## ON THE FIRST CASE OF FERMAT'S LAST THEOREM
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In 1909 Wieferich [1] proved his celebrated criterion for the first case of Fermat's last theorem, namely:

The equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}, \quad x, y, z \text { prime to } p \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

has no solutions unless

$$
\begin{equation*}
2^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since that time numerous other criteria of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
m^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

have been proved by Mirimanoff [2] (for $m=3$ ), Vandiver [3] (for $m=5$ ), Frobenius [4], Pollaczek [5], Morishima [6], and Rosser [7] for all prime values of $m \leqq 41$.

Wieferich's criterion alone has been applied by Meissner [8] and Beeger [9] for $p<16,000$ and was found to be satisfied only for $p=1,093$ and 3,511 , both of which cases failed to satisfy Mirimanoff's criterion.

Until recently no effort has been made to combine these various criteria in a practical way. Mirimanoff observed, however, in 1910 that his criterion and that of Wieferich could be combined to state that equation (1) has no solutions for all primes $p$ of the form $2^{\alpha} 3^{\beta} \pm 1$ or $\left|2^{\alpha} \pm 3^{\beta}\right|$.

In the presence of more criteria this statement can be extended thus:
We call a number an " $A_{n}$ number" (after Western) if it is divisible by no prime exceeding the $n$th prime $p_{n}$. If the criterion (3) has been established for all $m \leqq p_{n}$, then equation (1) does not hold if $p$ is the sum or difference of two $A_{n}$ numbers [10]. Since all the numbers less than $p_{n+1}$ are $A_{n}$ numbers, we may state that equation (1) has no solution for any prime in a region where the $A_{n}$ numbers are so dense that they do not differ by more than $2 p_{n+1}-1$. This method was used in 1938 by A. E. Western [11] to show that (1) is impossible for $16,000<p<100,000$.

A more powerful method of combining the criteria was suggested recently by Rosser [12], who observes that while the congruence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{p-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has only $(p-1) / 2$ solutions less than $p^{2} / 2$, every $A_{n}$ number is a
solution of (4) if (1) holds and if (3) has been established as far as $m=p_{n}$. Hence if $\phi_{n}(x)$ denotes the number of $A_{n}$ numbers not exceeding $x$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}\left(p^{2} / 2\right) \leqq(p-1) / 2 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

a condition which cannot hold for small $p$ 's if the $A_{n}$ numbers are sufficiently dense.

Other inequalities of this kind can be derived by separating the solutions of (4) into classes. We shall do this here only in the case in which the solutions are distinguished by their parity. For every positive odd solution $\omega<p^{2} / 3$ of (4) there exists also a solution $\left(p^{2}-\omega\right) / 2$ (using Wieferich's criterion) which lies between $p^{2} / 3$ and $p^{2} / 2$, and hence differs from $\omega$. The number ( $p-1$ )/2 of solutions of (4) not exceeding $p^{2} / 2$ is then at least the number of even $A_{n}$ numbers less than $p^{2} / 3$ plus twice the number of odd $A_{n}$ numbers less than $p^{2} / 3$. This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{n}\left(p^{2} / 3\right)+\phi_{n}^{*}\left(p^{2} / 3\right) \leqq(p-1) / 2 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{n}^{*}(x)$ denotes the number of odd $A_{n}$ numbers less than $x$.
If one is to apply an inequality such as (5) or (6) outside the limit of existing tables [13] of $A_{n}$ numbers, it is necessary to find lower bounds for $\phi_{n}$ and $\phi_{n}^{*}$. Rosser [12] has given a lower bound for $\phi_{n}\left(10^{x}\right)$ in the form of a polynomial $f_{n}(x)$ of the $n$th degree.

By an improved method [14] which makes use of Bernoulli polynomials we have constructed polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ and $Q_{n}(x)$ of degree $n$ giving lower and upper bounds for $\phi_{n}\left(10^{x}\right)$, and also a polynomial $P_{n-1}^{*}(x)$ of degree $n-1$ giving a lower bound for $\phi_{n}^{*}\left(10^{x}\right)$. As we shall actually need not $P_{n-1}^{*}$ but the sum $P_{n}+P_{n-1}^{*}$, we tabulate the following polynomials for $n=13$ :

| $P_{13}(x)$ |  | $P_{13}(x)+P_{12}^{*}(x)$ | $Q_{13}(x)$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $.0^{9} 1380608198$ | $x^{13}$ | $.0^{9} 1380608198$ | $x^{13}$ | $.0^{9} 1380608198$ | $x^{13}$ |
| $.0^{7} 1272704088$ | $x^{12}$ | $.0^{7} 1326732670$ | $x^{12}$ | $.0^{7} 1326732670$ | $x^{12}$ |
| $.0^{6} 5247670000$ | $x^{11}$ | $.0^{6} 5691949617$ | $x^{11}$ | $.0^{6} 5729012056$ | $x^{11}$ |
| $.0^{4} 1277269076$ | $x^{10}$ | $.0^{4} 1439087748$ | $x^{10}$ | $.0^{4} 1469359894$ | $x^{10}$ |
| $.0^{3} 2039391192$ | $x^{9}$ | $.0^{3} 2383232393$ | $x^{9}$ | $.0^{3} 2493297644$ | $x^{9}$ |
| $.0^{2} 2244543403$ | $x^{8}$ | $.0^{2} 2716892889$ | $x^{8}$ | $.0^{2} 2952478417$ | $x^{8}$ |
| .01740607057 | $x^{7}$ | .02179700460 | $x^{7}$ | .02510548659 | $x^{7}$ |
| .09545572654 | $x^{6}$ | .1235281024 | $x^{6}$ | .1557243217 | $x^{6}$ |
| .3654889719 | $x^{5}$ | .4882665632 | $x^{5}$ | .7120647453 | $x^{5}$ |
| .9452666752 | $x^{4}$ | 1.302427247 | $x^{4}$ | 2.432810990 | $x^{4}$ |
| 1.542870198 | $x^{3}$ | 2.191273599 | $x^{3}$ | 6.376807029 | $x^{3}$ |
| 1.361483164 | $x^{2}$ | 1.996360212 | $x^{2}$ | 13.24421612 | $x^{2}$ |
| .3459458265 | $x$ | .5410860433 | $x$ | 21.15029718 | $x$ |
| -.2049399029 |  | -.2911608671 |  | 19.69764203 |  |

If we now replace (5) by

$$
P_{13}\left(\log p^{2} / 2\right) \leqq(p-1) / 2,
$$

we find, by actual substitution into $P_{13}$, that this inequality holds only for $p \geqq 93,785,629$, and hence (1) has no solution ${ }^{1}$ for $p<93$,785,629.

Since

$$
Q_{13}\left(\log p^{2} / 2\right) \leqq(p-1) / 2
$$

holds only for $p>141,000,000$, it follows that the inequality (5), even if we knew the exact value of $\phi_{n}(x)$, could not be used beyond this limit for $n=13$.

The inequality (6) becomes

$$
P_{13}\left(\log p^{2} / 3\right)+P_{12}^{*}\left(\log p^{2} / 3\right) \leqq(p-1) / 2
$$

This holds only for $p>102,108,200$.
Hence the first case of Fermat's last theorem is now proved for $p<102,108,200$.

Further criteria of type (3), when established, may be used to extend this limit by calculating approximating polynomials of higher degree from the ones given above by the method described in [14].

Note added March 1. Since writing the above, Dr. Rosser has kindly sent us the manuscript of his forthcoming paper [15] in which he completes Morishima's proof that the prime 43 gives also a criterion of the form (3). We have therefore calculated $P_{14}(x)$ and $P_{13}^{*}(x)$ from the $P_{13}$ and $P_{12}^{*}$ given above. These polynomials are as follows:

| $P_{14}(x)$ |  | $P_{13}^{*}(x)$ |  | $P_{14}(x)+P_{13}^{*}(x)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| . $0^{11} 6037145739$ |  |  |  | . $0^{116037145739 ~}$ |  |
| . $0^{9} 6683705079$ | $x^{13}$ | . $0^{10} 2544306733$ |  | . $0^{9} 6938135752$ |  |
| . $0^{7} 3337944336$ | $x^{12}$ | . 082536688143 | $x^{12}$ | . $0^{7} 3591613150$ | $x^{12}$ |
| . 069940243443 | $x^{11}$ | . 061131551216 | $x^{11}$ | . $0^{5} 1107179466$ | $x^{11}$ |
| . $0^{41965570473 ~}$ | $x^{10}$ | . 052980593461 | $x^{10}$ | . 042263629819 | $x^{10}$ |
| . 032719317505 | $x^{9}$ | . 045152041706 | $x^{9}$ | . 033234521676 | $x^{9}$ |
| . $0^{22700968174 ~}$ | $x^{8}$ | . $0^{3} 6140455952$ | $x^{8}$ | . $0^{2} 3315013769$ | $x^{8}$ |
| . 01944029859 | $x^{7}$ | . 025157919367 | $x^{7}$ | . 02459821796 | $x^{7}$ |
| . 1009940169 | $x^{6}$ | . 03064345185 | $x^{6}$ | . 1316374687 | $x^{6}$ |
| . 3720257684 | $x^{5}$ | . 1271048263 | $x^{5}$ | . 4991305947 | $x^{5}$ |
| . 9365601794 | $x^{4}$ | . 3559975936 | $x^{4}$ | 1.292557773 | $x^{4}$ |
| 1.500217863 | $x^{3}$ | . 6290315435 | $x^{3}$ | 2.129249406 | $x^{3}$ |
| 1.299882088 | $x^{2}$ | . 6014604442 | $x^{2}$ | 1.901342532 | $x^{2}$ |
| . 3050249350 | $x$ | . 1730336327 | $x$ | . 4780585677 | $x$ |
| -. 2081092173 |  | -. 08700841024 |  | -. 2951176274 |  |

[^0]We find that (5) is satisfied only if $p>230$ millions, while (6) gives the better inequality $p \geqq 253,747,889$.

The first case of Fermat's last theorem is therefore now established for all $p<253,747,889$.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The corresponding result obtained by Rosser is about $41,000,000$.

