A RECURRENCE FORMULA FOR THE SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN LINEAR PARTIAL DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

MORRIS MARDEN

1. Introduction. In a number of recent papers, Bergman¹ has developed the theory of operational methods for transforming analytic functions of a complex variable into solutions of the linear partial differential equation

(1.1)
$$L(U) = U_{z\bar{z}} + a(z,\bar{z})U_{z} + b(z,\bar{z})U_{\bar{z}} + c(z,\bar{z})U = 0,$$

where z = x + iy, $\bar{z} = x - iy$,

$$U_{z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} - i \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right), \qquad U_{z} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial U}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial U}{\partial y} \right),$$
$$U_{zz} = \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial x^{2}} + \frac{\partial^{2} U}{\partial y^{2}} \right) = \frac{\Delta U}{4},$$

and where the coefficients $a(z, \bar{z})$, $b(z, \bar{z})$ and $c(z, \bar{z})$ are analytic functions of both variables z and \bar{z} . The equation (1.1) is equivalent to the system of two real equations

$$\Delta U^{(1)} + 2A U_x^{(1)} + 2B U_y^{(1)} + 2C U_x^{(2)} + 2D U_y^{(2)} + 4c_1 U^{(1)} - 4c_2 U^{(2)} = 0,$$

$$\Delta U^{(2)} - 2C U_x^{(1)} - 2D U_y^{(1)} + 2A U_x^{(2)} + 2B U_y^{(2)} + 4c_2 U^{(1)} + 4c_1 U^{(2)} = 0,$$

where

$$U = U^{(1)} + iU^{(2)}; \quad 2A = (a+\bar{a}) + (b+\bar{b}); \quad 2B = i[(\bar{a}-a) - (\bar{b}-b)];$$

$$c = c_1 + ic_2; \quad 2D = (a+\bar{a}) - (b+\bar{b}); \quad 2C = i[(a-\bar{a}) + (b-\bar{b})].$$

Presented to the Society, November 26, 1943; received by the editors November 13, 1943. This paper was prepared while the author was a fellow under the Program of Advanced Instruction in Mechanics at Brown University.

¹S. Bergman, (a) Zur Theorie der Funktionen, die eine lineare partielle Differentialgleichung befriedigen, Rec. Math. (Mat. Sbornik) N.S. vol. 44 (1937) pp. 1169–1198; (b) The approximation of functions satisfying a linear partial differential equation, Duke Math. J. vol. 6 (1940) pp. 537–561; (c) Linear operators in the theory of partial differential equations, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 53 (1943) pp. 130–155; (d) On the solutions of partial differential equations of the fourth order, to appear later.

Furthermore, if $a = \overline{b}$ and c is real, then $C = D = c_2 = 0$, and the two differential equations become real and identical.

For equations (1.1), Bergman proved the existence of two functions $E_1(z, \bar{z}, t)$ and $E_2(z, \bar{z}, t)$, called by him "generating functions of the first kind,"² with the following properties:

(1) They have the forms

$$E_{1}(z, \bar{z}, t) = \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{z} a(z, \bar{z})d\bar{z}\right) [1 + z\bar{z}tE_{1}^{*}(z, \bar{z}, t)],$$

$$E_{2}(z, \bar{z}, t) = \exp\left(-\int_{0}^{z} b(z, \bar{z})dz\right) [1 + z\bar{z}tE_{2}^{*}(z, \bar{z}, t)],$$

where each $E_k^*(z, \bar{z}, t)$ has continuous first partial derivatives in z, \bar{z} and t for $|t| \leq 1$ and for z and \bar{z} within a certain four-dimensional region.

(2) The classes $C(E_1)$ and $C(E_2)$ of functions $U_1(z, \bar{z})$ and $U_2(z, \bar{z})$ defined by the formulas

(1.2)
$$U_1(z, \bar{z}) = \int_{-1}^{1} E_1(z, \bar{z}, t) f(z(1-t^2)/2) dt/(1-t^2)^{1/2},$$

(1.3)
$$U_2(z, \bar{z}) = \int_{-1}^{1} E_2(z, \bar{z}, t) g(\bar{z}(1-t^2)/2) dt/(1-t^2)^{1/2},$$

where $f(\zeta)$ and $g(\zeta)$ are arbitrary analytic functions of ζ , form subsets of solutions of (1.1).

(3) Every solution $U(z, \bar{z})$ of (1.1) may be written in the form

$$U(z, \bar{z}) = U_1(z, \bar{z}) + U_2(z, \bar{z}),$$

with $f(\zeta)$ and $g(\zeta)$ suitably chosen analytic functions.

As was proved by Bergman, to many theorems about analytic functions of a complex variable correspond analogous theorems about functions belonging to classes C(E) generated by functions E of the first kind. In particular, if we define as "basic solutions" those corresponding to $f(z) = z^p$, that is

(1.4)
$$u_p(z, \bar{z}) = \int_{-1}^{1} E(z, \bar{z}, t) [z(1-t^2)/2]^p dt/(1-t^2)^{-1/2},$$

then every function U of class C(E) which is regular in $|z| \leq r$ may

² Generating functions which are considered as not of the first kind are those failing to satisfy property (1). When E is a generating function not of the first kind, the integration in (1.2) and (1.3) must be taken along a rectifiable curve joining the points $t = \pm 1$, but not passing through t=0.

be expanded in a series $U = \sum \alpha_p u_p$ which is uniformly and absolutely convergent in $|z| \leq r$.

For example, in the case of the equation

 $(1.5) \qquad \Delta U + U = 0,$

 $E(z, \bar{z}, t) = e^{itr}$, where $z = re^{i\theta}$ and thus $r = (z\bar{z})^{1/2}$. Because of the well known formula for the Bessel function of the first kind

$$J_{p}(\mathbf{r}) = (2/\pi)(1/\Gamma(p+1/2)) \int_{-1}^{1} e^{rti}(\mathbf{r}/2)^{p}(1-t^{2})^{p-1/2} dt,$$

the basic solutions are

(1.6)
$$u_p(r,\theta) = (\pi^{1/2}/2)\Gamma(p+1/2)e^{p\theta i}J_p(r).$$

But for (1.5), successive terms in the expansion $U = \sum \alpha_p u_p$ can be computed from earlier terms by the use of some recurrence relation satisfied by the Bessel's functions, as for example the relation

(1.7)
$$J'_{p}(r) = (p/r)J_{p}(r) - J_{p+1}(r).$$

It would likewise be of practical value in the case of other differential equations L(U) = 0 to determine what recurrence relations, if any, are satisfied by the basic solutions $u_p(r, \theta)$.

In the present note, recurrence formulas connecting the basic solutions $u_p(r, \theta)$ are found in the case of differential equations L(U) = 0 for which at least one of the corresponding "generating functions" $E(z, \overline{z}, t)$ is of the form $E(z, \overline{z}, t) = \exp f(r, \theta, t)$ where $f(r, \theta, t)$ is a polynomial in t containing either only even powers of t or only odd powers of t. Obviously, the equation (1.5) is an example of such an equation. Other examples can be found by requiring the coefficients a, b and c in the equation L(U) = 0 to satisfy certain differential relations.³

Our first main result may be stated as follows:

THEOREM 1. Let L(U) = 0 be a partial differential equation of the type (1.1) for which there exists a generating function having one of the forms:

(I)
$$E(z, \bar{z}, t) = \exp P(r, \theta, t),$$

(II)
$$E(z, \bar{z}, t) = \exp t P(r, \theta, t),$$

where $P(r, \theta, t) = a_0(r, \theta) + a_1(r, \theta)t^2 + \cdots + a_n(r, \theta)t^{2n}$, and where the coefficients $a_j(r, \theta)$ are of class C' in r and θ . Let $u_p(r, \theta)$ be the corresponding "basic solutions" of equation L(U) = 0 and let

210

⁸ See reference in footnote 1a, pp. 1194–1195, and also p. 158 of the following article: K. L. Nielsen and B. P. Ramsay, *On particular solutions of linear partial differential equations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. vol. 49 (1943) pp. 156–162.

(1.8)
$$\alpha_q(r,\theta) = (-1)^q \left[\sum_{j=q}^n C_{j,q} \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial r} \right] \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \right)^q,$$

(1.9)
$$\beta_q(r,\theta) = (-1)^q \left[\sum_{j=q}^n (2j+1)C_{j,q}a_j \right] \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right)^q.$$

Then, if E has form (I),

(1.10)
$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j u_{p+j};$$

whereas, if E has form (II),

(1.11)
$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j,k=0}^n \frac{\alpha_j \beta_k u_{j+k+p+1}}{2j+2p+1}$$

The above theorem will be derived as an immediate consequence of two lemmas that are given in the next section. In the third section the theorem will be applied to a few specific equations of form (1.1).

2. Two lemmas. First we shall derive a result for polynomials $P(r, \theta, t)$ involving only even powers of t.

LEMMA 1. Let

$$P(\mathbf{r},\,\theta,\,t)\,=\,a_0(\mathbf{r},\,\theta)\,+\,a_1(\mathbf{r},\,\theta)t^2\,+\,\cdots\,+\,a_n(\mathbf{r},\,\theta)t^{2n},$$

where the $a_{i}(r, \theta)$ are functions of class C' in r and θ . Then the function

(2.1)
$$u_p(r,\theta) = \int_{-1}^{1} e^{p(r,\theta,t)} (1-t^2)^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^p dt$$

satisfies the recurrence formula

(2.2)
$$\partial u_p/\partial r = [p/r + P_r(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2})]u_p,$$

where T is the operator such that, T acting k times upon u_p ,

$$(2.3) T^k u_p = (2/re^{i\theta})^k u_{p+k}$$

for $k = 0, 1, \cdots$.

PROOF. From (2.1) we obtain by differentiating with respect to r:

(2.4)
$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \int_{-1}^{1} e^p \left[\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial r} t^2 + \cdots + \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial r} t^{2n} \right] (1 - t^2)^{p-1/2} \left(\frac{r e^{i\theta}}{2} \right) dt.$$

1944]

To evaluate the latter integral, let us note that

$$\int_{-1}^{1} t^{2m} e^{P} (1-t^{2})^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt$$

$$= \int_{-1}^{1} \left[1 - (1-t^{2}) \right]^{m} e^{P} (1-t^{2})^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} C_{m,k} \int_{-1}^{1} e^{P} (1-t^{2})^{k+p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^{k} C_{m,k} (2/re^{i\theta})^{p} u_{p+k}.$$

Hence,

(2.5)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} t^{2m} e^{p} (1-t^2)^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^p dt = (1-T)^m u_p.$$

Substituting now from (2.5) into (2.4), we find

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial r} u_p + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial r} (1-T) u_p + \dots + \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial r} (1-T)^n u_p$$
$$= \left[\frac{p}{r} + \frac{P_r(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2})}{2} \right] u_p,$$

as was to be proved.

The corresponding result for a polynomial that involves only odd powers of t may be stated as follows.

LEMMA 2. Let $Q(r, \theta, t) \equiv a_0(r, \theta)t + a_1(r, \theta)t^3 + \cdots + a_n(r, \theta)t^{2n+1} \equiv tP(r, \theta, t)$, where the $a_i(r, \theta)$ are functions of class C' in r and θ . Then the functions $u_p(r, \theta)$ defined by (2.1) satisfy the recurrence formula:

(2.6)
$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = (p/r)u_p + \left\{ Q_t(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) \\ \cdot \int_0^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} P_r(r, \theta, (1-t)^{1/2}) dt \right\} T^{-p+1/2} u_p ,$$

where T is the operator defined by equation (2.3).

PROOF. In place of (2.4), we now have

(2.7)
$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \int_{-1}^{1} e^{Q} \left[\frac{\partial a_0}{\partial r} t + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial r} t^3 + \cdots + \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial r} t^{2n+1} \right] (1-t^2)^{p-1/2} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2} \right)^p dt.$$

In order to evaluate the latter integral, let us first integrate by parts:

[April

$$\int_{-1}^{1} te^{Q}(1-t^{2})^{p-1/2}(re^{i\theta}/2)^{p}dt$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{-1}^{1} e^{Q}(1-t^{2})^{p-1/2}(re^{i\theta}/2)^{p}d(1-t^{2})$$

$$= (1/(2p+1))\int_{-1}^{1} e^{Q}(\partial Q/\partial t)(1-t^{2})^{p+1/2}(re^{i\theta}/2)^{p}dt$$

$$= (1/(2p+1))\int_{-1}^{1} e^{Q}[a_{0}+3a_{1}t^{2}+\cdots$$

$$+ (2n+1)a_{n}t^{2n}](1-t^{2})^{p+1/2}(re^{i\theta}/2)^{p}dt.$$

Hence, by equation (2.5),

$$\int_{-1}^{1} t e^{Q} (1-t^2)^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^p dt = (1/(2p+1))Q_t(r,\theta,(1-T)^{1/2})Tu_p$$
$$= Q_t(r,\theta,(1-T)^{1/2}) \left(\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} dt\right) T^{-p+1/2} u_p.$$

Let us then assume that the formula

(2.8)
$$\int_{-1}^{1} t^{2m+1} e^{Q} (1-t^2)^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^p dt$$
$$= Q_t(r,\theta,(1-T)^{1/2}) \left(\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} (1-t^2)^m dt\right) T^{-p+1/2} u^p$$

has already been verified for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ and proceed to verify the formula for m = N+1, as follows.

$$\begin{split} \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2N+3} e^{Q} (1-t^{2})^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt \\ &= \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2N+1} e^{Q} (1-t^{2})^{p-1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt \\ &- \int_{-1}^{1} t^{2N+1} e^{Q} (1-t^{2})^{p+1/2} (re^{i\theta}/2)^{p} dt \\ &= Q_{t}(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) \left\{ \left[\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} (1-t^{2})^{N} dt \right] T^{-p+1/2} u_{p} \\ &- \left[\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p+2} (1-t^{2})^{N} dt \right] (2/re^{i\theta}) T^{-p-1/2} u_{p+1} \right\} \\ &= Q_{i}(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) \left(\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} (1-t^{2})^{N+1} dt \right) T^{-p+1/2} u_{p}. \end{split}$$

1944]

Thus, formula (2.8) has been established by mathematical induction.

We now substitute from formula (2.8) into expression (2.7), thus obtaining

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p}{r} u_p + \left(Q_t(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) \right)$$

$$\cdot \int_0^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} \left\{ \frac{\partial a_0}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial a_1}{\partial r} (1-t^2) + \cdots + \frac{\partial a_n}{\partial r} (1-t^2)^n \right\} T^{-p+1/2} u_p$$

$$= \frac{p}{r} u_p + Q_t(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) \left\{ \int_0^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} P_r(r, \theta, (1-t)^{1/2}) \right\} T^{-p+1/2} u_p,$$

as was to be proved.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Formula (2.2) may be reduced to formula (1.10) if, using (1.8) and (2.3), we set

$$P_r(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2})u_p = \sum_{j=0}^n \frac{\partial a_j}{\partial r} (1-T)^j u_p = \sum_{q=0}^n \alpha_q \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^q T^q u_p$$
$$= \sum_{q=0}^n \alpha_q u_{p+q}.$$

To reduce formula (2.6) to (1.11), let us set

$$Q_i(r, \theta, (1-T)^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=0}^n (2j+1)a_j(1-T)^j = \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^j T^j$$

with the β_i defined as in formula (1.9). Since

$$P_r(r, \theta, (1-t^2)^{1/2}) = \sum_{j=0}^n \alpha_j \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^j t^{2j},$$

we may write the second term of the left side of (2.6) as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \beta_{k} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{k} T^{k} \left[\int_{0}^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \alpha_{j} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j} t^{2j} dt\right] T^{-p+1/2} u_{p}$$
$$= \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k}}{2p + 2j + 1} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j,k=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} T^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \sum_{j=0}^{n} \frac{\alpha_{j} \beta_{k} u_{p+j+k+1}}{2p + 2j + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{2p} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} t^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \left(\frac{re^{i\theta}}{2}\right)^{j+k} t^{k+j+1} u_{p} = \frac{2}{$$

Thus the proof of our main theorem is completed.

3. Examples. Let us first verify that the recurrence relation (2.6) is a generalization of that for Bessel's functions as given in formula (1.7). Here $Q(r, \theta, t) = rti$ and thus (2.6) becomes

214

(3.1)
$$\partial u_p / \partial r = (p/r)u_p + ri \left[\int_0^{T^{1/2}} t^{2p} i dt \right] T^{-p+1/2} u_p \\ = (p/r)u_p - (2e^{-i\theta}/(2p+1))u_{p+1}.$$

If now we set

$$u_{p} = (\pi^{1/2}/2)\Gamma(p + 1/2)J_{p}(r)e^{ip\theta},$$

$$u_{p+1} = (\pi^{1/2}/2)(p + 1/2)\Gamma(p + 1/2)J_{p+1}(r)e^{i(p+1)\theta},$$

formula (3.1) reduces at once to formula (1.7).

As our second example, let us consider the differential equation L(U)=0 in which the expression $F=c-ab-a_z\neq 0$ satisfies the two equations

(3.2)
$$F_z = 0, \quad 2F - a_z + b_{\bar{z}} = 0.$$

As shown by Bergman,⁴ one of the possible corresponding generating functions is $E(z, \bar{z}, t) = \exp P(r, \theta, t) = \exp (a_0 + a_1 t^2)$, where

(3.3)
$$a_0 = -\int_0^z a d\bar{z}, \quad a_1 = 2z \int_0^z F d\bar{z}.$$

According to our theorem, the recurrence relation satisfied by the basic solutions is in this case

(3.4)
$$(\partial u_p/\partial r) = (p/r)u_p + \alpha_0 u_p + \alpha_1 u_{p+1}$$

where

$$\alpha_0 = \partial a_0 / \partial r + \partial a_1 / \partial r = -ae^{-i\theta} + 2rF + 2e^{i\theta} \int_0^s F d\bar{z},$$

$$\alpha_1 = -(2/re^{i\theta})(\partial a_1 / \partial r) = -4e^{-i\theta}F - (4/r) \int_0^s F d\bar{z}.$$

A partial differential equation which satisfies conditions (3.2) is

(3.5)
$$U_{z\bar{z}} - 2(z+\bar{z})U_{\bar{z}} + U = 0.$$

Here F(z) = 1, $a_0 = 0$, $a_1 = 2r^2$, and therefore in the recurrence relation (3.4) $\alpha_0 = 4r$, and $\alpha_1 = -8e^{-i\theta}$. Setting $U = U^{(1)} + iU^{(2)}$, we see that equation (3.5) is equivalent to the system of two partial differential equations

$$\Delta U^{(1)} - 8xU_x^{(1)} + 8xU_y^{(2)} + 4U^{(1)} = 0,$$

$$\Delta U^{(2)} - 8xU_x^{(2)} - 8xU_y^{(1)} + 4U^{(2)} = 0,$$

⁴ See p. 1194, reference in footnote 1a.

1944]

MORRIS MARDEN

and that recurrence relation (3.4) for $u_p = u_p^{(1)} + iu_p^{(2)}$ is in this case equivalent to the system of recurrence relations

$$\frac{\partial u_p^{(1)}}{\partial r} = (p/r)u_p^{(1)} + 4ru_p^{(1)} - 8u_{p+1}^{(1)}\cos\theta - 8u_{p+1}^{(2)}\sin\theta,$$

$$\frac{\partial u_p^{(2)}}{\partial r} = (p/r)u_p^{(2)} + 4ru_p^{(2)} - 8u_{p+1}^{(2)}\cos\theta + 8u_{p+1}^{(1)}\sin\theta.$$

Other examples of partial differential equations L(U) = 0 for which log E is an even or odd polynomial in t may be found in the articles referred to in footnotes 1a and 3. For these differential equations also, a recurrence relation may be derived by use of Lemmas 1 and 2.

4. Generalization. By means of formulas (2.5) and (2.8), the theorem given in the introduction may be extended to partial differential equations of type (1.1) for which a generating function exists that has the form $E = g \exp f$ with both f and g suitably chosen polynomials in t. The generalization may be stated as follows.

THEOREM 2. Let L(U) = 0 be a partial differential equation of type (1.1) for which a generating function $E(z, \bar{z}, t)$ exists that has one of the forms $L = E(z, \bar{z}, t) = R(z, 0, t) = R(z, 0, t)$

1.
$$E(z, \bar{z}, t) = R(r, \theta, t) \exp P(r, \theta, t),$$

II. $E(z, \bar{z}, t) = R(r, \theta, t) \exp tP(r, \theta, t),$
III. $E(z, \bar{z}, t) = tR(r, \theta, t) \exp tP(r, \theta, t),$

where

$$P(r, \theta, t) = a_0(r, \theta) + a_1(r, \theta)t^2 + \cdots + a_m(r, \theta)t^{2m},$$

$$R(r, \theta, t) = b_0(r, \theta) + b_1(r, \theta)t^2 + \cdots + b_n(r, \theta)t^{2n},$$

and where the $a_j(r, \theta)$ and $b_j(r, \theta)$ are of class C' in r and θ . Let $u_p(r, \theta)$ be the corresponding basic solutions and let $R(\partial p/\partial r) = \sum_{0}^{m+n} c_j(r, \theta) t^{2j}$,

$$\alpha_k = (-1)^k \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right)^k \sum_{j=k}^m (2j+1)C_{j,k}a_j;$$

$$\beta_k = (-1)^k \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right)^k \sum_{j=k}^n C_{j,k} \frac{\partial b_j}{\partial r}; \quad \gamma_k = (-1)^k \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right)^k \sum_{j=k}^{n+m} C_{j,k}c_j.$$

Then the recurrence relation satisfied by these basic solutions is

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p u_p}{r} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k u_{p+k} + \sum_{k=0}^{n+m} \gamma_k u_{p+k}$$

if E has the form I;

216

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p u_p}{r} + \sum_{k=0}^n \beta_k u_{p+k} + \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^{m+n} \frac{\alpha_k \gamma_\nu u_{p+k+\nu+1}}{2p+2\nu+1}$$

if E has the form II; and

$$\frac{\partial u_p}{\partial r} = \frac{p u_p}{r} + \left(\frac{2}{re^{i\theta}}\right) \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{\nu=0}^n \left(\frac{\alpha_k \beta_\nu}{2p + 2\nu + 1}\right) u_{p+k+\nu+1} + \gamma_0 u_p + \sum_{k=1}^{m+n} \left(\gamma_k - \frac{2}{re^{i\theta}} \gamma_{k-1}\right) u_{p+k}$$

if E has the form III.

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN AT MILWAUKEE

ON GAUSS' AND TCHEBYCHEFF'S QUADRATURE FORMULAS

J. GERONIMUS

The well known Gauss' Quadrature Formula

(1)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_k(x) d\psi(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \rho_i^{(n)} G_k(\xi_i^{(n)})$$

is valid for every polynomial $G_k(x)$, of degree $k \leq 2n-1$, the $\{\xi_i^{(n)}\}$ being the roots of the polynomial $P_n(x)$, orthogonal with respect to the distribution $d\psi(x)$ $(i=1, 2, \dots, n; n=1, 2, \dots)$.¹ If the sequence $\{P_n(x)\}$ is that of Tchebycheff (trigonometric) polynomials, then the Christoffel numbers $\rho_i^{(n)}$, $i=1, 2, \dots, n$, are equal, and the two quadrature formulas of Gauss and Tchebycheff coincide:

(2)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G_k(x) d\psi(x) = \rho_n \sum_{i=1}^n G_k(\xi_i^{(n)}), \quad k \leq 2n-1; n = 1, 2, \cdots.$$

The converse—that this is the only case of coincidence of these formulas—was proved by R. P. Bailey [1a] and, under more restrictive conditions, by Krawtchouk [1b] (cf. also [2]).²

We shall give here four distinct proofs of this statement, without imposing any restrictions on $\psi(x)$.

Received by the editors June 1, 1943.

 $[\]psi(x)$ is a bounded non-decreasing function, with infinitely many points of increase, for which all moments exist: $c_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x^n d\psi(x)$; $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$.

² Numbers in brackets refer to the bibliography at the end of the paper.