

CONCERNING MAXIMAL SETS*

BY G. T. WHYBURN

1. *Introduction.* Let T be any monotone system of closed subsets of an arbitrary metric space M , that is, any closed subset of a set of the system T is itself a set of T . We shall call a set of the system T a T -set or a set of type T . We may also think of T as a property such that every closed subset of a set having this property likewise has this property.

Since the null set (0) is a subset of every set, then, whatever be the system T , the null set is always a T -set.

We first establish a general existence theorem for certain maximal sets relative to a system T .

2. **THEOREM.** *If N is any closed non-degenerate subset of a metric space M such that N is not disconnected by the omission of any T -set, then there exists a maximal subset (a continuum) $H(N)$ of M containing N and having this property.*

PROOF. In the first place, N is connected, since $N - (0)$ is connected and is identical with N .

Secondly, N is not a T -set, for if it were then every closed subset of N would likewise be a T -set, and clearly some closed subset of N disconnects N .

Now if H denotes the sum of all sets N_0 containing N and such that N_0 is not disconnected by the omission of any T -set, then clearly H is connected and hence \overline{H} is a continuum.

We proceed to show that no T -set disconnects \overline{H} . Suppose on the contrary, that we have a separation $\overline{H} - T = H_1 + H_2$, where T is some T -set in \overline{H} . Then $N - N \cdot T$ is connected and thus is contained wholly in one of the sets H_1 and H_2 , say H_1 . But $H \cdot H_2$ contains at least one point x , since H_2 is open in \overline{H} . There exists a continuum N_x in H containing $x + N$ and such that N_x is not disconnected by any T -set. But $N_x \cdot T$ is a T -set and we have a separation

$$N_x - N_x \cdot T = N_x \cdot H_1 + N_x \cdot H_2,$$

and both sets of the right hand member are non-vacuous since

* Presented to the Society, October 28, 1933.

$H_2 \supset x$ and $H_1 \cdot N_x \supset (N - N \cdot T)$. Thus the supposition that \bar{H} is disconnected by the omission of some T -set leads to a contradiction.

Consequently we have only to set $H(N) = \bar{H}$, and our theorem is established.

3. THEOREM. *The common part of any two sets $H(N)$ is a T -set.*

For let $H(N_1) \neq H(N_2)$ and suppose $H(N_1) \cdot H(N_2) \neq 0$, the other case being trivial. Set $H(N_1) + H(N_2) = H$. There exists a T -set T in H such that we have a separation $H - T = H_1 + H_2$. Now $H(N_1) - T \cdot H(N_1)$ and $H(N_2) - T \cdot H(N_2)$ are connected, since the sets taken away are T -sets. Hence $T \supset H(N_1) \cdot H(N_2)$, for if not then the set

$$\begin{aligned} & [H(N_1) - T \cdot H(N_1)] + [H(N_2) - T \cdot H(N_2)] \\ & = H(N_1) + H(N_2) - T = H - T \end{aligned}$$

is connected. Thus $H(N_1) \cdot H(N_2)$ is a T -set, since it is a subset of T .

4. *Applications.* (i) Let T be the property of being the null set. Then the corresponding sets $H(N)$ given by §2 are the components of M .

(ii) Let T be the property of containing at most n points, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$).

For $n = 0$, we have the case just considered under (i). For $n = 1$, we obtain for $H(N)$ subcontinua of M which are maximal with respect to the property of having no cut point.* In case M is a locally connected continuum, these sets $H(N)$ are the true cyclic elements† of M .

For $n > 1$, the sets $H(N)$ are subcontinua of M (hitherto unknown) which are not disconnected by the omission of any n points and are maximal with respect to this property. For example, for $n = 4$, let C_1 and C_2 be concentric circles of radii 1 and 2, respectively; let Q be a square, together with its interior, inscribed in C_1 , let I be the annular region between C_1 and C_2 , and let $M = C_1 + C_2 + I + Q$. Then M has two sets $H(N)$, namely, $C_1 + C_2 + I$ and Q ; and it will be noted that, conforming to §3,

* See my paper, *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 55 (1933), p. 456.

† See *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 50 (1928), pp. 167-194.

their common part contains just four points and hence is a T -set. The situation is exactly the same in this example for any $n > 4$.

(iii) Let T be the property of being countable (that is, of power $\leq \aleph_0$).

As an example, let C_1 , C_2 , and I be defined as under (ii), let J be any simple closed curve having any countable set of points in common with C_1 and lying otherwise within C_1 , let D be the interior of J and let $M = C_1 + C_2 + I + D$. Then the sets $C_1 + C_2 + I$ and $J + D$ are the sets $H(N)$ in M .

(iv) Let T be the property of being homeomorphic with some proper subset of a simple closed curve.

As an example for this case, let S denote the surface of the unit sphere in R_3 and let M be S + any one-dimensional structure which together with S forms a continuum, for example, the part of the x , y , and z axes lying within S . Then S is the only set $H(N)$ in M .

(v) Let T be the property of being at most $(n-2)$ -dimensional, ($n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$).

In this case the sets $H(N)$ are the so-called " n -dimensional components"* or the " n -dimensional cantorians manifolds"† in M . In this case also it will be noticed that §3 above gives the known fact that the common part of any two n -dimensional components is at most $(n-2)$ -dimensional.

(vi) Let T be the property of being the carrier of no essential complete n -dimensional cycle,‡ ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$). (We shall consider only non-oriented cycles.)

For $n = 0$, we have identically the case $n = 1$ considered under (ii), since any set containing more than one point is the carrier of a 0-cycle.§ Thus in case M is a locally connected continuum, we obtain the true cyclic elements of M for the sets $H(N)$.

For $n = 1$, let us consider the following example. Let W denote the set consisting of the surface of a torus together with a coaxial disc just fitting into it, (that is, a disc-wheel with tire attached), and let C be the surface of a cube which is attached

* See Alexandroff, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 106 (1932), p. 215.

† Tumarkin, *Comptes Rendus*, vol. 186 (1928), p. 420.

‡ See Vietoris, *Mathematische Annalen*, vol. 97 (1927), p. 458; Alexandroff, *Annals of Mathematics*, (2), vol. 30 (1928), p. 159.

§ We consider a 0-cycle as any *even number* of points (0-cells).

to W along some simple arc, and let Q be a 2-cell (topological square plus its interior) attached to C along some arc and having nothing in common with W . Then W and C are the sets $H(N)$ for $W+C+Q$.

In general, for any n , examples indicate that in this case the sets $H(N)$ are maximal subcontinua without *edge points*; and in many ways they seem to be true generalizations of the cyclic elements of locally connected continua (to which they reduce in case $n=0$) and hence might well be called the " n th order cyclic elements"* of M . We shall consider these sets further in the next section.

5. *Cyclic Elements of n th Order.* Throughout this section we shall let T be the property considered under (vi) in §4 of being the carrier of no essential complete n -cycle, and we shall suppose M to be compact. We shall show that in this case the sets $H(N)$ in a compact continuum M have the property that if K is any subcontinuum of M such that every n -cycle in K is ~ 0 in K , then every n -cycle in $K \cdot H(N)$ is ~ 0 in $K \cdot H(N)$.

For $n=0$ this gives simply the known fact† that the product of any subcontinuum of M by any set $H(N)$ is either vacuous or connected; and in case M is locally connected, it is a special case of the well known and useful property of cyclic elements that the product of any cyclic element by an arbitrary connected set in M is either vacuous or connected.

Now this result is an immediate consequence of the following somewhat sharper theorem.

THEOREM. *If C is an irreducible carrier of the n -dimensional complete cycle C^n in $H(N)$ and B is any irreducible membrane‡ in M which is a carrier of the homology $C^n \sim 0$, then we have $B \subset H(N)$.*

For $n=0$ this says simply that every irreducible continuum in M between two points of $H(N)$ is contained in $H(N)$, a known result (see my paper, loc. cit.). We shall prove the theorem with the aid of the following lemma.

LEMMA. *If C is any carrier of an n -cycle C^n , if B is an irreducible membrane in M which carries the homology $C^n \sim 0$, and T is*

* See R. L. Wilder, this Bulletin, vol. 38 (1932), p. 678.

† See my papers cited above.

‡ See Alexandroff, loc. cit., p. 179.

any T -set (that is, a set carrying no essential n -cycle) disconnecting B , then for every separation $B - T = B_1 + B_2$ we have $B_1 \cdot C \neq 0 \neq B_2 \cdot C$.

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. Suppose, on the contrary, that for some such separation we have $B_2 \cdot C = 0$. Then we shall show that $B_1 + T$ carries the homology $C^n \sim 0$ so that B is reducible. Now we have $C^n = (z_1, z_2, \dots)$, and since $C^n \sim 0$ in B , then z_i bounds a δ_i -complex K_i^{n+1} in B and $\lim \delta_i = 0$. For each i , let H_i^{n+1} be the complex consisting of all simplexes of K_i^{n+1} which have all their vertices in $B_1 + T$, and let c_i be the boundary of the complementary complex of H_i^{n+1} in K_i^{n+1} . Let us now replace c_i by an n -cycle c_i^* in T as follows. For each vertex x_i of c_i , let us take a point x_i^* in T such that $\rho(x_i, x_i^*) = \rho(x_i, T)$, that is, a point of T which is as near x_i as any point of T . For each simplex (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) of c_i , let $(x_0^*, x_1^*, \dots, x_n^*)$ be a simplex. Then the complex c_i^* of all such simplexes is an n -cycle in T . Let ϵ_i^* be the norm of c_i^* , that is, the maximum of the diameters of the simplexes of c_i^* .

Now since each simplex of c_i is on a δ_i -simplex of K_i^{n+1} which has at least one vertex in B_2 , it follows that if $d_i = \max \rho(x_i, x_i^*)$, then $\lim d_i = 0$. Thus since $\delta_i \rightarrow 0$, it follows that $\epsilon_i^* \rightarrow 0$. Now if δ_i' is the greatest lower bound of the numbers δ such that c_i^* bounds a δ -complex in T , then since T carries no essential n -cycle, it follows by a result of Vietoris† that $\lim \delta_i' = 0$.

Let $\delta_i^* = 2\delta_i'$. Then for each i , c_i^* bounds a δ_i^* -complex L_i^{n+1} in T and $\lim \delta_i^* = 0$. Then‡ $L_i^{n+1} + H_i^{n+1}$ is a $(\delta_i + 2d_i + \delta_i^*)$ -complex bounded by $z_i \pmod{2}$, and this complex is contained in $B_1 + T$. Thus $C^n \sim 0$ in $B_1 + T$, contrary to the fact that B is irreducible; and our lemma is established.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. We have only to show that $H(N) + B$ is not disconnected by the omission of any T -set. Suppose, on the contrary, that for some T -set T , we have a separation $H(N) + B - T = H_1 + H_2$. Then since $H(N) - T \cdot H(N)$ is connected, it must be contained wholly in either H_1 or H_2 , say H_1 . Thus $C - C \cdot T \subset H_1$ and $H_2 \subset B - C$. But we have the separation

† See Vietoris, loc. cit., p. 464.

‡ It is understood that we take here the modified complex H_i^{n+1} , that is, the one obtained after replacing each x_i in c_i by the corresponding x_i^* .

$B - B \cdot T = H_2 + H_1 \cdot B$, and $H_2 \cdot C = 0$, contrary to the lemma.

6. *Conclusion.* In conclusion attention is called to the desirability of clearing up, in the general case, the possibilities for the power of the class of all sets $[H(N)]$ in a compact space for any system T , such as has already been done by Mazurkiewicz and Alexandroff (see papers in *Fundamenta Mathematicae*, vols. 19 and 20) in the special case of the dimensional components. Also a more detailed study of the structure of continua M of varying degrees of connectivity and local connectivity with respect to the sets $H(N)$, in particular in the case* considered in §5, would be highly desirable.

THE JOHNS HOPKINS UNIVERSITY

INTEGRAL DOMAINS OF RATIONAL GENERALIZED QUATERNION ALGEBRAS†

BY A. A. ALBERT

1. *Introduction.* We shall consider generalized quaternion algebras

$$Q = (1, i, j, ij), \quad ji = -ij, \quad i^2 = \alpha, \quad j^2 = \beta,$$

over the field R of all rational numbers. It is easily shown that, by a trivial transformation on the basis of Q , we may take α and β to be integers without square factors.

Of great interest in the theory of algebras Q are the integral sets of Q . L. E. Dickson‡ has called a set S of quantities of Q an integral set if S satisfies the following postulates:

R : The quantities of S have minimum equations with ordinary whole number coefficients and leading coefficient unity.

C : S is closed under addition, subtraction, and multiplication.

U : S contains 1, i , j .

M : S is maximal.

* A further study of this case is made in the author's paper *Cyclic elements of higher order*, to appear in the *American Journal of Mathematics*, vol. 56 (1934).

† Presented to the Society, June 19, 1933.

‡ See Dickson's *Algebren und ihre Zahlentheorie*, pp. 154–197, for his theory as well as references to the work of Latimer and Darkow. See also Latimer's later paper, *Transactions of this Society*, vol. 32 (1930), pp. 832–846.