

ON BIORTHOGONAL MATRICES¹

Y. K. WONG

Introduction. Consider the basis consisting of a number system \mathfrak{A} of type D , two general ranges $\mathfrak{P}^1, \mathfrak{P}^2$, and two positive hermitian matrices ϵ^1, ϵ^2 . We introduce two binary relations for pairs of non-modular matrices. The matrices κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are said to be contracedging as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_k^2, \epsilon^2$ in case κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1), \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ respectively and such that $J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12} \mu^2 = J^2 \phi^{*12} \mu^2$ for every μ^2 in the set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$. It is evident that when κ^{12} is of type $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1) \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$, then the contracedging property implies that $J^2 \kappa^{12} \phi^{21} = \epsilon_k^1$ but not conversely. The main results are stated in Theorems 2 and 3. We next consider $\epsilon_0^1, \epsilon_1^1$ both idempotent as to ϵ^1 . Suppose that κ^{12} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$ and ϕ^{21} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$. Take any pair of vectors μ^1, ν^1 modular as to $\epsilon_0^1, \epsilon_1^1$ respectively such that $J^1 \kappa^{*21} \mu^1, J^1 \phi^{21} \nu^1$ are in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$. If $J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \nu^1$ is equal to the inner product $J^2(J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \kappa^{12}, J^1 \phi^{21} \nu^1)$, then κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are said to be biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$. When $\epsilon_1^1 = \epsilon_0^1$, then κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are said to be biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$ in case they are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$. With proper restrictions imposed upon κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} , we obtain the contracedging property. In a later paper, we shall establish the relations of biorthogonality and a certain mode of interchange of integration processes.

1. Preliminary results. Consider the basis $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{P}^1, \mathfrak{P}^2, \epsilon^1$, and κ^{12} which is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$. E. H. Moore's generalized Fourier processes give $\epsilon_k^2 \equiv J^1 \kappa^{*21} \kappa^{12}$ and $\epsilon_k^1 \equiv J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12} \kappa^{*21}$. The spaces $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$ are in one-to-one correspondence (denoted by \leftrightarrow) via the transformations $J^1 \kappa^{*21}$ and $J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12}$, and the correspondences are orthogonal in the sense that the moduli of the corresponding vectors are preserved.²

(A)³ Suppose that $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^1) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^1) \leftrightarrow \mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^2)$ via the transformations $J^1 \kappa^{*21}, J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12}$. Then $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^1)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^2)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^2)$; $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^1)$ is linearly J^1 -closed if and only if $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^2)$ is linearly $J^{2\kappa}$ -closed; and $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^1)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}_1(\epsilon_k^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}_2(\epsilon_k^2)$.

¹ Presented to the Society, June 20, 1940.

² For a concise outline of Moore's generalized Fourier theory and its related topics, see Moore, *General Analysis*, I, pp. 19–26. For an important classical instance, see E. Schmidt, *Über die Auflösung linearer Gleichungen mit unendlichvielen Unbekannten*, Rendiconti del Circolo Matematico di Palermo, vol. 25 (1908), pp. 56–77.

³ For the demonstrations of the following results, see the author's forthcoming paper *On non-modular matrices*.

Let ϵ^2 be a positive hermitian matrix. (B) The class of all vectors μ^1 modular as to ϵ^1 such that $J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1$ is in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$. The intersection of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$ will be denoted by $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$. (C) The set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$ is identical with the class of vectors $J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1$ for all μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$. Moreover, (D) the sets $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$ are in one-to-one (orthogonal) correspondence via the transformations $J^1\kappa^{*21}$ and $J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12}$. (E) The set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is the linear extension of the sum of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ and the orthogonal complement within $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1)$. (F) The class $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$.

2. Contraceding pairs of matrices. The basis of the paper consists of a number system \mathfrak{A} of type D , two general ranges $\mathfrak{P}^1, \mathfrak{P}^2$, and two positive hermitian matrices ϵ^1, ϵ^2 .

LEMMA 1. *Suppose that κ^{12} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$. Let $\mathfrak{M}_0(\epsilon^1)$ be a subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$; then $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is contained in (contains) $\mathfrak{M}_0(\epsilon^1)$ only if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$ is contained in (contains) the class of vectors $J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1$ for all μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}_0(\epsilon^1)$. The converses are valid provided that $\mathfrak{M}_0(\epsilon^1) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1)$.*

PROOF. The lemma follows from (D) and (A) in §1.

If ϕ^{21} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$, we introduce the notations due to E. H. Moore:

$$\epsilon_\phi^1 \equiv J^2 \phi^{*12} \phi^{21}, \quad \epsilon_\phi^2 \equiv J^{1\phi} \phi^{21} \phi^{*12}.$$

LEMMA 2. *Suppose that κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1), \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ respectively. Then $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is contained in (contains) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$ is contained in (contains) the class of vectors $J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1$ for all μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$.*

PROOF. This lemma is a special instance of Lemma 1.

DEFINITION 1. *The matrices κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are said to be contraceding as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_k^2\epsilon^2$ in case κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1), \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ respectively and such that $J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12}\mu^2 = J^2\phi^{*12}\mu^2$ for every μ^2 in the set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$.*

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are contraceding as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_k^2\epsilon^2$. Then*

- (1) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) = [J^2\phi^{*12}\mu^2 \mid \mu^2 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)] \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \phi \epsilon_k^1)$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$ if and only if every μ^1 for which $J^1\epsilon_k^1\mu^1 = 0^1$ is in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$.

PROOF. Part (1) is a consequence of the fact that

$$\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2) = [J^{2\kappa} \kappa^{12} \mu^2 \mid \mu^2 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)].$$

By hypothesis, we may replace $J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}$ by $J^2\phi^{*12}$. Since ϕ^{*12} is modular as to $\epsilon_\phi^1\epsilon^2$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1)$, every vector of the form $J^2\phi^{*12}\mu^2$ must belong to $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$. This proves (1). Part (2) is obvious from (1). Part (3) follows from (1) and (E).

THEOREM 2. *Suppose that ϕ^{21}, κ^{12} are contracing as to $\epsilon^2\epsilon_\phi^1\epsilon^2$. Then*

(1) $O^1\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$ if and only if κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$;

(2) the following four assertions are equivalent: (i) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$; (ii) κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^2\phi^*\epsilon^1)$; (iii) κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ and κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are contracing as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_\kappa^2\epsilon^2$; (iv) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) \subset [J^2\phi^{*12}\mu^2 | \mu^2 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2)]$.

If one of the four conditions in (2) is valid, then $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) = \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2) = \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^2\phi^*\epsilon^1)$.

PROOF. If κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$, then $O^1\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1) = [0^1]$, which is, of course, contained in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$. Conversely, every μ^1 satisfying $J^1\mu^1\kappa^{12} = 0^2$ is in the orthogonal complement within $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1)$, and hence is in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$. Thus $J^1\phi\phi^{21}\mu^1 = 0^2$. Since ϕ^{21} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$, we have $\mu^1 = 0^1$, proving that κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$.

To prove (i) implies (ii), we observe by (E) that $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ is the linear extension of the sum of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ and $O^1\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1)$. If (i) is valid, then by part (1) just proved, κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$. By Lemma 2, the condition that $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$ is equivalent to

$$(a) \quad \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2) \subset [J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1 | \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)].$$

By hypothesis, we may replace $J^1\kappa^{*21}$ by $J^1\phi^{21}$. Since, by (D),

$$(b) \quad [J^1\phi^{21}\mu^1 | \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)] = \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^2\phi^*\epsilon^1),$$

we have (i)→(ii). To prove (ii)→(iii), consider any vector μ^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2)$. If (ii) is valid, then, by (D) (cf. (b) above), there exists a vector μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \cap \epsilon_\phi^1)$ such that

$$(c) \quad \mu^2 = J^1\phi^{21}\mu^1,$$

whence

$$J^2\phi^{*12}\mu^2 = J^2\phi^{*12}J^1\phi^{21}\mu^1 = J^1\phi^{11}\mu^1 = \mu^1.$$

Now by hypothesis and (c), we have $\mu^2 = J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1$. As $\epsilon_\kappa^1 = \epsilon^1$, it follows that

$$J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}\mu^2 = J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}J^1\kappa^{*21}\mu^1 = J^1\epsilon_\kappa^1\mu^1 = \mu^1,$$

proving the condition (iii). By Theorem 1, we have (iii)→(iv). Since ϕ^{*12} is modular as to $\epsilon_\phi^1 \epsilon^2$, we secure (iv)→(i).

The final statement follows by Theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. *The matrices ϕ^{21} , κ^{12} are contraceding as to $\epsilon^2 \epsilon_\phi^1 \epsilon^1$, ϕ^{21} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$, and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\phi^1)$ if and only if κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are contraceding as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_\kappa^2 \epsilon^2$, κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$, and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \phi^* \epsilon^1) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^2)$.*

PROOF. Apply Theorems 1 and 2.

3. Biorthogonal matrices. By considering $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$ as subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^2)$ respectively, we have established the one-to-one correspondence between those two subsets. The correspondences are orthogonal. But the Fourier coefficient function of every vector in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_\kappa^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$ is also modular as to ϵ^2 . This property gives rise to another direction of studying the correspondences between the aforementioned subsets.

DEFINITION 2. *Suppose that ϵ_0^1 , ϵ_1^1 are idempotent⁴ as to ϵ^1 , κ^{12} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$, and ϕ^{21} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$. Then κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are said to be biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$ in case*

$$J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \nu^1 = J^2 (J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \kappa^{12}, J^1 \phi^{21} \nu^1)$$

for every pair of vectors μ^1 , ν^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$, $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$ respectively.

It is obvious that κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$ if and only if ϕ^{*12} , κ^{*21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$.

DEFINITION 3. *Suppose that ϵ_0^1 is idempotent as to ϵ^1 and κ^{12} , ϕ^{*12} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$. Then κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are said to be biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$ if they are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$.*

THEOREM 4. *Suppose that ϵ_0^1 , ϵ_1^1 are idempotent as to ϵ^1 , and κ^{12} , ϕ^{*12} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$, $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$ respectively. Then*

(i) κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_\kappa^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$ if κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$, and only if κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_\kappa^1 \epsilon_\phi^1 \epsilon^2$;

(ii) κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_\kappa^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$ if and only if

$$(4.1) \quad J^2 (J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \phi^{*12}, \mu^2) = J^{2*} (J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \kappa^{12}, \mu^2)$$

holds for every μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$ and every μ^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_\kappa^2)$.

(iii) κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_\kappa^1 \epsilon_\phi^1 \epsilon^2$ if and only if

⁴ ϵ_0^1 is idempotent as to ϵ^1 in case ϵ_0^1 is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ and $J^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_0^1 = \epsilon_0$. See G.A., I, pp. 23–24.

$$(4.2) \quad J^{2\xi^2}\eta^2 = J^1(J^{2\phi^*}\xi^2\phi^{21}, J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}\eta^2)$$

holds for every ξ^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)$ and every η^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa}^2)$.

PROOF. Since $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa}^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1)$ are subsets of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$ respectively, we have the conclusion (i).

To prove the necessity in (ii), consider any μ^2 in the set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa}^2)$ and any vector μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1\phi\epsilon^2)$. Theorem (D) shows the existence of a vector ν^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa^*}^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ such that

$$(a) \quad \nu^1 = J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}\mu^2, \quad \mu^2 = J^1\kappa^{*21}\nu^1.$$

By using the fact that κ^{12} is modular as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_{\kappa}^2$, we have

$$(b) \quad J^{2\kappa}(J^1\bar{\mu}^1\kappa^{12}, \mu^2) = J^1\bar{\mu}^1\nu^1.$$

Equations (a) and (b) show that the condition is necessary. The sufficiency can be proved similarly.

Now observe that κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_{\kappa}^1\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1\epsilon^2$ if and only if

$$(c) \quad J^2(J^1\bar{\mu}^1\phi^{*12}, \eta^2) = J^1(\bar{\mu}^1, J^{2\kappa}\kappa^{12}\eta^2)$$

for every μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1\phi\epsilon^2)$ and every η^2 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa}^2)$. The latter condition is obviously satisfied if and only if (4.2) holds, since $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1\phi\epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$ are in one-to-one correspondence via the transformations $J^1\phi^{21}$ and $J^{2\phi^*}\phi^{*12}$ by (D).

THEOREM 5. *Suppose that ϵ_0^1 , ϵ_1^1 , κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} have the same properties as in the hypothesis of Theorem 4, and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa}^1)$ contains either $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$ or $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$. Then κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_0^1\epsilon_1^1\epsilon^2$ if and only if κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1\epsilon_{\kappa}^1\epsilon_1^1\epsilon^2$.*

PROOF. The condition is necessary by Theorem 4. If $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa}^1)$ contains $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$, the condition is obviously sufficient. If $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa}^1)$ contains $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$, the sufficiency is proved by the following argument: Let μ^1 , ν^1 be vectors in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1\phi\epsilon^2)$, $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$ respectively. Since μ^1 is modular as to ϵ_{κ}^1 by hypothesis, we have

$$J^1\bar{\mu}^1\nu^1 = J^1(J^1\bar{\mu}^1\nu^1, \nu^1) = J^1(\bar{\mu}^1, J^1\epsilon_{\kappa}\nu^1).$$

Also

$$J^1\kappa^{*21}\nu^1 = J^1J^1\kappa^{*21}\nu^1 = J^1(\kappa^{*21}, J^1\epsilon_{\kappa}\nu^1).$$

The vector $J^1\epsilon_{\kappa}^1\nu^1$ is evidently in the set $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa^*}^1\kappa^*\epsilon^2)$.

COROLLARY 6. *Suppose that κ^{12} , ϕ^{*12} are by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$, $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\kappa}^1)$*

respectively. Then κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_k^1 \epsilon^2$ if and only if κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_k^1 \epsilon^2$.

THEOREM 7. *Suppose that $\epsilon_0^1, \epsilon_1^1, \kappa^{12}, \phi^{21}$ have the same properties as in the hypothesis of Theorem 4, and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$. Then κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_1^1 \epsilon^2$ and the orthogonal complement within $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$ of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$ if and only if κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon_{\phi^*}^1 \epsilon^2$ and ϕ^{21} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$.*

PROOF. The condition is obviously sufficient. For the necessity, we need to show only that ϕ^{21} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1)$. Consider any ξ^1 modular as to ϵ_1^1 such that $J^{11} \phi^{21} \xi^1 = J^1 \phi^{21} \xi^1 = 0^2$. Then ξ^1 belongs to $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_1^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$. Hence

$$J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \xi^1 = J^2 (J^1 \bar{\mu}^1 \kappa^{12}, J^1 \phi^{21} \xi^1) = 0$$

for every μ^1 belonging to $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$. Now if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2 \cap \epsilon^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$, then $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$, or, $O^1 \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2) = O^1 \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$. Since ξ^1 is in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$ and also in $O^1 \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$, it follows that $\xi^1 = 0^1$.

THEOREM 8. *Assume that κ^{12} is of type $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1) \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\epsilon^2)$, and ϕ^{21} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1)$ such that κ^{12}, ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_k^1 \epsilon_{\phi^*}^1 \epsilon^2$. Then*

- (1) ϕ^{*12}, κ^{*21} are contracting as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2 \epsilon^2$;
- (2) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \kappa \epsilon_k^1)$;
- (3) $\mu^1 = J^2 \kappa^{12} J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1$ for every μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$;
- (4) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2) \subset [J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 | \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa^*}^1)]$;
- (5) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \phi \epsilon^2) \supset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa^*}^1)$ if and only if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \kappa \epsilon_k^1)$ contains $[J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 | \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa^*}^1)]$.

PROOF. Since κ^{12} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\kappa^*}^2)$, we make use of (4.2) in Theorem 4 and secure

$$J^2 \kappa^{12} \mu^2 = J^1 \epsilon_k^1 J^{2\phi^*} \phi^{*12} \mu^2 = J^2 \phi^* \phi^{*12} \mu^2$$

for every μ^2 belonging to $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)$. This proves (1). Part (2) is an immediate consequence of (1) just proved. For the demonstration of (3), we note that ϵ_k^1 is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \kappa^* \epsilon^2)$ and hence by Definition 2, we have

$$J^1 \epsilon_k^1 \eta^1 = J^2 (J^1 \epsilon_k^1 \kappa^1, J^1 \phi^{21} \eta^1) = J^2 \kappa^{12} J^1 \phi^{21} \eta^1$$

for every η^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$. Since $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_{\phi^*}^1)$ is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1)$, we have $J^1 \epsilon_k^1 \eta^1 = \eta^1$, and hence, part (3). To prove (4), consider any μ^2 in

$\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)$. Let μ^1 be a vector in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$ for which $J^{2\phi^*} \phi^{*12} \mu^2 = \mu^1$. Then by (1)

$$J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 = J^1 \phi^{21} J^{2\phi^*} \phi^{*12} \mu^2 = J^{2\phi^*} \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2 \mu^2 = \mu^2,$$

whence (4) follows. In part (5), if $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \phi \epsilon^2) \supset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$, then

$$[J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 \mid \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \phi \epsilon^2)] \supset [J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 \mid \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)].$$

By (C), the left-hand side is identical with $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)$, which by part (2) is a subset of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^1)$. The converse is obvious.

THEOREM 9. *Let ϵ_0^1 be idempotent as to ϵ^1 , and κ^{12} be of type $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1) \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\epsilon^2)$. Suppose that ϕ^{21} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$ and κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$. Then we have the following conclusions:*

- (1) ϕ^{21} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$;
- (2) $\mu^1 = J^2 \kappa^{12} J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1$ for every μ^1 in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$;
- (3) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \phi \epsilon^2) = [J^2 \kappa^{12} \mu^2 \mid \mu^2 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)] \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$;
- (4) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2) \subset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_0^1)$;
- (5) if ϕ^{21} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$, then $\epsilon_0^1 = J^2 \kappa^{12} \phi^{21}$ and κ^{12} is complete by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1)$;
- (6) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \phi \epsilon^2) \supset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$ if and only if every vector in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$ is expressible in the form $J^2 \kappa^{12} \mu^2$, where μ^2 is a vector in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2)$.

PROOF. Part (1) follows from Theorem 7, for $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^2)$ is everywhere dense in $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^2)$ when κ^{12} is of type $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1) \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\epsilon^2)$. Part (2) is proved in the same way as part (3) of Theorem 8 with the replacement of ϵ_k^1 by ϵ_0^1 . By Theorem (C), part (3) is a consequence of (2). Part (4) follows from (3). For part (5), we note that ϵ_0^1 is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_0^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$; hence the first conclusion follows from (2) whereas the second follows from (1) and the fact that ϕ^{*12} , κ^{*21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_0^1 \epsilon^2$. Part (6) is a consequence of (3).

THEOREM 10. *Suppose that κ^{12} is of type $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^1) \overline{\mathfrak{M}}(\epsilon^2)$, ϕ^{21} is by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1)$, and κ^{12} , ϕ^{21} are biorthogonal as to $\epsilon^1 \epsilon_k^1 \epsilon^2$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

- (1) $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_{\phi^*}^2) \supset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^1)$;
- (2) κ^{12} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \phi \epsilon^2)$ contains $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$;
- (3) κ^{12} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$ and $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \cap \epsilon_k^1)$ contains $[J^1 \phi^{21} \mu^1 \mid \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)]$;
- (4) κ^{*21} , ϕ^{*12} are contraceding as to $\epsilon^2 \epsilon_k^1 \epsilon^1$, and κ^{12} is complete by rows-conjugate of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2)$;

(5) $[J^1\phi^{21}\mu^1 | \mu^1 \text{ in } \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)] \supset \mathfrak{M}(\epsilon^2 \kappa \epsilon_k^1)$.

If one of the preceding five conditions is valid, then $\epsilon^2 = \epsilon_{k^}^2 = J^1\phi^{21}\kappa^{12}$.*

PROOF. The equivalence of the second and third conditions follows from (5) of Theorem 8. Conditions (1), (2), (4), and (5) are equivalent because of Theorem 2, where κ , ϕ , ϵ^1 , ϵ^2 , ϵ_ϕ^1 are replaced by κ^* , ϕ^* , ϵ^2 , ϵ_k^1 , $\epsilon_{\phi^*}^2$ respectively. The relation $\epsilon^2 = J^1\phi^{21}\kappa^{12}$ follows from (4), since κ^{12} is by columns of $\mathfrak{M}(\epsilon_k^1 \cap \epsilon_{k^*}^1)$.

THE UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO