THE MINIMAL NUMBERS OF BINARY FORMS¹

RUFUS OLDENBURGER AND ARTHUR PORGES

1. Introduction. One of us proved that for certain fields K a form F of degree m can be written as a linear combination of mth powers of linear forms. Such a combination is termed a *representation* of F and the least possible number of terms in any such representation is called the *minimal* number of F with respect to K. The minimal number depends on both F and K. For fields K with characteristic greater than n, and binary forms F of degree n, it has been proved² that the minimal number ranges over at least $1, 2, \dots, n$, and at most $1, 2, \dots, n+1$, but the exact range was not determined. In the present paper the authors prove that the range is precisely $1, 2, \dots, n$.

2. **Preliminary lemmas.** In what follows we use *identity* of polynomials in the usual sense, namely polynomials P and Q are identical if the coefficients of P equal the corresponding coefficients of Q.

Since the order of a field K is greater than m if the characteristic of K is greater than m, we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. For a field K with characteristic greater than m a polynomial P of degree m is equal to a polynomial Q for all values of the variables if and only if P and Q are identical.

An immediate consequence of Lemma 1 is the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. For a field K with characteristic greater than m, a polynomial P of degree m not identically zero is different from zero for at least one set of values of the variables.

LEMMA 3. Let K be a field with characteristic greater than m. Let Δ be the determinant

(1)
$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & b_1 \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_m & b_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_1 & \cdots & a_m^m & b_{m+1} \end{vmatrix}$$

of order m+1, $m \ge 1$, with elements in K, and suppose that the b's are not all zero. The determinant Δ is not identically zero in the a's.

¹ Presented to the Society, April 13, 1940.

² R. Oldenburger, *Polynomials in several variables*, Annals of Mathematics, (2), vol. 41 (1940), no. 3, pp. 694-710.

Lemma 3 is valid when m = 1. For $m \ge 2$ we have the following sequence of equalities:

$$\Delta = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1} \\ a_{1} & (a_{2} - a_{1}) & \cdots & (a_{m} - a_{1}) & b_{2} \\ a_{1}^{2} & (a_{2}^{2} - a_{1}^{2}) & \cdots & (a_{m}^{2} - a_{1}^{2}) & b_{3} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{1}^{m} & (a_{2}^{m} - a_{1}^{m}) & \cdots & (a_{m}^{m} - a_{1}^{m}) & b_{m+1} \end{vmatrix}$$
$$= (a_{2} - a_{1}) \cdots (a_{m} - a_{1}) \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & b_{1} \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & (b_{2} - b_{1}a_{1}) \\ 0 & a_{2} & \cdots & a_{m} & (b_{3} - b_{2}a_{1}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & a_{2}^{m-1} \cdots & a_{m}^{m-1} & (b_{m+1} - b_{m}a_{1}) \end{vmatrix}.$$

Thus

(2)
$$\Delta = (a_2 - a_1) \cdots (a_m - a_1)(M - a_1N),$$

where

$$M = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & b_2 \\ a_2 & \cdots & a_m & b_3 \\ a_2^2 & \cdots & a_m^2 & b_4 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2^{m-1} & \cdots & a_m^{m-1} & b_{m+1} \end{vmatrix}, \qquad N = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & \cdots & 1 & b_1 \\ a_2 & \cdots & a_m & b_2 \\ a_2^2 & \cdots & a_m^2 & b_3 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_2^{m-1} & \cdots & a_m^{m-1} & b_m \end{vmatrix}.$$

The lemma now follows by induction.

It is to be remarked that if the characteristic of a field K is greater than m, each binary form of degree m with coefficients in K can be written as

(3)
$$b_1 x^m + m b_2 x^{m-1} y + \cdots + b_{m+1} y^m$$
,

where the coefficient of $b_i x^{m-i+1} y^{i-1}$ is the binomial coefficient of $x^{m-i+1} y^{i-1}$ in the expansion of $(x+y)^m$.

3. The range of the minimal number. We proceed with the following theorem in which I(m) denotes the integer

(4)
$$\frac{1}{2}m[m(m-1)+2].$$

THEOREM 1. For a field K with characteristic greater than m, and order at least I(m), the minimal number of a binary form F does not exceed m.

We write F as in (4). We exclude the trivial case $F \equiv 0$. If $b_1 \neq 0$ while $b_i = 0$ for i > 1, the form F is simply $b_1 x^m$, whence the minimal number of F is 1; similarly, if $b_{m+1} \neq 0$ while $b_i = 0$ for i < m+1. If $m \ge 2$ while b_1 and b_{m+1} are not zero, and if further $b_i = 0$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, m$, the form F is nonsingular in the sense of Oldenburger,³ and has minimal number 2.

If F is of the first degree, the minimal number of F is clearly 1, while if F is quadratic, the minimal number is identical⁴ with the rank of F and does not exceed 2.

It remains to consider forms F of degree at least 3 for which b_2, \dots, b_m are not all zero. It is no restriction to assume that $b_{m+1} \neq 0$. For if $b_{m+1}=0$, the form F can be transformed nonsingularly into a form with this property, as is clear from the following argument. We write F = F(x, y). Since $F \neq 0$, there exist values a and b of x and y respectively such that $F(a, b) \neq 0$. We make the transformation

$$x = x' + ay', \qquad y = x' + by',$$

on F to obtain a form F'(x', y'). Evidently, F'(0, 1) = F(a, b).

We consider the following equality:

(5)
$$b_1 x^m + m b_2 x^{m-1} y + \cdots + b_{m+1} y^m = \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i (x + a_i y)^m$$

The equality (5) is identically satisfied if the λ 's and *a*'s are chosen so that the following system of linear equations is valid:

We shall prove that the *a*'s can be chosen so that the rank of the matrix of coefficients of the λ 's in (6) is *m*, while the rank of the augmented matrix is also *m*. The determinant of the augmented matrix of (6) is Δ . We write Δ as in (2).

In the expansion of M, the terms of lowest degree α in the *a*'s are those which have b_{m+1} as coefficient. The degree α is explicitly

696

⁸ R. Oldenburger, Rational equivalence of a form to a sum of pth powers, Transactions of this Society, vol. 44 (1938), pp. 219-249.

⁴ M. Bôcher, Introduction to Higher Algebra, p. 135.

BINARY FORMS

 $\frac{1}{2}(m-1)(m-2)$. The terms of lowest degree, in the expansion of the polynomial a_iN for any *i*, are at least of degree $\alpha + 1$. Thus the terms of degree α in the polynomial $M - a_iN$ [*i* in the range 2, 3, \cdots , *m*] arise from *M* only, whence $M - a_iN$ is not identically zero in the *a*'s. Thus the polynomial

(7)
$$(M - a_2N) \cdots (M - a_mN)N$$

of degree at most I(m) - 1 is not identically zero in the *a*'s. Evidently, $N \neq 0$ implies that a_2, \dots, a_m are distinct. Choose a set of values of a_2, \dots, a_m such that the polynomial (7) is different from zero. For this choice of the *a*'s the polynomial $M - a_1N$ is linear in a_1 , and $M - a_1N = 0$ has a solution for a_1 , distinct from a_2, \dots, a_m . Thus there exist mutually distinct values of a_1, a_2, \dots, a_m , such that $\Delta = 0$. Since the *a*'s are mutually distinct, the matrix of coefficients of the λ 's in (6) has rank *m*. It follows that the augmented matrix has the same rank. It is well known that a system of linear equations has a solution if and only if the matrix of coefficients and the augmented matrix have the same rank.⁵ Theorem 1 is now proved.

The following theorem was proved by Oldenburger.⁶

THEOREM 2. For a field with characteristic greater than m, the minimal number of $x^{m-1}y$ is m.

If the sum $\lambda_i L_i^m$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, m)$ is chosen to be a minimal representation of $x^{m-1}y$, the sum

$$\lambda_1 L_1^m + \cdots + \lambda_{\rho} L_{\rho}^m, \qquad \rho \leq m,$$

is minimal. Thus for each ρ in the range 1, 2, \cdots , *m* there is a binary form of degree *m* with minimal number ρ . Applying Theorem 1 we have arrived at the following result.

THEOREM 3. Let a field K be given as in Theorem 1. For the field K the range of the minimal numbers of binary forms of degree m is $1, 2, \dots, m$.

Armour Institute of Technology

1940]

⁵ M. Bôcher, Introduction to Higher Algebra, p. 46.

⁶ See first reference to Oldenburger above.