

## 2-local isometries on $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$

Kazuhiro Kawamura, Hironao Koshimizu, and Takeshi Miura

ABSTRACT. Let  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  be the Banach space of all  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $[0, 1]$  with the norm

$$\|f\|_C = \sup_{t \in [0, 1]} \sum_{k=0}^n |f^{(k)}(t)|/k!.$$

We prove that every 2-local isometry on  $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$  is a surjective complex linear isometry. Two proofs, hopefully illuminating different aspects of the operator on the space, are presented.

### 1. Introduction

A mapping  $T: N \rightarrow N$  on a normed linear space  $(N, \|\cdot\|_N)$  over the complex number field  $\mathbb{C}$  is called an *isometry* if  $\|T(f) - T(g)\|_N = \|f - g\|_N$  for all  $f, g \in N$  (neither the linearity nor the surjectivity of the mapping is assumed). Motivated by the notion of 2-local automorphisms and derivations due to Šemrl [9], Molnár introduced the notion of 2-local isometry in [6]. A mapping  $S: N \rightarrow N$  is called a *2-local isometry* if for each  $f, g \in N$  there exists a surjective complex linear isometry  $T_{f,g}: N \rightarrow N$ , depending on  $f$  and  $g$ , such that  $S(f) = T_{f,g}(f)$  and  $S(g) = T_{f,g}(g)$ . Again neither the surjectivity nor the linearity of the mapping  $S$  is assumed. Characterizing 2-local isometries on various function spaces has been studied by several authors. For example, Györy [1] gave the characterization of 2-local isometries on  $C_0(X)$ , the Banach space of all continuous complex-valued functions vanishing at infinity defined on a first countable  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .

This paper deals with the space  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), the space of all  $n$ -times continuously differentiable functions on  $[0, 1]$ . Several norms are known which makes the space a Banach space. Hosseini [2] investigated 2-local isometries on  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , assuming the surjectivity and the real-linearity of such mappings, with respect to the norm  $\|f\|_n$  defined by  $\|f\|_n = \max\{|f(0)|, |f'(0)|, \dots, |f^{(n-1)}(0)|, \|f^{(n)}\|_\infty\}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is the supremum norm on  $[0, 1]$ . We study 2-local isometries, without the surjectivity/linearity assumption, on the space  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  with respect to the

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 46J10.

*Key words and phrases*. isometry, local isometry,  $n$ -times continuously differentiable function.

The first author is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 17K05241. The third author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 15K04921 and 16K05172.

norms  $\|\cdot\|_C$  and  $\|\cdot\|_\Sigma$  defined by:

$$\|f\|_C = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{|f^{(k)}(t)|}{k!} \quad \text{and} \quad \|f\|_\Sigma = \sum_{k=0}^n \|f^{(k)}\|_\infty$$

where  $f^{(0)}(t) = f(t)$ . We prove that every 2-local isometry on the space  $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$  is a surjective complex linear isometry on the space. The same holds for 2-local isometries on  $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\Sigma)$ .

Two proofs of our theorem are presented: one is based on the idea due to Jiménez-Vargas and Villegas-Vallecillos in [4, Proof of Theorem 2.1] and the other is based on the Bartle-Graves theorem. We hope that these proofs with different viewpoints would give us a new perspective to the 2-local-reflexivity problem. It is most likely that the present method could be applied to study 2-local isometries on some other function spaces. Its full development is a subject of future study. It should be mentioned that the 2-local reflexivity of various function spaces including  $C^{(1)}([0, 1])$  has been studied in a general framework by Hatori and Oi in [3]. Studying the connection of our method with theirs is also a subject of further study.

Before proceeding, let us observe that every 2-local isometry  $S : N \rightarrow N$  is an isometry; for each  $f, g \in N$  there exists an isometry  $T : N \rightarrow N$  such that  $S(f) = T(f), S(g) = T(g)$  and we have

$$\|S(f) - S(g)\|_N = \|T(f) - T(g)\|_N = \|f - g\|_N.$$

In particular every 2-local isometry is a continuous injection.

### 2. Main result and its First Proof

Let  $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$ . The following is our main theorem.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer and let  $S$  be a 2-local isometry of  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  with respect to the norm  $\|f\|_C = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} \sum_{k=0}^n |f^{(k)}(t)|/k!$ . There exists a constant  $c \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $S(f)(t) = cf(t)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , or  $S(f)(t) = cf(1 - t)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*

Both of our proofs depend on the characterization of complex linear  $\|\cdot\|_C$ -isometries on  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  due to Pathak:

**THEOREM 2.2.** [7, Theorem 2.5] *Let  $n \geq 1$  be an integer. For each surjective complex linear isometry  $T$  on  $(C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C)$ , there exists  $c \in \mathbb{T}$  such that  $T(f)(t) = cf(t)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , or  $T(f)(t) = cf(1 - t)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*

This section gives the first proof of Theorem 2.1 on the basis of the idea due to Jiménez-Vargas and Villegas-Vallecillos in [4, Proof of Theorem 2.1]. For later use, let  $\text{id}$  be the identity function on  $[0, 1]$ ,  $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the map given by  $r(t) = 1 - t$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ , and let  $\mathcal{H} = \{\text{id}, r\}$ , the only isometries on the unit interval  $[0, 1]$ . Note that

$$(2.1) \quad \varphi \circ \varphi = \text{id}, \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{H}.$$

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $S$  be a 2-local isometry on  $C^{(n)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_C$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{1}$  the constant function which takes the value 1. For each  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  there exists a surjective complex linear isometry  $T_g$  on  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  such

that  $S(\mathbf{1}) = T_g(\mathbf{1})$  and  $S(g) = T_g(g)$ . By Theorem 2.2, there exist  $c_g \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\phi_g \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $T_g(f)(t) = c_g f(\phi_g(t))$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Observe that  $c_g = T_g(\mathbf{1}) = S(\mathbf{1})$  and in particular  $c_g$  does not depend on  $g$ . Define  $S_0: C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \rightarrow C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  by  $S_0 = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}S$ . For each  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , we have

$$S_0(g) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}S(g) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}T_g(g) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}c_g(g \circ \phi_g) = g \circ \phi_g.$$

Hence, we get

$$(2.2) \quad S_0(g) = g \circ \phi_g, \quad g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1]).$$

For an arbitrary  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , choose a function  $h_0 \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  such that

$$(2.3) \quad h_0(t_0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h_0(t) < 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, 1] \setminus \{t_0\}.$$

For a function  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , we define the set  $E_{t_0, f}$  by

$$E_{t_0, f} = \{t \in [0, 1] : S_0(f)(t) = f(t_0)\} = \{t \in [0, 1] : f(\phi_f(t)) = f(t_0)\}.$$

In what follows we prove that the set  $\bigcap_{f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])} E_{t_0, f}$  is a singleton. More strongly we show the following equality for an arbitrary function  $h_0$  satisfying (2.3):

$$(2.4) \quad \bigcap_{f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])} E_{t_0, f} = \{\phi_{h_0}(t_0)\}.$$

First observe from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{t_0, h_0} &= \{t \in [0, 1] : h_0(\phi_{h_0}(t)) = h_0(t_0) = 1\} \\ &= \{t \in [0, 1] : \phi_{h_0}(t) = t_0\} = \{\phi_{h_0}(t_0)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus for the proof of (2.4) it suffices to show that  $\phi_{h_0}(t_0) \in E_{t_0, f}$  for each  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ . Take an arbitrary function  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and take a surjective complex linear isometry  $T_{f, h_0}$  on  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  such that  $S(f) = T_{f, h_0}(f)$  and  $S(h_0) = T_{f, h_0}(h_0)$ . Theorem 2.2 implies  $S(f) = c_{f, h_0}(f \circ \varphi_{f, h_0})$  and  $S(h_0) = c_{f, h_0}(h_0 \circ \varphi_{f, h_0})$  for some  $c_{f, h_0} \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\varphi_{f, h_0} \in \mathcal{H}$ . Using (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f \circ \phi_f &= S_0(f) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}S(f) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}c_{f, h_0}(f \circ \varphi_{f, h_0}), \\ h_0 \circ \phi_{h_0} &= S_0(h_0) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}c_{f, h_0}(h_0 \circ \varphi_{f, h_0}). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling (2.1) and using the second equality we obtain

$$1 = h_0(t_0) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}c_{f, h_0}h_0(\varphi_{f, h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))).$$

Hence  $S(\mathbf{1})\overline{c_{f, h_0}} = h_0(\varphi_{f, h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))) \geq 0$ . This and  $S(\mathbf{1})\overline{c_{f, h_0}} \in \mathbb{T}$  imply  $S(\mathbf{1})\overline{c_{f, h_0}} = 1$ . Thus

$$(2.5) \quad f \circ \phi_f = f \circ \varphi_{f, h_0} \quad \text{and} \quad h_0 \circ \phi_{h_0} = h_0 \circ \varphi_{f, h_0}.$$

Then (2.1) and the second equality of (2.5) show:

$$1 = h_0(t_0) = h_0(\phi_{h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))) = h_0(\varphi_{f, h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))),$$

and, by (2.3), we have  $\varphi_{f, h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0)) = t_0$ . Combining (2.2) and (2.5), we obtain

$$S_0(f)(\phi_{h_0}(t_0)) = f(\phi_f(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))) = f(\varphi_{f, h_0}(\phi_{h_0}(t_0))) = f(t_0).$$

Consequently,  $\phi_{h_0}(t_0) \in E_{t_0, f}$  for each  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  which proves the equality (2.4). Since  $\phi_{h_0}(t_0) = t_0$  or  $1 - t_0$ , what we have actually shown is:

$$(2.6) \quad \bigcap_{f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])} E_{t_0, f} = \{t_0\} \text{ or } \{1 - t_0\} \text{ for each } t_0 \in [0, 1].$$

The condition (2.4) allows us to define a map  $\psi: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  with the property that

$$\bigcap_{f \in C^{(n)}([0,1])} E_{t,f} = \{\psi(t)\}.$$

By the definition of  $E_{t,f}$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad S_0(f)(\psi(t)) = f(t), \quad f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1]), t \in [0, 1]$$

and by (2.6),  $\psi(t) \in \{t, 1 - t\}, t \in [0, 1]$ .

To finish the proof we need to show that  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ . For the proof let  $E_1 = \{t \in [0, 1] : \psi(t) = t\}$  and  $E_{-1} = \{t \in [0, 1] : \psi(t) = 1 - t\}$ . We see  $[0, 1] = E_1 \cup E_{-1}$  and  $E_1 \cap E_{-1} = \{1/2\}$ . We prove that

$$(*) \quad E_1 \cap [0, 1/2) \text{ is closed in } [0, 1/2).$$

For the proof, let  $(t_n)$  be a sequence in  $E_1 \cap [0, 1/2)$  such that  $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \in [0, 1/2)$  and suppose that  $t_0 \in E_{-1}$ . Then

$$S_0(f)(t_0) = \lim_n S_0(f)(t_n) = \lim_n S_0(f)(\psi(t_n)) = \lim_n f(t_n) = f(t_0)$$

and also

$$S_0(f)(1 - t_0) = S_0(f)(\psi(t_0)) = f(t_0),$$

hence  $S_0(f)(t_0) = S_0(f)(1 - t_0)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ . Recalling (2.2) it follows that  $f(\phi_f(t_0)) = f(\phi_f(1 - t_0))$  with  $\phi_f \in \mathcal{H}$ . This implies  $f(t_0) = f(1 - t_0)$  for all  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , a contradiction because  $t_0 \neq 1 - t_0$ . Thus we have  $t_0 \in E_1$  and  $(*)$  is proved.

Similarly  $E_{-1} \cap [0, 1/2)$  is closed in  $[0, 1/2)$  and thus

$$E_1 \supset [0, 1/2] \quad \text{or} \quad E_{-1} \supset [0, 1/2].$$

Likewise we have  $E_1 \supset [1/2, 1]$  or  $E_{-1} \supset [1/2, 1]$ . Suppose that both inclusions  $E_1 \supset [0, 1/2]$  and  $E_{-1} \supset [1/2, 1]$  hold. Then we see  $\psi(t) = t$  for  $t \in [0, 1/2]$  and  $\psi(t) = 1 - t$  for  $t \in [1/2, 1]$ . Hence  $\psi([0, 1]) = [0, 1/2]$ . However by (2.2) and (2.7), we have

$$f(\phi_f(\psi(t))) = S_0(f)(\psi(t)) = f(t), \quad f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1]), t \in [0, 1],$$

hence we obtain  $f(\phi_f([0, 1/2])) = f([0, 1])$  for each  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , which is impossible. Similarly both of the inclusions “ $E_{-1} \supset [0, 1/2], E_1 \supset [1/2, 1]$ ” do not hold and what remains is either of the equalities:

$$E_1 = [0, 1] \quad \text{or} \quad E_{-1} = [0, 1]$$

which means that  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}$ . Using (2.1) and (2.7) we have  $S_0(f)(t) = S_0(f)(\psi(\psi(t))) = f(\psi(t))$  for  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1]), t \in [0, 1]$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

By examining the above proof, we see readily that the role of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_C$  in the theorem is just to ensure the characterization theorem, Theorem 2.2. Such a theorem has been known also for the norm  $\|\cdot\|_\Sigma$  on the space  $C^{(1)}([0, 1])$  [8, Theorem 4.1]. Therefore we have

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Every 2-local isometry on  $C^{(1)}([0, 1])$  with the norm  $\|f\|_\Sigma = \|f\|_\infty + \|f'\|_\infty$  for  $f \in C^{(1)}([0, 1])$  is a surjective complex linear isometry on  $(C^{(1)}([0, 1]), \|\cdot\|_\Sigma)$ , where  $\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{t \in [0,1]} |g(t)|$ .*

### 3. The second proof

This section gives an alternative proof of Theorem 2.1 applying the following form of the Bartle-Graves theorem [5].

**THEOREM 3.1.** [5, Example 1.3, Example 1.3\*, Corollary on p.364, and Theorem 3.2"] *Let  $u : E \rightarrow B$  be a bounded linear surjection between Banach spaces  $E$  and  $B$ . Then*

- (1) *there exists a continuous map  $s : B \rightarrow E$  such that  $u \circ s = \text{id}_B$  and  $s(0) = 0$ .*
- (2) *The map  $\Phi : \text{Ker } u \times B \rightarrow E$  defined by*

$$\Phi(e, b) = e + s(b), \quad (e, b) \in \text{Ker } u \times B$$

*is a homeomorphism.*

In particular the restrictions  $\Phi|_{\text{Ker } u \times \{0\}} : \text{Ker } u \times \{0\} \rightarrow \text{Ker } u$  and  $\Phi|_{\text{Ker } u \times (B \setminus \{0\})} : \text{Ker } u \times (B \setminus \{0\}) \rightarrow E \setminus \text{Ker } u$  are homeomorphisms. The inverse homeomorphism  $\Phi^{-1} : E \rightarrow \text{Ker } u \times B$  is given by

$$\Phi^{-1}(e) = (e - (s \circ u)(e), u(e)), \quad e \in E.$$

**THE SECOND PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $S : C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \rightarrow C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  be a 2-local isometry. As is noticed in Section 1,  $S$  is a continuous operator. For each  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , choose a complex linear  $\|\cdot\|_C$ -isometry  $T_g : C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \rightarrow C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  such that  $S(g) = T_g(g), S(\mathbf{1}) = T_g(\mathbf{1})$ . Again by Theorem 2.2, there exist  $c_g \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $\phi_g : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  such that  $T_g f(t) = c_g f(\phi_g(t)), f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ , where  $c_g \in \mathbb{T}$  and  $\phi_g \in \mathcal{H}$ . As in the first proof, we have  $c_g = S(\mathbf{1})$  and thus  $S(g)(t) = (S(\mathbf{1}))g(\phi_g(t))$  for  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Recall  $\mathcal{H} = \{\text{id}, r\}$  where  $r(t) = 1 - t, t \in [0, 1]$ . As before, let  $S_0(f) = \overline{S(\mathbf{1})}S(f) = f \circ \phi_f, f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  (see (2.2)). It is a continuous mapping.

For  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  with  $g(t_0) \neq g(1 - t_0)$  for some  $t_0 \in [0, 1]$ , the map  $\phi_g$  is uniquely determined, because  $(S(\mathbf{1}))g(t) = (S(\mathbf{1}))g(1 - t)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$  forces  $g(t) = g(1 - t)$  for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Let

$$Z = \{g \in C^{(n)}([-1, 1]) : g \circ r \neq g\}.$$

By the above remark, we have a well-defined map  $Q : Z \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$  given by

$$Q(g) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \phi_g(t) = t, \\ -1 & \text{if } \phi_g(t) = 1 - t \end{cases}.$$

We show that  $Q$  is continuous. It suffices to prove that  $Q^{-1}(1)$  and  $Q^{-1}(-1)$  are closed in  $Z$ . Suppose that a sequence  $(g_n)$  in  $Q^{-1}(1)$  converges to  $g \in Z$ . By the continuity of  $S_0$ , we have  $S_0(g_n) \rightarrow S_0(g)$  and thus  $g_n \circ \phi_{g_n} \rightarrow g \circ \phi_g$ . Since  $Q(g_n) = 1$  for each  $n$ , we have  $\phi_{g_n} = \text{id}$  and thus  $g = g \circ \phi_g$ . Since  $g \in Z$ , we have  $\phi_g = \text{id}$  and  $g \in Q^{-1}(1)$ . The same argument shows that  $Q^{-1}(-1)$  is closed in  $Z$ . Next we prove

(\*\*)  $Z$  is connected.

For the proof, let  $R : C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \rightarrow C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  be the linear operator defined by

$$Rf = f \circ r - f, \quad f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1]).$$

Then  $Z = C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \setminus \text{Ker } R$ . We have  $\text{Im } R = A := \{f \mid f \circ r = -f\}$ : in fact, the inclusion  $\text{Im } R \subset A$  is readily verified. If  $h \circ r = -h$ , then  $R(-\frac{1}{2}h) = \frac{1}{2}(-h \circ r + h) =$

$h$  and  $h \in \text{Im } R$ . Thus we see the above equality. The subspace  $A$  is a Banach space as a closed subspace of  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ . Applying Theorem 3.1 and the remark after the theorem, we see

$$Z = C^{(n)}([0, 1]) \setminus \text{Ker } R \text{ is homeomorphic to } \text{Ker } R \times (A \setminus \{0\}).$$

It is easy to see that  $A \setminus \{0\}$  is connected because  $A$  is an infinite dimensional Banach space, and so is the product  $\text{Ker } R \times (A \setminus \{0\})$  and hence  $Z$  is connected which proves (\*\*).

The continuity of the map  $Q$  and (\*\*) imply that  $Q$  is a constant map. Therefore, there exists  $\phi \in \mathcal{H}$  such that ,

$$(3.1) \quad S(f)(t) = (S(\mathbf{1}))f(\phi(t)), \quad f \in Z, \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

Furthermore the space  $Z$  is dense in  $C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ . In fact, it is easy to find a non-zero function  $h_0$  with  $\|h_0\|_C$  being arbitrarily small such that  $h_0 \neq h_0 \circ r$ . Then for each  $g \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$  with  $g = g \circ r$ , the function  $g + h_0$  is an approximation of  $g$  with  $g + h_0 \in Z$ . By the continuity of  $S$ , we see that (3.1) holds for each  $f \in C^{(n)}([0, 1])$ . This proves the theorem.  $\square$

## References

- [1] M. Györy, *2-local isometries of  $C_0(X)$* , Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **67** (2001), no. 3-4, 735–746. MR1876463
- [2] M. Hosseini, *Generalized 2-local isometries of spaces of continuously differentiable functions*, Quaest. Math. **40** (2017), no. 8, 1003–1014, DOI 10.2989/16073606.2017.1344889. MR3765283
- [3] O. Hatori and S. Oi, *2-local isometries on function spaces*, preprint, arXiv:1812.10342v2.
- [4] A. Jiménez-Vargas and M. Villegas-Vallecillos, *2-local isometries on spaces of Lipschitz functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **54** (2011), no. 4, 680–692, DOI 10.4153/CMB-2011-025-5. MR2894518
- [5] E. Michael, *Continuous selections. I*, Ann. of Math. (2) **63** (1956), 361–382, DOI 10.2307/1969615. MR0077107
- [6] L. Molnár, *2-local isometries of some operator algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2) **45** (2002), no. 2, 349–352, DOI 10.1017/S0013091500000043. MR1912644
- [7] V. D. Pathak, *Isometries of  $C^{(n)}[0, 1]$* , Pacific J. Math. **94** (1981), no. 1, 211–222. MR625820
- [8] N. V. Rao and A. K. Roy, *Linear isometries of some function spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **38** (1971), 177–192. MR0308763
- [9] P. Šemrl, *Local automorphisms and derivations on  $\mathcal{B}(H)$* , Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1997), no. 9, 2677–2680, DOI 10.1090/S0002-9939-97-04073-2. MR1415338

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF TSUKUBA, TSUKUBA, IBARAKI 305-8571, JAPAN  
*Email address:* kawamura@math.tsukuba.ac.jp

NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, YONAGO COLLEGE, YONAGO 683-8502, JAPAN  
*Email address:* koshimizu@yonago-k.ac.jp

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, NIIGATA UNIVERSITY, NIIGATA 950-2181, JAPAN  
*Email address:* miura@math.sc.niigata-u.ac.jp