## THE ROLE OF INTERNAL FAMILIES IN MEASURE THEORY

## ANTHONY P. MORSE

1. Introduction. Theorem 4.7 below is an abstract formulation of a certain closed subset theorem ${ }^{1}$ recently established by Randolph and myself. It has a wider range of application than similar abstractions due to Hahn ${ }^{2}$ and to Saks. ${ }^{3}$
2. Notation and terminology. When $H$ is a family of sets we agree that

$$
\sigma(H)=\sum_{\beta \in H} \beta, \quad \pi(H)=\prod_{\beta \in H} \beta .
$$

A family $R$ is said to be: finitely additive if $\sigma(H) \in R$ whenever $H$ is a finite nonvacuous subfamily of $R$; countably additive if $\sigma(H) \in R$ whenever $H$ is a countable nonvacuous subfamily of $R$; finitely multiplicative if $\pi(H) \in R$ whenever $H$ is a finite nonvacuous subfamily of $R$; countably multiplicative if $\pi(F) \in R$ whenever $F$ is a countable nonvacuous subfamily of $R$; $\alpha$ complemental if $R$ is such a family of subsets of $\alpha$ that $\alpha-\beta \in R$ whenever $\beta \in R$.

If $R$ is a family of sets we also agree that: $R_{\sigma}$ is the family of all sets of the form $\sigma(H)$ where $H$ is a countable nonvacuous subfamily of $R ; R_{\delta}$ is the family of all sets of the form $\pi(H)$ where $H$ is a countable nonvacuous subfamily of $R ; R_{\gamma}$ is the family of all sets of the form $\sigma(R)-\beta$ where $\beta \in R ; R^{\gamma}$ is the smallest $\sigma(R)$ complemental, countably additive family which contains $R ; R^{\delta}$ is the smallest countably multiplicative, countably additive family which contains $R$.

Definition 2.1. $R$ is internal if and only if $R_{\delta}$ is finitely additive and $R_{\gamma} \subset R^{\delta}$.

Remark 2.2 If $R$ is the family of all closed subsets of a metric space then $R$ is internal ${ }^{4}$ and the members of $R^{\gamma}$ are the Borel subsets of the space.

[^0]
## 3. Two known results in set theory.

Theorem 3.1. $R_{\mathrm{\delta}}$ is countably multiplicative. If $R$ is finitely additive then so is $R_{\mathrm{d}}$.

Proof. $R_{\mathbf{8}}$ is clearly countably multiplicative. The remainder of the theorem follows from the identity

$$
\prod_{x \in A} x+\prod_{y \in B} y=\prod_{x \in A} \prod_{y \in B}(x+y) .
$$

Theorem 3.2.5 If $R_{\gamma} \subset R^{\delta}$ then $R^{\gamma}=R^{\delta}$.
Proof. Let $\tilde{\alpha}=\sigma(R)-\alpha$. Let

$$
P=\underset{\alpha}{E}\left[\left(\alpha \in R^{\delta}\right)\left(\tilde{\alpha} \in R^{\delta}\right)\right] .
$$

A simple check reveals that $P$ is a $\sigma(R)$ complemental, countably additive subfamily of $R^{\delta}$. Our assumption that $R_{\gamma}$ is contained in $R^{\delta}$ assures us, on the other hand, that $P$ contains $R$. Accordingly $R^{r} \subset P \subset R^{\delta}$. Now $R^{r}$, being $\sigma(R)$ complemental and countably additive, is clearly countably multiplicative also. Consequently $R^{\delta} \subset R^{r}$ and the desired conclusion is at hand.

## 4. The role of internal families in measure theory.

Definition 4.1. We say $\phi$ measures $S$ if and only if $\phi$ is such a function on $E_{\beta}[\beta \subset S]$ to $E_{t}[0 \leqq t \leqq \infty]$ that:
I. $\phi(0)=0$;
II. $\phi(A) \leqq \phi(B)$ whenever $A \subset B \subset S$;
III. If $H$ is any countable family for which $\sigma(H) \subset S$, then

$$
\phi[\sigma(H)] \leqq \sum_{\beta \in H} \phi(\beta)
$$

Theorem 4.2. If $\phi$ measures $S$ and $\phi$ measures $T$ then $S=T$.
Due to Carathéodory ${ }^{6}$ is
Definition 4.3. A set $A$ is $\phi$ measurable if and only if $\phi$ measures some superset $S$ of $A$ in such a way that

$$
\phi(T)=\phi(T A)+\phi(T-A)
$$

whenever $T \subset S$.

[^1]Theorem 4.4. If $R$ is a family of $\phi$ measurable sets, $\phi$ measures $\sigma(R)$, then $R^{8}$ and $R^{\gamma}$ are families of $\phi$ measurable sets.

Proof. Let $M$ be the family of all $\phi$ measurable sets. Clearly $M$ is $\sigma(R)$ complemental and countably additive. ${ }^{7}$ Consequently $R^{\delta} \subset R^{r}$ $\subset M$.

Lemma 4.5. If $R_{\delta}$ is a finitely additive family of $\phi$ measurable sets, $\phi$ measures $\sigma(R), \phi[\sigma(R)]<\infty, B \in R^{\delta}, \epsilon>0$, then $B$ contains such a member $C$ of $R_{\delta}$ that $\phi(B-C)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Let $K$ be so defined that $\beta \in K$ if and only if corresponding to each positive number $\eta$ there is such a member $C$ of $R_{\delta}$ that

$$
C \subset \beta, \quad \phi(\beta-C)<\eta
$$

We shall complete the proof by showing in Part III below that $B \in K$.

Part I. If $H$ is a countable nonvacuous subfamily of $K$ then $\sigma(H) \in K$ and $\pi(H) \in K$.

Proof. Let $\eta>0$. Let $A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}, \cdots$ be a sequence whose range is $H$. Let $C_{1}, C_{2}, C_{3}, \cdots$ be such members of $R_{\mathbf{\delta}}$ that

$$
C_{n} \subset A_{n}, \quad \phi\left(A_{n}-C_{n}\right)<\frac{\eta}{2^{n}}
$$

for each positive integer $n$.
Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left[\sigma(H)-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}\right] & =\phi\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}-\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}\right] \leqq \phi\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}-C_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi\left(A_{n}-C_{n}\right)<\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{2^{n}}=\eta
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly if $N$ is a sufficiently large integer we are sure that

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} C_{n} \in R_{\mathrm{j}}, \quad \sum_{n=1}^{N} C_{n} \subset \sigma(H), \quad \phi\left[\sigma(H)-\sum_{n=1}^{N} C_{n}\right]<\eta
$$

On the other hand

$$
\pi(H)=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{n}
$$

[^2]and $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}$ is such a member (see 3.1) of $R_{\delta}$ that
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n} \subset \pi(H) \\
& \phi\left[\pi(H)-\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n}\right]=\phi\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\{\pi(H)-C_{n}\right\}\right] \leqq \phi\left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(A_{n}-C_{n}\right)\right] \\
& \leqq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \phi\left(A_{n}-C_{n}\right)<\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\eta}{2^{n}}=\eta
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Part II. $R \subset K$.
Proof. $R \subset R_{\delta} \subset K$.
Part III. $B \in K$.
Proof. Parts I and II assure us that $K$ is a countably multiplicative, countably additive family which contains $R$. Consequently $R^{\delta} \subset K$ and the conclusion that $B \in K$ follows from our hypothesis that $B \in R^{\delta}$.

Theorem 4.6. If $R_{\delta}$ is a finitely additive family of $\phi$ measurable sets, $\phi$ measures $\sigma(R), B \in R^{\delta}, \phi(B)<\infty, \epsilon>0$, then $B$ contains such a member $C$ of $R_{\delta}$ that $\phi(B-C)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Let $\Phi$ be such a function on the subsets of $\sigma(R)$ that

$$
\Phi(\alpha)=\phi(B \alpha) \quad \text { whenever } \quad \alpha \subset \sigma(R)
$$

Check that $\Phi$ measures $\sigma(R)$ and that 4.5 may be applied to yield the desired conclusion.

Theorem 4.7. If $R$ is an internal family of $\phi$ measurable sets, $\phi$ measures $\sigma(R), B \in R^{r}, \phi(B)<\infty, \epsilon>0$, then $B$ contains such a member $C$ of $R_{\delta}$ that $\phi(B-C)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Use 4.6, 2.1, and 3.2.
Definition 4.8. We say $\phi$ is a Borelian measure with respect to $R$ if and only if : $R$ is an internal family of $\phi$ measurable sets; $\phi$ measures $\sigma(R)$; corresponding to each subset $A$ of $\sigma(R)$ there is a set $\beta$ for which

$$
\beta \in R^{\gamma}, \quad A \subset \beta, \quad \phi(A)=\phi(\beta)
$$

Theorem 4.9. If $\phi$ is a Borelian measure with respect to $R, A$ is a $\phi$ measurable set, $\phi(A)<\infty, \epsilon>0$, then $A$ contains such a member $C$ of $R_{\mathbf{\delta}}$ that $\phi(A-C)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Let $B^{\prime}, B^{\prime \prime}, B^{\prime \prime \prime}$ be such sets that

$$
A \subset B^{\prime} \in R^{r}, \quad \phi\left(B^{\prime}\right)=\phi(A)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
B^{\prime}-A \subset B^{\prime \prime} \in R^{r}, \quad \phi\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)=\phi\left(B^{\prime}-A\right) \\
B^{\prime \prime \prime}=B^{\prime}-B^{\prime \prime}
\end{gathered}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{gathered}
B^{\prime \prime \prime} \in R^{r}, \quad B^{\prime \prime \prime}=B^{\prime}-B^{\prime \prime} \subset B^{\prime}-\left(B^{\prime}-A\right) \subset A \\
\phi\left(A-B^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \leqq \phi\left(B^{\prime}-B^{\prime \prime \prime}\right) \leqq \phi\left(B^{\prime \prime}\right)=\phi\left(B^{\prime}\right)-\phi(A)=0 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Application of 4.7 to the set $B^{\prime \prime \prime}$ completes the proof.
Theorem 4.10. If $R$ is the family of all closed subsets of a metric space $S, \phi$ measures $S$ in such a way that closed sets are $\phi$ measurable, $B$ is a Borel set, $\phi(B)<\infty, \epsilon>0$, then $B$ contains such a closed set $C$ that $\phi(B-C)<\epsilon$.

Proof. Clearly $R$ is an internal family for which $R=R_{\boldsymbol{\delta}}$, and $\sigma(R)=S$. Application of 4.7 completes the proof. Using 4.9 we obtain

Theorem 4.11. If $R$ is the family of all closed subsets of a metric space $S, \phi$ is a Borelian measure with respect to $R, A$ is $\phi$ measurable, $\phi(A)<\infty, \epsilon>0$, then $A$ contains such a closed set $C$ that $\phi(A-C)<\epsilon$.

Remark 4.12. Theorems 4.9 and 4.11 are generalizations of a result due to Hahn. ${ }^{8}$ For corollaries and special cases of Theorems 4.7, 4.9, 4.10 , and 4.11 , see Saks, op. cit., Theorem 6.5 on page 68 , Theorem 6.6 on page 69 , the correct portions of Theorem $9.7+$ on page 85 , the proof of Lemma 5.1 on page 114, Lemma 15.1 on page 152.

Let us now examine, in the light of an example, the just cited Theorem $9.7+$ and my own Theorem 4.7. Let $S$ be the ordinary real numbers metrized in the customary manner. Let $F$ be the family of all closed subsets of $S, G$ the family of all open subsets of $S$. Let $R=F_{\sigma} G_{\delta}$. It is easily seen, with the aid of 3.1 , that $R$ is a finitely additive, $S$ complemental, internal family. Furthermore $\sigma(R)=S$ and $R^{r}$ is precisely the family of all Borel subsets of $S$. Let $B$ be the rational numbers and let $\phi$ so measure $S$ that

$$
\phi(\beta)=\text { the number of numbers in } \beta B
$$

whenever $\beta \subset S$. Note that $\phi(B)=\phi(S)=\infty$ but that $S$ is a countable sum of Borel sets of finite $\phi$ measure. However, within the Borel set $B$, it is impossible to find a $G_{\delta}$ set $C$ for which $\phi(B-C)<1$; if this could be done then $C$ would equal $B$ and $B$ itself would be a $G_{\delta}$ in contradiction to the well known fact that a dense $G_{\delta}$ is a residual set with the power of the continuum. Since $R_{\delta} \subset G_{\delta}$ it is also impossible to find, within the Borel set $B$, an $R_{\delta}$ set $C$ for which $\phi(B-C)<1$.

[^3]This reveals the essential nature of the hypothesis " $\phi(B)<\infty$ " in 4.7 as well as the erroneous aspects of the "more generally" part of Saks' Theorem $9.7+$. Nevertheless it is easy to verify the statement obtained from Theorem 4.10 by deleting the hypothesis " $\phi(B)<\infty$ " and replacing it by "each bounded set has finite $\phi$ measure."

Remark 4.13. Herein we give a supplementary example which serves much the same purpose as the one just discussed in 4.12. Let $S$ be the plane metrized in the customary manner. Introduce $F, G$, and $R$ as in 4.12. Let $B$ be those points in the plane whose first coordinates are rational. Let $\phi$ so measure $S$ that

$$
\phi(\beta)=\text { the Carathéodory }{ }^{9} \text { linear measure of } \beta B
$$

whenever $\beta \subset S$. Note that $\phi(B)=\phi(S)=\infty$ but that $S$ is a countable sum of Borel sets of finite $\phi$ measure. Note also (cf. 4.12) that each countable subset of $S$ has $\phi$ measure zero. However, within the Borel set $B$, it is impossible to find a $G_{\delta}$ set $C$ for which $\phi(B-C)<\infty$. To see this use the fact that the projection upon the $y$ axis of any subset $\alpha$ of $B$ has a Lebesgue measure which does not exceed $\phi(\alpha)$, and then recall the reasoning employed in 4.12.
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