## A NOTE ON THE RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION

## FU TRAING WANG

Let  $\rho_r = \beta_r + i\gamma_r$  be the zeros of the Riemann zeta-function  $\zeta(1/2+z)$  whose real part  $\beta_r \ge 0$ . Then we have the following formula which is an improvement on Paley-Wiener's  $[1, p. 78]^1$ 

$$\int_{1}^{T} \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{t^{2}} dt = 2\pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{|\rho_{\nu}|^{2}} + \int_{0}^{\pi/2} R\{e^{-i\theta} \log \zeta(1/2 + e^{i\theta})\} d\theta + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right).$$

In order to prove this formula let  $\rho_{\nu}$  ( $\nu = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) be the *n* zeros of  $\zeta(1/2+z)$  for which  $0 < \gamma_{\nu} < T$  and  $0 \leq \beta_{\nu} < 1/2$ . We require the following lemma:

LEMMA. Let K be the unit semicircle with center z = 0 lying in the right half-plane R(z) > 0 and let C be the broken line consisting of three segments  $L_1$  ( $0 \le x \le T$ , y = T),  $L_2$  ( $0 \le x \le T$ , y = -T) and  $L_3$  (x = T,  $-T \le y \le T$ ). Then

(1) 
$$\frac{\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{1}^{T} \frac{\log|\zeta(1/2+it)|}{t^{2}} dt = 2\sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{|\rho_{\nu}|^{2}} + \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{K} \frac{\log\zeta(1/2+z)}{z^{2}} dz - \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{C} \frac{\log\zeta(1/2+z)}{z^{2}} dz.$$

This is a form of Carleman's theorem which can be proved by a method of proof analogous to that of Littlewood's theorem (Titchmarsh [3, pp. 130-134]).

Let  $\Gamma$  be a contour describing C, K and the corresponding part of the imaginary axis, and let  $\rho_r$  be a point interior to  $\Gamma$ , and  $\log(z-\rho_r)$ be taken as its principal value. We write  $C_1$  as a contour describing  $\Gamma$ in positive direction to the point  $i\gamma_r$ , then along the segment  $y=\gamma_r$ ,  $0 < x < \beta_r - r$ , and describing a small circle with center  $z = \rho_r$ , radius r, then going back along the negative side of this segment to  $i\gamma_r$ , and then along  $\Gamma$  to the starting point.

By Cauchy's theorem we get

$$\int_{C_1} \frac{\log (z-\rho_{\nu})}{z^2} dz = 0.$$

Received by the editors December 15, 1943, and, in revised form, June 12, 1945. <sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the references cited at the end of the paper. F. T. WANG

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{\Gamma} \frac{\log (z-\rho_{\nu})}{z^2} dz = -\int_{0}^{\beta_{\nu}} \frac{dx}{(x+i\gamma_{\nu})^2}$$

where the integral round the small circle with center  $z = \rho_r$ , radius r, tends to zero as  $r \rightarrow 0$ . This formula is also true for  $\beta_r = 0$ .

Put  $\zeta(1/2+z) = \phi(z) \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (z-\rho_{\nu}) \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (z-\bar{\rho}_{\nu})$  where  $\phi(z)$  is regular and has no zero in and on  $\Gamma$ . Then we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\log \zeta(1/2+z)}{z^2} dz = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\rho_{\nu}} - \frac{1}{i\gamma_{\nu}}\right) + \sum_{\nu=1}^n \left(\frac{1}{\bar{\rho}_{\nu}} + \frac{1}{i\gamma_{\nu}}\right) \\ = 2\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{|\rho_{\nu}|^2} \cdot$$

From this the lemma follows.

Now we have

(2) 
$$\int_{C} \frac{\log \zeta(1/2+z)}{z^{2}} dz = -\int_{L_{1}} + \int_{L_{2}} + \int_{L_{3}} + \int_{L_{4}} + \int_{$$

On account of

$$\log \zeta(1/2 + x + iT) = O(1) \qquad \text{for } x \ge 1$$

we have

(3) 
$$\int_{L_1} = \int_0^1 \frac{\log \zeta(1/2 + x + iT)}{(x + iT)^2} \, dx + O\left(\frac{1}{T}\right).$$

Since (Titchmarsh [2, p. 5])

$$\arg \zeta(1/2 + x + iT) = O(\log T) \qquad \text{for } 0 \le x \le 1$$

and (Titchmarsh [2, p. 59])  

$$\log |\zeta(1/2 + x + iT)| = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{|\gamma - T| < 1} \log \{(x - \beta)^2 + (T - \gamma)^2\} + O(\log T),$$
then

(4) 
$$\int_0^1 \frac{\log \zeta(1/2 + x + iT)}{(x + iT)^2} \, dx = O\left(\frac{\log T}{T^2}\right).$$

From (3) and (4) we get

(5) 
$$\int_{L_1} = O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right).$$

[April

320

Similarly

(6) 
$$\int_{L_2} = O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right).$$

Since  $\log \zeta(1/2 + T + iy) = O(2^{-T})$ , we get

(7) 
$$\int_{L_3} = O(T2^{-T}).$$

By (1), (2), (5), (6) and (7) we have

(8) 
$$\int_{1}^{T} \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{t^{2}} dt = 2\pi \sum_{\nu=1}^{n} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{|\rho_{\nu}|^{2}} + \frac{1}{2i} \int_{K} \frac{\log \zeta(1/2 + z)}{z^{2}} dz + O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right).$$

But (Ingham [4, p. 70])

(9) 
$$\sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta_{\nu}}{|\rho_{\nu}|^2} = O\left(\sum_{\gamma>T} \frac{1}{\gamma^2}\right) = O\left(\frac{\log T}{T}\right)$$

The formula follows from (8) and (9). Finally, if we make  $T \rightarrow \infty$  then

$$\int_{1}^{\infty} \frac{\log |\zeta(1/2 + it)|}{t^2} dt = \int_{0}^{\pi/2} R\{e^{-i\theta} \log \zeta(1/2 + e^{i\theta})\} d\theta$$

gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the truth of the Riemann hypothesis.

## References

1. R. E. A. C. Paley and N. Wiener, *Fourier transforms in the complex domain*. Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publications, vol. 19, New York, 1934.

2. E. C. Titchmarsh, The zeta-function of Riemann, Cambridge, 1930.

3. ——, The theory of functions, Oxford, 1932.

4. A. E. Ingham, The distribution of prime numbers, Cambridge, 1932.

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF CHEKIANG

1946]