j}\right]+\left[\delta_{k}\right]$. Composing these diffeomorphisms, one may realise automorphisms of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$ with a matrix of the following kind:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\mathbb{1} & 0 \\
\hline M & \mathbb{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $M$ is an arbitrary $(n-1) \times 2 g$ matrix. Next we make use of the fact that for a surface $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of genus $g$ with one boundary component, the mapping class group surjects onto the symplectic group; see, e.g., [FM12], where this is established for
closed surfaces, which essentially implies the result for surfaces with one boundary component. Since $\Sigma$ contains $\Sigma^{\prime}$ as a subsurface, the following matrices may be realised as orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
X & 0 \\
\hline 0 & \mathbb{1}
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $X$ is symplectic. Finally, it is easy to see that boundary curves may be permuted (though by diffeomorphisms not coming from Dehn twists). So, composing, one may realise any matrix of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
X & 0 \\
\hline M & P
\end{array}\right),
$$

where $X$ is symplectic, $M$ is arbitrary, and $P$ is a permutation matrix. This completes the proof since such matrices are precisely those which represent an automorphism of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$ that preserves the intersection form and permutes the homology classes of the boundary.

A Seifert surface $\Sigma$ may inherit genus defect from an incompressible subsurface, i.e., a subsurface $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset \Sigma$ such that the induced map on the first homology group is injective.

Lemma 6. Let $\Sigma$ be a Seifert surface of a link L, and let $\Sigma^{\prime} \subset \Sigma$ be an incompressible subsurface with boundary link $L^{\prime}$. If $L^{\prime}$ bounds a slice surface $S^{\prime}$, then $L$ bounds a slice surface $S$ of genus $g(\Sigma)-g\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)+g\left(S^{\prime}\right)$. In particular, if $g(\Sigma)=g(L)$, then $\Delta g(L) \geq \Delta g\left(L^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. To construct $S$, simply cut out $\Sigma^{\prime}$ and glue in $S^{\prime}$.
Proof of Proposition 3, Let $B$ be a matrix of the Seifert form of $\Sigma$ restricted to $V$, with respect to an arbitrary basis of $V$. Setting $t=1$ gives $\operatorname{det}\left(B-B^{\top}\right)= \pm 1$ and, in fact, +1 : indeed, $B-B^{\top}$ is antisymmetric, $\operatorname{so} \operatorname{det}\left(B-B^{\top}\right)$ is the square of its Pfaffian. It also follows that $V$ is of even rank. Because $B-B^{\top}$ is antisymmetric and unimodular, we may assume the basis $x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{k, 1}, x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{k, 2}$ of $V$ has been chosen such that $B-B^{\top}$ is the $2 k \times 2 k$ matrix

$$
J_{k}=\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
0 & \mathbb{1} \\
\hline-\mathbb{1} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let $\delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$ be the boundary curves of $\Sigma$. Note that the intersection form of $\Sigma$ is unimodular on $V$ (in fact it is represented by the matrix $B-B^{\top}=J_{k}$ ), and identically zero on $\left\langle\left[\delta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\delta_{n-1}\right]\right\rangle$. This implies that one can extend the basis of $V$ to a basis of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$ of the form

$$
x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{k, 1}, x_{1,2}, \ldots, x_{k, 2}, y_{1,1}, \ldots, y_{g-k, 1}, y_{1,2}, \ldots, y_{g-k, 2},\left[\delta_{1}\right], \ldots,\left[\delta_{n-1}\right] .
$$

Let $A$ be the matrix of the Seifert form of $\Sigma$ with respect to this basis. Then $A-A^{\top}$ has the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
J_{k} & * & 0 \\
\hline * & * & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $A-A^{\top}$ restricted to the span of the $x_{i, j}$ and $y_{i, j}$ is antisymmetric and unimodular, one may assume w.l.o.g. that the $y_{i}$ were chosen such that $A-A^{\top}$ is
in fact

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c|c}
J_{k} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & J_{g-k} & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Now let

$$
\gamma_{1,1}, \gamma_{2,1}, \ldots, \gamma_{g, 1}, \gamma_{1,2}, \ldots, \gamma_{g, 2}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n-1}
$$

be a geometric basis on $\Sigma$ as in Lemma [5. Let $\varphi$ be the automorphism of $H_{1}(\Sigma)$ given by

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
{\left[\gamma_{i, j}\right]} & \mapsto x_{i, j} & & \text { for } 1 \leq i \leq k, \\
{\left[\gamma_{i, j}\right]} & \mapsto y_{i-k, j} & \text { for } k<i \leq g, \\
{\left[\delta_{i}\right]} & \mapsto\left[\delta_{i}\right] . & &
\end{array}
$$

As the computation of $A-A^{\top}$ shows, $\varphi$ preserves the intersection form. It also acts by permutation (in fact, as the identity) on the homology classes of boundary curves, and is therefore realised by a diffeomorphism $\widetilde{\varphi}$ (see Lemma (5). Take a simple closed curve $\zeta$ that separates the curves $\gamma_{1, *}, \ldots, \gamma_{k, *}$ from the curves $\gamma_{k+1, *}, \ldots, \gamma_{g, *}, \delta_{1}, \ldots, \delta_{n}$. Then $\widetilde{\varphi}(\zeta)$ is a separating simple closed curve, which bounds an incompressible subsurface $\Sigma^{\prime}$ of $\Sigma$ of genus $k$. By construction, $H_{1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)=V \subset H_{1}(\Sigma)$, and hence the boundary knot $\widetilde{\varphi}(\zeta)$ of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ has Alexander polynomial 1. Thus, Freedman's theorem implies that it bounds a slice disc. Using Lemma 6, this concludes the proof.

Let us come back to Example 4 . So far we have proved that $\partial \widetilde{X}$ has topological slice genus equal to one, while its classical genus equals two. Lemma 8 will show how this example can be used to build larger examples with $\Delta g=g_{4}=g / 2$. As a sample application, we calculate the topological slice genus of the infinite family provided in the proof of Proposition 7. These examples are of particular interest since they maximise the ratio

$$
\frac{2 \Delta g(L)}{b_{1}(L)}
$$

of genus defect and first Betti number among tree-like plumbings of positive Hopf bands. Indeed, for any plumbing of positive Hopf bands along a tree, this ratio is at most $1 / 3$ by a theorem of the fourth author Lie16. Therefore, an infinite family of examples that attain this ratio is sufficient to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 7. For the class of links arising as plumbings of positive Hopf bands along a finite tree, we have

$$
\limsup _{b_{1}(L) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2 \Delta g(L)}{b_{1}(L)}=\frac{1}{3} .
$$

Lemma 8. Let $\Sigma$ be a Seifert surface. Let $\Sigma^{\prime}$ be a plumbing of $\Sigma$ and $\widetilde{X}$ along a square on the right-most Hopf band of $\widetilde{X}$ (see Figure (1). If there is an Alexandertrivial subgroup $V \subset H_{1}(\Sigma)$, then there is also an Alexander-trivial subgroup $V^{\prime} \subset H_{1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$ of rank $\mathrm{rk} V^{\prime}=2+\mathrm{rk} V$.

Proof. Let $\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ be the red and dashed blue curves on $\widetilde{X}$ as in Example 4. Let $V^{\prime}=V+\left\langle\left[\gamma_{1}\right],\left[\gamma_{2}\right]\right\rangle$, where we understand $H_{1}(\Sigma) \oplus H_{1}(\widetilde{X})$ as a subgroup of $H_{1}\left(\Sigma^{\prime}\right)$, because $\Sigma$ and $\widetilde{X}$ are incompressible subsurfaces of $\Sigma^{\prime}$, and $H_{1}(\Sigma) \cap H_{1}(\widetilde{X})=\{0\}$. The crucial observation is that, algebraically, $\gamma_{1}$ does not pass through the plumbing
location on the right-most Hopf band of $\widetilde{X}$. Therefore, $\gamma_{1}$ algebraically does not intersect curves on $\Sigma$; and so, using that $\Sigma^{\prime}$ is a plumbing, any small push-off of $\gamma_{1}$ along a normal direction of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ has linking number 0 with curves on $\Sigma$. Thus the Seifert form of $\Sigma^{\prime}$ restricted to $V^{\prime}$ is represented by the following matrix:

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc} 
& & & 0 & * \\
& M & & \vdots & \vdots \\
& & & 0 & * \\
\hline 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
* & \cdots & * & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Here, $M$ is a matrix of the Seifert form restricted to $V$. It has Alexander polynomial 1 , hence so does $M^{\prime}$.


Figure 2. How to stick together three copies of the tree corresponding to $\widetilde{X}$ in order to obtain a link with $b_{1}=18$.

Proof of Proposition 7. In Example 4 we considered such a link $L$ with $b_{1}=6$. In order to obtain an example $L_{n}$ with $b_{1}=6 n$, we simply stick together $n$ distinct copies of the tree corresponding to $\widetilde{X}$ and take the corresponding positive tree-like Hopf plumbing; compare Remark 9 for an explicit braid description. This is shown in Figure 2 for the case $n=3$. By Lemma 团, the corresponding fibre surface has defect $\Delta g \geq n$, which establishes the proposition.

Remark 9. For all $n \geq 1$, the link $L_{n}$ used in the proof of Proposition 7 can also be obtained as the closure of the $(3 n+1)$-braid

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{1}\left(a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{2} a_{4} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{2}\right)\left(a_{4} a_{6} a_{5}^{2} a_{7} a_{4} a_{6} a_{5}^{2}\right) \cdots \\
& \quad\left(a_{3 k-2} a_{3 k} a_{3 k-1}^{2} a_{3 k+1} a_{3 k-2} a_{3 k} a_{3 k-1}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(a_{3 n-2} a_{3 n} a_{3 n-1}^{2} a_{3 n-2} a_{3 n} a_{3 n-1}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore, if we compare the topological slice genus with the classical genus (instead of the first Betti number), the quotient becomes even larger: since the links $L_{n}$ have topological slice genus $n$ and genus $2 n$, they form an infinite family of examples of positive braid links with $\Delta g=g_{4}=g / 2$.

Next, let us focus on braids. Incompressible subsurfaces of canonical Seifert surfaces of positive braids will typically be constructed as in the following lemma, whose proof we leave to the reader. We call a braid word $\beta^{\prime}$ a subword of a braid word $\beta$ if the former arises from the latter by deleting some occurrences of generators.

Lemma 10. If $\beta^{\prime}$ is a subword of $\beta$, then $\Sigma\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$ is an incompressible subsurface of $\Sigma(\beta)$.


Figure 3. A fence diagram (obtained from a braid diagram by replacing the crossings by horizontal line segments) of the braid $a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}$. The tree induced by the distinguished homology generators exhibits $\widetilde{X}$ as an incompressible subsurface of the fibre surface $\Sigma\left(a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)$.

Example 11. Consider the torus knot $T(4,5)$. It is obtained as the closure of the positive braid $\left(a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right)^{5}$, which contains the subword $a_{1} a_{2}^{2} a_{3} a_{1} a_{2}^{3} a_{3}$, whose closure equals the closure of $a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{2} a_{1} a_{3} a_{2}^{3}$. In particular, the fibre surface $\Sigma(T(4,5))$ contains $\widetilde{X}$ as an incompressible subsurface. Together with the bound coming from the signature function $\sigma_{e^{\pi i t}}(T(4,5))=10$ for $7 / 10<t<9 / 10$, this yields $g_{4}(T(4,5))=5$.

Remark 12. In Example [11 we used half the absolute value of a Levine-Tristram signature as a lower bound for the topological slice genus of a knot. While wellknown to experts, until recently this lower bound had not been explicitly stated in the literature in the topological setting (compare Tri69 for the smooth setting). This gap in the literature was closed by Powell with a new proof Pow16.

Example 13. Consider the torus knot $T(3,7)$. It is obtained as the closure of the positive braid $\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)^{7}$, which contains $a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}$ as a subword. On the other hand, $\Sigma\left(a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)$ contains $\widetilde{X}$ as an incompressible subsurface. This is schematically depicted in Figure 3 Together with the bound coming from the signature function $\sigma_{e^{\pi i t}}(T(3,7))=10$ for $16 / 21<t<20 / 21$, this yields $g_{4}(T(3,7))=5$.

Suppose $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are braid words for non-split $n$-braids. Then $\Sigma(\alpha \beta)$ contains $\Sigma(\alpha) \sqcup \Sigma(\beta)$ as an incompressible subsurface. So if Proposition 3 produces genus defects $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ in $\Sigma(\alpha)$ and $\Sigma(\beta)$, respectively, this will yield a defect of $d_{1}+d_{2}$ in $\Sigma(\alpha \beta)$. The following lemma is a refinement of this strategy for constructing genus defect in the product of two braids. See Examples 15 and 17 for applications.

Lemma 14. Let $\alpha, \beta$ be two braid words representing non-split n-braids. Let $\beta^{\prime}$ be the braid word of length $n-1$ obtained from $\beta$ by deleting for all $i \in\{1, \ldots$, $n-1\}$ all but the first occurrences of the generator $a_{i}$. Let $V \subset H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $V^{\prime} \subset H_{1}(\Sigma(\beta))$ be Alexander-trivial subgroups. Let a basis of $V$ be given with respect
to which the Seifert form of $\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)$ has a matrix of the following kind, built from four square blocks *

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & & 1 & \ddots & * \\
& & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 0 & & 0 & & \\
* & & 0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Suppose moreover that the first half of that basis is supported in $H_{1}(\Sigma(\alpha))$, which can be seen as a subgroup of $H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ by Lemma 10. Then there is an Alexandertrivial subgroup $V^{\prime \prime} \subset H_{1}(\Sigma(\alpha \beta))$ of rank $\mathrm{rk} V+\mathrm{rk} V^{\prime}$.

Proof. The idea is similar to the proof of Lemma 8 . The surface $\Sigma(\alpha \beta)$ has incompressible subsurfaces $\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)$ and $\Sigma(\beta)$, so we may treat $H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)\right)$ and $H_{1}(\Sigma(\beta))$ as subgroups of $H_{1}(\Sigma(\alpha \beta))$. Their intersection is in fact trivial, and so we have $V \cap V^{\prime}=\{0\}$ as well. Extend the given basis of $V$ to a basis of $V+V^{\prime}$. With respect to this basis, the restriction of the Seifert form of $\Sigma(\alpha \beta)$ to $V+V^{\prime}$ is represented by the following matrix:

$$
M^{\prime}=\left(\begin{array}{c|cc|c}
0 & 1 & \ddots & * \\
& & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Here, $M$ is the matrix of the Seifert form restricted to $V^{\prime}$, which has Alexander polynomial 1. After some basis changes, one sees that $M^{\prime}$ has Alexander polynomial 1 as well.

Example 15. We have seen in Example 13 how the closure of

$$
\beta=a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

has defect at least one, which comes from two vectors $v, w$ restricted to which the Seifert form has the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & *
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The vectors $v$ and $w$ are the homology classes of the red and blue curves drawn in Figure 1 . As already discussed in the proof of Lemma $8, v \in H_{1}(\Sigma(\alpha)) \subset H_{1}(\Sigma(\beta))$, where $\alpha=a_{1} a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2} a_{1}$. Let $\beta^{\prime}=a_{1} a_{2}$ as in the previous lemma. Then $\alpha \beta^{\prime}$ contains $\beta$ as a subword, and so $\Sigma\left(\alpha \beta^{\prime}\right)$ also has defect at least 1 . So the previous lemma implies that $\alpha \beta=a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{4}$ has defect at least 2. Continuing inductively, one finds a defect of at least $i$ in the closure of the braid

$$
\alpha^{i-1} \beta=a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2 i}
$$

The same result may be obtained using Lemma 8 since $\widetilde{X} \subset \Sigma(\beta)$, as shown in Figure 3

[^1]Remark 16. Proposition 3 shows how to construct slice surfaces using nothing but linear algebra. The following randomised algorithm exploits this. As input, it takes an arbitrary integral square matrix $A$, and returns as output the basis of a subgroup $V \subset \mathbb{Z}^{2 g}$ with respect to which $\left.A\right|_{V}$ has a matrix of the following kind:

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc}
0 & & 1 & \ddots & 0 \\
& & 0 & & 1 \\
\hline 0 & & * & & \\
0 & & 0 & & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

Note that such a matrix has Alexander polynomial 1. Here is a brief description of the algorithm:
(1) Randomly pick a primitive vector $v$ with $v^{\top} A v=0$, if such a vector exists. Otherwise, return the empty basis.
(2) Randomly pick a solution $w$ of the following system of linear equations, if it is solvable:

$$
v^{\top} A w=1, \quad w^{\top} A v=0
$$

Otherwise, go back to (1), or eventually give up and return the empty basis.
(3) Let $U$ be the subgroup of solutions of the following system of homogeneous linear equations:

$$
v^{\top} A u=0, \quad u^{\top} A v=0, \quad u^{\top} A w=0 .
$$

Let $\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)$ be the result of the recursive application of the algorithm to $\left.A\right|_{U}$. Return

$$
\left(v, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}, w, w_{1}, \ldots, w_{k}\right)
$$

Implemented in pari/gp PAR15, the algorithm performs quite well for small knots. See Table 1 for the results thus obtained for small torus knots, and Example 17 for the application to another positive braid. The bases of the respective subgroups $V$ are available from ancillary files with the arXiv-version of this paper, which enables anybody to independently verify their correctness.
Example 17. Consider the positive braids $\omega=a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} a_{4}, \widetilde{\omega}=a_{4} a_{3} a_{2} a_{1}$. The algorithm described in Remark 16 returns an Alexander-trivial subgroup $V \subset H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{4}\right)\right)$ of rank eight (we used Col15 to obtain Seifert matrices). Moreover, the first half of the basis of $V$ is supported in $H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{3} \omega\right)\right)$. Similarly, there is an Alexander-trivial subgroup $V^{\prime} \subset H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left((\widetilde{\omega} \omega)^{4}\right)\right)$ of rank eight with a basis whose first half is supported in $H_{1}\left(\Sigma\left((\widetilde{\omega} \omega)^{3} \widetilde{\omega}\right)\right)$. Applying Lemma 14 to $(\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{3} \omega$ and $(\widetilde{\omega} \omega)^{4}$ gives a defect of eight in $\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{7} \omega\right)$. We may continue applying the lemma inductively, first to $(\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{7}$ and $(\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{4}$, producing a defect of twelve in $\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{11}\right)$, then to $(\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{10} \omega$ and $(\widetilde{\omega} \omega)^{4}$, etc. In summary, we find for all $i \geq 0$ a defect of $4+8 i$ for $\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{4+7 i}\right)$, and of $8 i$ for $\Sigma\left((\omega \widetilde{\omega})^{7 i} \omega\right)$.

## 3. Slice genus of large torus knots

The aim of this section is to prove the asymptotic bound for the genus defect of torus knots given by Theorem [2, As a start, we establish a weaker version of Theorem 2 with the benefit that its proof, unlike the proof of Theorem 2, does not require computer calculations. The strategies of both proofs are very much alike.

## Proposition 18.

$$
\lim _{n, m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g_{4}(T(n, m))}{g(T(n, m))} \leq \frac{4}{5}
$$

The strategy of the proof of Proposition 18 is to establish that the fibre surface $\Sigma(T(n, n))$ of the torus link $T(n, n)$ contains as incompressible subsurface the split union of fibre surfaces of the form $\Sigma\left(a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)^{2 i}\right)$ such that this union takes up roughly four-fifths of the genus of $\Sigma(T(n, n))$. This yields Proposition 18 since the genus defect of the closure of $a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)^{2 i}$ is at least $i$, which is about a quarter of the genus. Indeed, first conjugating by $a_{1}$ and then reading the braid word backwards (both of these operations preserve the closure up to changing the orientation of all components) turns $a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)^{2 i}$ into $a_{1}\left(a_{2}^{2} a_{1}^{2}\right)^{2 i}$, whose defect is discussed in Example 15. To make this strategy precise we use Lemma 19, Let $\Delta_{n}$ be the half twist on $n$ strands, i.e.,

$$
\Delta_{n}=\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n-1}\right)\left(a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{n-2}\right) \cdots\left(a_{1} a_{2}\right)\left(a_{1}\right)
$$

Furthermore, we define the positive braids $\Omega_{i}$ and $\Gamma_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Omega_{i}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{i-2} a_{i-1}^{2} a_{i-2} \cdots a_{2} a_{1} \\
& \Gamma_{j}=a_{1} a_{2} \cdots a_{j-2} a_{j-1} a_{j-2} \cdots a_{2} a_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4. By applying braid relations and deleting generators, the 10 -strand braid word $\Delta_{10}$ is transformed into $\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{4} a_{4} a_{5} a_{6} a_{7} \Gamma_{4} a_{4} a_{5} a_{6} \Gamma_{4} a_{4} a_{5} \Gamma_{4} a_{4} \Gamma_{4} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}$. In the final step, deleting generators produces the disjoint union of $\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{5} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta_{5}$. Arrows indicate the deletion of generators drawn red and dashed.

Lemma 19. Let $n \geq 2 \ell$ be natural numbers. Then $\Sigma\left(\Delta_{n}\right)$ contains

$$
\Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \cdots \Gamma_{\ell} \Omega_{\ell}^{n-2 \ell+1} \Gamma_{\ell} \cdots \Gamma_{2}\right) \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Delta_{n-2 \ell+1}\right)
$$

as an incompressible subsurface.
Proof. We proceed by showing that one can delete generators and apply braid relations in the braid word $\Delta_{n}$ such that the resulting positive braid is the split union of the positive braids $\Gamma_{2} \cdots \Gamma_{\ell} \Omega_{\ell}^{n-2 \ell+1} \Gamma_{\ell} \cdots \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta_{n-2 \ell+1}$. This suffices to establish Lemma 19 since deleting a generator in a positive braid word corresponds to taking an incompressible subsurface of the associated fibre surface (see Lemma 10). We start by considering the positive braid word

$$
\Delta_{n}=\Gamma_{2}\left(a_{2} \cdots a_{n-1}\right) \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{2} \cdots a_{n-2}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{2} a_{3}\right) \Gamma_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \Gamma_{2} .
$$

We delete the single occurrence of the generator $a_{n-1}$ in $\Delta_{n}$ and then apply braid relations to obtain the positive braid word

$$
\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} \cdots a_{n-2}\right) \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} \cdots a_{n-3}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} a_{4}\right) \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3}\right) \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}
$$

This can be achieved by multiple substitutions of the form

$$
\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right) \Gamma_{i}\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{i+1}\left(a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j}\right)\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j-1}\right)
$$

for $i \leq j$, which can in turn be realised by braid relations. To see the realisation of this substitution by braid relations, commute generators to rewrite the positive braid word

$$
\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right) \Gamma_{i}\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right)
$$

as

$$
a_{i} \Gamma_{i} a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+2} a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j-1} a_{j-2} a_{j} a_{j-1} a_{j} .
$$

Then, applying the braid relation

$$
a_{k} a_{k-1} a_{k} \rightarrow a_{k-1} a_{k} a_{k-1}
$$

once for each $k$ starting at $j$ and descending down to $i$ yields the positive braid word

$$
\Gamma_{i+1} a_{i+1} a_{i} a_{i+2} \cdots a_{j-3} a_{j-1} a_{j-2} a_{j} a_{j-1}
$$

for which generators can again be commuted to finally result in

$$
\Gamma_{i+1}\left(a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j}\right)\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j-1}\right)
$$

In the next step, we delete the single occurrence of the generator $a_{n-2}$ in the positive braid word

$$
\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} \cdots a_{n-2}\right) \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} \cdots a_{n-3}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3} a_{4}\right) \Gamma_{3}\left(a_{3}\right) \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}
$$

and, again using substitutions of the form

$$
\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right) \Gamma_{i}\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma_{i+1}\left(a_{i+1} \cdots a_{j}\right)\left(a_{i} \cdots a_{j-1}\right),
$$

obtain the positive braid word

$$
\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{4}\left(a_{4} \cdots a_{n-3}\right) \Gamma_{4}\left(a_{4} \cdots a_{n-4}\right) \cdots \Gamma_{4}\left(a_{4} a_{5}\right) \Gamma_{4}\left(a_{4}\right) \Gamma_{4} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2} .
$$

We continue in the same way until we arrive at the positive braid word

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Gamma_{2} \cdots \Gamma_{\ell+1}\left(a_{\ell+1} \cdots a_{n-\ell}\right) \Gamma_{\ell+1}\left(a_{\ell+1} \cdots a_{n-\ell-1}\right) \cdots \\
& \Gamma_{\ell+1}\left(a_{\ell+1} a_{\ell+2}\right) \Gamma_{\ell+1}\left(a_{\ell+1}\right) \Gamma_{\ell+1} \cdots \Gamma_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we delete all occurrences of $a_{\ell}$. The closure of the positive braid obtained in this way is the split union of the closures of the braids $\Gamma_{2} \cdots \Gamma_{\ell} \Omega_{\ell}^{n-2 \ell+1} \Gamma_{\ell} \cdots \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Delta_{n-2 \ell+1}$. This procedure is illustrated in Figure 4 for $n=10$ and $\ell=3$.

Proof of Proposition 18, Consider the positive braid word $\Delta_{5 n}$. By Lemma 19 with $\ell=3, \Sigma\left(\Delta_{5 n}\right)$ contains

$$
\Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{5 n-5} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}\right) \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Delta_{5 n-5}\right)
$$

as an incompressible subsurface. Using Lemma 19 with $\ell=3$ inductively on the last split summand, we obtain that $\Sigma\left(\Delta_{5 n}\right)$ contains

$$
\Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{5 n-5} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{5} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}\right)
$$

as an incompressible subsurface. The same argument gives

$$
\Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{10 n-10} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{10} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}\right)
$$

as an incompressible subsurface of the fibre surface $\Sigma\left(\Delta_{5 n}^{2}\right)$. By the definitions of $\Omega_{3}, \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{3}$, the positive braid $\Gamma_{2} \Gamma_{3} \Omega_{3}^{10 i} \Gamma_{3} \Gamma_{2}$ contains $a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)^{10 i}$ as a subword. Furthermore the closure of the braid $a_{1}\left(a_{1}^{2} a_{2}^{2}\right)^{10 i}$ has genus defect at least $5 i$ (see Example (15). In this way, using all the surfaces of the split union, we can produce a genus defect of at least

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 5 i=\frac{5 n^{2}-5 n}{2}
$$

From this we obtain

$$
\frac{\Delta g(T(5 n, 5 n))}{g(T(5 n, 5 n))} \geq \frac{5 n^{2}-5 n}{25 n^{2}-15 n+2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{5}
$$

which establishes Proposition 18
Proof of Theorem 2. We proceed as in the proof of Proposition 18. However, instead of $\ell=3$ we use $\ell=5$ when applying Lemma 19 and obtain that $\Sigma\left(\Delta_{9 n}\right)$ contains

$$
\Sigma\left(\Omega_{5}^{9(n-1)}\right) \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Omega_{5}^{9(n-2)}\right) \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Sigma\left(\Omega_{5}^{9}\right)
$$

as an incompressible subsurface. As seen in Example 17, the closure of the braid $\Omega_{5}^{4+7 j}$ has genus defect at least $4+8 j$. For every split summand $\Omega_{5}^{9 i}$, we consider the largest subword of the form $\Omega_{5}^{4+7 j}$ and produce genus defect accordingly. In this way, we produce at least $4+8\left\lfloor\frac{9 i-4}{7}\right\rfloor \geq \frac{72 i}{7}-\frac{60}{7}$ genus defect per summand. In total, this amounts to a genus defect of at least

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{72}{7} i-\frac{60}{7}=\frac{72 n^{2}}{14}+O(n)
$$

On the other hand, we have

$$
g\left(\Sigma\left(\Delta_{9 n}\right)\right)=\frac{81 n^{2}}{4}+O(n)
$$

From this we obtain

$$
g_{4}\left(\Sigma\left(\Delta_{9 n}\right)\right) \leq \frac{81 n^{2}}{4}+O(n)-\frac{72 n^{2}}{14}-O(n)=\frac{423 n^{2}}{28}+O(n),
$$

which finally yields

$$
\frac{g_{4}(T(9 n, 9 n))}{g(T(9 n, 9 n))} \leq \frac{\frac{423 n^{2}}{28}+O(n)}{\frac{81 n^{2}}{4}+O(n)} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{47}{63}<\frac{3}{4}
$$

and establishes Theorem 2

## 4. Slice genus of small torus knots

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 In fact, we will prove a generalisation to links. For links, the topological slice genus is bounded by the signature and nullity (denoted by $\mu$ ) as follows KT76:

$$
|\sigma(L)|-\# L+1+\mu(L) \leq 2 g_{4}(L)
$$

Proposition 20. Let $L=T(p, q)$ be a torus link with non-maximal signature and nullity bound, i.e., $L \neq T(2, n), T(3,3), T(3,4), T(3,5), T(3,6), T(4,4)$. Then

$$
g_{4}(L) \leq \frac{6}{7} g(L) .
$$

According to Theorem 2] most torus links satisfy $\frac{g_{4}}{g}<\frac{3}{4}$. The bulk of the proof of Proposition 20 is thus an investigation of small torus links. Their genus defects can often be found by computer calculation (see Remark 16), or are inherited by incompressible subsurfaces, e.g., using the following construction:

Lemma 21 ([Baa12, Proposition 1]). Let $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$ with $p \leq r$. Then $\Sigma(T(p q, r))$ contains $\Sigma(T(p, q r))$ as incompressible subsurface.

The following lemma helps us deal with the exceptional cases in the proof of Proposition 20

Lemma 22. The following lower bounds hold for the quotient $\frac{2 \Delta g(T(p, q))}{b_{1}(T(p, q))}$ :
(i) For $3 \mid p$ and $q \geq 10$, the quotient is greater than or equal to $8 / 51$.
(ii) For $4 \mid p$ and $q \geq 7$, the quotient is greater than or equal to $2 / 11$.
(iii) For $5 \mid p$ and $q \geq 6$, the quotient is greater than or equal to $1 / 5$.

Proof. To prove (i), let $p=3 a$. By Lemma 21, we have

$$
\Delta g(T(p, q)) \geq \Delta g(T(3, a q))
$$

Let $a q=17 k+r$ with $0 \leq r \leq 16$. Applying the computed defects shown in Table 1 of the knots $T(3,7), T(3,10), T(3,13)$ and $T(3,17)$ yields

$$
\Delta g(T(3, a q)) \geq 4 k+s(r)
$$

where $s(r)=0,1,2,3$ for $r$ in $[0,6],[7,9],[10,12],[13,16]$, respectively. For

$$
\frac{2 \Delta g(T(3 a, q))}{b_{1}(T(3 a, q))} \geq \frac{8}{51}
$$

it suffices that

$$
\begin{aligned}
4 k+s(r) & \geq \frac{4}{51}(3 a-1)(q-1) \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
51 k+51 s(r) / 4 & \geq 3 a q-3 a-q+1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
51 k+51 s(r) / 4 & \geq 51 k+3 r-3 a-q+1 \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
3 a+q & \geq 1+3 r-51 s(r) / 4 \quad \Leftarrow
\end{aligned}
$$

(to find the maximum of the right-hand side, which is at $r=6$, it suffices to check the cases $r=6,9,12,16$ )

$$
\begin{aligned}
3 a+q & \geq 19 \quad \Leftrightarrow \\
\frac{(3 a-1)+(q-1)}{2} & >8 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the arithmetic mean dominates the geometric mean, this is implied by

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\sqrt{(3 a-1)(q-1)}>8 & \Leftrightarrow \\
\sqrt{b_{1}(T(3 a, q))}>8 & \Leftrightarrow \\
b_{1}(T(3 a, q))>64 .
\end{array}
$$

The case $b_{1}(T(3 a, q)) \leq 64$ is dealt with by Table 1. The proofs of (ii) and (iii) proceed in the same way. For (ii), let $a q=11 k+r$, and use the computed defects of $T(4,5), T(4,7), T(4,9)$ and $T(4,11)$. This covers the case $b_{1}(T(4 a, q))>49$. For (iii), setting $a q=8 k+r$ and using $T(5,4), T(5,6), T(5,7), T(5,8)$ covers the case $b_{1}(T(5 a, q))>36$.
Proof of Proposition 20. The cases $p, q \leq 9$ are all contained in Table 1 So let us assume $q \geq 10$. We will prove that in this case we even have $2 \Delta g / b_{1} \geq 1 / 7$, which suffices since $b_{1} \geq 2 g$. If $p$ is divisible by 3,4 or 5 , then the statement follows from Lemma 22, All other $p$ can be written as $p=3 a+4 b$ with $a, b \geq 1$. By Lemma 22,

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \Delta g(T(3 a+4 b, q)) & \geq 2 \Delta g(T(3 a, q))+2 \Delta g(T(4 b, q)) \\
& \geq \frac{8(3 a-1)(q-1)}{51}+\frac{2(4 b-1)(q-1)}{11} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So now it suffices to show

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{8(3 a-1)(q-1)}{51}+\frac{2(4 b-1)(q-1)}{11} & \geq \frac{(3 a+4 b-1)(q-1)}{7} \Leftrightarrow \\
616(3 a-1)+714(4 b-1) & \geq 561(3 a+4 b-1) \Leftrightarrow \\
1848 a-616+2856 b-714 & \geq 1683 a+2244 b-561 \Leftrightarrow \\
165 a+612 b & \geq 769
\end{aligned}
$$

which follows from $a, b \geq 1$.

Table 1. All $(p, q)$-torus links with $p, q \geq 3$ up to Betti number $b_{1} \leq 64$, including all links of genus $g \leq 28$. The upper bounds for the genus defect $\Delta g$ are induced by the signature and nullity functions. For the lower bounds, there is either a reference given, or an incompressible subsurface from which the defect is inherited (see Lemmas 6 and 21). Subsurfaces of the kind $\Sigma(p-r, q) \subset \Sigma(p, q)$ are left out.

| $b_{1}$ | $(p, q)$ | $\Delta g$ | Lower bound | $b_{1}$ | $(p, q)$ | $\Delta g$ | Lower bound |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | $(3,3)$ | 0 |  | 40 | $(5,11)$ | [5,6] | Remark 16 |
| 6 | $(3,4)$ | 0 |  | 40 | $(6,9)$ | [4,6] |  |
| 8 | $(3,5)$ | 0 |  | 42 | $(3,22)$ | $[4,6]$ |  |
| 9 | $(4,4)$ | 0 |  | 42 | $(4,15)$ | $[4,6]$ |  |
| 10 | $(3,6)$ | 0 |  | 42 | $(7,8)$ | [5,6] | Remark 16 |
| 12 | $(3,7)$ | 1 | Example 13 | 44 | $(3,23)$ | [5,6] | $\Sigma(3,10) \sqcup \Sigma(3,13)$ |
| 12 | $(4,5)$ | 1 | Example 11 | 44 | $(5,12)$ | [5,7] |  |
| 14 | $(3,8)$ | 1 |  | 45 | $(4,16)$ | [5,6] | $\Sigma(4,5) \sqcup \Sigma(4,11)$ |
| 15 | $(4,6)$ | [1,2] |  | 45 | $(6,10)$ | [5,8] | $\Sigma(6 \cdot 2,5)$ |
| 16 | $(3,9)$ | 1 |  | 46 | $(3,24)$ | [5,6] |  |
| 16 | $(5,5)$ | 1 |  | 48 | $(3,25)$ | [5,7] |  |
| 18 | $(3,10)$ | 2 | Remark 16 | 48 | $(4,17)$ | [5,7] |  |
| 18 | $(4,7)$ | 2 | Remark 16 | 48 | $(5,13)$ | [ 5,8 ] |  |
| 20 | $(3,11)$ | 2 |  | 48 | $(7,9)$ | [5,8] |  |
| 20 | $(5,6)$ | 2 | $\Sigma(5 \cdot 2,3)$ | 49 | $(8,8)$ | [5,6] |  |
| 21 | $(4,8)$ | 2 |  | 50 | $(3,26)$ | [6,7] | $\Sigma(3,13) \sqcup \Sigma(3,13)$ |
| 22 | $(3,12)$ | 2 |  | 50 | $(6,11)$ | [ 5,8$]$ |  |
| 24 | $(3,13)$ | 3 | Remark 16 | 51 | $(4,18)$ | [6,8] | $\Sigma(4,7) \sqcup \Sigma(4,11)$ |
| 24 | $(4,9)$ | 3 | Remark 16 | 52 | $(3,27)$ | [6,7] |  |
| 24 | $(5,7)$ | 3 | Remark 16 | 52 | $(5,14)$ | [6,8] | $\Sigma(5,6) \sqcup \Sigma(5,8)$ |
| 25 | $(6,6)$ | 2 |  | 54 | $(3,28)$ | [6,8] |  |
| 26 | $(3,14)$ | 3 |  | 54 | $(4,19)$ | $[6,8]$ |  |
| 27 | $(4,10)$ | [3,4] |  | 54 | $(7,10)$ | [6,9] | $\Sigma(4,7) \sqcup \Sigma(6,7)$ |
| 28 | $(3,15)$ | 3 |  | 55 | $(6,12)$ | [6,8] | $\Sigma(5,6) \sqcup \Sigma(6,7)$ |
| 28 | $(5,8)$ | 4 | Remark 16 | 56 | $(3,29)$ | [6,8] |  |
| 30 | $(3,16)$ | [3,4] |  | 56 | $(5,15)$ | [7,10] | $\Sigma(5,7) \sqcup \Sigma(5,8)$ |
| 30 | $(4,11)$ | 4 | Remark 16 | 56 | $(8,9)$ | [6,9] | $\Sigma(4,9) \sqcup \Sigma(4,9)$ |
| 30 | $(6,7)$ | 4 | Remark 16 | 57 | $(4,20)$ | [7,8] | $\Sigma(4,9) \sqcup \Sigma(4,11)$ |
| 32 | $(3,17)$ | 4 | Remark 16 | 58 | $(3,30)$ | [7,8] | $\Sigma(3,13) \sqcup \Sigma(3,17)$ |
| 32 | $(5,9)$ | 4 |  | 60 | $(3,31)$ | [7,9] |  |
| 33 | $(4,12)$ | 4 |  | 60 | $(4,21)$ | [7,9] |  |
| 34 | $(3,18)$ | 4 |  | 60 | $(5,16)$ | [8,10] | $\Sigma(5,8) \sqcup \Sigma(5,8)$ |
| 35 | $(6,8)$ | $[4,6]$ |  | 60 | $(6,13)$ | [6,10] | $\Sigma(3,13) \sqcup \Sigma(3,13)$ |
| 36 | $(3,19)$ | $[4,5]$ |  | 60 | $(7,11)$ | [7,10] | $\Sigma(5,7) \sqcup \Sigma(6,7)$ |
| 36 | $(4,13)$ | $[4,5]$ |  | 62 | $(3,32)$ | [7,9] |  |
| 36 | $(5,10)$ | 4 |  | 63 | $(4,22)$ | [8,10] | $\Sigma(4,11) \sqcup \Sigma(4,11)$ |
| 36 | $(7,7)$ | $[4,6]$ |  | 63 | $(8,10)$ | [8,12] | $\Sigma(5,8) \sqcup \Sigma(5,8)$ |
| 38 | $(3,20)$ | $[4,5]$ |  | 64 | $(3,33)$ | [7,9] |  |
| 39 | $(4,14)$ | $[4,6]$ |  | 64 | $(5,17)$ | [8,11] |  |
| 40 | $(3,21)$ | $[4,5]$ |  | 64 | $(9,9)$ | [7,9] | $\Sigma(4,9) \sqcup \Sigma(5,9)$ |
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[^1]:    *For example, all trivial Alexander bases GT04 are of this kind (but not vice versa). In fact, one can prove that every Alexander-trivial subgroup $V$ has such a basis; but we will not need this fact here.

