

ON LINEAR EQUATIONS IN HILBERT SPACE

L. W. COHEN

Given an infinite matrix $A = \|a_{ij}\|$ where a_{ij} is complex and

$$(1) \quad \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} |a_{ij}|^2 < +\infty, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

the problem of solving the system of linear equations

$$(2) \quad y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij}x_j, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots,$$

has been studied from several points of view. For arbitrary y_i , E. Schmidt¹ has given necessary and sufficient conditions on the a_{ij} , y_i so that the system (2) have a solution $x = (x_j) \in H_2$ (Hilbert space). Schmidt shows that if a solution exists, the solution of minimum norm is unique, and gives explicit formulas for this solution. If A defines a linear transformation T on H_2 to H_2 , F. Riesz² gives necessary and sufficient conditions that an inverse T^{-1} exist, that is, that the solution $x = T^{-1}(y)$ where T^{-1} is a linear transformation. The following problem stands between these two: Find conditions on the elements of A so that the system (2) have a solution $x \in H_2$ for each $y \in H_2$. Such conditions will permit the use of Schmidt's formulas to express the minimal solution x for each y but this of course does not imply the existence of an inverse of the matrix A . We give a solution of this problem by a method which depends on a property, which seems new, of the m -rowed minors of the matrices $A_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \|a_{i_j k}\|_{1 \leq k \leq m; j \geq 1}$ and on Cramer's rule.

Let

$$a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) = \det \|a_{i_s j_t}\|_{1 \leq s, t \leq m}$$

be the determinant of the columns j_1, \dots, j_m of $A_{i_1 \dots i_m}$. If $B = \|b_{ij}\|$ satisfies (1) and $B'_{i_1 \dots i_m}$ is the transposed of $B_{i_1 \dots i_m}$, the determinant $\det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} B'_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \det \|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{i_s k} b_{i_t k}\|_{1 \leq s, t \leq m}$ is finite. Because of the continuity of a determinant as a function of its elements

$$(3) \quad \det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} B'_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \lim_n \det \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_s k} b_{i_t k} \right\|_{1 \leq s, t \leq m}.$$

Presented to the Society April 23, 1943; received by the editors March 24, 1943.

¹ E. Schmidt, *Über die Auflösung linearer Gleichungen mit unendlich vielen Unbekannten*, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo vol. 25 (1908) pp. 53-77.

² F. Riesz, *Les systèmes d'équations linéaires à une infinité d'inconnues*, Paris, 1913, p. 86.

There is a theorem³ on the minors of products of square matrices which, with slight modification in its proof, yields the identity

$$(4) \quad \det \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n a_{i_s k} b_{i_t k} \right\|_{1 \leq s, t \leq m} = \sum_{[j_1 \cdots j_m]} a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) b(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m),$$

$n \geq m,$

where the sum is extended over all combinations j_1, \dots, j_m in $1, \dots, n$.

THEOREM 1. *If A, B satisfy (1), then*

$$\det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} B'_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) b(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m),$$

where the sum is extended over all combinations of positive integers j_1, \dots, j_m . The series converges absolutely and

$$|\det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} B'_{i_1 \dots i_m}| \leq [\det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} \bar{A}'_{i_1 \dots i_m}]^{1/2} [\det B_{i_1 \dots i_m} \bar{B}'_{i_1 \dots i_m}]^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. By Schwartz' inequality we have, from (4) and (3) with $B = \bar{A}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} |a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) b(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m)| \\ & \leq \left[\sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} |a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \cdot \left[\sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} |b(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m)|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ & \leq [\det A_{i_1 \dots i_m} \bar{A}'_{i_1 \dots i_m}]^{1/2} [\det B_{i_1 \dots i_m} \bar{B}'_{i_1 \dots i_m}]^{1/2}, \quad n \geq m. \end{aligned}$$

The conclusion is now evident as a consequence of (3).

If we define

$$|AB'| = \limsup_m \sup_{i_1 \dots i_m} \left| \sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) x \times b(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m) \right|$$

³ C. C. MacDuffee, *An introduction to abstract algebra*, New York, 1940, Theorem 99.1, p. 216.

we have a Schwarz inequality for matrices:

$$|AB'| \leq |A\bar{A}'|^{1/2} |B\bar{B}'|^{1/2}.$$

The following lemma contains the Gram condition for linear dependence.

LEMMA 1. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *The rows of $A_1 \dots A_m$ are linearly dependent.*
- (b) $\text{Det } A_1 \dots A_m \bar{A}'_1 \dots A'_m = 0.$
- (c) *All m -rowed minors of $A_1 \dots A_m$ equal zero.*

PROOF. That (a) implies (c) is immediate. The equivalence of (b) and (c) follows from Theorem 1 with $A = B$. It remains to show that (c) implies (a). This is evident if $m = 1$. Assuming this statement for $m - 1$, it is true for m if all the $(m - 1)$ -rowed minors of A_{m-1} vanish. If one such minor does not vanish, say

$$\det \|a_{ij}\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq m-1} \neq 0,$$

we denote by c_k the cofactor of a_{km} in the determinant of the first m columns of $A_1 \dots A_m$. Then $c_m \neq 0$ and

$$\sum_{k=1}^m c_k a_{kj} = 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, m - 1.$$

But this sum vanishes for all other values of j because of (c). Hence (c) implies (a).

THEOREM 2. *If A satisfies (1), the finite system*

$$(5) \quad y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j, \quad i = 1, \dots, m,$$

has a solution $x \in H_2$ for each y_1, \dots, y_m if and only if

$$\det A_1 \dots A_m \bar{A}'_1 \dots A'_m \neq 0.$$

PROOF. The necessity is a consequence of Lemma 1. If the condition is satisfied, then there is a nonvanishing $a(1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_m)$ by (c) of Lemma 1 and a solution $x = (x_j)$ where $x_j = 0$ for $j \neq j_1, \dots, j_m$ and x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_m} are determined by Cramer's rule.

COROLLARY. *If A satisfies (1) and the system (2) has a solution $x \in H_2$ for each $y \in H_2$, then $\det A_1 \dots A_m \bar{A}'_1 \dots A'_m \neq 0$ for all m .*

An estimate of the minimum norm of the solution of the finite system (5) may be given in terms of a series of finite minors in $A_{i_1 \dots i_m}$.

Let $J = [j_1, \dots, j_m]$ be a combination of m distinct positive integers and let S_m be a set of J such that no two J 's have a common integer while every positive integer is in some $J \in S_m$. Let

$$\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \left[\sup_{S_m} \sum_{J \in S_m} |a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m)|^2 \right]^{1/2},$$

$$a_{i_1 \dots i_m} = \left[\sum_{[j_1 \dots j_m]} |a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1, \dots, j_m)|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

Since S_m is a subset of the sum of all j_1, \dots, j_m we have the following lemma.

LEMMA 2. $\alpha_{i_1 \dots i_m} \leq a_{i_1 \dots i_m}$,

$$\sup_{S_m} \sum_{J \in S_m} \sum_{s=1}^m |a(1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_{s-1}, j_{s+1}, \dots, j_m)|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{[j_1 \dots j_{m-1}]} |a(1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_{m-1})|^2.$$

THEOREM 3. If A satisfies (1) and the finite system (5) has a solution $x^m \in H_2$ for each $y^m = (y_1, \dots, y_m, 0, 0, \dots)$, then

$$\inf \|x^m\| \leq \|y^m\| \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{a_{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m}}{\alpha_{1 \dots m}} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. By Theorem 2, $\det A_1 \dots \overline{A_1'} \dots \overline{A_m'} \neq 0$ and so $\alpha_{1 \dots m} \neq 0$. Let $M_{kj_s}^m$ be the cofactor of a_{kj_s} in $a(1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_m)$. The system (5) has a solution $x_{j_1}^m \dots x_{j_m}^m$ defined by

$$x_{j_1 \dots j_m}^m = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=1}^m y_k M_{kj_s}^m / a(1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_m), & j = j_1, \dots, j_m, \\ 0, & j \neq j_1, \dots, j_m. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\|x_{j_1 \dots j_m}^m\|^2 |a(i_1, \dots, i_m; j_1 \dots j_m)|^2$$

$$\leq \sum_{s=1}^m \left| \sum_{k=1}^m y_k M_{kj_s}^m \right|^2 \leq \|y^m\|^2 \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m |M_{kj_s}^m|^2$$

$$= \|y^m\|^2 \sum_{s=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m |a(1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m; j_1, \dots, j_{s-1}, j_{s+1}, \dots, j_m)|^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \|x^m\|^2 \alpha_{1\dots m}^2 &\leq \|y^m\|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m \sup_{S_m} \sum_{J \in S_m} \sum_{s=1}^m |a(1, \dots, k-1, \\ &\quad k+1, \dots, m; j_1 \dots j_{s-1} j_{s+1} \dots j_m)|^2 \\ &\leq \|y^m\|^2 \sum_{k=1}^m a_{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m}^2 \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2. The conclusion follows at once.

A sufficient condition for the solution of the system (2) for each $y \in H$ may be obtained by restricting the constants

$$\alpha_m = \left[\sum_{k=1}^m \left| \frac{a_{1, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, m}}{\alpha_{1\dots m}} \right|^2 \right]^{1/2}, \quad m = 1, 2, \dots$$

THEOREM 4. *If A satisfies (1), its rows are linearly independent, and $\alpha = \liminf_m \alpha_m < +\infty$, then for each $y \in H_2$ the system (2) has a solution $x \in H_2$ such that*

$$\|x\| \leq \alpha \|y\|.$$

PROOF. Consider any $y \in H_2$ and any $\epsilon > 0$. The sequence contains a subsequence $\alpha_{m_\mu} < \alpha + \epsilon$. From Theorem 3 it follows that for each μ there is an $x^\mu = (x_j^\mu) \in H_2$ such that

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j^\mu, \quad i = 1, \dots, m_\mu,$$

$$\|x^\mu\| \leq (\alpha + \epsilon) \|y\|.$$

Applying a diagonal process to (x_j^μ) one finds a subsequence $x^{\mu\nu} = (x_j^{\mu\nu})$ and an $x = (x_j) \in H_2$ such that

$$\lim_{\nu} x_j^{\mu\nu} = x_j, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

$$\|x\| \leq (\alpha + \epsilon) \|y\|.$$

Since for all $\nu, N > 0$ and $1 \leq i \leq m_{\mu\nu}$,

$$y_i - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j = \sum_{j=1}^N a_{ij} (x_j^{\mu\nu} - x_j) + \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j^{\mu\nu} - \sum_{j=N+1}^{\infty} a_{ij} x_j,$$

x solves the system (1).

Now consider $\epsilon_n \downarrow 0$. For each n there is an $x^n \in H_2$ which solves the system (2) and such that $\|x^n\| \leq (\alpha + \epsilon_n) \|y\|$. Repeating the diagonal process and the above argument, one finds an $x \in H_2$ such that $\|x\| \leq \alpha \|y\|$ and which solves the system (2).