

# A THEOREM ON ARBITRARY $J$ -FRACTIONS

H. S. WALL

1. **Introduction.** We consider a  $J$ -fraction

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{1}{b_1 + z - \frac{a_1^2}{b_2 + z - \frac{a_2^2}{b_3 + z - \dots}}}$$

$(a_p \neq 0),$

in which the coefficients  $a_p$  and  $b_p$  are any complex numbers, the  $a_p$  being different from zero, and  $z$  is a complex parameter. The system of linear equations

$$(1.2) \quad -a_{p-1}x_{p-1} + (b_p + z)x_p - a_px_{p+1} = 0, \\ p = 1, 2, 3, \dots; a_0 = 1,$$

can be solved for  $x_2, x_3, x_4, \dots$  uniquely in terms of arbitrarily chosen initial values  $x_0$  and  $x_1$ . We denote by  $X_p(z)$  and  $Y_p(z)$  the solutions corresponding to  $x_0 = -1, x_1 = 0$  and  $x_0 = 0, x_1 = 1$ , respectively:  $X_0(z) = -1, X_1(z) = 0, Y_0(z) = 0, Y_1(z) = 1$ . Then  $X_{p+1}(z)/Y_{p+1}(z)$  is the  $p$ th approximant of the  $J$ -fraction, and we have the determinant formula

$$(1.3) \quad X_{p+1}(z)Y_p(z) - X_p(z)Y_{p+1}(z) = 1/a_p, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The following theorem holds.

**THEOREM OF INVARIABILITY.** *If the series*

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |X_p(z)|^2, \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |Y_p(z)|^2$$

*converge for a single value of the parameter  $z$ , then these series converge uniformly over every bounded domain of  $z$ .*

This theorem was proved by Hellinger and Wall [3].<sup>1</sup> The uniformity of the convergence was not explicitly mentioned, but is contained

---

Presented to the Society, September 17, 1945; received by the editors December 26, 1945.

<sup>1</sup> Numbers in brackets refer to the Bibliography at the end of the paper.

in the fact that the sums  $\sum_{p=1}^m |\zeta_p|^2$  of [3, p. 121] are uniformly bounded over every finite domain of  $z^*$ .

In the present note we have derived some consequences of the above theorem. It will be convenient to make the following definition.

DEFINITION. The *determinate case* or the *indeterminate case* is said to hold for the  $J$ -fraction (1.1) according as at least one of the series

$$(1.5) \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |X_p(0)|^2, \quad \sum_{p=1}^{\infty} |Y_p(0)|^2$$

diverges, or both of these series converge, respectively.

We shall prove that if the indeterminate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction, and if the  $J$ -fraction converges for a single value of  $z$ , then it represents a meromorphic function of  $z$  and converges except at the poles of this function. Hamburger [2] proved this theorem for  $J$ -fractions with real coefficients, and closely related theorems were proved by Hellinger and Wall [3] for  $J$ -fractions in which the  $a_p$  are real and  $I(b_p) \geq 0$ , and by Dennis and Wall [1] for positive definite  $J$ -fractions. If, in particular,  $b_p = 0, p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ , then, if we drop the factor  $z$ , the  $J$ -fraction can be thrown into the form

$$(1.6) \quad \frac{1}{k_1 w + \frac{1}{k_2 + \frac{1}{k_3 w + \frac{1}{k_4 + \dots}}}} \quad (w = z^2).$$

We show that if the series

$$(1.7) \quad \sum k_{2p+1}, \quad \sum k_{2p+1}(k_2 + k_4 + \dots + k_{2p})^2$$

are absolutely convergent and

$$(1.8) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |k_2 + k_4 + \dots + k_{2p}| = \infty,$$

then the continued fraction (1.6) converges to a meromorphic function of  $w$  or else diverges to  $\infty$  for every  $w$ . If the series (1.7) are absolutely convergent and (1.8) fails to hold, then the continued fraction diverges by oscillation for every  $w$ . This theorem was proved by Hamburger [2] for the case where the  $k_p$  are real,  $k_{2p} \neq 0$ , and  $k_{2p+1} > 0$ .

**2. Four entire functions.** We define four polynomials  $U_n(z), V_n(z)$

$P_n(z), Q_n(z)$  by means of the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.1) \quad & U_n(z) = a_n [Y_n(0)X_{n+1}(z) - Y_{n+1}(0)X_n(z)], \\
 & V_n(z) = a_n [Y_n(0)Y_{n+1}(z) - Y_{n+1}(0)Y_n(z)], \\
 & P_n(z) = a_n [X_n(0)X_{n+1}(z) - X_{n+1}(0)X_n(z)], \\
 & Q_n(z) = a_n [X_n(0)Y_{n+1}(z) - X_{n+1}(0)Y_n(z)],
 \end{aligned}
 \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

We find with the aid of the determinant formula (1.3) that these polynomials satisfy the identity

$$(2.2) \quad P_n(z)V_n(z) - Q_n(z)U_n(z) \equiv 1.$$

We now put  $x_k = X_k(z), p = n + 1$ , in (1.2), and get

$$a_{n+1}X_{n+2}(z) = (b_{n+1} + z)X_{n+1}(z) - a_nX_n(z).$$

We multiply both members of this identity by  $X_{n+1}(0)$ , subtract  $a_{n+1}X_{n+2}(0)X_{n+1}(z)$  from both members, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & a_{n+1}[X_{n+1}(0)X_{n+2}(z) - X_{n+2}(0)X_{n+1}(z)] \\
 & = [\{b_{n+1}X_{n+1}(0) - a_{n+1}X_{n+2}(0)\} + zX_{n+1}(0)]X_{n+1}(z) \\
 & \quad - a_nX_{n+1}(0)X_n(z) \\
 & = a_n[X_n(0)X_{n+1}(z) - X_{n+1}(0)X_n(z)] + zX_{n+1}(0)X_{n+1}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.1), we have the first of the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 P_{n+1}(z) &= P_n(z) + zX_{n+1}(0)X_{n+1}(z), \\
 Q_{n+1}(z) &= Q_n(z) + zX_{n+1}(0)Y_{n+1}(z), \\
 U_{n+1}(z) &= U_n(z) + zY_{n+1}(0)X_{n+1}(z), \\
 V_{n+1}(z) &= V_n(z) + zY_{n+1}(0)Y_{n+1}(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

The others may be obtained in a similar way. From these relations we now obtain immediately the following formulas.

$$\begin{aligned}
 (2.3) \quad & P_{n+1}(z) = 1 + z \sum_{p=2}^{n+1} X_p(0)X_p(z), \\
 & Q_{n+1}(z) = -1 + z \sum_{p=2}^{n+1} X_p(0)Y_p(z), \\
 & U_{n+1}(z) = 1 + z \sum_{p=2}^{n+1} Y_p(0)X_p(z), \\
 & V_{n+1}(z) = z + z \sum_{p=2}^{n+1} Y_p(0)Y_p(z).
 \end{aligned}$$

By the theorem of invariability (§1) and formulas (2.2), (2.3) we find at once by Schwarz's inequality that the following theorem is true.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let the indeterminate case hold for the  $J$ -fraction (1.1). Then there exist four entire functions  $u(z)$ ,  $v(z)$ ,  $p(z)$ ,  $q(z)$  such that*

$$(2.4) \quad p(z)v(z) - q(z)u(z) = 1,$$

and such that

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} U_n(z) &= u(z), & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V_n(z) &= v(z), \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_n(z) &= p(z), & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n(z) &= q(z), \end{aligned}$$

uniformly over every bounded region of the  $z$ -plane.

**3. Convergence theorem for  $J$ -fractions.** By means of (2.1) and (1.3) we find that

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} X_{n+1}(z) &= X_{n+1}(0)U_n(z) - Y_{n+1}(0)P_n(z), \\ Y_{n+1}(z) &= X_{n+1}(0)V_n(z) - Y_{n+1}(0)Q_n(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $s_n = X_{n+1}(0)/Y_{n+1}(0)$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = s$ , a finite number, and if the indeterminate case holds for the  $J$ -fraction, it then follows from Theorem 2.1 and the relations (3.1) that

$$(3.2) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n+1}(z)}{Y_{n+1}(0)} = su(z) - p(z), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Y_{n+1}(z)}{Y_{n+1}(0)} = sv(z) - q(z),$$

uniformly over every finite region. Since, by (2.4),

$$[sv(z) - q(z)]u(z) - [su(z) - p(z)]v(z) = 1,$$

it follows that the limits (3.2) cannot vanish for one and the same value of  $z$ . Therefore, for every value of  $z$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n+1}(z)}{Y_{n+1}(z)} = \frac{su(z) - p(z)}{sv(z) - q(z)},$$

which is a meromorphic function of  $z$ , or else is  $\infty$  for every  $z$ . If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n = \infty$ , then, for every value of  $z$ ,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n+1}(z)}{Y_{n+1}(z)} = \frac{u(z)}{v(z)},$$

which again is a meromorphic function of  $z$  or else is  $\infty$  for every  $z$ . If the sequence  $\{s_n\}$  has more than one limit-point, let  $\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} s_{n'} = s'$ ,

$\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} s_{n'} = s''$ ,  $s' \neq s''$ . Then, if  $s'$  and  $s''$  are both finite,

$$\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n'+1}(z)}{Y_{n'+1}(z)} = \frac{s'u(z) - p(z)}{s'v(z) - q(z)},$$

$$\lim_{n'' \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n''+1}(z)}{Y_{n''+1}(z)} = \frac{s''u(z) - p(z)}{s''v(z) - q(z)}.$$

These are unequal for every  $z$  inasmuch as

$$(s'u - p)(s''v - q) - (s''u - p)(s'v - q) = s' - s'' \neq 0,$$

and therefore the *J*-fraction diverges by oscillation for every  $z$ . The same evidently holds if one of the limits  $s'$ ,  $s''$  is  $\infty$ . From these considerations we conclude that the following theorem is true.

**THEOREM 3.1.** *Let the indeterminate case hold for the *J*-fraction (1.1). If the *J*-fraction converges for a single value of  $z$ , then it represents a meromorphic function of  $z$  and converges except at the poles of that function. In terms of the entire functions of §2,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n+1}(z)}{Y_{n+1}(z)} = \frac{su(z) - p(z)}{sv(z) - q(z)} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{u(z)}{v(z)}$$

according as

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{X_{n+1}(0)}{Y_{n+1}(0)} = s \text{ (finite) or } \infty,$$

respectively. If there is a single value of  $z$  for which neither the *J*-fraction nor its reciprocal converges, then the *J*-fraction diverges by oscillation for every value of  $z$ .

**4. Convergence theorem for *S*-fractions.** A *J*-fraction (1.1) in which the coefficients  $b_p$  are all equal to zero is called a *Stieltjes fraction* or *S*-fraction:

$$(4.1) \quad \cfrac{1}{z - \cfrac{a_1}{z - \cfrac{a_2}{z - \cfrac{\dots}{\dots}}}}$$

This has the property that its even part is a *J*-fraction in the variable  $z^2$ , multiplied by the factor  $z$ , namely,

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{z}{z^2 - a_1^2 - \frac{(a_1 a_2)^2}{z^2 - (a_2^2 + a_3^2) - \frac{(a_3 a_4)^2}{z^2 - (a_4^2 + a_5^2) - \dots}}}$$

If we divide both (4.1) and (4.2) by  $z$ , and then make the change of variable  $z^2 = w$ , these take the form

$$(4.3) \quad \frac{1}{w - \frac{a_1^2}{1 - \frac{a_2^2}{w - \dots}}}$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1}{w - a_1^2 - \frac{(a_1 a_2)^2}{w - (a_2^2 + a_3^2) - \frac{(a_3 a_4)^2}{w - (a_4^2 + a_5^2) - \dots}}}$$

respectively. We shall apply Theorem 3.1 to the  $J$ -fraction (4.4) in order to obtain a convergence theorem for the  $S$ -fraction (4.3).

Let  $G_p(w)$  and  $H_p(w)$  denote the  $p$ th numerator and denominator of (4.3), and let  $A_p(w)$  and  $B_p(w)$  denote the  $p$ th numerator and denominator of (4.4). Then we have

$$(4.5) \quad G_{2p}(w) = A_p(w), \quad H_{2p}(w) = B_p(w), \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Let

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= a_1 a_2, & \delta_1 &= -a_1^2, \\ \sigma_2 &= a_3 a_4, & \delta_2 &= -a_1^2 - a_2^2, \\ \sigma_3 &= a_5 a_6, & \delta_3 &= -a_4^2 - a_5^2, \end{aligned}$$

so that (4.4) becomes

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{1}{\delta_1 + w - \frac{\sigma_1^2}{\delta_2 + w - \frac{\sigma_2^2}{\delta_3 + w - \dots}}}$$

From (4.6) we readily find by mathematical induction that

$$(4.8) \quad a_{2p-1}^2 = -\frac{B_p(0)}{B_{p-1}(0)}, \quad a_{2p}^2 = -\frac{\sigma_p^2 B_{p-1}(0)}{B_p(0)}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots,$$

and

$$(4.9) \quad B_p(0) \neq 0, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Conversely, if (4.7) is a *J*-fraction such that (4.9) holds, then it is the even part of an *S*-fraction (4.3) whose partial numerators are given by (4.8).

The odd numerators and denominators of the *S*-fraction (4.3) can be expressed in terms of the numerators and denominators of (4.7) by means of the formulas

$$(4.10) \quad \begin{aligned} G_{2p-1}(w) &= \frac{B_{p-1}(0)A_p(w) - B_p(0)A_{p-1}(w)}{B_{p-1}(0)}, \\ H_{2p-1}(w) &= \frac{B_{p-1}(0)B_p(w) - B_p(0)B_{p-1}(w)}{B_{p-1}(0)}, \end{aligned} \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

These may be verified immediately by means of the recurrence formula  $G_{2p}(w) = G_{2p-1}(w) - a_{2p-1}^2 G_{2p-2}(w)$ , and the like relation for  $H_{2p}(w)$ , if we use (4.5) and (4.8). It will be observed that the first two polynomials (2.1), formed for the *J*-fraction (4.7), differ from (4.10) only by a constant factor:

$$(4.11) \quad \frac{G_{2p-1}(w)}{H_{2p-1}(w)} = \frac{U_p(w)}{V_p(w)}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

If we make the substitution

$$(4.12) \quad a_p^2 = -\frac{1}{k_p k_{p+1}}, \quad p = 1, 2, 3, \dots; \quad k_1 = 1,$$

in (4.3), the latter becomes

$$(4.13) \quad \frac{1}{k_1 w + \frac{1}{k_2 + \frac{1}{k_3 w + \dots}}}$$

The  $p$ th numerator and denominator of (4.13) are

$$k_1 k_2 \cdots k_p G_p(w) \quad \text{and} \quad k_1 k_2 \cdots k_p H_p(w),$$

respectively.

We shall now prove the following theorem.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Let  $k_1, k_2, k_3, \dots$  be complex numbers different from zero such that the series*

$$(4.14) \quad \sum k_{2p+1}, \quad \sum k_{2p+1}(k_2 + k_4 + \cdots + k_{2p})^2$$

are absolutely convergent, and let

$$(4.15) \quad \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} (k_2 + k_4 + \cdots + k_{2p}) = \infty.$$

*Then, for each value of  $w$ , the  $S$ -fraction (4.13) converges, or else its reciprocal converges to the value 0. If the series (4.14) converge absolutely and (4.15) fails to hold, then neither the  $S$ -fraction nor its reciprocal converges for a single value of  $w$ . If the  $S$ -fraction converges for one value of  $w$ , then its value is a meromorphic function of  $w$  to which it converges uniformly over every bounded closed region containing none of the poles of the function.*

**PROOF.** The polynomials  $X_{p+1}(w)$  and  $Y_{p+1}(w)$  for the  $J$ -fraction (4.7) are given by

$$X_{p+1}(w) = \frac{A_p(w)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_p}, \quad Y_{p+1}(w) = \frac{B_p(w)}{\sigma_1 \sigma_2 \cdots \sigma_p}.$$

By (4.5), (4.6) and (4.12) we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |X_{p+1}(0)|^2 &= |G_{2p}(0) \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{2p}|^2 \cdot |k_{2p+1}|, \\ |Y_{p+1}(0)|^2 &= |H_{2p}(0) \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{2p}|^2 \cdot |k_{2p+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $k_1 k_2 \cdots k_p G_p(w)$  and  $k_1 k_2 \cdots k_p H_p(w)$  are the  $p$ th numerator and denominator, respectively, of (4.13), we readily find by mathematical induction that

$$G_{2p}(0) \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{2p} = k_2 + k_4 + \cdots + k_{2p}, \quad H_{2p}(0) \cdot k_1 k_2 \cdots k_{2p} = 1,$$



and consequently

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} |X_{p+1}(0)|^2 &= |k_{2p+1}(k_2 + k_4 + \cdots + k_{2p})^2|, \\ |Y_{p+1}(0)|^2 &= |k_{2p+1}|. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 3.1 we therefore conclude that when the series (4.14) converge absolutely, then, by (4.11):

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_{2p-1}(w)}{H_{2p-1}(w)} = \frac{u(w)}{v(w)},$$

where  $u(w)$  and  $v(w)$  are entire functions, provided  $v(w) \neq 0$ . Inasmuch as  $u(w) \neq 0$  when  $v(w) = 0$ , we conclude that for any  $w$ , the sequence of odd approximants of the  $S$ -fraction, or else the sequence of reciprocals of these approximants, must converge. By Theorem 3.1 and (4.5),

$$\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \frac{G_{2p}(w)}{H_{2p}(w)} = \frac{u(w)}{v(w)}$$

if and only if  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |A_p(0)/B_p(0)| = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} |X_{p+1}(0)/Y_{p+1}(0)| = \infty$ . But, by (4.16),

$$\left| \frac{X_{p+1}(0)}{Y_{p+1}(0)} \right| = |k_2 + k_4 + \cdots + k_{2p}|.$$

Therefore, if (4.15) holds, then the  $S$ -fraction or its reciprocal converges. If (4.15) fails to hold, then the  $S$ -fraction and its reciprocal diverge for every  $w$ . If the  $S$ -fraction converges for a single value of  $w$ , then its value is the meromorphic function  $u(w)/v(w)$ . The convergence is clearly uniform over every closed bounded region containing none of the poles of this function.

#### BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. J. J. Dennis and H. S. Wall, *The limit-circle case for a positive definite  $J$ -fraction*, Duke Math. J. vol. 12 (1945) pp. 255-273.
2. H. Hamburger, *Über eine Erweiterung des Stieltjesschen Momentenproblems*, Math. Ann. vol. 81 (1920) pp. 235-319; vol. 82 (1921) pp. 120-164 and 168-187.
3. E. D. Hellinger and H. S. Wall, *Contributions to the analytic theory of continued fractions and infinite matrices*, Ann. of Math. (2) vol. 44 (1943) pp. 103-127.