$m_{n}$, all other solutions being obtained from this solution by the addition of multiples of $k$.

Theorem 2. If the minimum equations of $n$ finite square matrices $m_{1}$ to $m_{n}$ with elements in a field $F$ are relatively prime then for any set of $n$ polynomials $h_{1}, \cdots, h_{m}$, in $F$, a polynomial $f$ may be found such that

$$
f\left(m_{i}\right)=h_{i}\left(m_{i}\right), \quad(i=1, \cdots, n)
$$

These theorems specialize to the above mentioned algebraic theorem since each $x_{i}$ is a one by one matrix with minimum equation $\lambda-x_{i}=0$.

It should be noted that in the above discussion no restriction as to the field in which the elements of the matrices may lie is made, nor are the $m_{i}$ necessarily of the same order.
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## PROBLEMS OF THE CALCULUS OF VARIATIONS WITH PRESCRIBED TRANSVERSALITY CONDITIONS*

## BY LINCOLN LA PAZ $\dagger$

1. Introduction. Problems of the calculus of variations in the plane for which a prescribed relation exists between the directions of the extremals and the transversals were first studied by Stromquist $\ddagger$ and Bliss. § Recently Rawles, $\|$ using a method based on properties of the Hilbert invariant integral, has given an interesting treatment of the analogous problem in ( $x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$ )-space.

In the present paper the latter problem is attacked from a quite different point of view. I The method here used avoids a restrictive hypothesis made by Rawles with regard to the ex-

[^0]istence of an $n$-parameter family of Mayer fields;* and the results of his paper are supplemented by a proof that his formula $\dagger f=g e^{H}$ actually gives the most general non-singular integrand function $f$ compatible with a prescribed transversality relation.
2. Properties of the Transversality Coefficients of $a$ NonSingular Problem of the Calculus of Variations. For a problem of minimizing the integral
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int_{a_{1}}^{x_{2}} f\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

an extremal $E$ through the point $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ in the direction ( $\left.1: y_{1}^{\prime}: \cdots: y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ is said to be cut transversally by the hyperplane of directions ( $d x: d y_{1}: \cdots: d y_{n}$ ) through this point in case

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) d x+\left(\partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) d y_{\mu}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (2) the arguments in $f$ and its derivatives are $x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}$, $y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}$ and, as elsewhere in this paper, a repeated Greek letter is an umbral index indicating a summation with the range 1 to $n$ unless otherwise specified. This definition of transversality is the usual one: it makes no appeal to the notion of a field or the transversal hypersurfaces thereof and defines what is meant by transversality for every set $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right.$, $y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}$ ) in the fundamental region $R$ of the integral (1) $\ddagger$.

If (1) is a non-singular problem, that is to say, if there exists a region $R$ in which $D=\left|\partial^{2} f / \partial y_{i}^{\prime} \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right|,(i, j=1, \cdots, n)$, is everywhere different from zero, $\S$ then the quantity $\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)$

[^1]is not identically zero. For if $f$ satisfies the equation $f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}=0$ it can be shown by consideration of the associated symmetric system
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
d v_{1}^{\prime} / y_{1}^{\prime}=\cdots=d y_{n}^{\prime} / y_{n}^{\prime}=d f / f \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

that the most general non-singular integral $f$ of $f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}=0$ is given by

$$
\begin{gather*}
f=y_{1}^{\prime} F\left(u_{2}, \cdots, u_{n}, x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right), \quad u_{k}=y_{k}^{\prime} / y_{1}^{\prime}  \tag{4}\\
k=2, \cdots, n
\end{gather*}
$$

where $F$ is an arbitrary function of its arguments. But for the integrand function $f$ in (4) it is found that

$$
D=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial u_{\beta} \partial u_{\gamma}} & \frac{y_{\beta}^{\prime} y_{\gamma}^{\prime}}{\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{3}}, & -\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial u_{\beta} \partial u_{c}} & \frac{y_{\beta}^{\prime}}{\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}  \tag{5}\\
-\frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial u_{r} \partial u_{\beta}} & \frac{y_{\beta}^{\prime}}{\left(y_{1}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}, & \frac{\partial^{2} F}{\partial u_{r} \partial u_{c}} & \frac{1}{y_{1}^{\prime}}
\end{array}\right|,
$$

where $r, c, \beta, \gamma=2, \cdots, n$ and $\beta$ and $\gamma$ are umbral. The determinant on the right in (5) is easily shown to be identically zero. Hence a problem (1) with an integrand function (4) is always singular.

Assume (1) to be a non-singular problem and restrict attention to that portion of the fundamental region $R$ in which the quantities $f$ and ( $f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}$ ) are different from zero. In this subregion of $R$ the ratios

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i}=\left(\partial f / \partial y_{i}^{\prime}\right) /\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right), \quad(i=1, \cdots, n) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

are well defined and of class $C^{\prime \prime}$. These ratios will be called the transversality coefficients of the problem (1).

It is found that

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} t_{\mu}=f /\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence in the subregion of $R$ specified, the transversality coefficients $t_{i}$ necessarily satisfy the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} t_{\mu} \neq 0 \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geometrically this means that the extremal through the point $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ in the direction $1: y_{1}^{\prime}: \cdots: y_{n}^{\prime}$ is not tangent to the hyperplane with normal $1: t_{1}: \cdots: t_{n}$ in this point.

Finally it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\partial t_{i} / \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right|=\frac{\left|\partial^{2} f / \partial y_{i}^{\prime} \partial y_{j}^{\prime}+t_{i} y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial^{2} f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right|}{\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{n}} &  \tag{9}\\
& (i, j=1, \cdots, n) .
\end{align*}
$$

The value of the determinant on the right in (9) is given by the product of the determinants $D$ and $\left|\delta_{j}{ }^{i}+y_{i}^{\prime} t_{j}\right|$, the latter of which is found to be equal to $1+y_{\mu}{ }_{\mu} t_{\mu}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\partial t_{i} / \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right|=f D /\left(f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}\right)^{n+1} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and therefore in the subregion of $R$ under consideration the Jacobian of the $t_{i}$ with respect to the $y_{j}^{\prime}$ is different from zero.
3. Conditions for a Set of $n$ Functions to be Transversality Coefficients. The condition (2) establishes a certain relation between the lineal element $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ through the point $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ and the hyperplane of directions ( $d x: d y_{1}: \cdots: d y_{n}$ ) with normal $\left(1: t_{1}: \cdots: t_{n}\right)$ through this point. If $n$ given functions $T_{i}\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}\right.$, $\left.\cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$, $i=1, \cdots, n$, of class $C^{\prime \prime}$ and satisfying the inequalities $1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{\mu} \neq 0,\left|\partial T_{i} / \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right| \neq 0$ in a region $S$ of $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right.$, $\left.y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$-values are to be the transversality coefficients of a non-singular problem (1) in $S$ then the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
d x+T_{\mu} d y_{\mu}=0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and (2) must define the same hyperplane of directions ( $d x: d y_{1}: \cdots: d y_{n}$ ) for all sets $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ in $S$. Hence corresponding coefficients in these two relations must be proportional. Consequently a set of $n$ functions $T_{i}$ as described can be transversality coefficients of a non-singular problem (1) only in case there exists a function $f\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right.$, $\left.y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying the system of equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
f-y_{\mu}^{\prime} \partial f / \partial y_{\mu}^{\prime}=h, \quad \partial f / \partial y_{i}^{\prime}=h T_{i}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, n), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and different from zero in $S$. In (12) $h \neq 0$ is a function of ( $x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}$ ). Elimination of $h$ in (12) leads to the following system of non-homogeneous partial differential equations which must be satisfied by $f$ regarded as a function of the $n$ independent variables ( $y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}$ ) and the $n+1$ parameters $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{k} f-\left(\delta_{k}^{\beta}+T_{k} y_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\left(\partial f / \partial y_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)=0, \quad(k=1, \cdots, n) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

To determine the integrability conditions for the system (13) we transform it into a system of equations linear and homogeneous in the first partial derivatives of a function $G\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}, f\right)$ with $G_{f} \neq 0$ which defines $f$ by means of the equation $G=$ constant. The resulting system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{k} G=\left(\delta_{k}^{\beta}+T_{k} y_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)\left(\grave{\partial} G / \partial y_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)+T_{k} f(\grave{c} G / \partial f)=0 . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The matrix of coefficients of (14) contains the $n$th order determinant $\left|\delta_{k}{ }^{i}+y_{i}^{\prime} T_{k}\right|, i, k=1, \cdots, n$, which has the value $\left(1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{\mu}\right)$ and hence is different from zero in $S$. Since the $n$ equations in (14) are therefore independent, it follows that if a single one of the commutators of this system is not a linear combination of the original equations the only solution for $G$ is a constant and hence no solution $f$ of (13) exists. Therefore the equations (13) are compatible if and only if the system (14) is a complete system.

A typical commutator of the system (14) is found to have the value
(15) $\left(U_{m} U_{k}\right) G=\left(\Gamma_{m k} y_{\beta}^{\prime}+T_{k} \delta_{m}^{\beta}-T_{m} \delta_{k}^{\beta}\right)\left(\partial G / \partial y_{\beta}^{\prime}\right)+\Gamma_{m k} f(\partial G / \partial f)$, where
(16) $\Gamma_{m k}=y_{\nu}^{\prime}\left(T_{m} \partial T_{k} / \partial y_{\nu}^{\prime}-T_{k} \partial T_{m} / \partial y_{\nu}^{\prime}\right)+\left(\partial T_{k} / \partial y_{m}^{\prime}-\partial T_{m} / \partial y_{k}^{\prime}\right)$.

In order that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U_{m} U_{k}\right) G=r_{\nu} U_{\nu} G, \quad m, k=1, \cdots, n, \quad m<k \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $r_{i}(i=1, \cdots, n)$ are coefficients of combination it is necessary that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{m k} y_{i}^{\prime}+T_{k} \delta_{m}{ }^{i}-T_{m} \delta_{k}{ }^{i} & =r_{\nu}\left(\delta_{\nu}{ }^{i}+T_{\nu} y_{i}^{\prime}\right), \quad i=1, \cdots, n  \tag{18}\\
\Gamma_{m k} f & =r_{\nu} T_{\nu} f .
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f \neq 0$ it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
& r_{i}=0 \text { if } i \neq m, k, \\
& r_{i}=T_{k} \text { if } i=m,  \tag{19}\\
& r_{i}=-T_{m} \text { if } i=k .
\end{align*}
$$

Hence the $n(n-1) / 2$ quantities $\Gamma_{m k}$ are necessarily zero if (14) is a complete system. Conversely the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{m k}=0, m, k=1, \cdots, n, m<k \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

are sufficient conditions for the system (14) to be complete, for if all of the $\Gamma_{m k}$ vanish then there actually exist coefficients $r_{i}$, namely those in (19), for which the relations (17) subsist. Taken in connection with the results of $\S 2$ this proves the following theorem.

Theorem 1. Necessary and sufficient conditions for $n$ functions $T_{i}\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$ to be the transversality coefficients of a non-singular problem (1) in a region $S$ of $\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$-values are that in $S$ the functions $T_{i}$ be of class $C^{\prime \prime}$ and satisfy the inequalities $\left|\partial T_{i} / \partial y_{j}^{\prime}\right| \neq 0$, $1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{\mu} \neq 0$ and the $n(n-1) / 2$ relations (20).
4. Determination of the most general Integrand Function. Suppose that $n$ functions $T_{i}$ which satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 are given. What is the most general integrand function $f\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ of a non-singular problem (1) which has the set $T_{i}$ as its transversality coefficients? To answer this question consider the line integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\int_{0}^{y^{\prime}} T_{\mu} d y_{\mu}^{\prime} /\left(1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{\mu}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

in the $\left(y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}^{\prime}\right)$-space. In (21) the symbols 0 and $y^{\prime}$ used as limits represent $n$-partite variables. It is found that necessary conditions for (21) to be independent of the path of integration are that the $T_{i}$ satisfy the $n(n-1) / 2$ relations*

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{i k}=0, \quad i, k=1, \cdots, n, \quad i<k \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{m k}=y_{\mu}^{\prime}\left[T_{m} \partial T_{\mu} / \partial y_{k}^{\prime}-T_{k} \partial T_{\mu} / \partial y_{m}^{\prime}\right.  \tag{23}\\
& \left.\quad+T_{\mu}\left(\partial T_{k} / \partial y_{m}^{\prime}-\partial T_{m} / \partial y_{k}^{\prime}\right)\right]+\left(\partial T_{k} / \partial y_{m}^{\prime}-\partial T_{m} / \partial y_{k}^{\prime}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

These conditions are also sufficient conditions for independence of path in a space of suitably simple connectivity properties.

It can be shown that in terms of the $\Gamma_{m k}$ introduced in (16)

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{m k}=\left(1+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{\mu}\right) \Gamma_{m k}+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{k} \Gamma_{\mu m}+y_{\mu}^{\prime} T_{m} \Gamma_{k \mu} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Hence when all of the $\Gamma_{m k}$ vanish, as they do for the set $T_{i}$ under consideration, the conditions for the line integral $H$ to be independent of the path in such a space are satisfied.

A particular solution of the homogeneous system (14) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=f e^{-H} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, since the general integral of a complete system of $n$ equations in $n+1$ independent variables is an arbitrary function of a single particular integral of the system,* the most general solution of (14) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=F\left(f e^{-H} ; x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F$ is an arbitrary function of the arguments indicated. The most general non-singular solution $f$ of the system of equations (13) is now obtained by solving for $f$ the equation $F\left(f e^{-H} ; x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right)=0 . \dagger$ Hence we reach the following conclusion.

Theorem 2. If $n$ functions $T_{i}\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}, y_{1}^{\prime}, \cdots, y_{n}{ }^{\prime}\right)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 1 , then there always exists a non-singular problem (1) with an integrand function $f$ of class $C^{\prime \prime \prime}$ which has the functions $T_{i}$ as its transversality coefficients. The most general such problem has an integrand function

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=g\left(x, y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}\right) e^{H} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is different from zero and of class $C^{\prime \prime \prime}$ but is otherwise an arbitrary function of its arguments, and where $H$ has the value (21).

An integrand function $f$ of the form (27) was found in an entirely different manner by Rawles. His argument although of much interest requires the decidedly restrictive hypothesis of the existence of an $n$-parameter family of Mayer fields; and, moreover, does not show that a function $f$ of the form (27) is actually the most general integrand function of a problem (1) with a prescribed transversality condition.
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