THE FORM wx + xy + yz + zu

BY E. T. BELL

1. Introduction. In the usual notation,

$$N \equiv N [n = wx + xy + yz + zu; w, x, z, u > 0; y \ge 0]$$

denotes the number of sets (w, x, y, z, u) of integers, subject to the conditions indicated, satisfying the stated equation in which n is an arbitrary constant integer >0. Let $\zeta_r(n)$ denote the sum of the rth powers of all the divisors of n, so that $\zeta_0(n)$ is the number of divisors. Then

(1)
$$N = \zeta_2(n) - n\zeta_0(n).$$

This curious result is the only one of the numerous theorems on quadratic forms stated by Liouville for which (apparently) no proof has been published.*

We shall first show that (1) follows from

(2)
$$2N' = \zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n),$$

$$N' \equiv N' [n = wx + xy + yz + zu + ux; \quad w, x, y, z > 0; \quad u \ge 0],$$

and then prove (2). Another similar result is stated in §5.

2. Equivalence of (1) and (2). The form in N' may be written

$$yz + (z + x)u + x(w + y);$$

and hence, by the conditions on the variables, $w+y \equiv y' > y$. Thus (2) is equivalent to

(3)
$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_2(n) &= 2N\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n) \\ &= 2N' [n = yz + (z+x)u + xw; x, y, z, w > 0; u \ge 0; w > y]. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the substitution (xz)(yw) to the last we see that (3) holds also when the condition w > y is replaced by w < y.

Consider now the remaining possibility, w = y. The equation becomes

^{*} J. Liouville, Comptes Rendus, Paris, vol. 62 (1866), p. 714; also, Journal de Mathématiques, (2), vol. 12 (1867), pp. 47–48. Noted in Dickson's *History*, vol. 3, p. 237. Liouville points out why the theorem is unusual.

E. T. BELL

(4)
$$n = (x + z)(y + u);$$
 $x, y, z > 0;$ $u \ge 0.$

Hence if $n = d\delta$ is any resolution of *n* into a pair of positive divisors, the number of solutions of (4) for a fixed (d, δ) is

$$N[d = x + z; x, z > 0] \times N[\delta = y + u; y > 0; u \ge 0],$$

that is, $(d-1)\delta$; and therefore the total number of solutions of (4) is $\sum (d-1)\delta$, the sum referring to all pairs (d, δ) . Thus (4) has precisely $n\zeta_0(n) - \zeta_1(n)$ solutions.

But all the solutions of

(5)
$$n = yz + (z + x)u + xw;$$
 $x, y, z, w > 0;$ $u \ge 0$

are exhausted by the three mutually exclusive sets in which w > y, w < y, w = y, respectively, and the number of solutions in each of these has just been determined. The total number of solutions of (5) is thus

$$\zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n) + n\zeta_0(n) - \zeta_1(n),$$

or $\zeta_2(n) - n\zeta_0(n)$. Hence (1) follows from (2). Conversely, by reversing the steps, (2) follows from (1), so that (1), (2) are equivalent.

3. Dependence of (2) on an Auxiliary Relation (6). Let $\phi(u, v)$ be finite and single-valued for all integer values of the variables u, v, and beyond the condition $\phi(u, v) = -\phi(v, u)$ for integer values of u, v, let $\phi(u, v)$ be arbitrary. Then

(6)
$$\sum \phi(w+y,z) = \sum \left[\sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \phi(r,d)\right],$$

 $\sum_{(d, \delta)}$ on the left referring to all (w, y, z), that on the right to all (d, δ) , from

(7)
$$d\delta = n = wx + xy + yz;$$
 $d, \delta, w, x, y, z > 0,$

in which all the letters denote integers and n is constant. Assuming this for the moment, we shall prove (2).

The form in (7) is invariant under the substitution (xy)(zw). Hence $\sum w = \sum z$, the sums extending over all solutions of (7). Taking $\phi(u, v) \equiv u - v$ in (6), we get

(8)
$$2\sum y = \zeta_2(n) - 2n\zeta_0(n) + \zeta_1(n),$$

378

[June,

the left member of which is

 $2\sum N[y = y_1 + y_2; y_1 > 0; y_2 \ge 0].$

It follows that

 $2N[n = wx + (x + z)(y_1 + y_2); w, x, z, y_1 > 0; y_2 \ge 0]$

is given by the right member of (8). By a change of notation for the variables this result is (2).

4. Proof of (6). We now prove (6). The functions h(u), f(u, v) are single-valued and finite for integer values of the variables, and beyond the conditions (for integer values of u, or of u, v)

$$h(u) = h(-u), \qquad f(u, v) = f(-u, v) = f(u, -v),$$

are arbitrary. Hence in a theorem concerning f(u, v) we may replace f(u, v) - f(v, u) by $\phi(h(u), h(v))$, where ϕ is as in §3. For f we have the identity*

$$\sum \left[f(d_1 + d_2, \, \delta_1 - \delta_2) - f(\delta_1 - \delta_2, \, d_1 + d_2) \right]$$

=
$$\sum \left[(d-1) \left\{ f(d,0) - f(0,d) \right\} + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \left\{ f(r,d) - f(d,r) \right\} \right],$$

the sum on the right referring to all (d, δ) , that on the left to all $(d_1, \delta_1, d_2, \delta_2)$, such that

(9)
$$d\delta = n = d_1\delta_1 + d_2\delta_2,$$

in which all letters denote integers >0 and n is constant. Hence

$$\sum \phi(h(d_1 + d_2), h(\delta_1 - \delta_2))$$
(10)
$$= \sum \left[(d - 1)\phi(h(d), h(0)) + 2 \sum_{r=1}^{\delta - 1} \phi(h(r), h(d)) \right].$$
In (10) take $h(u) \equiv |u|$. Then

(11)
$$\sum \phi(d_1 + d_2, |\delta_1 - \delta_2|) = \sum \left[(d-1)\phi(d, 0) + 2\sum_{r=1}^{\delta-1} \phi(r, d) \right].$$

1936.]

^{*} Equivalent to one stated by Liouville, Journal de Mathématiques, (2), vol. 3 (1858), pp. 282-284. The first proof, by elementary means, was given by T. Pepin, ibid., (4), vol. 4 (1888), pp. 84-92; I showed that the identity is equivalent to one between doubly periodic functions of the first and second kinds (Transactions of this Society, vol. 22 (1921), p. 215).

According as $\delta_1 - \delta_2 > 0$, $\delta_1 - \delta_2 < 0$, $\delta_1 - \delta_2 = 0$ we have

$$\begin{split} \delta_1 &= \delta_2 + \delta'_1, \, \delta'_1 > 0, & n = d_1 (\delta_2 + \delta'_1) + d_2 \delta_2; \\ \delta_2 &= \delta_1 + \delta'_2, \, \delta'_2 > 0, & n = d_1 \delta_1 + d_2 (\delta_1 + \delta'_2); \\ &n = \delta_1 (d_1 + d_2). \end{split}$$

The third of these contributes $\sum (d-1)\phi(d, 0)$, summed over all divisors d of n, to the left of (11). The forms in the first two are equivalent under the substitution $(d_1d_2)(\delta_1\delta_2)(\delta'_1\delta'_2)$; for the first, $|\delta_1-\delta_2| = \delta'_1$, for the second $|\delta_1-\delta_2| = \delta'_2$. Hence, by the equivalence just noted, these two together contribute $2\sum \phi(d_1+d_2, \delta'_1)$ to the left of (11). Substituting these results into (9), (11), and changing the notation,

$$(d_2, \, \delta_2, \, d_1, \, \delta_1') \,=\, (w, \, x, \, y, \, z) \,,$$

we get (6).

5. Another Similar Result. Other choices of ϕ in (6) give theorems on numbers of representations. From the results already given it is easily seen that

$$N[n = wx + xy + yz + zu + ux; x, y > 0; u, z, w \ge 0] = \zeta_2(n).$$

To prove this we require

$$2N[n = x(w + y + u); \quad x, y, w > 0; \quad u \ge 0] = \zeta_2(n) - \zeta_1(n),$$

which follows at once on noting that x = d, $w + y + u = \delta$, where $n = d\delta$, and that

 $N[\delta = w + y + u; \quad w, y > 0; \quad u \ge 0]$

is the coefficient of q^{δ} in the expansion of $q^2(1-q)^{-3}$, and hence is $\delta(\delta-1)/2$.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

[June,

380