Colloquium Publications
Volume 61

The Endoscopic Classification of Representations Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups

James Arthur



The Endoscopic Classification of Representations

Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups

American Mathematical Society

Colloquium Publications Volume 61

The Endoscopic Classification of Representations

Orthogonal and Symplectic Groups

James Arthur



American Mathematical Society Providence, Rhode Island

EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Lawrence C. Evans Yuri Manin Peter Sarnak (Chair)

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 22E55, 22E50, 11R37, 11F66, 58C40.

	Supported in part by NSERC Discovery Grant A3483.	
	For additional information and updates on this book, visit www.ams.org/bookpages/coll-61	
Library of Con	ngress Cataloging-in-Publication Data	
pages cm – Includes bibli ISBN 978-0-8	1944— bic classification of representations: orthogonal and symplectic groups (American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications; volume lographical references and index. 1218-4990-3 (alk. paper) Pebraic groups. 2. Class field theory. 3. Algebraic number theory.	é 61)
QA179.A78 20 512'.4–dc23	13	2013004694

Copying and reprinting. Individual readers of this publication, and nonprofit libraries acting for them, are permitted to make fair use of the material, such as to copy a chapter for use in teaching or research. Permission is granted to quote brief passages from this publication in reviews, provided the customary acknowledgment of the source is given.

Republication, systematic copying, or multiple reproduction of any material in this publication is permitted only under license from the American Mathematical Society. Requests for such permission should be addressed to the Acquisitions Department, American Mathematical Society, 201 Charles Street, Providence, Rhode Island 02904-2294 USA. Requests can also be made by e-mail to reprint-permission@ams.org.

- © 2013 by the American Mathematical Society. All rights reserved. The American Mathematical Society retains all rights except those granted to the United States Government. Printed in the United States of America.
- © The paper used in this book is acid-free and falls within the guidelines established to ensure permanence and durability. Visit the AMS home page at http://www.ams.org/

10 9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1 18 17 16 15 14 13

Contents

Forewo	ord: A selective overview	vii
Chapte	er 1. Parameters	1
1.1.	The automorphic Langlands group	1
1.2.	Self-dual, finite-dimensional representations	6
1.3.	Representations of $GL(N)$	15
1.4.		26
1.5.	Statement of three main theorems	40
Chapte	er 2. Local Transfer	51
2.1.	Langlands-Shelstad-Kottwitz transfer	51
2.2.	Characterization of the local classification	62
2.3.	Normalized intertwining operators	79
2.4.	Statement of the local intertwining relation	96
2.5.	Relations with Whittaker models	109
Chapte	er 3. Global Stabilization	121
3.1.	The discrete part of the trace formula	121
3.2.	Stabilization	130
3.3.	Contribution of a parameter ψ	138
3.4.	A preliminary comparison	145
3.5.	On the vanishing of coefficients	153
Chapte	er 4. The Standard Model	167
4.1.	Statement of the stable multiplicity formula	167
4.2.	On the global intertwining relation	173
4.3.	Spectral terms	186
4.4.	Endoscopic terms	193
4.5.	The comparison	201
4.6.	The two sign lemmas	214
4.7.	On the global theorems	229
4.8.	Remarks on general groups	240
Chapte	er 5. A Study of Critical Cases	253
5.1.		253
5.2.	The case of elliptic ψ	264
5.3.	A supplementary parameter ψ_+	272
5.4.	Generic parameters with local constraints	286

vi CONTENTS

Chapte	er 6. The Local Classification	301
6.1.	Local parameters	301
6.2.	Construction of global representations $\dot{\pi}$	309
6.3.	Construction of global parameters $\dot{\phi}$	321
6.4.	The local intertwining relation for ϕ	332
6.5.	Orthogonality relations for ϕ	346
6.6.	Local packets for composite ϕ	356
6.7.	Local packets for simple ϕ	367
6.8.	Resolution	377
Chapte	er 7. Local Nontempered Representations	387
7.1.	Local parameters and duality	387
7.2.	Construction of global parameters $\dot{\psi}$	396
7.3.	The local intertwining relation for ψ	404
7.4.	Local packets for composite and simple ψ	415
7.5.	Some remarks on characters	429
Chapte	er 8. The Global Classification	443
8.1.	On the final step	443
8.2.	Proof by contradiction	453
8.3.	Reflections on the results	469
8.4.	Refinements of generic parameters for $SO(2n)$	485
8.5.	An approximation of the "regular part" of L_F	503
Chapte	er 9. Inner Forms	513
9.1.	Inner twists and automorphisms	513
9.2.	Parameters and centralizers	526
9.3.	On the normalization of transfer factors	536
9.4.	Statement of the local classification	548
9.5.	Statement of a global classification	560
Bibliog	raphy	571
Notatio	onal Index	581
Subjec	t Index	589

Foreword: A selective overview

This preface contains a summary of the contents of the volume. We start with a rough description of the main theorems. We then give short descriptions of the contents of the various chapters. At the end, we will add a couple of remarks on the overall structure of the proof, notably our use of induction. The preface can serve as an introduction. The beginning of the actual text, in the form of the first two or three sections of Chapter 1, represents a different sort of introduction. It will be our attempt to motivate what follows from a few basic principles. A reader might consider going directly to these sections after reading the first half of the preface here. One could then return to the more technical second half (on the organization of the volume) only as needed later.

Automorphic representations for GL(N) have been important objects of study for many years. We recall that GL(N), the general linear group of invertible $(N \times N)$ -matrices, assigns a group GL(N,R) to any commutative ring R with identity. For example, R could be a fixed number field F, or the ring $A = A_F$ of adèles over F. Automorphic representations of GL(N) are the irreducible representations of GL(N,A) that occur in the decomposition of its regular representation on $L^2(GL(N,F)\backslash GL(N,A))$. This informal definition is made precise in $[\mathbf{L6}]$, and carries over to any connected reductive group G over F.

The primary aim of the volume is to classify the automorphic representations of special orthogonal and symplectic groups G in terms of those of GL(N). Our main tool will be the stable trace formula for G, which until recently was conditional on the fundamental lemma. The fundamental lemma has now been established in complete generality, and in all of its various forms. In particular, the stabilization of the trace formula is now known for any connected group. However, we will also require the stabilization of twisted trace formulas for GL(N) and SO(2n). Since these have yet to be established, our results will still be conditional.

A secondary purpose will be to lay foundations for the endoscopic study of more general groups G. It is reasonable to believe that the methods we introduce here extend to groups that Ramakrishnan has called *quasiclassical*. These would comprise the largest class of groups whose representations could ultimately be tied to those of general linear groups. Our third goal is expository. In adopting a style that is sometimes more discursive than strictly necessary, we have tried to place at least some of the techniques

into perspective. We hope that there will be parts of the volume that are accessible to readers who are not experts in the subject.

Automorphic representations are interesting for many reasons, but among the most fundamental is the arithmetic data they carry. Recall that

$$GL(N, \mathbb{A}) = \prod_{v}^{\sim} GL(N, F_v)$$

is a restricted direct product, taken over (equivalence classes of) valuations v of F. An automorphic representation of GL(N) is a restricted direct product

$$\pi = \bigotimes_{v}^{\sim} \pi_{v},$$

where π_v is an irreducible representation of $GL(N, F_v)$ that is unramified for almost all v. We recall that π_v is unramified if v is nonarchimedean, and π_v contains the trivial representation of the hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $GL(N, \mathfrak{o}_v)$ of integral points in $GL(N, F_v)$. The representation is then parametrized by a semisimple conjugacy class

$$c_v(\pi) = c(\pi_v)$$

in the complex dual group

$$GL(N)^{\wedge} = GL(N, \mathbb{C})$$

of GL(N). (See [**Bo**, (6.4), (6.5)] for the precise assertion, as it applies to a general connected reductive group G.) It is the relations among the semisimple conjugacy classes $c_v(\pi)$ that will contain the fundamental arithmetic information.

There are three basic theorems for the group GL(N) that together give us a pretty clear understanding of its representations. The first is local, while others, which actually predate the first, are global.

The first theorem is the local Langlands correspondence for GL(N). It was established for archimedean fields by Langlands, and more recently for p-adic (which is to say nonarchimedean) fields by Harris, Taylor and Henniart. It classifies the irreducible representations of $GL(N, F_v)$ at all places v by (equivalence classes of) semisimple, N-dimensional representations of the local Langlands group

$$L_{F_v} = \begin{cases} W_{F_v}, & v \text{ archimedean,} \\ W_{F_v} \times SU(2), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In particular, an unramified representation of $GL(N, F_v)$ corresponds to an N-dimensional representation of L_{F_v} that is trivial on the product of SU(2) with the inertia subgroup I_{F_v} of the local Weil group W_{F_v} . It therefore corresponds to a semisimple representation of the cyclic quotient

$$L_{F_v}/I_{F_v} \times SU(2) \cong W_{F_v}/I_{F_v} \cong \mathbb{Z},$$

and hence a semisimple conjugacy class in $GL(N, \mathbb{C})$, as above.

The first of the global theorems is due to Jacquet and Shalika. If π is an irreducible (admissible) representation of $GL(N, \mathbb{A})$, one can form the family of semisimple conjugacy classes

$$c(\pi) = \varinjlim_{S} \left\{ c_v(\pi) = c(\pi_v) : v \notin S \right\}$$

in $GL(N,\mathbb{C})$, defined up to a finite set of valuations S. In other words, $c(\pi)$ is an equivalence class of families, two such families being equivalent if they are equal for almost all v. The theorem of Jacquet and Shalika asserts that if an automorphic representation π of GL(N) is restricted slightly to be isobaric [L7, §2], it is uniquely determined by $c(\pi)$. This theorem can be regarded as a generalization of the theorem of strong multiplicity one for cuspidal automorphic representations of GL(N).

The other global theorem for GL(N) is due to Moeglin and Waldspurger. It characterizes the automorphic (relatively) discrete spectrum of GL(N) in terms of the set of cuspidal automorphic representations. Since Langlands' general theory of Eisenstein series characterizes the full automorphic spectrum of any group G in terms of discrete spectra, this theorem characterizes the automorphic spectrum for GL(N) in terms of cuspidal automorphic representations. Combined with the first global theorem, it classifies the full automorphic spectrum of GL(N) explicitly in terms of families $c(\pi)$ attached to cuspidal automorphic representations of general linear groups.

Our goal is to generalize these three theorems. As we shall see, there is very little that comes easily. It has been known for many years that the representations of groups other than GL(N) have more structure. In particular, they should separate naturally into L-packets, composed of representations with the same L-functions and ε -factors. This was demonstrated for the group

$$G = SL(2) = Sp(2)$$

by Labesse and Langlands, in a paper [LL] that became a model for Langlands's conjectural theory of endoscopy [L8], [L10].

The simplest and most elegant way to formulate the theory of endoscopy is in terms of the global Langlands group L_F . This is a hypothetical global analogue of the explicit local Langlands groups L_{F_v} defined above. It is thought to be a locally compact extension

$$1 \longrightarrow K_F \longrightarrow L_F \longrightarrow W_F \longrightarrow 1$$

of W_F by a compact connected group K_F . (See [L7, §2], [K3, §9].) However, its existence is very deep, and could well turn out to be the final theorem in the subject to be proved! One of our first tasks, which we address in §1.4, will be to introduce makeshift objects to be used in place of L_F . For simplicity, however, let us describe our results here in terms of L_F .

Our main results apply to the case that G is a *quasisplit* special orthogonal or symplectic group.* They are stated as three theorems in §1.5. The proof of these theorems will then take up much of the rest of the volume.

Theorem 1.5.1 is the main local result. It contains a local Langlands parametrization of the irreducible representations of $G(F_v)$, for any p-adic valuation v of F, as a disjoint union of finite L-packets Π_{ϕ_v} . These are indexed by local Langlands parameters, namely L-homomorphisms

$$\phi_v: L_{F_v} \longrightarrow {}^L G_v$$

from L_{F_v} to the local L-group ${}^LG_v = \hat{G} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}_v/F_v)$ of G. The theorem includes a way to index the representations in an L-packet Π_{ϕ_v} by linear characters on a finite abelian group \mathcal{S}_{ϕ_v} attached to ϕ_v . Since similar results for archimedean valuations v are already known from the work of Shelstad, we obtain a classification of the representations of each local group $G(F_v)$.

Theorem 1.5.1 also contains a somewhat less precise description of the representations of $G(F_v)$ that are local components of automorphic representations. These fall naturally into rather different packets Π_{ψ_v} , indexed according to the conjectures of [A8] by L-homomorphisms

(1)
$$\psi_v: L_{F_v} \times SU(2) \longrightarrow {}^L G_v,$$

with bounded image. The theorem includes the assertion, also conjectured in $[\mathbf{A8}]$, that the representations in these packets are all unitary.

Theorem 1.5.2 is the main global result. As a first approximation, it gives a rough decomposition

(2)
$$R_{\rm disc} = \bigoplus_{\psi} R_{\rm disc,\psi}$$

of the representation R_{disc} of $G(\mathbb{A})$ on the automorphic discrete spectrum $L^2_{\text{disc}}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A}))$. The indices can be thought of as L-homomorphisms

$$\psi: L_F \times SU(2) \longrightarrow {}^L G$$

of bounded image that do not factor through any proper parabolic subgroup of the global L-group ${}^LG = \hat{G} \rtimes \operatorname{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. They have localizations (1) defined by conjugacy classes of embeddings $L_{F_v} \subset L_F$, or rather the makeshift analogues of such embeddings that we formulate in §1.4. The localizations ψ_v of ψ are unramified at almost all v, and consequently lead to a family

$$c(\psi) = \varinjlim_{S} \{c_v(\psi) = c(\psi_v) : v \notin S\}$$

of equivalence classes of semisimple elements in LG . The rough decomposition (2) is of interest as it stands. It implies that G has no embedded eigenvalues, in the sense of unramified Hecke operators. In other words, the family $c(\psi)$ attached to any global parameter ψ in the decomposition of the

^{*}It is understood here, and in everything that follows, that G is "classical", in the sense that it is not an outer twist of the split group SO(8) by a triality automorphism (of order 3).

automorphic discrete spectrum is distinct from any family obtained from the continuous spectrum. This follows from the nature of the parameters ψ in (3), and the application of the theorem of Jacquet-Shalika to the natural image of $c(\psi)$ in the appropriate complex general linear group.

Theorem 1.5.2 also contains a finer decomposition

(4)
$$R_{\mathrm{disc},\psi} = \bigoplus_{\pi} m_{\psi}(\pi) \,\pi,$$

for any global parameter ψ . The indices π range over representations in the global packet of ψ , defined as a restricted direct product of local packets provided by Theorem 1.5.1. The multiplicities $m_{\psi}(\pi)$ are given by an explicit reciprocity formula in terms of the finite abelian groups \mathcal{S}_{ψ_v} , and their global analogue \mathcal{S}_{ψ} . We thus obtain a decomposition of the automorphic discrete spectrum of G into irreducible representations of $G(\mathbb{A})$. We shall say that a parameter $\phi = \psi$ is generic if it is trivial on the factor SU(2). The representations $\pi \in \Pi_{\phi}$, with ϕ generic and $m_{\phi}(\pi) \neq 0$, are the constituents of the automorphic discrete spectrum that are expected to satisfy the analogue for G of Ramanujan's conjecture. It ψ is not generic, the formula for $m_{\psi}(\pi)$ has an extra ingredient. It is a sign character ε_{ψ} on \mathcal{S}_{ψ} , defined (1.5.6) in terms of symplectic root numbers. That the discrete spectrum should be governed by objects of such immediate arithmetic significance seems quite striking.

Theorem 1.5.2 has application to the question of multiplicity one. Suppose that π is an irreducible constituent of the automorphic discrete spectrum of G that also lies in some generic global packet Π_{ϕ} . We shall then show that the multiplicity of π in the discrete spectrum equals 1 unless $\hat{G} = SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$, in which case the multiplicity is either 1 or 2, according to an explicit condition we shall give. In particular, if G equals either SO(2n+1) or Sp(2n), the automorphic representations in the discrete spectrum that are expected to satisfy Ramanujan's conjecture all have multiplicity 1. Local results of Moeglin [M4] on nontempered p-adic packets suggest that similar results hold for all automorphic representations in the discrete spectrum.

Theorems 1.5.1 and 1.5.2 are founded on the proof of several cases of Langlands' principle of functoriality. In fact, our basic definitions will be derived from the functorial correspondence from G to GL(N), relative to the standard representation of LG into $LG(N, \mathbb{C})$. Otherwise said, our construction of representations of G will be formulated in terms of representations of GL(N). The integer LG of course equals LG and LG as LG ranges over the groups LG and LG and LG and LG and LG are found in the three infinite families LG and LG and LG with dual groups LG being equal to LG being equal to LG and LG and LG and LG are found in the fact that it is really the nonconnected group LG that is directly tied to LG being equal to LG and is also the reason we have not yet specified the implicit equivalence relation for the local and global parameters (1) and (3). Let us now agree that they are to be taken up to

 \widehat{G} -conjugacy if G equals SO(2n+1) or Sp(2n), and up to conjugacy by $O(2n,\mathbb{C})$, a group whose quotient

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{O}}\mathrm{ut}_N(G) = O(2n,\mathbb{C})/SO(2n,\mathbb{C})$$

acts by outer automorphism on $\hat{G} = SO(2n, \mathbb{C})$, if G equals SO(2n). This is the understanding on which the decomposition (2) holds.

In the text, we shall write $\widetilde{\Psi}(G_v)$ for the set of equivalence classes of local parameters (1). The packet $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_v}$ attached to any $\psi_v \in \widetilde{\Psi}(G_v)$ will then be composed of $\widetilde{\mathrm{Out}}_N(G_v)$ -orbits of equivalence classes of irreducible representations (with $\widetilde{\mathrm{Out}}_N(G)$ being trivial in case G equals SO(2n+1) or Sp(2n)). We will write $\widetilde{\Psi}(G)$ for the set of equivalence classes of general global parameters ψ , and $\widetilde{\Psi}_2(G)$ for the subset of classes with the supplementary condition of (3). The packet of any $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}(G)$ will then be a restricted direct product

$$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi} = \bigotimes_{v}^{\sim} \widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_{v}}$$

of local packets. It is this set that corresponds to an (isobaric) automorphic representation of GL(N). In particular, the global packets $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi}$, rather than the individual (orbits of) representations π in $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi}$, are the objects that retain the property of strong multiplicity one from GL(N). Similarly, the global packets $\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi}$ attached to parameters $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G)$ retain the qualitative properties of automorphic discrete spectrum of GL(N). They come with a sort of Jordan decomposition, in which the semisimple packets correspond to the generic global parameters ψ , and contain the automorphic representations that are expected to satisfy the G-analogue of Ramanujan's conjecture. In view of these comments, we see that Theorem 1.5.2 can be regarded as a simultaneous analogue for G of both of the global theorems for GL(N).

Theorem 1.5.3 is a global supplement to Theorem 1.5.2. Its first assertion applies to global parameters $\phi \in \widetilde{\Psi}(G)$ that are both generic and simple, in the sense that they correspond to cuspidal automorphic representations π_{ϕ} of GL(N). Theorem 1.5.3(a) asserts that the dual group \widehat{G} is orthogonal (resp. symplectic) if and only if the symmetric square L-function $L(s, \pi_{\phi}, S^2)$ (resp. the skew-symmetric square L-function $L(s, \pi_{\phi}, \Lambda^2)$) has a pole at s=1. Theorem 1.5.3(b) asserts that the Rankin-Selberg ε -factor $\varepsilon(\frac{1}{2}, \pi_{\phi_1} \times \pi_{\phi_2})$ equals 1 for any pair of generic simple parameters $\phi_i \in \widetilde{\Phi}(G_i)$ such that \widehat{G}_1 and \widehat{G}_2 are either both orthogonal or both symplectic. These two assertions are automorphic analogues of well known properties of Artin L-functions and ε -factors. They are interesting in their own right. But they are also an essential part of our induction argument. We will need them in Chapter 4 to interpret the terms in the trace formula attached to compound parameters $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}(G)$.

This completes our summary of the main theorems. The first two sections of Chapter 1 contain further motivation, for the global Langlands group

 L_F in §1.1, and the relations between representations of G and GL(N) in §1.2. In §1.3, we will recall the three basic theorems for GL(N). Section 1.4 is given over to our makeshift substitutes for global Langlands parameters, while §1.5 contains the formal statements of the theorems.

As might be expected, the three theorems will have to be established together. The unified proof will take us down a long road, which starts in Chapter 2, and crosses much diverse territory before coming to an end finally in §8.2. The argument is ultimately founded on harmonic analysis, represented locally by orbital integrals and characters, and globally by the trace formula. This of course is at the heart of the theory of endoscopy. We refer the reader to the introductory remarks of individual sections, where we have tried to offer guidance and motivation. We shall be content here with a minimal outline of the main stages.

Chapter 2 is devoted to local endoscopy. It contains a more precise formulation (Theorem 2.2.1) of the local Theorem 1.5.1. This provides for a canonical construction of the local packets Π_{ψ_v} in terms of twisted characters on GL(N). Chapter 2 also includes the statement of Theorem 2.4.1, which we call the local intertwining relation. This is closely related to Theorem 1.5.1 and its refinement Theorem 2.2.1, and from a technical standpoint, can be regarded as our primary local result. It includes a delicate construction of signs, which will be critical for the interpretation of terms in the trace formula.

Chapter 3 is devoted to global endoscopy. We will recall the discrete part of the trace formula in §3.1, and its stabilization in §3.2. We are speaking here of those spectral terms that are linear combinations of automorphic characters, and to which all of the other terms are ultimately dedicated. They are the only terms from the trace formula that will appear explicitly in this volume. In §3.5, we will establish criteria for the vanishing of coefficients in certain identities (Proposition 3.5.1, Corollary 3.5.3). We shall use these criteria many times throughout the volume in drawing conclusions from the comparison of discrete spectral terms.

In general, we will have to treat three separate cases of endoscopy. They are represented respectively by pairs (G, G'), where G is one of the groups to which Theorems 1.5.1, 1.5.2 and 1.5.3 apply and G' is a corresponding endoscopic datum, pairs $(\tilde{G}(N), G)$ in which $\tilde{G}(N)$ is the twisted general linear group $\tilde{G}^0(N) = GL(N)$ and G is a corresponding twisted endoscopic datum, and pairs (\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}') in which \tilde{G} is a twisted even orthogonal group $\tilde{G}^0 = SO(2n)$ and \tilde{G}' is again a corresponding twisted endoscopic datum. The first two cases will be our main concern. However, the third case (\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}') is also a necessary part of the story. Among other things, it is forced on us by the need to specify the signs in the local intertwining relation. For the most part, we will not try to treat the three cases uniformly as cases of the general theory of endoscopy. This might have been difficult, given that we have to deduce many local and global results along the way. At any rate, the

separate treatment of the three cases gives our exposition a more concrete flavour, if at the expense of some possible sacrifice of efficiency.

In Chapter 4, we will study the comparison of trace formulas. Specifically, we will compare the contribution (4.1.1) of a parameter ψ to the discrete part of the trace formula with the contribution (4.1.2) of ψ to the corresponding endoscopic decomposition. We begin with the statement of Theorem 4.1.2, which we call the stable multiplicity formula. This is closely related to Theorem 1.5.2, and from a technical standpoint again, is our primary global result. Together with the global intertwining relation (Corollary 4.2.1), which we state as a global corollary of Theorem 2.4.1, it governs how individual terms in trace formulas are related. Chapter 4 represents a standard model, in the sense that if we grant the analogues of the two primary theorems for general groups, it explains how the terms on the right hand sides of (4.1.1) and (4.1.2) match. This is discussed heuristically in Sections 4.7 and 4.8. However, our real aim is in the opposite direction. It is to derive Theorems 2.4.1 and 4.1.2 for our groups G from the standard model, and whatever else we can bring to bear on the problem. This is the perspective of Sections 4.3 and 4.4. In §4.5, we combine the analysis of these sections with a general induction hypothesis to deduce the stable multiplicity formula and the global intertwining relation for many ψ . Section 4.6 contains the proof of two critical sign lemmas that are essential ingredients of the parallel Sections 4.3 and 4.4.

Chapter 5 is the center of the volume. It is a bridge between the global discussion of Chapters 3 and 4 and the local discussion of Chapters 6 and 7. It also represents a transition from the general comparisons of Chapter 4 to the study of the remaining parameters needed to complete the induction hypotheses. These exceptional cases are the crux of the matter. In §5.2 and §5.3, we shall extract several identities from the standard model, in which we display the possible failure of Theorems 2.4.1 and 4.1.2 as correction terms. Section 5.3 applies to the critical case of a parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G)$, and calls for the introduction of a supplementary parameter ψ_+ . In §5.4, we shall resolve the global problems for families of parameters ψ that are assumed to have certain rather technical local properties.

Chapter 6 applies to generic local parameters. It contains a proof of the local Langlands classification for our groups G (modified by the outer automorphism in the case G = SO(2n)). We will first have to embed a given local parameter into a family of global parameters with the local constraints of §5.4. This will be the object of Sections 6.2 and 6.3, which rest ultimately on the simple form of the invariant trace formula for G. We will then have to extract the required local information from the global results obtained in §5.4. In §6.4, we will deduce the generic local intertwining relation from its global counterpart in §5.4. Then in §6.5, we will stabilize the orthogonality relations that are known to hold for elliptic tempered characters. This will allow us to quantify the contributions from the remaining elliptic tempered characters, the ones attached to square integrable representations. We will

use the information so obtained in §6.6 and §6.7. In these sections, we shall establish Theorems 2.2.1 and 1.5.1 for the remaining "square integrable" Langlands parameters $\phi \in \widetilde{\Phi}_2(G)$. Finally, in §6.8, we shall resolve the various hypotheses taken on at the beginning of Chapter 6.

Chapter 7 applies to nongeneric local parameters. It contains the proof of the local theorems in general. In §7.2, we shall use the construction of §6.2 to embed a given nongeneric local parameter into a family of global parameters, but with local constraints that differ slightly from those of §5.4. We will then deduce special cases of the local theorems that apply to the places v with local constraints. These will follow from the local theorems for generic parameters, established in Chapter 6, and the duality operator of Aubert and Schneider-Stuhler, which we review in §7.1. We will then exploit our control over the places v to derive the local theorems at the localization $\psi = \dot{\psi}_u$ that represents the original given parameter. We will finish the proof of the global theorems in the first two sections

We will finish the proof of the global theorems in the first two sections of Chapter 8. Armed with the local theorems, and the resulting refinements of the lemmas from Chapter 5, we will be able to establish almost all of the global results in §8.1. However, there will still to be one final obstacle. It is the case of a simple parameter $\psi \in \widetilde{\Psi}_{\text{sim}}(G)$, which among other things will be essential for a resolution of our induction hypotheses. An examination of this case leads us to the initial impression that it will be resistant to all of our earlier techniques. Fortunately, with further reflection, we will find that there is a way to treat it after all. We will introduce a second supplementary parameter ψ_{++} , which appears ungainly at first, but which, with the support of two rather intricate lemmas, takes us to a successful conclusion.

Section 8.2 is the climax of our long running induction argument, as well as its most difficult point of application. Its final resolution is what brings us to the end of the proof. We will then be free in §8.3 for some general reflections that will give us some perspective on what has been established. In §8.4, we will sharpen our results for the groups SO(2n) in which the outer automorphism creates some ambiguity. We will use the stabilized trace formula to construct the local and global L-packets for generic parameters predicted for these groups by the conjectural theory of endoscopy. In §8.5, we will describe an approximation of a part of the global Langlands group L_F , which is tailored to the classical groups of this volume. It could potentially be used in place of some of the ad hoc global parameters of §1.4 to streamline the statements of the global theorems.

We shall discuss inner forms of orthogonal and symplectic groups in Chapter 9. The automorphic representation theory for inner twists is in some ways easier for knowing what happens in the case of quasisplit groups. In particular, the stable multiplicity formula is already in place, since it applies only to the quasisplit case. However, there are also new difficulties for inner twists, particularly in the local case. We shall describe some of these in Sections 9.1–9.3. We shall then state analogues for inner twists of the main theorems, with the understanding that their proofs will appear elsewhere.

Having briefly summarized the various chapters, we should add some comment on our use of induction. As we have noted, induction is a central part of the unified argument that will carry us from Chapter 2 to Section 8.2. We will have two kinds of hypotheses, both based on the positive integer N that indexes the underlying general linear group GL(N). The first kind includes various ad hoc assumptions, such as those implicit in some of our definitions. For example, the global parameter sets $\tilde{\Psi}(G)$ defined in §1.4 are based on the inductive application of two "seed" Theorems 1.4.1 and 1.4.2. The second will be the formal induction hypotheses introduced explicitly at the beginning of §4.3, and in more refined form at the beginning of §5.1. They assert essentially that the stated theorems are all valid for parameters of rank less than N. In particular, they include the informal hypotheses implicit in the definitions.

We do not actually have to regard the earlier, informal assumptions as inductive. They really represent implicit appeals to stated theorems, in support of proofs of what amount to corollaries. In fact, from a logical standpoint, it is simpler to treat them as inductive assumptions *only* after we introduce the formal induction hypotheses in §4.3 and §5.1. For a little more discussion of this point, the reader can consult the two parallel *Remarks* following Corollaries 4.1.3 and 4.2.4.

The induction hypotheses of §5.1 are formulated for an abstract family $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of global parameters. They pertain to the parameters $\psi \in \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of rank less than N, and are supplemented also by a hypothesis (Assumption 5.1.1) for certain parameters in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$ of rank equal to N. The results of Chapter 5 will be applied three times, to three separate families $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. These are the family of generic parameters with local constraints used to establish the local classification of Chapter 6, the family of nongeneric parameters with local constraints used to deduce the local results for nontempered representations in Chapter 7, and the family of all global parameters used to establish the global theorems in the first two sections of Chapter 8. In each of these cases, the assumptions have to be resolved for the given family $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$. In the case of Chapter 6, the induction hypotheses are actually imposed in two stages. The local hypothesis at the beginning of §6.3 is needed to construct the family \mathcal{F} , on which we then impose the global part of the general hypothesis of Chapter 5 at the beginning of §6.4. The earlier induction hypotheses of $\S4.3$ apply to general global parameters of rank less than N. They are used in §4.3–§4.6 to deduce the global theorems for parameters that are highly reducible. Their general resolution comes only after the proof of the global theorems in §8.2.

Our induction assumptions have of course to be distinguished from the general condition (Hypothesis 3.2.1) on which our results rely. This is the

stabilization of the twisted trace formula for the two groups GL(N) and SO(2n). As a part of the condition, we implicitly include twisted analogues of the two local results that have a role in the stabilization of the ordinary trace formula. These are the orthogonality relations for elliptic tempered characters of [A10, Theorem 6.1], and the weak spectral transfer of tempered p-adic characters given by [A11, Theorems 6.1 and 6.2]. The stabilization of orthogonality relations in §6.5 requires twisted orthogonality relations for GL(N), as well as those of [A10]. It will be an essential part of the local classification in Chapter 6. The two theorems from $[\mathbf{A11}]$ can be regarded as a partial generalization of the fundamental lemma for the full spherical Hecke algebra [Hal]. (Their global proof of course depends on the basic fundamental lemma for the unit, established by Ngo.) We will use them in combination with their twisted analogues in the proofs of Proposition 2.1.1 and Corollary 6.7.4. The first of these gives the image of the twisted transfer of functions from GL(N), which is needed in the proof of Proposition 3.5.1. The second gives a relation among tempered characters that completes the local classification.

There is one other local theorem whose twisted analogues for GL(N) and SO(2n) we shall also have to take for granted. It is Shelstad's strong spectral transfer of tempered archimedean characters, which is to say, her endoscopic classification of representations of real groups. This of course is a major result. Together with its two twisted analogues, it gives the archimedean cases of the local classification in Theorems 2.2.1 and 2.2.4. We shall combine it with a global argument in Chapter 6 to establish the p-adic form of these theorems. The general twisted form of Shelstad's endoscopic classification appears to be within reach. It is likely to be established soon by some extension of recent work by Mezo [Me] and Shelstad [S8].

Finally, let me include a comment on notation. Because our main theorems require interlocking proofs, which consume a good part of the volume, there is always the risk of losing one's way. Until the end of §8.2, assertions as *Theorems* are generally stated with the understanding that their proofs will usually be taken up much later (unless of course they are simply quoted from some other source). On the other hand, assertions denoted *Propositions*, *Lemmas* or *Corollaries* represent results along the route, for which the reader can expect a timely proof. The theorems stated in §8.4 are not part of the central induction argument. Their proofs, which are formally labeled as such, follow relatively soon after their statements. The theorems stated in §9.4 and §9.5 apply to inner twists. They will be proved elsewhere.

The actual mathematical notation of the volume might appear unconventional at times. I have tried to structure it so as to reflect implicit symmetries in the various objects it represents. With luck, it might help a reader navigate the arguments without necessarily being aware of such symmetries.

The three main theorems of the volume were described in [A18, §30]. I gave lecture courses on them in 1994–1995 at the Institute for Advanced

Study and the University of Paris VII, and later in 2000, again at the Institute for Advanced Study. Parts of Chapter 4 were also treated heuristically in the earlier article [A9]. In writing this volume, I have added some topics to my original notes. These include the local Langlands classification for GL(N), the treatment of inner twists in Chapter 9 and the remarks on Whittaker models in §8.3. I have also had to fill unforeseen gaps in the notes. For example, I did not realize that twisted endoscopy for SO(2n)was needed to formulate the local intertwining relation. In retrospect, it is probably for the best that this second case of twisted endoscopy does have a role here, since it forces us to confront a general phenomenon in a concrete situation. I have tried to make this point explicit in §2.4 with the discussion surrounding the short exact sequence (2.4.10). In any case, I hope that I have accounted for most of the recent work on the subject, in the references and the text. There will no doubt be omissions. I most regret not being able to describe the results of Moeglin on the structure of p-adic packets $\Pi_{\eta_{i,i}}$ ([M1]-[M4]). It is clearly an important problem to establish analogues of her results for archimedean packets.

Acknowledgments: I am greatly indebted to the referee, for a close and careful reading of an earlier draft of the manuscript (which went to the end of §8.2), and for many very helpful comments. I also thank Chung Pang Mok, who read a later draft of the manuscript, and offered further helpful suggestions.

Bibliography

- [ABV] J. Adams, D. Barbasch, and D. Vogan, The Langlands Classification and Irreducible Characters for Real Reductive Groups, Progr. Math. 104, Birkhauser, Boston, 1992.
- [AH] J. Adams and J.-S. Huang, Kazhdan-Patterson lifting for $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$, Duke Math. J. **89** (1997), 423–443.
- [AJ] J. Adams and J. Johnson, Endoscopic groups and packets for non-tempered representations, Compositio Math. **64** (1987), 271–309.
- [AV] J. Adams and D. Vogan, The contragredient, arXiv: 1201.0496, 2012.
- [AS] J. Adler and L. Spice, Supercuspidal characters of reductive p-adic groups, Amer. J. Math. 131 (2009), 1137–1210.
- [A1] J. Arthur, Eisenstein series and the trace formula, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 33 (1979), Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 253–274.
- [A2] , On a family of distributions obtained from Eisenstein series I: Application of the Paley-Wiener theorem, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), 1243–1288.
- [A3] _____, On a family of distributions obtained from Eisenstein series II: Explicit formulas, Amer. J. Math. **104** (1982), 1289–1336.
- [A4] _____, The local behaviour of weighted orbital integrals, Duke Math. J. **56** (1988), 223–293.
- [A5] , The invariant trace formula II. Global theory, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 1 (1988), 501–554.
- [A6] $\underline{\hspace{1cm}}$, The L^2 -Lefschetz numbers of Hecke operators, Invent. Math. **97** (1989), 257–290.
- [A7] , Intertwining operators and residues I. Weighted characters, J. Funct. Anal. 84 (1989), 19–84.
- [A8] ______, Unipotent automorphic representations: conjectures, Astérisque 171–172 (1989), 13–71.
- [A9] _____, Unipotent Automorphic Representations: Global Motivation, in Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties and L-functions, vol. I, Academic Press, 1990, 1–75.
- [A10] _____, On elliptic tempered characters, Acta. Math. 171 (1993), 73–138.
- [A11] ______, On local character relations, Selecta Math. 2, No. 4 (1996), 501–579.
- [A12] _____, On the transfer of distributions: weighted orbital integrals, Duke Math. J. 99 (1999), 209–283.
- [A13] ______, Stabilization of a family of differential equations, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 158 (2000), 77–98.
- [A14] ______, A stable trace formula I. General expansions, Journal of the Inst. of Math. Jussieu 1 (2002), 175–277.
- [A15] _____, A stable trace formula II. Global descent, Invent. Math. 143 (2001), 157–220.
- [A16] _____, A stable trace formula III. Proof of the main theorems, Annals of Math. 158 (2003), 769–873.

- [A17] _____, A note on the automorphic Langlands group, Canad. Math. Bull. 45 (2002), 466–482.
- [A18] ______, An introduction to the trace formula, in Harmonic Analysis, the Trace Formula, and Shimura Varieties, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 4, 2005, 1–263.
- [A19] _____, A note on L-packets, Pure and Applied Math Quarterly 2 (2006), 199–217.
- [A20] _____, Induced representations, intertwining operators and transfer, Contemp. Math. **449** (2008), 51–67.
- [A21] _____, Problems for real groups, Contemp. Math. 472 (2008), 39–62.
- [A22] , Report on the trace formula, in Automorphic forms and L-functions I. Global aspects, Contemp. Math. 488 (2009), 1–12.
- [A23] _____, The embedded eigenvalue problem for classical groups, in On Certain L-functions, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 13, 2011, 19–31.
- [A24] _____, Endoscopy and singular invariant distributions, in preparation.
- [A25] _____, Duality, Endoscopy and Hecke operators, in preparation.
- [A26] _____, A nontempered intertwining relation for GL(N),
- [A27] _____, Transfer factors and Whittaker models, in preparation.
- [A28] ______, Automorphic representations of inner twists, in preparation.
- [AC] J. Arthur and L. Clozel, Simple Algebras, Base Change, and the Advanced Theory of the Trace Formula, Ann. of Math. Studies 120, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1989.
- [Au] A.-M. Aubert, Dualité dans le groupe de Grothendieck de la catégorie des représentations lisses de longueur finie d'un groupe réductif p-adique, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 347 (1995), 2179–2189, and Erratum, Ibid. 348 (1996), 4687– 4690.
- [Ba] A. Badalescu, Global Jacquet-Langlands correspondence, multiplicity one and classification of automorphic representations, Invent. Math. 172 (2008), 383– 438.
- [Ban] D. Ban, The Aubert involution and R-groups, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **35** (2002), 673–693.
- [BV] D. Barbasch and D. Vogan, Unipotent representations of complex semisimple Lie groups, Ann. of Math. 121 (1985), 41–110.
- [Be] J. Bernstein, *P-invariant distributions on GL(n)*, in *Lie Group Representations* II, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1041, Springer, New York, 1984, 50–102.
- [BDK] J. Bernstein, P. Deligne and D. Kazhdan, Trace Paley-Wiener theorem for reductive p-adic groups, J. d'Analyse Math. 47 (1986), 180–192.
- [BZ] J. Bernstein and A. Zelevinsky, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups I, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 10 (1977), 441–472.
- [Bo] A. Borel, Automorphic L-functions, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 27–62
- [BoW] A. Borel and N. Wallach, Continuous Cohomology, Discrete Subgroups, and Representations of Reductive Groups, Ann. of Math. Studies 94, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [C] W. Casselman, The unramified principal series of p-adic groups I. The spherical function, Compositio Math. 40 (1980), 387–406.
- [CS] W. Casselman and J. Shalika, The unramified principal series of p-adic groups
 II. The Whittaker function, Compositio Math. 41 (1980), 207–231.
- [Cassels] J. Cassels, Global Fields, in Algebraic Number Theory, Thompson Book Company, Washington, 1967, 42–84.
- [CL1] P.-H. Chaudouard and G. Laumon, Le lemme fondamental pondéré I: constructions géométriques, Compositio Math. 146 (2010), 1416–1506.

- [CL2] , Le lemme fondamental pondéré II: Énoncés cohomologiques, Annals of Math. 176 (2012), 1647–1781.
- [CC] G. Chenevier and L. Clozel, Corps de nombres peu ramifiés et formes automorphes autoduales, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 22 (2009), 467–519.
- [Clo1] L. Clozel, Characters of nonconnected, reductive p-adic groups, Canad. J. Math. 39 (1987), 149–167.
- [Clo2] _____, The fundamental lemma for stable base change, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 255–302.
- [CD] L. Clozel and P. Delorme, Le théorème de Paley-Wiener invariant pour les groupes de Lie réductif II, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4^e série, 23 (1990), 193–228.
- [CLL] L. Clozel, J.-P. Labesse and R.P. Langlands, Morning Seminar on the Trace Formula, Lecture Notes, Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, N.J., 1984.
- [CHL] R. Cluckers, T. Hales, and F. Loeser, Transfer principle for the fundamental lemma. On the stabilization of the trace formula, 309–347, Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl. 1, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [CKPS1] J. Cogdell, H. Kim, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and F. Shahidi, On lifting from classical groups to GL_N , Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 93 (2001), 5–30.
- [CKPS2] _____, Functoriality for the classical groups, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 99 (2004), 163–233.
- [CST] J. Cogdell, F. Shahidi, and T-L. Tsai, Local Langlands correspondence for GL_n and the exterior and symmetric square ε -factors, with an appendix by H. Jacquet, in preparation.
- [CH] C. Cunningham and T. Hales, Good Orbital Integrals, Represent. Theory 8 (2004), 414–457.
- [DR] S. DeBacker and M. Reeder, Depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets and their stability, Ann. of Math. 169 (2009), 795–901.
- [D1] P. Deligne, Les constantes des équations fonctionnelles des fonctions L, in Modular Forms of One Variable II, Lecture Notes in Math. 349, Springer, New York, 1973, 501–597.
- [D2] _____, Les constantes locales de l'équation fonctionnelle de la fonction L d'Artin d'une représentation orthogonale, Invent. Math. **35** (1976), 299–316.
- [DM] P. Delorme and P. Mezo, A twisted invariant Paley-Wiener theorem for real reductive groups, Duke Math. J. 144 (2008), 341–380.
- [F] D. Flath, Decomposition of representations into tensor products, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 179–184.
- [FLN] E. Frenkel, R. Langlands and B.C. Ngo, Formule des traces et functorialité: le début d'un programme, Ann. Sci. Math. Québec **34** (2010), 199–243.
- [FQ] A. Frohlich and J. Queyrot, On the functional equations of the Artin L-function for characters of real representations, Invent. Math. 20 (1973), 125–138.
- [G] D. Goldberg, Reducibility of induced representations for Sp(2n) and SO(n), Amer. J. Math. 116 (1994), 1101–1151.
- [GRS1] D. Ginzburg, S. Rallis, and D. Soudry, Generic automorphic forms on SO(2n + 1): functorial lift to GL(2n), endoscopy and base change, Internat. Math. Res. Notices 14 (2001), 729–764.
- [GRS2] _____, The descent map from automorphic representations of GL(n) to classical groups, World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2011.
- [GKM1] M. Goresky, R. Kottwitz, and R. MacPherson, Homology of affine Springer fibres in the unramified case, Duke Math. J. 121 (2004), 509–561.
- [GKM2] _____, Purity of equivalued affine Springer fibres, Representation Theory 10 (2006), 130–146.

- [Hal] T. Hales, On the fundamental lemma for standard endoscopy: reduction to unit elements, Canad. J. Math. 47 (1995), 974–994.
- [Ha1] Harish-Chandra, Discrete series for semisimple Lie groups. II. Explicit determination of the characters, Acta Math. 116, 1–111.
- [Ha2] _____, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups. I. The theory of the constant term, J. Funct. Anal. 19, 104–204.
- [Ha3] _____, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups II. Wave packets in the Schwartz space, Invent. Math. **36** (1976), 1–55.
- [Ha4] _____, Harmonic analysis on real reductive groups III. The Moass-Selberg relations and the Plancherel formula, Ann. of Math. **104** (1976), 117–201.
- [Ha5] _____, The Plancherel formula for reductive p-adic groups, in Collected Papers, vol. IV, Springer-Verlag, 353–367
- [HT] M. Harris and R. Taylor, On the Geometry and Cohomology of Some Simple Shimura Varieties, Ann. of Math. Studies 151, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 2001.
- [He1] G. Henniart, Une preuve simple des conjectures de Langlands de GL(n) sur un corps p-adique, Invent. Math. **139** (2000), 439–455.
- [He2] ______, Correspondence de Langlands et fonctions L des carrés extérieur et symétrique, Int. Math. Res. Not. **2010**, no. 4, 633–673.
- [Hi] K. Hiraga, On functoriality of Zelevinski involutions, Compositio Math. 140 (2004), 1625–1656.
- [JL] H. Jacquet and R. Langlands, Automorphic Forms on GL(2), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 114, Springer, New York, 1970.
- [JPS] H. Jacquet, I. Piatetski-Shapiro, and J. Shalika, Rankin-Selberg convolutions, Amer. J. Math. 105 (1983), 367–464.
- [JS] H. Jacquet and J. Shalika, On Euler products and the classification of automorphic representations II, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 777–815.
- [Ji] D. Jiang, Automorphic integral transforms for classical groups I: endoscopy correspondences, to appear in Conference on Automorphic Forms and Related Geometry: Assessing the Legacy of I.I. Piatetskii-Shapiro.
- [JiS1] D. Jiang and D. Soudry, The local converse theorem for SO(2n+1) and applications, Annals of Math. 157 (2003), 743–806.
- [JiS2] _____, Generic representations and local Langlands reciprocity law for p-adic SO(2n+1), in Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004, 457–519.
- [JiS3] _____, On local descent from GL(n) to classical groups, Amer. J. Math. 134 (2012), 767–772.
- [Kal1] T. Kaletha, Endoscopic character identities for depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets, Duke Math. J. 158 (2011), 161–224.
- [Kal2] _____, Supercuspidal L-packets via isocrystals, preprint.
- [Kal3] _____, Genericity and contragredience in the local Langlands correspondence, preprint.
- [Kal4] _____, Depth-zero supercuspidal L-packets for tamely-ramified groups, in preparation.
- [Ka] D. Kazhdan, Cuspidal geometry on p-adic groups (Appendix), J. Analyse Math. 47 (1980), 1–36.
- [KL] D. Kazhdan and G. Lusztig, Proof of the Deligne-Langlands conjecture for Hecke algebras, Invent. Math. 87 (1987), 153–215.
- [KeS] D. Keys and F. Shahidi, Artin L-functions and normalization of intertwining operators, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4^e série, t. 21 (1988), 67–89.
- [KM] J.-L. Kim and F. Murnaghan, Character expansions and unrefined minimal Ktypes, Amer. J. Math. 125 (2003), 1199–1234.

- [Kn] A. Knapp, Commutativity of intertwining operators. II, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 82 (1976), 271–273.
- [KnS] A. Knapp and E. Stein, Intertwining operators for semisimple groups II, Invent. Math. 60 (1980), 9–84.
- [KnZ1] A. Knapp and G. Zuckerman, Normalizing factors and L-groups, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 93–106.
- [KnZ2] _____, Classification of irreducible tempered representations of semisimple groups, Ann. of Math. 116 (1982), 389–455.
- [Kon] T. Konno, Twisted endoscopy and the generic packet conjecture, Israel J. Math. 129 (2002), 253–289.
- [Kos] B. Kostant, On Whittaker vectors and representation theory, Invent. Math. 48 (1978), 101–184.
- [K1] R. Kottwitz, Rational conjugacy classes in reductive groups, Duke Math. J. 49 (1982), 785–806.
- [K2] _____, Sign changes in harmonic analysis on reductive groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 278 (1983), 289–297.
- [K3] _____, Stable trace formula: cuspidal tempered terms, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), 611–650.
- [K4] _____, Isocrystals with additional structure, Compositio Math. **56** (1985), 201–220.
- [K5] _____, Stable trace formula: elliptic singular terms, Math. Ann. 275 (1986), 365–399.
- [K6] _____, Shimura Varieties and λ-adic Representations, in Automorphic Forms, Shimura Varieties and L-functions, vol. 1, Academic Press, 1990, 161–209.
- [K7] _____, Isocrystals with additional structure, II, Compositio Math. 109 (1997), 255–339.
- [KR] R. Kottwitz and J. Rogawski, The distributions in the invariant trace formula are supported on characters, Canad. J. Math. 52 (2000), 804–814.
- [KS] R. Kottwitz and D. Shelstad, Foundations of Twisted Endoscopy, Astérisque, vol. 255.
- [LL] J.-P. Labesse and R. Langlands, L-indistinguishability for SL(2), Canad. J. Math. 31 (1979), 726–785.
- [LW] J.-P. Labesse, and J.-L. Waldspurger, La formule des traces tordues d'apres le Friday Morning Seminar, CRM Monograph Series 31, Amer. Math. Soc., 2013.
- [L1] R. Langlands, Eisenstein series, in Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., 1966, 235–252.
- [L2] _____, Problems in the theory of automorphic forms, in Lectures in Modern Analysis and Applications, Lecture Notes in Math. 170, Springer, New York, 1970, 18–61.
- [L3] , On Artin's L-function, Rice University Studies 56 (1970), 23–28.
- [L4] _____, Euler Products, Yale University Press, 1971.
- [L5] _____, On the Functional Equations Satisfied by Eisenstein Series, Lecture Notes in Math. 544, Springer, New York, 1976.
- [L6] ______, On the notion of an automorphic representation. A supplement to the preceding paper, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 203–208.
- [L7] _____, Automorphic representations, Shimura varieties, and motives. Ein Märchen, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 205–246.
- [L8] Stable conjugacy: definitions and lemmas, Canad. J. Math. 31 (1979), 700–725.

- [L9] _____, Base Change for GL(2), Ann. of Math. Studies 96, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1980.
- [L10] _____, Les débuts d'une formule des traces stables, Publ. Math. Univ. Paris VII 13, 1983.
- [L11] ______, On the classification of irreducible representations of real algebraic groups, in Representation Theory and Harmonic Analysis on Semisimple Lie Groups, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 31, 1989, 101–170.
- [L12] _____, Representations of abelian algebraic groups, Pacific J. Math. (1997), 231–250.
- [L13] ______, Beyond endoscopy, in Contributions to Automorphic Forms, Geometry, and Number Theory, Johns Hopkins University Press, 2004, 611–698.
- [L14] ______, Un nouveau point de repère des formes automorphes, Canad. Math. Bull. **50** (2007), 243–267.
- [LS1] R. Langlands and D. Shelstad, On the definition of transfer factors, Math. Ann. 278 (1987), 219–271.
- [LS2] _____, Descent for transfer factors, The Grothendieck Festschift, Vol. II, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1990, 485–563.
- [Lap] E. Lapid, On the root number of representations of orthogonal type, Compositio Math. 140 (2004), 274–286.
- [LR] E. Lapid and J. Rogawski, On twists of cuspidal representations of GL(2), Forum Math. 10 (1998), 175–197.
- [Lar] M. Larsen, On the conjugacy of element-conjugate homomorphisms, Israel J. Math. 88 (1994), 253–277.
- [LN] G. Laumon and B.C. Ngo, Le lemme fondamental pour les groupes unitaires, Annals of Math. 168 (2008), 477–573.
- [Li1] J-S. Li, Singular Automorphic Forms, Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. 1, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1995, 790–799.
- [Li2] _____, Automorphic forms with degenerate Fourier coefficients, Amer. J. Math. 119 (1997), 523–578.
- [Liu] B. Liu, Genericity of Representations of p-Adic Sp_{2n} and Local Langlands Parameters, Canad. J. Math. 63 (2011), 1107–1136.
- [Lu1] G. Lusztig, Some examples of square integrable representations of semisimple p-adic groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 277 (1983), 623–652.
- [Lu2] , Classification of unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups, Int. Math. Res. Not. **1995**, no. 11, 517–589.
- [Lu3] ______, Classification of unipotent representations of simple p-adic groups II, Represent. Theory 6 (2002), 243–289.
- [Ma] I.G. Macdonald, Spherical Functions on a Group of p-Adic Type, Publications of the Ramanujan Institute, Madras, 1971.
- [Me] P. Mezo, Character identities in the twisted endoscopy of real reductive groups, to appear in Memoires of the AMS.
- [M1] C. Moeglin, Sur certaines paquets d'Arthur et involution d'Aubert-Schneider-Stuhler généralisée, Represent. Theory 10 (2006), 89–129.
- [M2] _____, Paquets d'Arthur discrets pour un groupe classique p-adique, Contemp. Math. 489 (2009), 179–257.
- [M3] ______, Paquets d'Arthur pour les groupes classiques p-adiques; point de vue combinatoire, in Automorphic forms and L-functions II. Local aspects, Contemp. Math. 489 (2009), 179–257.
- [M4] _____, Multiplicité 1 dans les paquets d'Arthur aux places p-adiques, in On Certain L-functions, Clay Mathematics Proceedings, vol. 13, 2011, 233–274.
- [MW1] C. Moeglin and J.-L. Waldspurger, Modèles de Whittaker dégénérés pour des groupes p-adiques, Math. Z. 1996 (1987), 427–452.

- [MW2] _____, Le spectre résiduel de GL(n), Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup. $4^{\rm e}$ série **22** (1989), 605–674.
- [MW3] _____, Pacquets stables de représentations tempérés et réductions unipotent pour SO(2n+1), Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 461–623.
- [MW4] _____, Sur le transfert des traces d'un groupe classique p-adique à un groupe linéaire tordu, Select Math. 12 (2006), 433–515.
- [Mu] W. Müller, The trace class conjecture in the theory of automorphic forms, Ann. of Math. 130 (1989), 473–529.
- [Mur] F. Murnaghan, Characters of supercuspidal representations of classical groups, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) 29 (1996), 49–105.
- [N] B.C. Ngô, Le lemme fondamental pour les algèbres de Lie, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 111 (2010), 1–269.
- [Pr] D. Prasad, A "relative" local Langlands conjecture, partial preprint.
- [Ra] C.S. Rajan, On the image and fibres of solvable base change, Math. Res. Lett. 9 (2002), 499–508.
- [Re] D. Renard, Endoscopy for real reductive groups. On the stabilization of the trace formula, 95–141, Stab. Trace Formula Shimura Var. Arith. Appl., 1, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2011.
- [Rod1] F. Rodier, Whittaker models for admissible representations of reductive p-adic split groups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 26 (1974), Amer. Math. Soc., 425–430.
- [Rod2] _____, Modèle de Whittaker et caractères de représentations, in Non-Commutative Harmonic Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math. **466**, Springer, New York, 1975, 151–171.
- [Ro1] J. Rogawski, The trace Paley-Wiener theorem in the twisted case, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 309 (1988), 215–229.
- [Ro2] _____, Automorphic Representations of Unitary Groups in Three Variables, Ann. of Math. Studies 123, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1990.
- [Ro3] _____, The multiplicity formula for A-packets, in The Zeta Functions of Picard Modular Surfaces, Les Publications CRM, Montreal, 1992, 395–420.
- [Sa] I. Satake, Classification Theory of Semisimple Algebraic Groups, notes prepared by D. Schattschneider, M. Dekker, New York, 1971.
- [ScS] P. Schneider and U. Stuhler, Representation theory and sheaves on the Bruhat-Tits building, Pub. Math. IHES 85 (1997), 97–191.
- [Sch] P. Scholze, The local Langlands correspondence for GL_n over p-adic fields, to appear in Invent. Math.
- [Se] J.-P. Serre, Cohomologie Galoisienne, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 4, Springer, New York, 1970.
- [Sha1] F. Shahidi, On certain L-functions, Amer. J. Math. 103 (1981), 297–355.
- [Sha2] _____, Local coefficients as Artin factors for real groups, Duke Math. J. **52** (1985), 973–1007.
- [Sha3] _____, On the Ramanujan conjecture and finiteness of poles for certain L-functions, Annals of Math. 127 (1988), 547–584.
- [Sha4] _____, A proof of Langlands' conjecture on Plancherel measures; Complementary series for p-adic groups, Annals of Math. 132 (1990), 273–330.
- [Sha5] _____, Eisenstein series and automorphic L-functions, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, 58, 2010.
- [Shal] J. Shalika, The multiplicity one theorem for GL_n , Annals of Math. **100** (1974), 171–193.
- [S1] D. Shelstad, Notes on L-indistinguishability (based on a lecture by R.P. Langlands), in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 33, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 193–204.

- [S2] _____, Orbital integrals and a family of groups attached to a real reductive group, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 12 (1979), 1–31.
- [S3] _____, L-indistinguishability for real groups, Math. Ann. 259 (1982), 385–430.
- [S4] _____, Tempered endoscopy for real groups I: geometric transfer with canonical factors, Contemp. Math., 472 (2008), 215–246.
- [S5] _____, Tempered endoscopy for real groups II: spectral transfer factors, in Autmorphic Forms and the Langlands Program, 236–276, Adv. Lect. Math. (ALM), 9, Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2010.
- [S6] _____, Tempered endoscopy for real groups III: inversion of transfer and L-packet structure, Representation Theory 12 (2008), 369–402.
- [S7] _____, On geometric transfer in real twisted endoscopy, Ann. of Math. 176 (2012), 1919–1985.
- [S8] _____, On spectral factors in real twisted endoscopy, preprint.
- [Si1] A. Silberger, Introduction to Harmonic Analysis on Reductive p-adic Groups, Mathematical Notes, Princeton University Press, 1979.
- [Si2] _____, The Knapp-Stein dimension theorem for p-adic groups, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1978), 243–246; Correction, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1979), 169–170.
- [So] D. Soudry, On Langlands functoriality from classical groups to GL_n , Astérisque **298** (2005), 335–390.
- [SV] B. Speh and D. Vogan, Reducibility of generalized principal series representations, Acta. Math. 145 (1980), 227–299.
- [Sp] T. Springer, Linear Algebraic Groups, Prog. Math. 9, 1981, Birkhäuser, Boston, Basel, Stuttgart.
- [SpS] T. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes, in Seminar on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 131, 1970, 167–266.
- [Tad1] M. Tadic, Topology of unitary dual of non-archimedean GL(n), Duke Math. J. **55** (1987), 385–422.
- [Tad2] _____, On characters of irreducible unitary representations of general linear groups, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg 65 (1995), 341–363.
- [T1] J. Tate, Fourier Analysis in Number Fields and Hecke's Zeta Functions, in Algebraic Number Theory, Thompson, Washington, D.C., 1967, 305–347.
- [T2] _____, Number theoretic background, in Automorphic Forms, Representations and L-functions, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. vol. 33, Part 2, Amer. Math. Soc., 1979, 3–26.
- [Ti] J. Tits, Classification of Algebraic Semisimple Groups, in Algebraic Groups and Discontinuous Subgroups, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., 1966, 33–62.
- [V1] D. Vogan, Gelfand-Kirillov dimension for Harish-Chandra modules, Invent. Math. 48 (1978), 75–98.
- [V2] _____, The unitary dual of GL(n) over an archimedean field, Invent. Math. 83 (1986), 449–505.
- [V3] _____, The local Langlands conjecture, Contemp. Math. 145 (1993), 305–379.
- [W1] J.-L. Waldspurger, Le lemme fondamental implique le transfer, Compositio Math. 105 (1997), 153–236.
- [W2] _____, Représentations de réduction unipotente pour SO(2n + 1): quelques conséquences d'une article de Lusztig, Contributions to automorphic forms, geometry, and number theory, 803–910, Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, MD, 2004.
- [W3] _____, Endoscopie et changement de caractéristique, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu 5 (2006), 423–525.
- [W4] ____, Le groupe GL_N tordu, sur un corps p-adique. I, Duke Math J. 137 (2007), 185–234.

- [W5] _____, Le groupe GL_N tordu, sur un corps p-adique. II, Duke Math J. 137 (2007), 235–336.
- [W6] _____, L'endoscopie tordue n'est pas si tordue, Memoirs of AMS 908 (2008).
- [W7] _____, A propos du lemme fondamental pondéré tordu, Math. Ann. **343** (2009), 103–174.
- [W8] ______, Endoscopie et changement de charactéristique: intégrales orbitales pondérées, Ann. Inst. Fourier **59**(5) (2009), 1753–1818.
- [W9] _____, Préparation à la stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue I: endoscopie tordue sur un corps local, preprint.
- [W10] _____, Préparation à la stabilisation de la formule des traces tordue II: integrales orbitales et endoscopie sur un corps non-archimédien, preprint.
- [We1] H. Weyl, Theorie der Darstellung kontinuierlicher halb-einfacher Gruppen durch lineare Transformationen, Gesammelte Abhandlungen, Bd. II, 68, 543–647.
- [We2] _____, The Theory of Groups and Quantum Mechanics, 1931, rept. Dover Publications, 1950.
- [Wh] D. Whitehouse, The twisted weighted fundamental lemma for the transfer of automorphic forms from GSp(4) to GL(4), Astérisque **302** (2005), 291–436.
- [Z] A. Zelevinsky, Induced representations of reductive p-adic groups II. On irreducible representations of GL(n), Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. 13 (1980), 165–210.

Notational Index

This index is considerably more detailed than necessary. It is meant to account for some of the unnamed objects not in the Subject Index. It might even convey some feeling for the internal relationships among different parts of the volume. The index can of course also be used strictly for reference.

$\langle \cdot, \pi \rangle$, 65	η_G , 11
$\langle \cdot, \widetilde{\pi} \rangle$, 78	$\eta_{\phi}, 69, 71$
$(\cdot)_{\psi}^{-}, 378$	$\eta_{\psi}, 71, 149$
$\langle \gamma \psi \rangle$	
$\alpha(\sigma) = \psi \sigma(\psi)^{-1}, 514$	$\theta(k,\lambda), 430$
$\alpha^{\vee}(\sigma), 523$	$\theta \to \theta^{\vee}, 432$
$\alpha(G, G')$, 390	$\theta^{n}(f), 430$
a(a, a), 550	$\theta^{n}(k), 431$
2() 200	$\theta_r(k,\lambda), 431, 432$
$\beta(\pi)$, 389	$\theta_r^n(k), 431, 432$
$eta(\psi),390$	$\theta_r^w(k), 432$
	$\dot{\theta}_i$, 400
δ_{ϕ} , F local, 384	
$\delta_{\psi}, 281, 450$	$\overset{\theta}{\widetilde{\vartheta}}$, 14
$\hat{\delta}(\tau_v, \dot{f}^v), 407$	$\theta(N)$, 9
(v, j), for	$\tilde{\theta}^*$, 522
C(A) = C(A) = A = 0	$\widetilde{\theta}^*$, 522 $\widetilde{\theta}^{\vee}$, 523
$\varepsilon'(\psi') = \varepsilon^{G'}(\psi'), 172$	$\widetilde{\theta}_G^{\mathcal{E}}$, 555
$\varepsilon(\pi_M) = \varepsilon_{\pi_M}(x), 337$	
$\varepsilon(s,\phi,\psi_F), 16, 80$	θ_G^{\vee} , 555
$\varepsilon(s,\phi_1\times\phi_2,\psi_F), 16$	$\widetilde{\theta}_{G}^{*,\mathcal{E}}$, 555
$\varepsilon(s,\pi,r), 21$	$\widetilde{\widetilde{\theta}_G^{\vee}}, \mathcal{E}, 555$
$\varepsilon(s,\pi_1\times\pi_2,\psi_F), 16$	$^{L}\theta$, 130
$\varepsilon: \mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(N) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}, 504$	
$\varepsilon^G(\psi)$, 168	$\iota(G, G'), 134$
	$\tilde{\iota}(N,G), 137$
$\varepsilon_1, 337$	
$\varepsilon_P(w,\psi), 90$	κ_G , 136
$\varepsilon_{\psi}(x), 48$) /) 00
$\varepsilon_{\psi}^{1}(u), 190$	$\lambda(w), 90$
$\varepsilon_{\psi}^{M}(u), 220$	$\lambda(\psi',\pi),417$
$\varepsilon_{\psi}^{G/M}(u), 220$	
$\varepsilon_{\pi_M}(x)$, 307	$\mu^n, 397$
$\varepsilon_{\pi_M}(u)$, 394	$\mu_{\phi}, 69$
$\widehat{\varepsilon}: \mathcal{C}_{\text{sim}}(N) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}, 503$	$\mu_{\phi}(w), 16$
σ. Osim(1.) ν υ, σσσ	$\mu_{\psi}, 71$
$\hat{\zeta}_G(z) = \langle z, G \rangle, 516$	$\nu^{n}, 397$
$\zeta G(z) = \langle z, G \rangle, 010$	ν , σσι

$\xi_{\psi}^{+}, 35$	$\psi_F, 16$
$\xi_{\psi}^{+,\vee} = \xi_{\psi}^{+}(G^{\vee}), 210$	$\psi_{++}, 461$
ψ ψ ψ ψ ψ	$\psi_{+,-}, 271, 274$
$\pi = \pi_1 \boxplus \cdots \boxplus \pi_r, 23$	$\psi_{M,\lambda}$, 45
$\pi(\xi)$, 366	$\hat{\psi}$, 390
$\pi \longrightarrow \rho_{\pi}, 155$	2
	ψ , 31
$\pi \to \sigma_{\pi}, 391$	ψ'_{\sim} , 36
π_{ψ} , 24	$\psi_G, 31$
$\pi_{V,\star} = \pi_{V,t_V(\star)}, 500$	
$\pi_{\star}(\xi), 492$	Γ , 282
$\pi_{ ho}$, 416	$\Gamma_F, 1$
$\pi_{\widetilde{\rho}}, 66$	$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg,ell}}(N), 58$
$\dot{\pi}_{\star}, 492$	$\widetilde{\Gamma}_{\text{reg,ell}}^{\mathcal{E}}(N), 58$
$\dot{\pi}_{V,\xi}, 423$	reg,ell(1.7)
$\dot{\pi}_{\infty,\star}, 492$	$\Delta(\delta',\gamma)$, 53, 131
$\dot{\pi}_{\infty,\xi},364$	$\Delta = \Delta_{\chi}, 55$
$\dot{\tilde{\pi}}_{\infty,\xi}, 380$	$\Delta = \Delta_{\chi}, 33$ $\Delta_{K}(\delta', \gamma), 541$
$\widehat{\pi}$, 389	
$\widetilde{\pi}(N)$, 63	$\underset{\sim}{\Delta}_{1,K}(\delta',\gamma), 547$
$\widetilde{\pi}(w)$, 89	$\tilde{\Delta} = \tilde{\Delta}_{\chi}, 55$
$\widetilde{\pi}$, 78	$\widetilde{\Delta}_N = \widetilde{\Delta}_{\chi(N)}, 55$
	$\widetilde{\Delta}_{N\text{-reg,ell}}(G), 58$
$\widetilde{\pi}(\xi) = \pi(\widetilde{\xi}), 381$	$\widetilde{\Delta}_{S(N)},55$
$(\pi,t) \longrightarrow \pi_t, 487$	$\Delta S(N)$, $\delta \delta$
$\alpha(\Lambda, \alpha)$ 520	$\Theta_G(\pi, x), 470$
$\rho(\Delta, s_{\rm sc}), 539$	$OG(n,x)$, ± 10
$\rho_K(\Delta, s_{\rm sc}), 547$	$\Lambda, 260, 447$
$ ho_{\psi},25$	$\Lambda^{2}, 49$
$\rho_{P' P}, 80$	
$\rho_{P,w}, 221$	Λ_{π} , 156
(0) 150	Λ_{ρ} , 156
$\sigma(S_1), 170$	$\Lambda_k(g), 216$
$\sigma_o = \sigma \cap I_o, 531$,∼
$\sigma(\xi), 426$	$\Pi(\tilde{R}(\sigma),\chi_{\sigma}), 154$
40	$\Pi(N,\psi), 437$
$ au_{\psi},48$	$\Pi(\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{sc}}^{\Gamma}) \hookrightarrow \Pi(\widehat{Z}_{\mathrm{sc}}), 528$
$ au_{\psi,1}, 218$	$\Pi_{\psi}(u,g),105$
/b 044	$\Pi_{\sigma}(G)$, 155
$\phi^{\rm b},344$	$\Pi_{\text{unit}}(N)$, 17
ϕ^{\sharp} , 341	$\Pi_{2,\text{temp}}(G) \subset \Pi_{\text{temp}}(G) \subset \Pi(G), 17$
$\phi_{\psi},24$	$\Pi_{\phi,\star}$, 480
$\phi_{\psi}^{*}, 441, 442$	$\Pi_{\phi,t}$, 498
$\phi_{\star}, 480$	
$\phi_r^n(k), 434$	$\Pi_{\text{scusp,temp}}(N)$, 18
$\phi_r^{n,*}(k), 434$	$\Pi_{2,\text{temp}}(N) \subset \Pi_{\text{temp}}(N) \subset \Pi(N), 18$
$\dot{\phi}^{\flat},344$	$\Pi(G)$, 41
$\dot{\phi}^{\sharp},342$	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi}^{G}$, 392
, , - ·	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi}(x,f), 106$
$\chi: \pi \longrightarrow \chi \pi, 504$	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi} \longrightarrow \Pi_{\psi}, 558$
$\chi_c = \chi_{\hat{\varepsilon}(c)}, 504$	~
Λο Λο(ο); • • -	$\Pi_{\psi}, 42, 47, 65$
ψ^{\vee} , 28	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi}^{G},392$
ψ_x' , 204	$\Pi_{\text{sim}}(G), 367$
$\psi \rightarrow \psi_v, 40$	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\phi_M}^G$, 395
$\psi_{+}, 273, 448$	$\widetilde{\Pi}_{\psi_M}^{\varphi_M}$, 395
γ+, 2 10, 110	ψ_M , 555

P(G), 155, 416	$\widetilde{\Psi}'(G)$, 477
$P(N,\psi)$, 437	$\widetilde{\Psi}(G')$, 36
$\widetilde{P}(N)$, 66	$\widetilde{\Psi}(G)$, 31
\widetilde{P}_{ϕ} , 416	
P_{ϕ} , 410	$\widetilde{\Psi}(N)$, 28
$\hat{\Sigma}$ 210	$\widetilde{\Psi}^+(N), 38$
$\hat{\Sigma}_M$, 219	$\widetilde{\Psi}_2(M) \subset \widetilde{\Psi}(M), 174$
$\hat{\sum}_{P,w}^{P,w}$, 221	$\widetilde{\Psi}_2^{\mathrm{sim}}(G), 264$
$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\psi}, 388$	$\widetilde{\Psi}_M(G), 174$
$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\psi}^{G}$, 392	$\widetilde{\Psi}^2_{\mathrm{ell}}(G), 264$
$\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\dot{\psi}_U}(\xi), 424$	
ψ_U (3)//	$\widetilde{\Psi}_{\text{reg}}^*(G), 511$
T(G), 154,	$\widetilde{\Psi}_{\rm sim}(G) \subset \widetilde{\Psi}_2(G) \subset \widetilde{\Psi}(G), 33$
$T_{\mathrm{ell}}(G), 157$	$\widetilde{\Psi}_{\rm sim}(N) \subset \widetilde{\Psi}_{\rm ell}(N) \subset \widetilde{\Psi}(N), 33$
$T_{\text{temp}}(G), 155$	$\widetilde{\Psi}_{\mathrm{unit}}^+(G), 45, 474$
$\widetilde{T}(G)$, 154	unit ()/
$\widetilde{T}_{ ext{temp}}(G)$, 155	$\Omega_{\chi,\omega}(\pi), 111$
$I_{\text{temp}}(G)$, 155	X, X,
$\Phi'_{\rm dis}(G^*), 548$	$a(\phi, x), 354$
$\Phi(N,\psi)$, 440	$a_{\omega}, 130$
$\Phi(\phi)$, 480	$\mathfrak{a}_{G},\ 121,\ 125$
	$\mathfrak{a}_M^*, 45$
$\Phi_{\text{bdd}}^*(G^0)$, 241	1/1
$\Phi_{\mathrm{dis}}(G)$, 549	$b(\tau), 354$
$\Phi_{\rm dis}(G^*)$, 548	$\langle b, \xi \rangle$, 533
$\Phi_{\rm dis}^+(G), 551$	(, , , , ,
$\Phi_{2,\text{bdd}}(G) \subset \Phi_{\text{bdd}}(G) \subset \Phi(G), 17$	$c(\dot{t}_{\infty}) = c(\dot{t}_{\infty}, \pi_{\star}(\xi)), 494$
$\Phi_{\text{reg,bdd}}^*(N), 507$	$c(\psi), 27$
Φ , 321, 443	$c \longrightarrow \pi_c, 504$
$\widetilde{\Phi}'_{\mathrm{unit}}(G), 487$	$c_{\phi}(\pi^*), 371$
$\widetilde{\Phi}(N,\psi)$, 72	$c_{\phi}(x,\tau), 349$
$\widetilde{\Phi}_2^c(G),352$	$\hat{c}_{G^*}(\pi^*), 407$
~	og # (//), 101
$\Phi_{\mathrm{bdd}}(G), 42$	$d(\tau), 347$
$\overset{\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{ell}}^{c}(N)}{\sim},352$	$d: c \longrightarrow dc, 503$
$\tilde{\Phi}_{\phi}, 416$	$d \longrightarrow \chi_d, 504$
$\Phi_{\rm sim}(N), 29$	$d_{\psi},533$
$\widetilde{\Phi}_{\mathrm{unit}}(G), 475$	ω_{ψ} , 555
$\widetilde{\Phi}^*_{\text{reg,bdd}}(G), 511$	e(S), 170
reg,bud (//	$e(x) = e_u(\dot{x}_u), 335$
$\Psi(G', \psi), 171$	$e_{\psi}(x)$, 200
$\Psi(G), 171$	Ψ(ω), = σ σ
$\Psi(G) = \Psi^*(G), 241$	$f'(\delta') = f_{\Delta}^{\tilde{G}'}(\delta'), 53$
$\Psi(N)$, 27	$f'(\delta') - f_{\Delta}'(\delta')$, 331
$\Psi(\widetilde{G}), 37, 477$	$f'_G(\psi, s), 99, 176$
$\Psi_2(G)$, 172	$f'_{\Delta}(\delta'), 539$
$\Psi_2(M,\psi), 174$	
	$f'_{\rho}(\delta'), 540$
$\Psi_2(\tilde{G}), 178$ $\Psi_2(\tilde{G}), 179$	f'_x , 204
$\Psi_{\mathrm{disc}}(G)$, 172	$f \rightarrow f', 56$
$\Psi_{\rm ell}(G)$, 172	$f^G(\psi), 64$
$\Psi_{\text{reg}}^*(N), 507$	$f^G(\delta)$, 53
$\Psi_{\mathrm{sim}}(G)$, 172	$f^{G}(\psi) = f^{G}(\Gamma) + f^{L}(\Lambda), 282$
$\Psi_{\rm sim}(N), 26$	$f^{\phi}, 373$
$\Psi_{\text{unit}}^+(N), 45$	$f_G(\pi), 52$
$\widetilde{\Psi}$, 253, 443	$f_G(\gamma), 52$
	* * * *

$f_G(\psi, u), 99, 176$	$r_{\psi}^{-}(w), 223$
$f_G(ho_{\xi}), 155$	$r_{\psi}^{G}(w), 190$
$f_G(\tau), 154$	$r_{P' P}(\phi_{\lambda}), 81$
$f_G^{\mathcal{E}}, 554$	G (f) 100
	$r_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^G(f),188$
$f_{\pi}, 368$	${}^{0}r_{\mathrm{disc},\phi}^{G}(f), 500$
$f_{\star}(\xi), 493$	0 G (f) 100
	${}^{0}r_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^{G}(f),192$
$\widetilde{f} \to \widetilde{f}^G$, 56	
$\tilde{f} \rightarrow \tilde{f}', 56$	$s^0(w) = \operatorname{sgn}^0(w), 169$
$f_N(\phi)$, 63	$s_{\psi},32$
$f_N(\psi), 64$	$s_{\psi}^{0}(u), 191$
~	s_x , 204
$f_N(\psi, u), 118$	G (1) 100
\widetilde{f}_{π} , 369	$s_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^{G}(f), 193$
<i>J</i> n /	${}^{0}s^{G}_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}(f),199$
^= 010	$\sigma_{\mathrm{disc}}, \psi(J), \ 100$
$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi,\xi}^-,219$	1 100
$\hat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\psi}^{-}, 219$	$t \longrightarrow \phi_t, 486$
\mathfrak{S}_{ψ} ,	$t_V(\star) = t_V(\pi_V), 500$
, I ()	
$h^L(\Lambda)$, 260	$t_{\infty}(\star) = t_{\infty}(\dot{\pi}_{\infty}, \pi_{\star}(\xi)), 492$
$\mathfrak{h},\ 123$	
,,, 123	$u_x, 208$
:(0) 100	
i(S), 169	$\tilde{u}, 99, 175$
$i_P^G, 389$	
$i_{\psi}(x), 193$	$w\pi$, 89
	$w^{\vee},432$
$inv(\gamma, \gamma^*), 541$	
	w_u , 98, 175
$\ell(\widetilde{w},\pi), 89$	$w_u^0, 99$
$\ell(w,\pi,\psi),91$	w_x , 265
	$\widetilde{w}, 89$
$m(\pi), 365$	$\widetilde{w}(N_O), 10$
	w(1.0), 10
$m(\psi),477$	/ \ 9.40
$m(\tau), 350$	$\langle x, \tau \rangle$, 349
$m(\widetilde{\rho},\widetilde{\pi}), n(\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{\rho}), 67$	$x \longrightarrow x_v, 40$
$m_{\rm disc}(\dot{\pi}),314$	$x_1, 269$
$m_{\phi} = m(\phi) = \Phi(G, \phi) , 346$	$x_s, 198$
$m_{\psi}(arepsilon_{\psi},\pi),565$	$x_u, 99, 175$
$m_{\psi},47$	4(0) 515
$\hat{\mathfrak{m}}_{\psi}^{-}, 220$	A(G), 515
$\cdots \psi$, == \circ	$A_F^*, 241$
·· (¢ ÷) 494	A_S^{∞} , 129
$n_{\psi}(\xi,\dot{\pi}_U),424$	
$n(\pi, \rho), 156$	$A_{\widehat{M}}, 97$
$n(\pi,\tau), 156$	$A_{G,\infty}^+,122$
	G_{∞} , 122
$n(\psi,\phi),440$	\mathbb{A}^S , 129
$n_{\dot{\phi}}(\dot{\pi}), 362$	$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(G) \subset \mathcal{A}_2(G) \subset \mathcal{A}(G), 19$
$n_{\dot{\psi}}(\dot{\pi}),421$	$\mathcal{A}_{\text{cusp}}(N) \subset \mathcal{A}_2(N) \subset \mathcal{A}(N) \subset \mathcal{A}^+(N),$
$n_{\phi}(\pi^*), 371$	20
$n_{\phi}(\xi,\pi), 364$	$\mathcal{A}_{\psi},218$
$n_{\psi}(\xi,\pi), 426$	$\mathcal{A}_{\text{reg}}(N), 507$
$\widetilde{n}(\psi,\phi),68$	$\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{ ext{cusp}},475$
$\widetilde{n}_{\phi}(\xi,\pi),\ 365$	D . A E91
	$B_{\psi} \longrightarrow A_{\psi}, 531$
$\tilde{o}(G^*), 260$	$B_{\psi,\mathrm{der}},532$
$\mathfrak{o}_{lpha},225$	Tree t
$v\alpha$, 220	$C'_{\psi},263$
(
$r_P(w,\psi_\lambda), 96$	$C_{\psi}, 191$
$r_P^G, 389$	$C_{\chi,\omega}(w,\pi_{\lambda}), 113$
· I / - 22	- χ,ω (··· , ·· , /) · · - · ·

$\mathcal{C}_{\mathbb{A}}(G,\chi),140$	$(G^0, \theta, \omega), 125$
	$G^{\vee}, 148, 447$
$C_{\text{sim}}(N) \subset C_2(N) \subset C(N) \subset C_{\text{aut}}(N), 26$	
$C_{\rm sim, reg}$, 506	$(G^{\vee}, \psi^{\vee}), 523$
$C_{\mathrm{sim,reg}}(N), 505$	$G_+, 49, 273$
$C_{\text{sim,reg}}^*$, 506	$G_{+}^{\vee},278$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(N),28$	$G_1 \longrightarrow C_1, 542$
	$G_{\phi}, 29, 302$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathbb{A}}(G,\chi), 143$	
$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{sim}},475$	$G_{\text{reg}}, 157$
$\mathcal{C}_{\text{sim,reg}}$, 508	$G_{++}, 461$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{\mathrm{sim,reg}}^*,508$	$G_{++}^{\vee}, 461$
Sim,reg, 500	$G_{+,-}, 271, 274$
$D = D_G, 389$	$G_{\text{reg,ell}}(F), 157$
Da, 393	\hat{G} , 1
Db, 393 ♠ * ¬	\widetilde{G} , 14
$\hat{D}_{v}^{*}, 407$	G(N), 11
$\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C}_{\text{sim}}(1), 503$	^{L}G , 1
$\mathcal{D}_c, 504$	
$\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}_c,508$	$H^1(F, G_{\rm ad}^*), 515$
- 0, 000	$H^1_{\rm alg}(W_{K/F}, G), 542$
E(G), 537	
E(G, G'), 537	H_G , 121
	$H_P, 124$
$E(\phi,\lambda)$, 180	$H_{\psi}, 219$
$E_{\rm sc}(G)$, 538	H_{ψ}^{-} , 219
$\mathcal{E}'_{\psi,\text{ell}} = \mathcal{E}(S'_{\psi,\text{ell}}), 194$	$H_{\psi,\xi}, 219$
$\mathcal{E}'_{\psi,\mathrm{ell}}(x), 199$	$H_{\psi,\xi}^{-}, 219$
$\mathcal{E}(G)$, 131	$\mathcal{H}(G), 41, 46$
$\mathcal{E}(\Sigma)$, 169	$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{un}}^{S}$, 139
$\mathcal{E}_{\mathrm{ell}}(G)$, 133	
$\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(S) = \mathcal{E}(S_{\text{ell}}), 170$	$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}(N), 311$
	$\dot{\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}}_v(N),311$
$\mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G) \subset \mathcal{E}(G), 14$	
$\mathcal{E}_{\psi}(x),199$	$\mathcal{H}(G), 46$
$\mathcal{E}_{\psi} = \mathcal{E}(S_{\psi}), 194$	$\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}_{\text{cusp}}(N), 317$
$\mathcal{E}_{\text{sim}}(G) \subset \mathcal{E}_{\text{ell}}(G) \subset \mathcal{E}(G), 13$	
$\mathcal{E}_{\psi,\mathrm{ell}}(x),199$	$I'_{\rm spec}, 463$
$\mathcal{E}_{\psi,\text{ell}} = \mathcal{E}(\overline{S}_{\psi,\text{ell}}), 194$	$I'_{\rm end}, 463$
	$I(\widetilde{f},\widetilde{g}) = \widehat{I}(\widetilde{f}_N,\widetilde{g}_N), 350$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(N)$, 311	
$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{v}(N), 311$	$I(f,g) = \hat{I}(f_G, g_G), 350$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_M(N)$, 158	$I^1,327$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M}(N)$, 100 $\widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M}(N) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}_{M}(N) = \widetilde{\mathcal{C}}(N)$ 10	$I_{-}, 456$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{sim}}(N) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathrm{ell}}(N) \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{E}}(N), 12$	$I_F \subset W_F, 43$
Ė 910	$I_G(\pi,\gamma), 470$
\dot{F} , 310	$I_{\psi} = I_{\psi,O} \coprod I_{\psi,S}, 33$
$\mathcal{F}, 57, 151$	$I_{\psi} = I_{\psi}^{+}(G) \coprod I_{\psi}^{-}(G), 35$
\mathcal{F}_S , 162	
$\dot{\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{F}}(\dot{\phi}_1, \dots, \dot{\phi}_r), 331, 332$	$I_{\widetilde{G}}(\widetilde{\pi},\widetilde{\gamma}), 478$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, 253, 443	$I_o = I_{\psi,o}, 531$
7, 200, 440 T (N) 254	$I_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}(\widetilde{f}), 144$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_2(N), 254$	$I_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}(f), 144, 167$
$\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{ell}}, 254$	$I_{\text{disc},t,c}(f), 139$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\mathrm{sim}}(G), 254$	$I_{\operatorname{disc},t}(f) = I_{\operatorname{disc},t}^G(f), 124$
$G(\mathbb{A})^1$, 19	$\widetilde{I}_G(\pi,\gamma), 471$
$(G, \psi), 514$	$\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{disc},\dot{\psi}}(\widetilde{f}),378$
$(G', \mathcal{G}', s', \xi'), 130$	$\mathcal{I}(G), 52$
(- /2 /* /5 // -~~	(-),

$\mathcal{I}^{\mathcal{E}}(G), 554$	$N_+, 49, 273$
$\mathcal{I}_{P,\psi}(f)$, 145	$N_{\phi},16$
$\mathcal{I}_{P,t,c}(\chi,f), 138$	$N_{++}, 461$
$\mathcal{I}_{P,t}(\chi,f), 123, 127$	$N_{+,-}, 271$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}^{\mathcal{E}}(N), 60$	$\mathfrak{N}_{\psi},100$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_P(\pi_\psi, N), 116$	$\mathfrak{N}'_{\psi,\mathrm{reg}}(x), 191$
$\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{L}}(\mathcal{N}_{\psi}, \mathcal{N}), 110$	$\mathfrak{N}^*, 177$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\text{cusp}}^c(N), 352$	
$\widetilde{\mathcal{I}}_{\mathrm{sim}}(G),367$	$\mathfrak{N}_{\psi}(G,M),98$
$^{0}I'_{\mathrm{end}},463$	$\mathfrak{N}_{\psi}(w),183$
$^{0}I'_{\text{spec}}, 463$	$\mathfrak{N}_{\psi}(x), 191$
spec, 400	$\mathfrak{N}_{\psi,\mathrm{reg}}(x), 191$
7 (2) 00	77.30 77
$J_P(\widetilde{w},\pi_{\lambda}),96$	$Out_G(G'), 13, 131$
$J_{P' P}(\pi_{\lambda}), 82$	~
	$\operatorname{Out}_N(G)$, 12
$K_F, 41$	D 001
$K_{\rm ad}^* = K_{G_{\rm ad}^*}, 515$	$P_{+}, 281$
	$P_{+}^{\vee}, 281$
$K_{\psi} = I_{\psi} \coprod J_{\psi} \coprod J_{\psi}^{\vee}, 29$	$P_F \subset I_F, 43$
$\mathcal{K}(G),389$	$P_S, 163$
$\mathcal{K}_{\psi},217$	\overline{P}_{ψ} , 98
\mathcal{K}_{ψ}^{-} , 218	
κ_{ψ} , 210	$\mathcal{P}(M), 80$
L, 260, 447	D/ (~ /) 202
	$R'_{P_v}(r_v, \widetilde{\pi}_v, \psi_v), 293$
$L(s,\phi), 16$	$R(\sigma), 154$
$L(s,\phi_1\times\phi_2), 16$	$R(\tau), 350$
$L(s,\pi,r), 21$	$R^*, 177$
$L(s, \pi_1 \times \pi_2), 16$	$R_P(w,\pi,\psi), 96$
$L_{+} = G_1 \times L, 273$	
	$R_P(w, \widetilde{\pi}, \psi), 97$
$L_F, 3, 4$	$R_P(r,\sigma), 154$
$L_F^* \longrightarrow W_F, 241$	$R_{\psi}, 100$
$L_c \longrightarrow W_F, 505$	$R_{\psi}(G,M), 98$
$L_{F,\text{reg}}^* \longrightarrow W_F, 507$	$R_{P' P}(\pi_{\lambda},\psi_{\lambda}), 83$
$L^{2}_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}(G(F)\backslash G(\mathbb{A})), 147$	$R_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^G(f), 148$
$\widetilde{L}_c \longrightarrow W_F, 509$	$R_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^N,179$
$\widetilde{L}_{F,\text{reg}}^* \longrightarrow W_F, 510$	$R_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^{\tilde{G}},\ 177$
$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}(M_0), 124$	$R_{kk'}(g), 215$
$\mathcal{L}_{\phi} \longrightarrow \Gamma_F, F \text{ local, } 346$	$\dot{\sim}$ $\dot{\sim}$
	$\dot{\tilde{R}}_{\mathrm{disc},\dot{\psi}}(\dot{\tilde{f}}),378$
$\mathcal{L}_{\psi},30$	$\widetilde{R}(N)$, 179
$M \simeq CU(N)$ 900	24
$M \cong GL(N_1), 269$	$\tilde{R}(\sigma), 154$
$M_+, 271, 274$	al al soo
$M_0, 123$	$S' \to S'_G, 389$
$M_P(w,\chi_\lambda),124$	$S'_{\mathrm{disc},t} = S^{G'}_{\mathrm{disc},t}, 134$
M_x , 205	$S(f,g) = \widehat{S}(f^G, g^G), 351$
$M_{++}, 461$	$S \longrightarrow \tilde{S}_N = S_{\tilde{G}(N)}, 391$
$M_{++}^{\vee}, 461$	$S_{a}^{*}, 177$
$M_{P' P}(\dot{\pi}_{\lambda}),85$	$S^2, 49$
$M_{P,\psi}(w), 145$	$S_{ m ell},170$
$M_{P,t,c}(w,\chi), 138$	$S_{\infty}(u)$, 310
$M_{P,t}(\omega,\chi)$, 137 $M_{P,t}(w,\chi)$, 127	
	$S_{\infty}(u, V) = S(U, V) = U \cup V, 398$
$M_{\text{sim,bdd}}(N)$, 18	$S_{\infty}, 310$
$M_{\text{sim,bdd}}(N) \subset \Phi_{\text{sim,bdd}}(N) \subset \Phi(N), 18$	$S^u_{\infty}(V), 400$
\widetilde{M}_u , 99	S_{∞}^{u} , 311
-,	SS /

$S_{\phi}(G^{0}), 241$ $S_{\psi} = S_{\psi}(G), 241$ $S_{\psi}, 6, 32$ $S_{\psi}^{*}, 185$ $S_{k}(g), 216$ $S_{s}, 169$
$S_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}(f), 144$ $S_{\mathrm{disc},t}, 134$ $S_{\psi,\mathrm{sc}} \longrightarrow \overline{S}_{\psi}, 527$
\hat{S} , 53 $\hat{S}'_{\text{disc},\psi}(f')$, 145, 168 $\overline{S}'_{\psi,\text{ell}}$, 194
\overline{S}_{ψ} , 170, 527 $\overline{S}_{\phi,\text{reg,ell}}$, 346 $\overline{S}_{\phi,\text{reg}}$, 346
$\tilde{S}^{G}(\phi, \delta), 471$ ${}^{0}S^{G}_{\mathrm{disc}, \phi}(f), 499$
${}^{0}S_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^{*}(f^{*}), 257$ ${}^{0}\hat{S}_{\mathrm{disc},\psi}^{\prime}(f^{\prime}), 199$ $\mathcal{S}(G), 53$ $\mathcal{S}^{*}, 177$
$S_{\psi}, 6, 32$ $S_{\psi}^{1}, 100$
$S_{\psi,\text{ell}}, 265$ $S_{\psi,\text{sc}} \to R_{\psi}, 535$ $S_{\psi,\text{sc}} \longrightarrow S_{\psi}, 527$
$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^0(L)$, 260 $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}^c_{\text{cusp}}(G)$, 353 $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi}$, 178
$\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi}^{+}$, 78 $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\text{sim}}(G)$, 367 $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\psi,u}$, 99
T(G), 537 T(G, G'), 537 $T(\phi)$, F global, 481 $T(\phi)$, F local, 480 T_{ψ} , 100 $T_{\text{sc}}(G)$, 538 \overline{T}_{ψ} , 174 $\overline{T}_{\psi,x}$, 204 $\mathcal{T}_{\xi}^{\mathcal{E}}$, 554

$$U = S_{\infty}(u) = S_{\infty} \cup \{u\}, 398$$

$$V = V(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}), 287$$

$$W'_{\psi, \text{reg}}, 190$$

$$W(M)_{\text{reg}}, 124$$

$$W(S), 169$$

$$W(\pi), 112$$

$$W(\sigma), 154$$

$$W_F, 3$$

$$W^*, 177$$

$$W_0^G = W^G(M_0), 124$$

$$W_{\psi}, 100$$

$$W_{\psi}(G, M), 98$$

$$W_{\psi}(\pi), 97$$

$$W_{\psi}^0, 100$$

$$W_{\psi}(S, M), 98$$

$$W_{\text{reg}}(S), 169$$

$$X^*(G)_F, 19$$

$$X_{\text{ell}}(G), 347$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{=A_{\text{cusp}}}(1), 504$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\text{ell}}(G), 347$$

$$\mathfrak{X}_{c}, 504$$

$$(\mathfrak{X}_{G}, \chi), 126$$

$$Y_{\text{ell}}(G), 347$$

$$\mathcal{Z}(H), 122$$

$$Z(S), 169$$

$$Z(\widehat{G})^{\Gamma}, 5$$

$$Z_{\text{alg}}^{1}(W_{K/F}, G^*), 542$$

$$Z_{\psi} = Z(B_{\psi}), 531$$

$$Z_{\text{sc}}^{\infty, u}, 312$$

$$Z_{\text{sc}}^{\infty, v}, 515$$

$$Z(\widehat{G}')^{\Gamma}, 133$$

$$Z(N), 68$$

$$Z_{\psi}, 527$$

$$Z_{\psi, \text{sc}}, 527$$

Subject Index

adjoint transfer factor, 58	generic parameter
admissible, 549	in $\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}$, 256
admissible invariant distribution, 389	generic representation, 110
anisotropic, 517	global intertwining relation, 177
automorphic representation, vii	globally (B, χ) -generic, 483
Bernstein center, 388	Hasse principle, 513, 524, 525
bilinear forms, 515	Hecke type, 129
200	for \mathcal{F} , 152
central character of a parameter, 306	for $\widetilde{\mathcal{E}}_{\text{ell}}(N)$, 151
compatible families	
decomposable, 151 global, 151	inertia subgroup, 43
local, 57	wild, 43
Coxeter-Dynkin diagram, 517	infinitesimal character, 68
cuspidal function	inner form, 514
global, 19	inner twist, 514
local, 59	intertwining operator
cuspidal lift, 352	normalized, 83, 96
caspidar mit, 552	unnormalized, 96
discrete part of the trace formula, 124	invariant orbital integral, 52
dual group, 1	isobaric automorphic representation, 3, 22
duality operator, 389	isomorphism, 514
	isomorphism of endoscopic data, 12
elliptic, 12	isomorphism or endoscopic data, 12
elliptic element	L-equivalence, 4
in $T(G)$, 157	L-group, 1
elliptic endoscopic datum, 11, 133	L-homomorphism, 2
elliptic representation	L-packet, 4
of Λ_F , 7	tempered, 42
embedded eigenvalues, 187	λ -factor, 90
endoscopic datum, 11, 52, 130	Langlands group (global), ix, 3
ε-pair, 254	Levi embedding, 57
ε -parameter, 254 exponents, 318	Levi subset, 59
extended pure inner twist, 542	local intertwining relation
extended pure inner twist, 542	for \widetilde{G} , 108
fundamental lemma, 53	for $\widetilde{G}(N)$, 118
,	for G , 100
Galois cohomology, 514	locally (B, χ) -generic, 483
generalized Ramanujan conjecture, 25	locally G -relevant, 526

symmetric orbit \mathfrak{o}_{α} , 225

locally relevant, 131 symmetric square L-function, 49 LSK conjecture, 53 τ -equivalent, 160 tamely ramified parameter, 396 multiset, 42 Tate-Nakayama pairing, 541 normalized character, 470 the discrete part of the trace formula, normalized stable character, 471 124 the packet $\widetilde{\Sigma}_{\psi}$, 388 orthogonality relations, 354, 477 the three exceptional cases, 333 Tits indices, 515, 517 parabolic subset, 59 transfer factor standard, 390 global, 131 pseudo-z-embedding, 544 local, 52 pseudocoefficient, 312, 368 twisted cuspidal function, 317 pure inner twist, 540 twisted endoscopic group, 11 quadratic parameter, 396 unnormalized intertwining operator, 82 unramified group, 53 R-group, 100, 154 Rankin-Selberg convolution virtual character, 430 global, 22 weighted fundamental lemma, 135 local, 17 Weil group, 3 regular automorphic representation of Weyl character formula, 477 GL(N), 504 Whittaker datum, 55 regular Hecke family, 504 Whittaker functional, 62, 110 root number Whittaker integral, 111 orthogonal, 96 Whittaker model, 110 symplectic, 96 $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -symmetry, 482, 502 S-packet (S-set, set over S), 41 z-embedding, 542 self-dual representation z-extension, 542 of Λ_F , 7 Zelevinsky conjecture, 390 self-intertwining operator, 96 simple parameter, 29 skew-symmetric square L-function, 49 Speh character, 431 spherical fundamental lemma, 143 spherical parameter, 304 spherical representation, 304 stabilization, 134 stable linear form, 53 stable multiplicity formula, 171 stable orbital integral, 53 standard representation of G(F), 155 of $\widetilde{G}(N,F)$, 66 of $L_{F,\text{reg}}^*$, 507 of $\widetilde{L}_{F,\text{reg}}^*$, 511 of \mathcal{L}_{ψ} , 216 strongly isomorphic, 537 strongly regular, 52 supercuspidal representation, 18 symmetric inner twist, 552

Within the Langlands program, endoscopy is a fundamental process for relating automorphic representations of one group with those of another. In this book, Arthur establishes an endoscopic classification of automorphic representations of orthogonal and symplectic groups G. The representations are shown to occur in families (known as global L-packets and A-packets), which are parametrized by certain self-dual automorphic representations of an associated general linear group GL(N). The central result is a simple and explicit formula for the multiplicity in the automorphic discrete spectrum of G for any representation in a family.



Photo courtesy of the AMS

The results of the volume have already had significant applications: to the local Langlands correspondence, the construction of unitary representations, the existence of Whittaker models, the analytic behaviour of Langlands *L*-functions, the spectral theory of certain locally symmetric spaces, and to new phenomena for symplectic epsilon-factors. One can expect many more. In fact, it is likely that both the results and the techniques of the volume will have applications to almost all sides of the Langlands program.

The methods are by comparison of the trace formula of G with its stabilization (and a comparison of the twisted trace formula of GL(N) with its stabilization, which is part of work in progress by Moeglin and Waldspurger). This approach is quite different from methods that are based on L-functions, converse theorems, or the theta correspondence. The comparison of trace formulas in the volume ought to be applicable to a much larger class of groups. Any extension at all will have further important implications for the Langlands program.





AMS on the Web www.ams.org