## ON A NEW APPLICATION OF JACOBI POLYNOMIALS IN CONNECTION WITH THE MEAN VALUE THEOREM

E. G. GARNEA

Let us consider the classical theorem of mean value, which states that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(\xi)=\frac{1}{b-a} \int_{a}^{b} U(x) d x \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
F^{\prime}(\xi)=\frac{1}{b-a}[F(b)-F(a)]
$$

can be satisfied by at least one value of $\xi$ inside $^{1}$ the interval $(a, b)$.
In the general case we can add no further precision concerning the position of the value $\xi$ inside the interval $(a, b)$. But if we consider only functions $U(x)$ belonging to a definite class of functions, we can, sometimes, give a more precise determination for this value $\xi$. We can, in particular, for some classes of functions, determine intervals ( $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ), concentric to $(a, b)$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{\prime}-a^{\prime}=\theta(b-a), \quad 0 \leqq \theta \leqq 1 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and such that (1) holds for at least one value $\xi$ inside ( $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}$ ), for every function $U(x)$ belonging to the class considered and for every interval $(a, b)$ for which the classical mean value theorem holds. The smallest number $\theta$ which has the above mentioned property for a given class of functions is called its "contraction factor." It results from this definition that the value of the contraction factor depends only on the class of functions considered and is independent of all other factors, such as the interval ( $a, b$ ), and so on.

If we replace the equation (1) by ( $1^{\prime}$ ) and repeat the foregoing literally, we define in exactly the same way the contraction factors for classes of functions $F(x)$.

The existence of a contraction factor for certain classes of functions, particularly for polynomials of a real variable, has been proved by Paul Montel. ${ }^{2}$ The value of $\theta$ as a function of the degree $n$ of the polynomials considered was found independently and almost at the

[^0]same time by Tchakaloff ${ }^{3}$ and Biernacki. ${ }^{4}$ Their results have been generalized since by many authors, among whom we quote Favard, ${ }^{5}$ Anghelutza, ${ }^{6}$ H. L. Krall ${ }^{7}$ and Cioranescu, ${ }^{8}$ whose paper is particularly important for us.

Let $U\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{\nu}\right)$ be an analytical function of $\nu$ independent variables, polyharmonical of the order $2 m$ and of mean value zero within the hypersphere $\Sigma_{\nu}$ of its $\nu$-dimensional space. Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta^{(2 m)} U=0  \tag{3}\\
& \int_{\Sigma_{\eta}} U d \tau=0 \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta^{(2 m)}$ is the operator of Laplace applied successively $2 m$ times and the integral is extended over the inside of the hypersphere $\Sigma_{\nu}$ of volume element $d \tau$.

We consider now the system of $2 m$ equations with the $2 m$ unknowns $k_{i}, x_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i} x_{i}^{2 q}=\frac{\nu}{\nu+2 q}, \quad q=0,1,2, \cdots, 2 m-1 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and let $x_{M}$ be the largest of the solutions $x_{i}$ of this system. N. Cioranescu proved in his above mentioned paper that $x_{M}$ is the contraction factor of $U\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{v}\right)$ if:
(a) all $x_{i}$ are real and inside $(-1,+1)$;
(b) all $k_{i}$ are positive.

We remember that this statement means: If (3), (4), (a) and (b) are satisfied and $R$ is the radius of $\Sigma_{\nu}$, there is at least one point $P_{0}$ inside the hypersphere of radius $x_{M} R$ which makes $U\left(P_{0}\right)=0$.

From Cioranescu's demonstration it follows also that if (a) and (b) are satisfied, but the order of $U$ is odd, so that

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta^{(2 m+1)} U & =0 \\
\int_{\Sigma_{v}} U d \tau & =0
\end{align*}
$$

[^1]the contraction factor is the highest of the values $x_{i}$, which are solutions of the system ${ }^{9}$ of $2 m+2$ equations with $2 m+2$ unknowns $x_{i}, k_{i}$ :
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m+1} k_{i} x_{i}^{2 q} & =\frac{\nu}{\nu+2 q}, \\
x_{m+1} & =0, \quad q=0,1,2, \cdots, 2 m
\end{align*}
$$
\]

Here below we shall prove that the conditions (a) and (b) are always satisfied, we shall find the values of the contraction factors connected with the zeros of a sequence of Jacobi (more generally of Tchebycheff) polynomials, and shall see that our results are a generalization of Tchakaloff's and Biernacki's theorems.

Let ${ }^{10}$ us put $x_{i}^{2}=u_{i}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$. We observe that the second member of (5) can be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\nu}{\nu+2 q}=\int_{0}^{1} \nu u^{\nu+2 q-1} d u=\int_{0}^{1}(\nu / 2) u^{(\nu / 2)-1} u^{q} d u . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are for $q=0,1,2, \cdots, 2 m-1$ the first $m$ moments of the function

$$
\psi(x)=(1 / 2) \int_{0}^{x} \nu u^{(\nu / 2)-1} d u ;
$$

therefore, we can write (5) as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} k_{i} u_{i}^{q}=\int_{0}^{1} u^{q} d \psi(u), \quad q=0,1,2, \cdots, 2 m-1 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We observe that $\psi(x)$ is monotonically increasing in ( 0,1 ); to such $\psi(x)$ correspond, as is well known, ${ }^{11}$ a sequence $\left\{\phi_{m}(\nu ; x)\right\}$ of orthonormal ${ }^{12}$ polynomials, which, in turn, give rise to a mechanical quadrature formula: ${ }^{11}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} G_{2 m-1}(u) d \psi(u)=\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i} G_{2 m-1}\left(l_{i}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{2 m-1}(x)$ represents an arbitrary polynomial of degree at most $2 m-1$, the abscissas $l_{i}$ are the zeros of $\phi_{m}(\nu ; x)$, all real, distinct and

[^2]inside ( 0,1 ), and the coefficients $H_{i}$ are all positive. It follows, for $G_{2 m-1}(u)=u^{q}$,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m} H_{i} l_{i}^{x}=\int_{0}^{1} u^{q} d \psi(u), \quad q=0,1,2, \cdots, 2 m-1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Comparing (7) with (9) we see that a solution of (7) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{i}=l_{i}, \quad k_{i}=H_{i}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $0<l_{i}<1, H_{i}>0$, and, returning to (5):

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{i}= \pm\left(l_{i}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad k_{i}=H_{i}, \quad i=1,2,3, \cdots, m \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar considerations on the $m$ equations 2 to $m+1$ of ( $5^{\prime}$ ) (putting for instance $\left.k_{i} x_{i}^{2}=k_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ led also to $m$ values $x_{i}^{2}=l_{i}^{\prime}(i=1,2, \cdots, m)$ to which we have only to add $x_{m+1}=0$, in order to have the complete set.

We may remark that if in $\Delta^{(n)} U=0, n=2 m$, the moments of $\psi(x)$ are $\alpha_{p}=\nu /(\nu+2 p)$ and the corresponding Jacobi polynomials form a complete sequence; if $n=2 m-1$, the moments are $\alpha_{p}=\nu /(\nu+2(p+1))$ and the corresponding Jacobi polynomials form another complete sequence.

It follows that the systems (5) and (5') have solutions, where all $x_{i}$ are real and in absolute value less than one, and all $k_{i}$ are positive; therefore, the conditions of Cioranescu are always satisfied. ${ }^{13}$

We could find the $x_{i}$ also solving (5) by the method of Sylvester, ${ }^{14}$ which, however, requires lengthy considerations of determinants. Its result is that the solutions $x_{i}$ of (5), are the zeros of the polynomial:

$$
\text { (12) } \quad \begin{align*}
& E_{2 m}(\nu ; x) \\
& =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\frac{x^{2}}{\nu}-\frac{1}{\nu+2} & \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2}-\frac{1}{\nu+4} & \cdots & \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2(m-1)}-\frac{1}{\nu+2 m} \\
\frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2}-\frac{1}{\nu+4} & \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+4}-\frac{1}{\nu+6} & \cdots & \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2 m}-\frac{1}{\nu+2(m+1)} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\
\frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2(m-1)}-\frac{1}{\nu+2 m} & \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2 m}-\frac{1}{\nu+2(m+1)} & \cdots \frac{x^{2}}{\nu+2(2 m-2)}-\frac{1}{\nu+2(2 m-1)}
\end{array}\right| . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

[^3]Similarly, the solutions of ( $5^{\prime}$ ) are the zeros of

These formulae have already been found for $\nu=1$ by Biernacki. ${ }^{15}$
We can thus make the general statement:
If the analytical function $U(P)$ of $\nu$ independent variables $y_{1}, y_{2}, \cdots, y_{\nu}$ satisfies the conditions $\Delta^{(n)} U=0$ and $\int_{\Sigma \nu} U d \tau=0$, there is inside the hypersphere of radius $x_{M} R$ at least one point $P_{0}$ which makes $U\left(P_{0}\right)=0, R$ being the radius of $\Sigma_{\nu}$ and $x_{M}<1$ the square root of the highest zero of the Jacobi polynomial ${ }^{16} \phi_{m}(\nu ; x)$, where $m=n / 2$ or $m=(n-1) / 2$, according to the parity of $n$; the same value $x_{M}$ is also the highest zero of the polynomial ${ }^{17} E_{n}(\nu ; x)$.

Taking into account the formulae of Tchebycheff's polynomials: ${ }^{18}$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi_{n}(x)=\frac{1}{\Delta_{n}(\psi)}\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1} \cdots \\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \\
\cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{n+1} \\
\cdot & \cdots \\
\alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n} \cdots \\
1 & x \cdots \alpha_{2 n-1} \\
1 & \cdots
\end{array}\right|  \tag{13}\\
& =x^{n}-\delta_{n, n-1} x^{n-1}+\delta_{n, n-2} x^{n-2}-\cdots
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\Delta_{n}(\psi)=\left|\begin{array}{lll}
\alpha_{0} & \alpha_{1} \cdots & \alpha_{n-1}  \tag{14}\\
\alpha_{1} & \alpha_{2} \cdots & \alpha_{n} \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{n-1} & \alpha_{n} \cdots & \alpha_{2 n-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and the formulae of Szegö: ${ }^{19}$

[^4] Ebene gehören, Math. Zeit. vol. 9 (1921) p. 218.
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{2 m}(\psi) \Phi_{2 m}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{0} & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m} \\
0 & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\alpha_{2} & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
0 & \alpha_{2 m} & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{2 m}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
+\alpha_{0} x & -\alpha_{2} & \cdots & -\alpha_{2 m} \\
-\alpha_{2} & +\alpha_{2} x & \cdots & +\alpha_{2 m} x \\
-\alpha_{2} x & +\alpha_{4} & \cdots & +\alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
+\alpha_{2 m-2} x & -\alpha_{2 m} & \cdots & -\alpha_{4 m-2} \\
-\alpha_{2 m} & +\alpha_{2 n} x & \cdots & +\alpha_{4 m-2} x
\end{array}\right|,  \tag{15}\\
\Delta_{2 m+1}(\psi) \Phi_{2 m+1}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\alpha_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdots & \cdot \\
\alpha_{2 m} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{2 m+1}
\end{array}\right|=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
+\alpha_{0} x & -\alpha_{2} & \cdots & +\alpha_{2 m} x \\
-\alpha_{2} & +\alpha_{2} x & \cdots & -\alpha_{2 m+2} \\
+\alpha_{2} x & -\alpha_{4} & \cdots+\alpha_{2 m+2} x \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot \\
-\alpha_{2 m} & +\alpha_{2 m} x & \cdots & -\alpha_{4 m} \\
+\alpha_{2 m} x & -\alpha_{2 m+2} & \cdots+\alpha_{4 m} x
\end{array}\right|
\end{align*}
$$
\]

we find easily:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{2 m}(\psi) \Phi_{2 m}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{0} & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m} \\
0 & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & 0 \\
\alpha_{2} & 0 & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{2 m} & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{2 m}
\end{array}\right|  \tag{16}\\
& =C_{2 m+1}\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{0} x^{2}-\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{2} x^{2}-\alpha_{4} & \cdots \\
\alpha_{2} x^{2}-\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{2 m-2} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m} \\
\cdot & \alpha_{4} x^{2}-\alpha_{6} & \cdots \\
\alpha_{2 m} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\alpha_{2 m-2} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m} & \alpha_{2 m} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+2} \cdots & \alpha_{4 m-4} x^{2}-\alpha_{4 m-2}
\end{array}\right|, \\
\Delta_{2 m+1}(\psi) \Phi_{2 m+1}(x) & =\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
\alpha_{0} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
0 & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\alpha_{2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\cdot & \cdots & \cdots \\
\alpha_{2 m} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & x & \cdots & x^{2 m+1}
\end{array}\right| \\
& =C_{2 m+2} x\left|\begin{array}{ccc}
\alpha_{2} x^{2}-\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{4} x^{2}-\alpha_{6} & \cdots \alpha_{2 m} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\alpha_{4} x^{2}-\alpha_{6} \\
\alpha_{6} x^{2}-\alpha_{8} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+4} \\
\alpha_{2 m} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+2} & \alpha_{2 m+2} x^{2}-\alpha_{2 m+4} \cdots & \alpha_{4 m-2} x^{2}-\alpha_{4 m}
\end{array}\right|
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
C_{2 m}=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{0} & \alpha_{2} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m-2}  \tag{17}\\
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{4} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \\
\alpha_{2 m-2} & \alpha_{2 m} & \cdots & \alpha_{4 m-4}
\end{array}\right|
$$

$$
C_{2 m+1}=\left|\begin{array}{llll}
\alpha_{2} & \alpha_{4} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m}  \tag{17}\\
\alpha_{4} & \alpha_{6} & \cdots & \alpha_{2 m+2} \\
\cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \\
\alpha_{2 m} & \alpha_{2 m+2} & \cdots & \alpha_{4 m-2}
\end{array}\right|
$$

Equating in (16) the coefficients of $x^{2 m}$, and in (16') the coefficients of $x^{2 m+1}$, we obtain, ${ }^{20}$ taking into account (13):

$$
\Delta_{2 m}(\psi)=C_{2 m} C_{2 m+1}
$$

and

$$
\Delta_{2 m+1}(\psi)=C_{2 m+1} C_{2 m+2}
$$

or generally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{n}(\psi)=C_{n} C_{n+1} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us put now:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2 m}=\frac{1}{\nu+2 m}, \quad \alpha_{2 m+1}=0 \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the $C_{n}$, easily calculable, being all positive, (18) shows that $\Delta_{n}(\psi)>0$. Therefore, the conditions of Hamburger ${ }^{21}$ being satisfied, there is a monotonically increasing function $\Psi(x)^{22}$, whose moments are (19). Comparing (12), (12') with (16), (16'), we see that the polynomials $E_{n}(\nu ; x)$ are just the sequence of polynomials of Tchebycheff corresponding to $\Psi(x)$. As they form a sequence of Sturm ${ }^{23}$ and as $E_{n}(\nu ; 1)>0, E_{2 m}(\nu ; 0)=(-1)^{m} C_{2 m+1}, \lim _{x=0}(1 / x) E_{2 m+1}(\nu ; x)$ $=(-1)^{m} D_{2 m}$, with $D_{2 m}$ an easily calculable positive determinant, we find also in this way that all the $x_{i}$, zeros of $E_{n}(\nu ; x)$ are real, distinct and inside $(-1,+1) .{ }^{24}$

The function $U$, polyharmonical of order $n$, can be in particular a polynomial of degree $2 n-1$, of $\nu$ independent variables. If a polynomial is of an even degree, it may be considered for our purpose as

[^5]of the next higher odd degree, with its first coefficient equal to zero. ${ }^{25}$
For $\nu=1$ we fall back on the known theorems of P. Montel, Tchakaloff ${ }^{26}$ and Biernacki. ${ }^{27}$ In fact, the formulae (12), (12'), with (16), (16') and (19) show us ${ }^{28}$ that for $\nu=1, E_{n}(1 ; x)$ are but the polynomials $P_{n}(x)$ of Legendre; moreover:
$$
\Delta^{(n)} U(y)=\frac{d^{2 n} U(y)}{d y^{2 n}}=0
$$
if the degree of $U$ is at most $2 n-1$. Applying our results from above to this case we find that if $x_{M}$ is the highest zero of Legendre's polynomial $P_{n}(x)$ and
$$
\int_{a}^{b} U(y) d y=0
$$
where $U(y)$ is a polynomial of degree at most $2 n-1$, then $U(y)$ has at least one zero inside the interval $(1 / 2)(b+a) \pm x_{M}(b-a) / 2$. These are precisely the results of Tchakaloff and Biernacki and our main statement from p. 545 can be considered as a generalization of the precisions which the above mentioned authors have brought to the mean value theorem.
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