## A NOTE ON FREDHOLM-STIELTJES INTEGRAL EQUATIONS*

## F. G. DRESSEL

1. Introduction. The object of this paper is to show that the integral equation $\dagger$

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=m(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} f(y) d G(x, y), \quad 0 \leqq x, y \leqq 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

can be changed into an ordinary Fredholm equation when $G(x, y)$ is absolutely continuous $g(y) \ddagger$ The integration is carried out in the Young-Stieltjes sense, and $g(y)$ is a bounded, monotone increasing function.
2. Lemmas. If $h(x)$ is of bounded variation and we set $h(x)=h(0)$, $(x<0)$, and $h(x)=h(1),(x>1)$, then we may define the completely additive function of sets $\bar{h}(e)$ by

$$
\bar{h}(e)=h\left(x_{2}+0\right)-h\left(x_{1}-0\right), \quad e=e\left(x_{1} \leqq t \leqq x_{2}\right)
$$

Using this notation we have the following lemma:
Lemma 1. If $f(x)$ is measurable Borel then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d h(x)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d \bar{h}
$$

the left side being Young-Stieltjes integration, the right Radon-Stieltjes.
In case one integral does not exist the equality sign is taken to mean that the other integration is non-existent. Because of the properties of the integrals under consideration, we need only prove the equality for the functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{1}(x) & =1, x=\alpha, & f_{2}(x) & =1,0 \leqq \alpha<x<\beta \leqq 1, \\
& =0, x \neq \alpha ; & & =0, \text { elsewhere } .
\end{aligned}
$$

[^0]We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) d h(x)=h(\alpha+0)-h(\alpha-0)=\bar{h}(\alpha)=\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) d \bar{h} \\
& \int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) d h(x)=h(\beta-0)-h(\alpha+0)=\bar{h}(e)=\int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) d \bar{h}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $e$ is the open set $\alpha<t<\beta$.*
Lemma 2. If $G(x)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the bounded monotone increasing function $g(x)$, then

$$
\int_{0}^{1} f(x) d G(x)=\int_{0}^{1} f(x) D G(x) d g(x)
$$

where $D G(x)$ is the derivative or one of the derived numbers of $G(x)$ with respect to $g(x)$.

Mr. Maria $\dagger$ has made the important step in the proof of the lemma by showing that

$$
G\left(x_{2}+0\right)-G\left(x_{1}-0\right)=\int_{E} D G(x) d \bar{g}
$$

where $E$ is the set $x_{1} \leqq t \leqq x_{2}$. For the function $f_{1}(x)$, making use of Lemma 1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) d G(x) & =G(\alpha+0)-G(\alpha-0) \\
\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) D G(x) d g(x) & =\int_{0}^{1} f_{1}(x) D G(x) d \bar{g}=\int_{E} D G(x) d \bar{g} \\
& =G(\alpha+0)-G(\alpha-0)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $E$ is the point $\alpha$. For $f_{2}(x)$ we have, if $e$ is the open set $\alpha<x<\beta$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) d G(x) & =G(\beta-0)-G(\alpha+0) \\
\int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) D G(x) d g(x) & =\int_{0}^{1} f_{2}(x) D G(x) d \bar{g}=\int_{e} D G(x) d \bar{g} \\
& =G(\beta-0)-G(\alpha+0)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the above material the lemma readily follows.

[^1]3. Transformations. Our first theorem is the following.

Theorem 1. If $G(x, y)$ is absolutely continuous $g(y)$ then equation (1) can be written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=m(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d g(y), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K(x, y)=D G(x, y)$, the derivative being taken with respect to $g(y)$, a bounded monotone increasing function.

This is immediate from Lemma 2.
Theorem 2. If $m(x)$ and $K(x, y)$ are bounded, then the solution of (1) and (2), except for characteristic values of $\lambda$, can be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=m(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} \frac{D(x, y ; \lambda)}{D(\lambda)} m(y) d g(y) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
D(\lambda) & =1-\lambda \int_{0}^{1} K(s, s) d g(s)+\cdots \\
D(x, y ; \lambda) & =K(x, y)-\lambda \int_{0}^{1}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
K(x, y) & K(x, s) \\
K(s, y) & K(s, s)
\end{array}\right| d g(s)+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof follows along the same lines as in the ordinary case. We now state a corollary of Theorem 2 that represents most of the known results concerning solutions of equation (1).

Corollary.* If $\left|G\left(x, y_{2}\right)-G\left(x, y_{1}\right)\right| \leqq\left|g\left(y_{2}\right)-g\left(y_{1}\right)\right|$, then, excepting characteristic values, equation (1) has (3) as a solution.

Any result for the ordinary Fredholm equation carries a related result for equation (1). To see this, we assume without loss of generality that $g\left(y_{1}\right)<g\left(y_{2}\right)$ if $y_{1}<y_{2}$, and apply to (2) the transformation $\dagger$

$$
\beta(s)=\lim \sup E_{y}(s \geqq g(y)), \quad g(0) \leqq s \leqq g(1),
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x) & =m(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} K(x, y) f(y) d g(y)  \tag{4}\\
& =m(x)+\lambda \int_{g(0)}^{g(1)} K(x, \beta(s)) f(\beta(s)) d s
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]If we let $\omega$ be any of the possible solutions of

$$
x=\beta(\omega), \quad g(0) \leqq \omega \leqq g(1),
$$

we may write (4) in the form

$$
F(\omega)=M(\omega)+\lambda \int_{g(0)}^{g(1)} k(\omega, s) F(s) d s
$$

where $F(\omega)=f(\beta(\omega)), M(\omega)=m(\beta(\omega)), k(\omega, s)=K(\beta(\omega), \beta(s))$. We thus have our main result:

Theorem 3. When $G(x, y)$ is absolutely continuous $g(y)$ the Fred-holm-Stieltjes integral equation (1) is reducible to an ordinary Fredholm integral equation.

## 4. Mixed linear equations. The mixed equation*

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=m(x)+\sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda K^{(i)}(x) f\left(s_{i}\right)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} K(x, s) f(s) d s \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

can easily be put into the form

$$
f(x)=m(x)+\lambda \int_{0}^{1} R(x, s) f(s) d g(s) .
$$

Thus from Theorem 3 we see that equation (5) is reducible to a Fredholm integral equation.
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## A THEOREM ON QUADRATIC FORMS $\dagger$

WILLIAM T. REID
In this note the following result is proved:
Theorem. Suppose $A[x] \equiv a_{\alpha \beta} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}, \ddagger B[x] \equiv b_{\alpha \beta} x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$ are real quadratic forms in $\left(x_{\alpha}\right),(\alpha=1, \cdots, n)$, and that $A[x]>0$ for all real $\left(x_{\alpha}\right) \neq\left(0_{\alpha}\right)$ satisfying $B[x]=0$. Then there exists a real constant $\lambda_{0}$ such that $A[x]-\lambda_{0} B[x]$ is a positive definite quadratic form.

This theorem is of use in considering the Clebsch condition for multiple integrals in the calculus of variations. A. A. Albert§ has given

[^3]
[^0]:    * Presented to the Society, December 29, 1936.
    $\dagger$ For a discussion of (1) see G. C. Evans and O. Veblen, The Cambridge Colloquium Lectures on Mathematics, American Mathematical Society Colloquium Publications, vol. 5, 1922, p. 101.
    $\ddagger$ For terminology see Alfred J. Maria, Generalized derivatives, Annals of Mathematics, vol. 28 (1926-1927), pp. 419-432. I am much indebted to Mr. Maria for many valuable suggestions.

    All functions used in the present paper are assumed to be measurable Borel.

[^1]:    * The same reasoning shows that $\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d h(t)$ is equal to $\int_{0}^{x} f(t) d \bar{h}$, for $0<x \leqq 1$, if $h(t)$ is continuous from the right except perhaps at $x=0$.
    $\dagger$ Loc. cit., p. 430.

[^2]:    * This includes the case handled by W. C. Randels, On Volterra-Stieltjes integral equations, Duke Mathematical Journal, vol. 1 (1935), pp. 538-542.
    $\dagger$ Banach, Théorie des Opérations Linéaires, Warsaw, 1932, p. 6.

[^3]:    * W. A. Hurwitz, Mixed linear integral equations of the first order, Transactions of this Society, vol. 16 (1915), pp. 121-133.
    $\dagger$ Presented to the Society, December 30, 1937.
    $\ddagger$ The tensor analysis summation convention is used throughout.
    § This Bulletin, vol. 44 (1938), pp. 250-253.

