

NORMAL DIVISION ALGEBRAS OVER ALGEBRAIC NUMBER FIELDS NOT OF FINITE DEGREE*

BY A. A. ALBERT

1. *Introduction.* If R is the field of all rational numbers and if ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n are ordinary algebraic numbers, then the field $\Omega = R(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ of all rational functions with rational coefficients of ξ_1, \dots, ξ_n is an *algebraic number field of finite degree* (the maximum number of linearly independent quantities of Ω) over R . It has recently been proved† that every normal *simple* algebra over such a field Ω is cyclic. In particular it has been shown that every normal *division* algebra of order n^2 (degree n) over Ω is cyclic and has exponent n .

In the present note I shall give an extension of the above results to *normal division algebras over any algebraic number field* Λ . I shall prove that all normal division algebras over Λ are cyclic and with degree equal to exponent but shall give a trivial example showing that the theorem corresponding to the above on normal *simple* algebras is false. The problem of the equivalence of normal division algebras over Λ will also be discussed.

2. *Cyclic Algebras.* Let F be any non-modular field and let Z be cyclic of degree n over F . Then Z possesses a generating automorphism

$$S : \quad z \longleftrightarrow z^S, \quad (z \text{ in } Z, z^S \text{ in } Z),$$

such that every automorphism of Z is one of $S^0 = S^n = I, S, S^2, \dots, S^{n-1}$. The algebra A of all quantities

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} z_i y^i, \quad (z \text{ in } Z),$$

is a cyclic algebra with multiplication table

$$y^n = \gamma \text{ in } F, \quad y^e z = z^{S^e} y^e, \quad (e = 0, 1, \dots),$$

* Presented to the Society, October 28, 1933.

† See the paper by H. Hasse and myself in the Transactions of this Society, vol. 34 (1932), pp. 722-726, for the normal division algebra theorem. The theorem for normal simple algebras follows from Hasse's Theorem 6 of his Transactions paper, vol. 34 (1932), pp. 171-214.

for every z of Z . Evidently A is uniquely defined by Z, S, γ , and thus we write

$$A = (Z, S, \gamma).$$

Let F be contained in any larger field K . Then

$$A_K = (Z, S, \gamma)_K$$

is the algebra with the same basis and constants of multiplication as A , but over K .

If A_K is a division algebra, then so evidently is A . But then Z_K , which is the algebra with the same basis and constants of multiplication as the field Z , but over K , is a field and in fact is evidently cyclic of degree n over K . Evidently $A = (Z_K, S, \gamma)$ over K .

THEOREM 1. *Let $A = (Z, S, \gamma)$ over F , $F < K$, and let A_K be a division algebra. Then A_K is the cyclic algebra (Z_K, S, γ) over K .*

3. *The Determination of Algebras over Λ .* Let Λ be any non-modular field whose quantities are all algebraic numbers and let A be a normal division algebra of order $m = n^2$ over Λ . If u_1, \dots, u_m are a basis of A , then $u_i u_j = \sum' \gamma_{ijk} u_k$ with γ_{ijk} in Λ . But then γ_{ijk} are all algebraic numbers, so that $L = R(\gamma_{111}, \dots, \gamma_{ijk}, \dots, \gamma_{mmm})$ is algebraic of finite degree.

The linear set $B = (u_1, \dots, u_m)$ over L is evidently an algebra of order m over L . If in particular $u_1 = 1$, the modulus of A , then u_1 is the modulus of B . Evidently $A = B_\Lambda$.

If B contains any divisors of zero, then these quantities are in the division algebra A , a contradiction. Hence B is a division algebra.

Let B contain a quantity $k = \sum \lambda_i u_i$, λ_i in L , which is commutative with every quantity of B . In particular $ku_i = u_i k$, so that $k(\sum \mu_i u_i) = (\sum \mu_i u_i)k$ for μ_i any quantities of the field Λ . But A is normal, so that k is a multiple of the modulus u_1 of A by a quantity of Λ . Hence $k = \mu u_1 = \sum \lambda_i u_i$. Since the u_i are linearly independent in Λ , we have $\mu = \lambda_1$, k is a multiple of u_1 by a quantity of L , and B is normal.

The normal division algebra B of degree n over L is thus* a cyclic algebra (Z, S, γ) over L . The basis, (u_i) , of A is linearly

* By the result already quoted on normal division algebras over Ω .

expressible with coefficients in L in terms of the basis of $B = (Z, S, \gamma)$ in its cyclic form, so that in fact $A = (Z, S, \gamma)_\Lambda$. By Theorem 1 we have the following result.

THEOREM 2. *Let A be a normal division algebra of degree n over an algebraic number field Λ not of finite degree. Then there exists a sub-field L (of Λ) of finite degree and a cyclic algebra, $B = (Z, S, \gamma)$, over L such that $A = (Z_\Lambda, S, \gamma)$ over Λ , where Z_Λ is a cyclic field of degree n over Λ . Hence A is cyclic.*

4. *The Exponent of Algebras A .* Suppose that the algebra A of Theorem 2 has exponent $\rho < n$. Then A^ρ is well known to be equal to $M^{\rho-1} \times (Z_\Lambda, S, \gamma^\rho)$, where M is a total matrix algebra. But A^ρ is a total matrix algebra; hence $(Z_\Lambda, S, \gamma^\rho)$ is also. Hence γ^ρ is the norm $N(c)$ of a quantity c of Z_Λ .

Let $Z = L(x)$, $Z_\Lambda = \Lambda(x)$, so that $c = \sum c_i x^i$, where the c_i are in Λ . The field $L = L(c_0, \dots, c_{n-1})$ is algebraic of finite degree. Moreover, if $B = (Z, S, \gamma)$, then evidently $Z_0 = L_0(x)$, $B_0 = (Z_0, S, \gamma)$ over L_0 , is contained in A and hence is a cyclic division algebra. But $B_0^\rho = (Z_0, S, \gamma^\rho) \times M^{\rho-1}$ is a total matrix algebra, since $\gamma^\rho = N(c)$, where c is in Z_0 .

The exponent of B_0 of degree n over L_0 is known to be n since B is a cyclic division algebra over L_0 , which is algebraic of finite degree. Hence $\rho \geq n$, a contradiction.

THEOREM 3. *The exponent of any normal division algebra over Λ is its degree.*

5. *On the Equivalence of Algebras over Λ .* Let $A = (Z_\Lambda, S, \gamma)$ and $C = (Y_\Lambda, T, \delta)$ over Λ be normal division algebras. Then Z and γ are obtained with respect to a field L_1 defined by A , Y , and δ with respect to L_2 defined by C . If L is the composite of L_1 and L_2 , then we may evidently take L as the common field of Theorem 2 for both algebras A and C . Hence $A = (Z, S, \gamma)_\Lambda$, (Z, S, γ) a normal division algebra over L , $C = (Y, T, \delta)_\Lambda$, (Y, T, δ) also a normal division algebra over L .

The algebra A is equivalent to the algebra C if and only if $A \times C^{-1} = (Z, S, \gamma) \times (Y, T, \delta^{-1})$ is a total matrix algebra. But, as is well known, $(Z, S, \gamma) \times (Y, T, \delta^{-1}) = (X, R, \epsilon) \times M$, where M is a total matrix algebra and (X, R, ϵ) is a uniquely determined cyclic algebra. Evidently $A \times C^{-1}$ is total matrix if and only if $(X, R, \epsilon)_\Lambda$ is total matrix. For $A \times C^{-1} = M \times (X, R, \epsilon)_\Lambda$.

But then $\epsilon = N(c)$, where c is in X_Λ . As before there exists a sub-field L_0 of Λ of finite degree such that c is in X_{L_0} , $(X, R, \epsilon)_{L_0}$ is total matric. But then $(Z, S, \gamma)_{L_0}$ is equivalent to $(Y, T, \delta)_{L_0}$. The converse is obvious and we have proved this theorem.

THEOREM 4. *Let A and C be normal division algebras of degree n over Λ , an algebraic field not of finite degree, so that $A = (Z_\Lambda, S, \gamma)$, $C = (Y_\Lambda, T, \delta)$, where $B = (Z, S, \gamma)$, $D = (Y, T, \delta)$ are cyclic over the same sub-field L of finite degree of Λ . Then A and C are equivalent if and only if there exists a sub-field $L_0 > L$ of Λ such that L_0 has finite degree and the algebras B_{L_0} and D_{L_0} are equivalent.*

The above theorem essentially reduces the problem of the equivalence of normal division algebras over A to the corresponding problem (already solved*) for algebras over fields of finite degree, and to a consideration of the sub-fields of Λ of finite degree.

6. *Normal Simple Algebras over Λ .* In this section we shall show trivially that there exist non-cyclic normal simple algebras over an algebraic field Λ . We take Λ to be the field of all constructible (with ruler and compass) numbers, extended by $i = (-1)^{1/2}$. That is, we take Λ to consist of all numbers obtained from rational numbers by a finite number of rational operations and extractions of square roots.

Evidently any equation $x^2 = c$, c in Λ , is reducible in Λ since $c^{1/2}$ is also in Λ . But then there exist no cyclic algebras of degree two over Λ . Hence the total matric algebra of degree two over Λ , a normal simple algebra, is non-cyclic.

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY

* See Hasse, loc. cit.