CYCLIC FIELDS OF DEGREE $p^{n}$ OVER $F$ OF CHARACTERISTIC $p^{*}$
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1. Introduction. The theory of cyclic fields is a most interesting chapter in the study of the algebraic extensions of an abstract field $F$. When $F$ is a modular field of characteristic $p$, a prime, particular attention is focussed on the case of cyclic fields $Z$ of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$. Such fields of degree $p, p^{2}$ were determined by E. Artin and O. Schreier. $\dagger$

In the present paper I shall give a determination of all cyclic fields $Z$ of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ of characteristic $p$.
2. Normed Equations. An equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{p}=\lambda+a, \quad(a \operatorname{in} F) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a normed equation. If $x$ is any root of (1), then so are $x+1, x+2, \cdots, x+p-1$. Using this fact, Artin-Schreier have proved the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. A normed equation is either cyclic or has all of its roots in $F$. Every cyclic field of degree $p$ over $F$ may be generated by a root of a normed equation.

Lemma 2. Let $F(x)$ be cyclic of degree $p$ over $F$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p}=x+a, \quad(a \text { in } F) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then a quantity $y$ of $F(x)$ which is not in $F$ satisfies a normed equation if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=k x+b, \quad(k=1,2, \cdots, p ; b \text { in } F) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3. Let cin $Z$ have degree $t \leqq p-2$ in $x$. Then there exists a quantity $g=g(x)$ in $Z$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x+1)-g(x)=c \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $g$ is uniquely determined up to an additive constant in $F$.

[^0]By applying Lemmas 1, 2, 3, Artin-Schreier proved the following fact.

Lemma 4. Every cyclic field $Z$ of degree $p$ over $F$ is the sub-field of cyclic overfields $Z_{2}$ of degree $p^{2}$ over $F$. If $Z_{1}=F\left(x_{1}\right), x_{1}{ }^{p}=x_{1}+a_{1}$, $a_{1}$ in $F$, then all such fields $Z_{2}$ are obtained by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{2}=F\left(x_{2}\right), \quad x_{2}^{p}=x_{2}+a_{2}, \quad\left(a_{2} \text { in } Z_{1}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{2}$ ranges over all solutions of (4) in the case

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\left(x_{1}+a_{1}\right)^{p-1}-x_{1}^{p-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

A generating automorphism $S$ of $Z_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{S}=x_{1}+1, \quad x_{2}^{S}=x_{2}+x_{1}^{p-1} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that
(8) $x_{2} s^{s^{\nu}}=x_{2}+x_{1}^{p-1}+\left(x_{1}+1\right)^{p-1}+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+\nu-1\right)^{p-1}$,

$$
(\nu=1,2, \cdots)
$$

and in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{2} s^{p}=x_{2}+x_{1}{ }^{p-1}+\cdots+\left(x_{1}+p-1\right)^{p-1}=x_{2}-1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an immediate corollary of (9) we have the following lemma.

Lemma 5. Let $Z=F(x), x^{p}=x+a$, be cyclic of degree $p$ over $F$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{Z \mid F}\left(x^{p-1}\right) \equiv & x^{p-1}+(x+1)^{p-1}  \tag{10}\\
& +\cdots+(x+p-1)^{p-1}=-1
\end{align*}
$$

3. Generating Automorphisms. Now let $Z=Z_{n}$ be any cyclic field of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ and let $S$ be a generating automorphism of the cyclic automorphism group of $Z$. It is well known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{n}>Z_{n-1}>\cdots>Z_{1}>Z_{0}=F \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z_{i}$ is uniquely determined, is cyclic of degree $p^{i}$ over $F$, cyclic of degree $p$ over $Z_{i-1}$. Moreover the automorphism $S$ applied in $Z_{i}$ may be taken as generating the automorphism group of $Z_{i}$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{i}=S^{p^{i}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

as identity automorphism for $Z_{i}$. In fact $Z_{i}$ is defined as the set of all quantities of $Z_{n}$ (and no others) unaltered by the automorphism $Q_{i}$.

We may consider $Z_{i}$ as cyclic of degree $p$ over $Z_{i-1}$. Then the group of $Z_{i}$ over $Z_{i-1}$ is evidently generated by $Q_{i-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(Q_{i-1}\right)^{p}=Q_{i} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $b_{i}$ is any quantity of $Z_{i}$, then we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i}\right)=b_{i}+b_{i} s+\cdots+b_{i} s^{p^{i}-1} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then evidently have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i}\right)=T_{Z_{i-1} \mid F}\left[T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(b_{i}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(b_{i}\right)=b_{i}+b_{i}^{Q_{i-1}}+\cdots+b_{i}^{Q_{i}^{p-1}-1} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is evidently in $Z_{i-1}$.
The field $Z_{i}$ is cyclic of degree $p$ over $Z_{i-1}$ so that, by Lemma 1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=Z_{i-1}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad x_{i}^{p}=x_{i}+a_{i}, \quad\left(a_{i} \text { in } Z_{i-1}\right) \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $x_{i}$ is not in $Z_{i-1}$, so that $F\left(x_{i}\right)$ is in $Z_{i}$ but not in $Z_{i-1}$. The cyclic field $Z_{i-1}$ contains every proper sub-field of $Z_{i}$ and hence must contain $F\left(x_{i}\right)$, if $F\left(x_{i}\right)$ is a proper sub-field of $Z_{i}$. Thus, in fact, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=F\left(x_{i}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may now prove the following fact.
Lemma 6. Let $b_{i+1}=\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i}\right)^{p-1}=x_{i}^{p-1} b_{i}$. Then $b_{i+1}$ is in $Z_{i}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=(-1)^{i} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $b_{i}$ is in $Z_{i-1}$ and is unaltered by the automorphism $Q_{i-1}$. Hence $T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=b_{i} T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(x_{i}^{p-1}\right)$. Since $Q_{i-1}$ is a generating automorphism of $Z_{i}$ over $Z_{i-1}$, some power $S_{i}$ of $Q_{i-1}$ carries $x_{i}$ into $x_{i}+1$. But then Lemma 5 implies $T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(x_{i}{ }^{p-1}\right)=-1$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{Z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=T_{Z_{i-1} \mid F}\left[b_{i} T_{Z_{i} \mid Z_{i-1}}\left(x_{i}^{p-1}\right)\right]=-T_{Z_{i-1} \mid F}\left(b_{i}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By repeated application of this recursion formula, we evidently obtain (19).

Let $S$ be a generating automorphism of $Z_{n}$. Then

$$
\left(x_{i}^{S}\right)^{p}=x_{i}^{S}+a_{i}^{S} .
$$

But evidently $x_{i}{ }^{S}$ is a primitive quantity of $Z_{i}$ of degree $p$ over $Z_{i-1}$, so that, by Lemma 2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{S}=k_{i} x_{i}+b_{i}, \quad\left(k_{i}=1,2, \cdots, p-1 ; b_{i} \text { in } Z_{i-1}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $x_{i}{ }^{S^{2}}=k_{i} x_{i}{ }^{S}+b_{i}{ }^{S}=k_{i}{ }^{2} x_{i}+b_{i 2}$, and finally $x_{i}{ }^{S^{\nu}}=k_{i}{ }^{\nu} x_{i}+b_{i \nu}$. Hence, if $m=p^{n}$, we have $x_{i}{ }^{S^{m}}=k_{i}{ }^{m} x_{i}+b_{i m}=x_{i}$. But then $k_{i}{ }^{m}=1$. Since $k_{i}{ }^{p}=k_{i}$, we evidently have $k_{i}{ }^{m}=k_{i}=1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{S}=x_{i}+b_{i}, \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, n) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x_{i}^{S}\right)^{p}=x_{i}^{p}+b_{i}^{p}=x_{i}+a_{i}+b_{i}^{p}=x_{i}+b_{i}+a_{i}^{S} \\
a_{i}^{S}-a_{i}=b_{i}^{p}-b_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The automorphism $Q_{i-1}$ is a generating automorphism of $Z_{i}$ over $Z_{i-1}$ and replaces $x_{i}$ by $x_{i}+h_{i},\left(h_{i}=1,2, \cdots, p-1\right)$. But

$$
x_{i}^{Q i-1}=x_{i}+T_{z_{i-1} \mid F}\left(b_{i}\right)=x_{i}+h_{i},
$$

so that

$$
\begin{align*}
& T_{z_{i-1} \mid F}\left(b_{i}\right)=h_{i} \neq 0 \\
& \quad\left(h_{i}=1,2, \cdots, p-1 ; i=1, \cdots, n\right) . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, let $b_{i}$ satisfy (24), $a_{i}$ be determined by (23), and let $x_{1}{ }^{p}=x_{1}+a_{1}$ be irreducible in $F$. Then $Z_{n}=F\left(x_{n}\right)$ is cyclic of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ when $Z_{n}$ is defined by (17), $Z_{i}=F\left(x_{i}\right)$, and $S$ generates the automorphism group of $Z_{n}$. For assume this true for $Z_{1}, Z_{2}, \cdots, Z_{n-1}$, and define $Z_{n}=Z_{n-1}\left(x_{n}\right)$. The degree of $Z_{n}$ over $F$ is then $p^{n}$, for otherwise $x_{n}$ is in $Z_{n-1}$, by Lemma 1, and hence $\left(x_{n}\right)^{Q^{n-1}}=x_{n}$, contrary to (24) and (22). Moreover, (22) defines an automorphism $S$ of $Z_{n}$ which has order at least $p^{n-1}$, since $S$ generates the automorphism group of $Z_{n-1}$. But $S$ actually has order $p^{n}$, since $Q_{n-1}=S^{p^{n-1}}$ alters $x_{n}$. Hence the group of automorphisms of $Z_{n}$ has a cyclic sub-group of order $p^{n}$, the degree of $Z_{n}$, and $Z_{n}$ is cyclic. It follows that $Z_{n}=F\left(x_{n}\right)$. We have proved the following result.

Lemma 7. Every cyclic field of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ is generated by a quantity $x_{n}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}^{p}=x_{i}+a_{i}, \quad a_{i} \text { in } Z_{i-1}=F\left(x_{i-1}\right), \quad(i=1,2, \cdots, n) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $x_{1}{ }^{p}=x_{1}+a_{1}$ is irreducible in $F$. If $S$ is a generating automorphism of the group of $Z_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
& x_{i}^{S}=x_{i}+b_{i}, \quad T_{z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=h_{i}  \tag{26}\\
&\left(h_{i}=\right. \\
&1, \cdots, p-1 ; i=1, \cdots, n)
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{i}^{p}-b_{i}=a_{i}^{S}-a_{i}, \quad(i=2, \cdots, n) \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, every field $Z_{n}$ defined by (25), (26), (27) and $x_{1}{ }^{p}=x_{1}+a$ irreducible in $F$, is cyclic of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ with generating automorphism $S$ given by (26).

Let $c_{i}$ be an arbitrary quantity of $Z_{i}$ and write

$$
c_{i}=\sum_{j_{r}=0,1, \cdots, p-1} \lambda_{j_{1} j_{2} \cdots j_{i}} x_{1}{ }^{j_{1}} x_{2}{ }^{j_{2}} \cdots x_{i}{ }^{{ }^{i} i}
$$

with coefficients $\lambda$ in $F$. If $\lambda_{p-1},{ }_{p-1}, \ldots, p-1=0$, we call $c_{i}$ a nonmaximal quantity of $Z_{i}$. We may prove the following lemma.

Lemma 8. If $b_{i}=\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1}$, the polynomials

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{i-1}= b_{i}^{p}-b_{i}=\left[\left(x_{1}+a_{1}\right)\left(x_{2}+a_{2}\right) \cdots\left(x_{i-1}+a_{i-1}\right)\right]^{p-1} \\
&-\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1},  \tag{28}\\
&(i=2, \cdots, n),
\end{align*}
$$

are non-maximal and (27) have solutions $a_{i}$ in $Z_{i-1}$ which are unique up to an arbitrary additive constant in $F$. Then the $a_{i}$ define cyclic fields $Z_{i},(i=2, \cdots, n)$, containing $Z_{1}$, where $Z_{i}$ is cyclic of degree $p^{i}$ over $F$. In fact, if $c_{i}$ is any non-maximal quantity of $Z_{i}$, there exist solutions $d_{i}$ in $Z_{i}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{i}=d_{i}^{S}-d_{i}, \quad d_{i}^{S} \equiv d_{i}\left(x_{1}+b_{1}, \cdots,\right. & \left.x_{i}+b_{i}\right)  \tag{29}\\
& (i=1, \cdots, n)
\end{align*}
$$

which are unique up to an additive constant in $F$.
For evidently $T_{Z_{i} \mid F}\left(b_{i+1}\right)=(-1)^{i}=h_{i} \neq 0$, so that $(26)_{2}$ are satisfied. It is thus sufficient to prove the existence of the $a_{i}$ satisfying (27) and hence sufficient to prove the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (29) of which (27) is a special case.

We know that Lemma 8 is true for $n=1,2^{*}$ by Lemmas $3,4$. Hence assume Lemma 8 true in its entirety for $Z$ of degree $p, p^{2}, \cdots, p^{i-1}$. Then, by our assumption (29), there exists a $Z_{i}$ of degree $p^{i}$ over $F$, the equation (29) has a unique solution in $Z_{i-1}$, and we wish to prove (29) also has a unique solution in $Z_{i}$ and hence the existence of $Z_{i+1}$.

Write

$$
c_{i}=\lambda_{t} x_{i}{ }^{t}+\cdots+\lambda_{0}, \quad\left(\lambda_{j} \text { in } Z_{i-1}\right)
$$

If $\lambda_{t}$ is a non-maximal quantity of $Z_{i-1}$, then, by our above assumption, $\lambda_{t}=\mu_{t}^{S}-\mu_{t},\left(\mu_{t}\right.$ in $\left.Z_{i-1}\right)$. But then

$$
\left(\mu_{t} x_{i}^{t}\right)^{S}-\mu_{t} x_{i}^{t}=\mu_{t}^{S}\left(x_{i}+b_{i}\right)^{t}-\mu_{t} x_{i}^{t}=\lambda_{t} x_{i}^{t}+\cdots
$$

so that $c_{i}-\left[\left(\mu_{t} x_{i}\right)^{S}-\left(\mu_{t} x_{i}^{t}\right)\right]$ has degree at most $t-1$.
If $\lambda_{t}$ is maximal, then $t<p-1$ and $c_{i}$ has leading term

$$
\lambda\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1} x_{i}{ }^{t}=\lambda b_{i} x_{i}{ }^{t}, \quad \lambda \neq 0 \text { in } F .
$$

But then $t+1 \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda(t+1)^{-1}\left[\left(x_{i}^{t+1}\right) S-x_{i}^{t+1}\right] & =\lambda(t+1)^{-1}\left[\left(x_{i}+b_{i}\right)^{t+1}-x_{i}^{t+1}\right] \\
& =\lambda b_{i} x_{i}{ }^{t}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $c_{i}-\left\{\left[\lambda(t+1)^{-1} x_{i}{ }^{t+1}\right]^{S}-\left[\lambda(t+1)^{-1} x_{i}{ }^{t+1}\right]\right\}$ has degree at most $t$ in $x_{i}$ and non-maximal leading coefficient. A repeated application of the above process may evidently be made to obtain a quantity $\delta_{i}$ in $Z_{i}$ such that $c_{i}-\left(\delta_{i}{ }^{S}-\delta_{i}\right)=\gamma_{i 0},\left(\gamma_{i 0}\right.$ in $\left.Z_{i-1}\right)$. But $\gamma_{i 0}$ may be taken non-maximal as above with $t=0, t+1=1$, and hence

$$
\gamma_{i 0}=\gamma_{i}^{S}-\gamma_{i}, \quad c_{i}=d_{i}^{S}-d_{i}, \quad d_{i}=\delta_{i}+\gamma_{i}
$$

Now let $c_{i}=d_{i}{ }^{S}-d_{i}=d_{i 0}{ }^{S}-d_{i 0}$. Then $\left(d_{i 0}-d_{i}\right)^{S}=d_{i 0}{ }^{S}-d_{i}{ }^{S}$ $=d_{i 0}-d_{i}$. The only quantities of $Z_{i}$ unaltered by $S$ are quantities of $F$. Hence $d_{i 0}-d_{i}=\lambda$ in $F$. We have proved Lemma 8. We shall now prove our principal theorem.

Theorem. Every cyclic field $Z_{1}$ of degree $p$ over $F$ of characteristic $p$ is the sub-field of cyclic overfields of degree $p^{n}$. Write

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=F\left(x_{1}\right), \quad x_{1}^{p}=x_{1}+a_{1}, \quad\left(a_{1} \text { in } F\right) \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Then all such fields $Z_{n}$ are given by
(31) $Z_{i}=F\left(x_{i}\right), x_{i}^{p}=x_{i}+a_{i}, a_{i}$ in $F\left(x_{i-1}\right),(i=2, \cdots, n)$, where $a_{i}$ is the unique (up to an arbitrary additive constant in $F$ ) solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{i}\left(x_{1}+b_{1}, \cdots, x_{i-1}+b_{i-1}\right)-a_{i} \\
& \quad=\left[\left(x_{1}+a_{1}\right) \cdots\left(x_{i-1}+a_{i-1}\right)\right]^{p-1}-\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1} \\
& b_{i} \equiv\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1}, \quad(i=2, \cdots, n) . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Conversely, all fields defined by (30), (31), (32) with $x_{1}{ }^{p}=x_{1}+a_{1}$ irreducible in $F$ are cyclic of degree $p^{n}$ with generating automorphism $S$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{0}=1, x_{i}^{S}=x_{i}+\left(x_{0} x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1}, \quad(i=1, \cdots, n) \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

For we have proved that the fields defined above are cyclic, in Lemma 8. Assume now, conversely, that $Z_{n}$ is cyclic of degree $p^{n}$ over $F$ and that we have proved the above result for its subfields $Z_{1}, \cdots, Z_{n-1}$. Let $x_{n}{ }^{S}=x_{n}+d_{n}$ by Lemma 7 and write $d_{n}=\beta b_{n}+g_{n}$, where $b_{n}=\left(x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{i-1}\right)^{p-1}, \beta$ is in $F$, and $-g_{n}$ is a non-maximal polynomial in $Z_{n-1}$. By Lemma 8, we have also

$$
-g_{n}=h_{n} S-h_{n}, \quad\left(h_{n} \text { in } Z_{n-1}\right)
$$

We then let $y_{n}=x_{n}+h_{n}$, so that

$$
y_{n}{ }^{S}=x_{n}{ }^{S}+h_{n}{ }^{S}=x_{n}+\beta b_{n}+g_{n}+h_{n}-g_{n}=y_{n}+\beta b_{n} .
$$

Moreover, $Z_{n}=F\left(x_{n}\right)=F\left(y_{n}\right)$, since it is evident that $y_{n}$ generates $Z_{n-1}\left(x_{n}\right)$ over $Z_{n-1}$ and hence also $F\left(x_{n}\right)$, by Lemma 7 (in which we proved $\left.Z_{n-1}\left(x_{n}\right)=F\left(x_{n}\right)\right)$.

But now we have shown that we may take $d_{n}=\beta b_{n}$ without loss of generality. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{Z_{n-1} \mid F}\left(d_{n}\right)=\beta T_{Z_{n-1} \mid F}\left(b_{n}\right)=(-1)^{n-1} \beta=k_{n} \\
&\left(k_{n}=1, \cdots, p-1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the quantity $\beta$ is a non-zero integer. There exists an integer $\gamma$ such that $\gamma \beta=1$ and, if we write $z_{n}=\gamma x_{n}$, we have $z_{n}{ }^{S}=\gamma x_{n}{ }^{S}$ $=\gamma\left(x_{n}+\beta b_{n}\right)=z_{n}+b_{n}$. Evidently $F\left(x_{n}\right)=F\left(z_{n}\right)$ while $z_{n}$ satisfies (33). By Lemma 7, (27), we have also (32) for $i=n$; and we have proved the theorem.
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[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, March 30, 1934.
    $\dagger$ Hamburg Abhandlungen, vol. 5 (1926-7), pp. 225-231.

[^1]:    * Note that (28) is true for $n=2$ by Lemma 3, is vacuous for $n=1$. Hence $Z_{2}$ is defined by Lemma 4. This is the first step in our induction, the case $i=2$.

