## ON THE FACTORIZATION OF INTEGRAL FUNCTIONS WITH *p*-ADIC COEFFICIENTS.

BY PROFESSOR L. E. DICKSON.

(Read before the American Mathematical Society, September 6, 1910.)

1. IF F(x) is an integral function of degree *n* with integral *p*-adic coefficients, then for any integer *k* we have a congruence

(1) 
$$F(x) \equiv F^{(k)}(x) = F_0(x) + pF_1(x) + p^2F_2(x) + \dots + p^kF_k(x) \pmod{p^{k+1}},$$

in which each  $F_i(x)$  is an integral function of degree  $\leq n$  with coefficients belonging to the set  $0, 1, \dots, p-1$ . The function  $F^{(k)}(x)$  is called the convergent of rank k of F(x). If

(2) 
$$F(x) = f(x) \cdot g(x) \qquad (p),$$

in which the factors are integral functions with integral p-adic coefficients, then for any integer k we obviously have

(3) 
$$F^{(k)}(x) \equiv f^{(k)}(x) \cdot g^{(k)}(x) \pmod{p^{k+1}}.$$

The following converse theorem plays a fundamental rôle in Hensel's new theory of algebraic numbers :\* If

(4) 
$$F(x) \equiv f_0(x) \cdot g_0(x) \pmod{p^{s+1}}$$

for  $\dagger s + 1 > 2\rho$ , where  $\rho$  is the order of the resultant R of  $f_0(x)$ and  $g_0(x)$ , then F(x) is the product (2) of two integral functions with integral p-adic coefficients whose convergents of rank  $s - \rho$ are  $f_0(x)$  and  $g_0(x)$ .

Hensel's proof is in effect a process to construct the successive convergents of f(x) and g(x). Each step of the process requires the solution of a linear equation in two unknowns with *p*-adic coefficients. The object of this note is to furnish a decidedly simpler process, which dispenses with these linear

<sup>\*</sup> Hensel, Theorie der algebraischen Zahlen, Leipzig, Teubner, 1908, p. 71.

<sup>†</sup> This condition is satisfied if  $s = \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the order of the discriminant of F(x). Hence we obtain as a corollary the theorem of Hensel, page 68.

equations, and requires only the solution of a single linear congruence.

By the remark of Hensel, bottom of page 64, we may assume that the leading coefficients in F(x),  $f_0(x)$ , and  $g_0(x)$  are powers of p, so that  $F - f_0 g_0$  is of degree less than n.

2. For the sake of simplicity, we first establish the theorem for the important case  $s = \rho = 0$ : If  $F(x) = F_0(x) + pF_1(x)$  $+ \cdots$ , in which the coefficient of  $x^n$  in  $F_0$  is unity and  $F_1(i>0)$ is of degree less than n, and if

(5) 
$$F_0(x) \equiv f_0(x) \cdot g_0(x) \qquad (\text{mod } p),$$

in which  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  are integral functions of degrees  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively, with integral coefficients, while  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  have no common factor modulo p, then integral functions  $f_i(x)$  of degrees  $<\mu$  and  $g_i(x)$  of degrees  $<\nu$  with integral coefficients can be so determined that

$$f = f_0 + pf_1 + p^2f_2 + \cdots, \quad g = g_0 + pg_1 + p^2g_2 + \cdots$$

have as their product F(x). It is meant by the last statement that congruence (3) holds for every integer k.

Since  $f_0$  and  $g_0$  have no common factor, integral functions a(x) and b(x) with integral coefficients can be determined (for example, by Euclid's process) such that

(6) 
$$af_0 + bg_0 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

By (5),  $F_0 - f_0 g_0$  is the product of p by an integral function with integral coefficients which may be designated  $L_1(x) - F_1(x)$ ,

(7) 
$$F_0 - f_0 g_0 = p(L_1 - F_1).$$

By the remark at the end of § 1,  $L_1$  like  $F_1$  is of degree less than n. The congruence

$$F_0 + pF_1 \equiv (f_0 + pf_1)(g_0 + pg_1) \pmod{p^2}$$

is equivalent, in view of (7), to

(8) 
$$L_1 \equiv f_0 g_1 + g_0 f_1 \pmod{p}.$$

In view of (6), every set of solutions is given by

(9) 
$$f_1 \equiv bL_1 - \rho_1 f_0, \quad g_1 \equiv aL_1 + \rho_1 g_0.$$

We choose  $\rho_1(x)$  so that the degree of  $f_1$  shall be less than the degree  $\mu$  of  $f_0$ . Then the final term of (8) is of degree  $< \mu + \nu$ ,

so that the degree of  $g_1$  is  $< \nu$ . Hence the required functions  $f_1$  and  $g_1$  are given by (9).

To make the general step by induction, suppose that, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , functions  $f_i$  of degrees  $< \mu$  and  $g_i$  of degrees  $< \nu$  have been determined so that (3) holds. Hence we may set

(10) 
$$F^{(k)} = f^{(k)}g^{(k)} + p^{k+1}(L_{k+1} - F_{k+1}),$$

where  $L_{k+1}$  is of degree less than *n*. Since

(11) 
$$\begin{aligned} F^{(k+1)} &= F^{(k)} + p^{k+1}F_{k+1}, \quad f^{(k+1)} = f^{(k)} + p^{k+1}f_{k+1}, \\ g^{(k+1)} &= g^{(k)} + p^{k+1}g_{k+1}, \end{aligned}$$

the condition for the congruence

$$F^{(k+1)} \equiv f^{(k+1)} g^{(k+1)} \pmod{p^{k+2}}$$

becomes, upon applying (10),

$$L_{k+1} \equiv f^{(k)}g_{k+1} + g^{(k)}f_{k+1} \equiv f_0g_{k+1} + g_0f_{k+1} \pmod{p}.$$

The general set of solutions is

$$f_{k+1} \equiv bL_{k+1} - \rho_{k+1}f_0, \quad g_{k+1} \equiv aL_{k+1} + \rho_{k+1}g_0.$$

We determine  $\rho_{k+1}$  so that the degree of  $f_{k+1}$  shall be less than the degree  $\mu$  of  $f_0$ ; then the degree of  $g_{k+1}$  will be less than the degree  $\nu$  of  $g_0$ . Since the induction is complete, our theorem is proved.

3. We readily deduce recursion formulas for the functions  $L_i$ . We have proved that functions  $L_i$  and  $\rho_i$  can be determined so that

(12) 
$$f_i = bL_i - \rho_i f_0, \quad g_i = aL_i + \rho_i g_0$$
  $(i \ge 1)$ 

give functions  $f_i$  of degrees  $< \mu$  and  $g_i$  of degrees  $< \nu$  for which congruence (3) holds for every k. From (6),

(13) 
$$af_0 + bg_0 = 1 + p\lambda(x).$$

In (10) we replace  $F^{(k)}$ ,  $f^{(k)}$ ,  $g^{(k)}$  by the values obtained by replacing k by k-1 in (11) and then replace  $F^{(k-1)}$  by the value obtained by replacing k by k-1 in (10). After deleting the factor  $p^k$ , we get

$$L_{k} = f_{k}g^{(k-1)} + g_{k}f^{(k-1)} + p^{k}f_{k}g_{k} + pL_{k+1} - pF_{k+1}.$$

In the terms  $f_k g_0 + g_k f_0$  we replace  $f_k$  and  $g_k$  by their values

(12) and apply (13). After deleting the factor 
$$p$$
 we get

(14)  $L_{k+1} = F_{k+1} - \lambda L_k - f_k[g]_{k-1} - g_k[f]_{k-1} - p^{k-1}f_kg_k,$ in which we have employed the abbreviation

(15)  $[z]_i = z_1 + pz_2 + p^2 z_3 + \dots + p^{i-1} z_i, \quad [z]_0 = 0.$ If in (10) we set

$$\begin{split} F^{(k)} &= F_{0} + p[F]_{k}, \quad f^{(k)} = f_{0} + p[f]_{k}, \quad g^{(k)} = g_{0} + p[g]_{k}, \\ \gamma &= (F_{0} - f_{0}g_{0})/p, \end{split}$$

and then delete the factor p, we get

$$\begin{split} f_0[g]_k + g_0[f]_k + p^k L_{k+1} &= \gamma + [F]_k + p^k F_{k+1} - p[f]_k [g]_k. \\ \text{By (12), (15), the sum of the first two terms equals} \end{split}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{i-1} (af_0 + bg_0) L_i = (1 + p\lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{k} p^{i-1} L_i = (1 + p\lambda) [L]_k.$$

Hence we obtain the formula

(16) 
$$[L]_{k+1} = \gamma + [F]_{k+1} - p\lambda[L]_k - p[f]_k[g]_k.$$

It is also easy to establish this formula by induction.

4. To prove the more general theorem of \$1, we apply the method of \$2 with congruence (6) replaced by

(17) 
$$af_0 + bg_0 \equiv p^{\rho} \qquad (\text{mod } p^{s+1}).$$

Since the resultant of  $f_0(x)$  and  $g_0(x)$  is divisible by  $p^{\rho}$ , but by no higher power of p, solutions a(x) and b(x) of (17) can be determined by the method given by Hensel on pages 62, 63. In view of (4),

$$F^{(s+1)} - f_0 g_0 = p^{s+1} L_{1s}$$

where  $L_1$  is of degree less than *n*. Then the congruence

(18) 
$$F^{(s+1)} \equiv (f_0 + pf_1)(g_0 + pg_1) \pmod{p^{s+2}}$$

is satisfied if we take

$$f_1\equiv p^{s-\rho}(bL_1-\rho_1f_0), \quad g_1\equiv p^{s-\rho}(aL_1+\rho_1g_0) \pmod{p^{s+1}},$$
 since by (17)

(20) 
$$f_0 g_1 + f_1 g_0 \equiv p^s L_1 \pmod{p^{s+1}},$$

22

and  $p^2 f_1 g_1$  is divisible by  $p^t$ , where  $t = 2 + 2s - 2\rho > s + 1$ . We choose  $\rho_1(x)$  so that the degree of  $f_1(x)$  shall be less than the degree of  $f_0(x)$ ; then by (20) the degree of  $g_1(x)$  will be less than the degree of  $g_0(x)$ .

Similarly, if in accord with (18) we set

$$F^{(s+1)} - (f_0 + pf_1)(g_0 + pg_1) = p^{s+2}(L_2 - F_{s+2}),$$

the congruence

$$F^{(s+2)} \equiv (f_0 + pf_1 + p^2f_2)(g_0 + pg_1 + p^2g_2) \pmod{p^{s+3}}$$

is satisfied if we take

$$f_2 \equiv p^{s-\rho}(bL_2 - \rho_2 f_0), \quad g_2 \equiv p^{s-\rho}(aL_2 + \rho_2 g_0) \pmod{p^{s+1}}.$$

The general step in the proof may now be made as in § 2.

## HENSEL'S THEORY OF ALGEBRAIC NUMBERS.

Theorie der Algebraischen Zahlen. Von KURT HENSEL. Erster Band. Leipzig and Berlin, Teubner, 1908. xi + 346 pp.

In the theory of functions one may investigate an analytic function in the neighborhood of a point z = a by means of a power series in z - a. In arithmetic one usually employs only developments according to the fixed base 10. The author undertakes in the present work to introduce a corresponding mobility into arithmetic and algebra by employing expansions of numbers into power series in an arbitrary prime number p.

A positive integer D can be expressed in one and but one way in the form

$$D = d_0 + d_1 p + \dots + d_k p^k,$$

in which each  $d_i$  is one of the integers 0, 1, ..., p-1. This equation will be said to define the representation of D as a p-adic number, for which the following symbol will be employed:

$$D = d_0, d_1 d_2 \cdots d_k \ (p).$$

For example,

$$14 = 2 + 3 + 3^2 = 2,11$$
 (3),  $216 = 2 \cdot 3^3 + 2 \cdot 3^4 = 0,0022$  (3).

The sum of two such p-adic numbers is readily expressed as a p-adic number. For example,

$$0,0022 + 0,1021 = 0,10111$$
 (3).