GROUP OF A SET OF SIMULTANEOUS ALGEBRAIC EQUATIONS*

BY LOUIS WEISNER

1. Introduction. Consider a system of m independent and consistent algebraic equations in m variables

(1)
$$f_k(z_1, \dots, z_m) = 0, \quad (k = 1, \dots, m).$$

Let the roots of this system of equations be $(z_{1a}, \ldots z_{ma})$ $(a = 1, \ldots, n)$. We assume that each z_{pa} is finite. Therefore by a process of elimination we obtain equations

(2)
$$F_p(z_p) = 0, \qquad (p = 1, \dots, m),$$

each of which is of degree n and involves only one of the unknowns. None of these equations vanishes identically, since equations (1) are independent. We assume that none of equations (2) has a multiple root.

A rational domain R which includes the coefficients of (1) includes the coefficients of (2). It will be shown in this paper that the groups of equations (2) relative to R are identical, except for the symbols which they affect.

2. Elimination of z_m . On eliminating z_m from (1) we obtain m-1 equations involving z_1, \dots, z_{m-1} . Now z_{m-1} cannot be absent from all of these equations; for in that case we would have m-1 equations involving fewer than m-1 unknowns, and equations (1) would not be consistent and independent as assumed. Repeating this argument we can find two independent and consistent equations

(3)
$$g_1(z_1, z_2) = 0, \qquad g_2(z_1, z_2) = 0,$$

involving the unknowns z_1 , z_2 (or any other pair of the unknowns). This elimination can always be carried out in such a way that if (z_{1a}, z_{2a}) is a root of equations (3), then there is a root $(z_{1a}, z_{2a}, \dots, z_{ma})$ of equations (1).

^{*} Presented to the Society, December 27, 1923.

3. Relations of Rationality. Let R' be the domain obtained by adjoining z_{2a} to R. By equations (3), $g_1(z_{1a}, z_{2a})$ and $g_2(z_{1a}, z_{2a})$ are rational functions of a root of $F_1(z_1) = 0$, with coefficients in R' which equal a number (zero) in R'; they are therefore unaltered in value by the substitutions of the group H of $F_1(z_1) = 0$ relative to R'. Suppose that a substitution of H changes z_{1a} to z_{1b} . Applying this substitution to $g_1(z_{1a}, z_{2a})$ and $g_2(z_{1a}, z_{2a})$ we obtain $g_1(z_{1b}, z_{2a}) = 0$ and $g_2(z_{1b}, z_{2a}) = 0$. By § 2, there are two roots $(z_{1a}, z_{2a}, \dots, z_{ma})$ and $(z_{1b}, z_{2a}, \dots, z_{mb})$ of equations (1) with the same value for z_2 . Therefore $F_2(z_2)=0$ has a multiple root, contrary to assumption. It follows that H leaves z_{1a} fixed. Hence z_{1a} is in R' and is a rational function of z_{2a} with coefficients in R.

THEOREM 1. If $(z_{1a}, z_{2a}, \dots, z_{ma})$ is a root of equations (1), z_{pa} equals a rational function of z_{qa} with coefficients in R $(p, q = 1, 2, \dots, m)^*$.

4. Group Relations. Let us regard G_p , the group of $F_p(z_p) = 0$ relative to R, as a substitution group not on the roots $z_{p1}, z_{p2}, \dots, z_{pn}$, but on their second subscripts. Thus (12) simultaneously interchanges z_{11} and z_{12}, z_{21} and z_{22} etc. With this understanding we state our main result.

THEOREM 2. The groups of equations (2) relative to R are identical.

We shall prove G_1 identical with G_2 . By Theorem 1, $z_{pa} = \varphi_{pa}(z_{1a})$ where φ_{pa} is a rational function with coefficients in *R*. Hence by equations (1)

(4)
$$f_k[z_{1a}, \varphi_{2a}(z_{1a}), \dots, \varphi_{ma}(z_{1a})] = 0, \begin{pmatrix} k = 1, 2, \dots, m \\ a = 1, 2, \dots, n \end{pmatrix}$$

* The following is a proof which might be offered of this theorem: Eliminate every power of z_1 from equations (3) except the first; then z_1 is expressed as a rational function of z_2 with coefficients in R. The objection to this proof is that in the process of elimination the first power of z_1 may be incidentally eliminated. It is easy to make up examples where this actually occurs. I believe the proof given above is free from objection. Now suppose a substitution of G_1 replaces z_{1a} by z_{1b} . Then equations (4) become

(5)
$$f_k[z_{1b}, \varphi_{2a}(z_{1b}), \dots, \varphi_{ma}(z_{1b})] = 0.$$

Therefore $[z_{1b}, \varphi_{2a}(z_{1b}), \dots, \varphi_{ma}(z_{1b})]$ is a root of (1). But $[z_{1b}, \varphi_{2b}(z_{1b}), \dots, \varphi_{mb}(z_{1b})]$ is a root of (1), and (2) has no multiple root. Hence $\varphi_{pa}(z_{1b}) = \varphi_{pb}(z_{1b})$. We may therefore omit the second subscript of φ_{pa} and write

Now let $\psi(z_{21}, \dots, z_{2n})$ be a rational function with coefficients in R of the roots of $F_2(z_2) = 0$ which equals a number in R. Then by (6) $\psi[\varphi_2(z_{11}), \dots, \varphi_2(z_{1n})]$ is a rational function with coefficients in R of the roots of $F_1(z_1) = 0$ which equals a number in R and hence is unaltered by G_1 . But on applying a substitution of G to $\psi[\varphi_2(z_{11}), \dots, \varphi_2(z_{1n})]$ we find that, in virtue of (6), z_{21}, \dots, z_{2n} undergo a similar substitution. Therefore $\psi(z_{21}, \dots, z_{2n})$ is unaltered by every substitution of G_1 . It follows that G_1 is a subgroup of G_2 . Similarly G_2 is a subgroup of G_1 . Hence G_1 and G_2 are identical.

5. A Necessary and Sufficient Condition. We shall call $G = G_p$ $(p = 1, \dots, m)$ the group of equations (1) relative to R. Let $\psi(z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}; \dots; z_{m1}, \dots, z_{mn})$ be unaltered in value by all the substitutions of G. Then so is $\psi[z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}; \dots; \varphi_m(z_{11}), \dots, \varphi_m(z_{1n})]$. But the latter is a rational function with coefficients in R of the roots of $F_1(z_1) = 0$, which is unaltered by G. Hence it equals a number in R. Conversely, let $\psi(z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}; \dots; z_{m1}, \dots, z_{mn})$ equal a number in R. Then so does $\psi[z_{11}, \dots, z_{1n}; \dots; \varphi_m(z_{11}), \dots, \varphi_m(z_{1n})]$. Since the latter is unaltered by G, so is the former.

THEOREM 3. A necessary and sufficient condition that a rational function with coefficients in R, of the numbers satisfying a set of independent and consistent algebraic equations with coefficients in R, be unaltered in value by the group of the equations relative to R, is that it equal a number in R.

THE UNIVERSITY OF ROCHESTER

316